Marital Disruption is Associated with Shorter Salivary Telomere Length
in a Probability Sample of Older Adults

Mark A. Whisman^a, Briana L. Robstelli^a, & David A. Sbarra^b

a = University of Colorado, Boulder

b = University of Arizona

In Press, Social Science & Medicine (Final Accepted Version)

Abstract

Rationale: Marital disruption (i.e., marital separation, divorce) is associated with a wide range of poor mental and physical health outcomes, including increased risk for all-cause mortality. One biological intermediary that may help explain the association between marital disruption and poor health is accelerated cellular aging. Objective: This study examines the association between marital disruption and salivary telomere length in a United States probability sample of adults $\geq$ 50 years of age. Method: Participants were 3,526 individuals who participated in the 2008 wave of the Health and Retirement Study. Telomere length assays were performed using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) on DNA extracted from saliva samples. Health and lifestyle factors, traumatic and stressful life events, and neuroticism were assessed via self-report. Linear regression analyses were conducted to examine the associations between predictor variables and salivary telomere length. Results: Based on their marital status data in the 2006 wave, people who were separated or divorced had shorter salivary telomeres than people who were continuously married or had never been married, and the association between marital disruption and salivary telomere length was not moderated by gender or neuroticism. Furthermore, the association between marital disruption and salivary telomere length remained statistically significant after adjusting for demographic and socioeconomic variables, neuroticism, cigarette use, body mass, traumatic life events, and other stressful life events. Additionally, results revealed that currently married adults with a history of divorce evidenced shorter salivary telomeres than people who were continuously married or never married. Conclusion: Accelerated cellular aging, as indexed by telomere shortening, may be one pathway through which marital disruption is associated with morbidity and mortality. Keywords: United States; telomere; cellular aging; marital disruption; divorce; marital separation

A robust literature links marital disruption (i.e., marital separation or divorce) to a range of poor health outcomes, including increased risk for all-cause mortality (Alviar et al., 2014; Dupre et al., 2015; Sbarra & Law, 2011; Shor et al., 2012). For example, in a long-term study of the Uppsala Birth Cohort, being divorced placed both men and women in the highest risk groups for early mortality, with a 46% and 27% higher relative mortality risk than their married counterparts, respectively (Donrovich et al., 2014). Similarly, in a sample of over 3.5 million adults, married participants had lower odds of any cardiovascular disease ($OR = 0.95$), whereas divorced participants had higher odds ($OR = 1.05$) relative to single adults (Alviar et al., 2014). Divorce is also associated with significantly elevated risk for hospital-diagnosed infectious diseases (Nielsen et al., 2014). In addition, having a history of divorce, even for those who have remarried, has been associated with negative health outcomes, including increased frequency of illness (Lorenz et al., 2006), risk of developing coronary heart disease (Smith et al., 2011), coronary mortality (Matthews & Gump, 2002), and all cause mortality (Tucker et al., 1996). A critical need for the research in this area is to identify biologically-plausible intermediaries that can explain this pattern of overall risk (Sbarra et al., 2012). The current study was conducted to evaluate the hypothesis that marital disruption might accelerate cellular aging, as operationalized by telomere shortening.

Telomeres are DNA-protein structures that are located at the ends of chromosomes that serve to maintain DNA integrity during cell division (Armanios & Blackburn, 2012). Telomeres naturally shorten with successive cell divisions, and gradual shortening of telomeres after each cell division eventually can lead to a loss of cellular division capacity and cell death (Lin et al., 2009). Consequently, telomere length has come to be viewed as a biomarker of cellular aging (Lin et al., 2009; Sanders & Newman, 2013), as well as a potential biomarker for factors that

1 contribute to aging and age-related diseases (Lin et al., 2009). A meta-analysis of 124 cross-
2 sectional and five longitudinal studies examining the association between age and telomere
3 length yielded a weighted effect size (r) of $-.34$ and a yearly loss of 24.7 base pairs (Müezziner
4 et al., 2013). Meta-analytic results further indicate that shorter telomere length is significantly
5 associated with a variety of health outcomes, including cancer (Wentzensen et al., 2011), and
6 myocardial infarction, stroke, and type 2 diabetes mellitus (D'Mello et al., 2015), as well as with
7 mortality (Deelen et al., 2014).

8 For many adults, the end of marriage can be a highly stressful event (Sbarra et al., 2015),
9 and it is well known that psychosocial stress can alter a range of health-relevant biological
10 processes (e.g., Miller et al., 2009; Segerstrom & Miller, 2004), including telomere length. Meta-
11 analytic findings across eight studies (involving 1,143 people) demonstrate a moderate-sized
12 negative association ($r = -.25$) between perceived stress and telomere length (Schutte & Malouff,
13 2014). A wide variety of life stressors are also associated with telomere length. For example,
14 telomere shortening is associated with childhood maltreatment (Tyrka et al., 2010) and general
15 childhood adverse life events, such as parental unemployment or having a chronic or serious
16 illness (Kananen et al., 2010). Adverse life events occurring in adulthood also are associated
17 with telomere shortening. For example, women with histories of intimate partner violence have
18 significantly shorter telomeres than women who have not experienced violence in an intimate
19 relationship (Humphreys et al., 2012).

20 Although there are no studies to our knowledge that have examined the association
21 between marital disruption and telomere length, a handful of studies have examined marital
22 status and telomere length, and the results are mixed. For example, there was no association
23 between marital status (married versus not married) and telomere length in a Finnish sample of

men and women aged 30-64 years (Ahola et al., 2012) or a sample of Chinese women aged 40-70 years (Cui et al., 2013). In contrast, a study of Taiwanese men and women aged 65-74 years found that unmarried adults had shorter telomeres than married adults, although this difference was no longer statistically significant when adjusting for demographics and other potential covariates (Yen & Lung, 2013). Finally, a study of Americans aged 40-64 years found that unmarried participants had significantly shorter telomeres than participants who were married or living with a partner, and this difference remained statistically significant after adjusting for demographics and other potential covariates (Mainous et al., 2011). Although this research provides a basis for understanding marital status differences in telomere length, no studies focus explicitly on marital disruption, and a direct comparison between separated/divorced and married adults is needed to better understand whether the end of marriage is associated with accelerated cellular aging.

The current study was conducted to evaluate the association between marital disruption and salivary telomere length in a probability sample of older adults. We hypothesized that people who were separated or divorced would have shorter salivary telomeres than those who were continuously married or never married; we did not expect differences in salivary telomere length between people who were separated or divorced and people who were widowed, as death of a spouse is viewed as a highly stressful event (Holmes & Rahe, 1967; Stroebe et al., 2007), and recent studies demonstrate considerable overlap in grief-related responses to divorce and bereavement (e.g., Papa et al., 2014). However, we did expect differences in salivary telomere length between divorced and single adults, as contemporary research on *singlehood* indicates that is inaccurate to equate being single with social isolation (DePaulo, 2015), whereas considerable evidence suggests that marital separation, on average, is a highly stressful process (Sbarra et al.,

2015). Therefore, we expected that separated or divorced participants would evidence shorter salivary telomeres relative to (a) continuously married individuals, and (b) never married individuals. Finally, growing evidence indicates that the main effect of divorce on health outcomes is qualified by interactions with both gender (Sbarra & Law, 2011) and psychological variables that reflect *negative affectivity* (Sbarra et al., 2015), which is the trait-like tendency to experience a high degree of negative emotion and to have a high level of dissatisfaction with one's self and prospects for the future (Watson & Clark, 1984). Given these prior findings, we also hypothesized that the association between marital disruption and salivary telomere length would be potentiated for men and for people reporting high levels of neuroticism, a dimension of personality that taps negative affectivity.

A second aim of the study was to evaluate whether the hypothesized associations between marital disruption and salivary telomere length would remain statistically significant when adjusting for potential confounds. Telomere length is negatively correlated with age (Müezziner et al., 2013) and positively correlated with educational attainment (Steptoe et al., 2011) and, on average, longer telomeres are found in females relative to males (Gardner et al., 2014), and in African Americans relative to Whites (Hunt et al., 2008; Needham et al., 2013). Furthermore, telomere length is associated with personality factors such as neuroticism (van Ockenburg et al., 2014), and health behaviors and lifestyle factors such as cigarette smoking (Valdes et al., 2005) and body mass index (BMI) (Müezziner et al., 2014). Because many of the variables that covary with telomere length are also associated with the probability of marital disruption (Kendler et al., 2002; Kurdek, 1993; Roberts et al., 2007; Tucker et al., 1998), we were interested in evaluating the incremental association between marital disruption and salivary telomere length, holding constant demographic and socioeconomic variables, neuroticism,

cigarette smoking, BMI, and stressors that were unlikely to result from marital disruption but that could potentially contribute to the increased probability of both marital disruption and telomere shortening (i.e., childhood traumatic life events, traumatic life events, other stressful life events).

Method

Sample

Participants were drawn from the Health and Retirement Study (HRS), which is an ongoing, multistate probability cohort sample of households in the United States including at least one person over the age of 50. In 2008, a random half of HRS households were preselected to additionally participate in an Enhanced Face-to-Face Interview, which included a Leave-Behind Questionnaire on psychosocial topics and collection of saliva. We used marital status data from 2006 and included people who reported in 2006 that they were (a) separated or divorced, (b) continuously married, (c) married with a history of divorce, (d) widowed, or (e) never married. Data were collected from more than one member of the household in nearly half of the households. To account for nonindependence of household data, we selected for analyses all people in which data were available for only one household member and, for each multi-participant household, we selected at random one member, for a final sample of 3,526 people. The sample included 556 separated or divorced, 1,450 continuously married, 486 married with a history of divorce, 895 widowed, and 139 never married individuals; the weighted percentage for each marital status group was 18.8%, 39.5%, 15.3%, 21.2%, and 5.2%, respectively. After weighting, the mean age of the sample was 65.08 ($SD = 10.00$) and participants reported a mean of 12.90 ($SD = 3.03$) years of education. Approximately half (56.7%) of the weighted sample was female, and the racial composition of the weighted sample was 87.9% White, 9.6% Black, and 2.6% other; 7.4% of the weighted sample was Latino.

Outcome Variable

Telomere Length (Institute for Social Research, 2013). Telomere length was measured from saliva. Salivary telomere length correlates highly with telomere length as measured by blood leukocyte (Mitchell et al., 2014; Theall et al., 2013) and strong positive correlations are observed for telomere length measured in leukocytes, skeletal muscle, skin, and subcutaneous fat (Daniali et al., 2013). In addition, salivary telomere length correlates with other risk factors for adverse health outcomes (e.g., familial risk for depression; Gotlib et al., 2015) and known correlates of telomere length as measured by blood leukocytes, including age (Chen et al., 2015), tobacco smoking (Chen et al., 2015), perceived stress (Chen et al., 2015), other measures of adversity and disadvantage (Mitchell et al., 2014; Theall et al., 2013), and hypothalamic-pituitary axis (HPA) dysregulation (i.e., cortisol reactivity to stress; Gotlib et al., 2015). Saliva samples were obtained using an Oragene® Collection Kit, and samples were sent to a central laboratory for DNA extraction. All DNA samples were sent in 96 well plates to Telome Health, where they were stored in their original plates in an -80° freezer upon arrival and assayed within 1 week. Telomere length assays were performed using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), adapted from a method by Cawthon (2002) and described in greater detail elsewhere (Institute for Social Research, 2013). The ratio of telomere sequence copy number in each respondent's sample (T) to a single gene copy number (S) was determined. This T/S ratio is proportional to mean telomere length. Genomic DNA from pooled 100 male donors was used as the standard reference. A triplicate serial dilution was made to create a 6-point standard curve containing 5, 1.6667, 0.5556, 0.1852, 0.6173 and 0.02058 ng of DNA respectively in each reaction tube. The quality control success rate was 98.17%. Mean telomere and single-gene assay coefficients of variation were 0.884 ($SD = 0.32$) and 0.937 ($SD = 0.33$), respectively. The

coefficients of variation for mean T/S ratios of quality control samples ranged from 3.5% to 6.3%, indicating low measurement error. Because salivary telomere length was not normally distributed in this sample, data were transformed using the natural logarithm to improve normality, which is a common practice in this area of research (e.g., Chen et al., 2015).

Independent Variable

Four dummy-variable codes were used to code for the five marital status categories: separated or divorced, continuously married, married with a history of divorce, widowed, and never married. The separated or divorced group served as the reference (i.e., comparison) group and this group was assigned a value of 0 for every dummy-coded variable. The other marital status groups were given a value of 1 on the dummy-coded variable that contrasted it with the reference group in the analyses and a value of 0 on the other dummy-coded variables.

Moderators and Covariates

Neuroticism. Personality was measured in 2008 with an adjective measure developed for the Midlife Development in the United States survey by selecting adjectives that (a) were most consistently identified in the literature as trait markers for Big Five personality traits in existing personality trait lists, and (b) demonstrated the highest factor loadings or item-total correlations during scale construction in 1994 in a United States probability sample of 1,000 people between the ages of 30 and 70 conducted (Zimprich et al., 2012). The neuroticism scale consists of four adjectives (*moody, nervous, calm, worrying*) that were rated on a 4-point scale indicating how well each adjective described the respondent (1 = *not at all*, 4 = *a lot*). Items were reverse scored as necessary and averaged to create a total score, with higher scores indicating higher neuroticism ($\alpha = .74$). This scale significantly correlates with the NEO neuroticism scale (see <http://www.brandeis.edu/departments/psych/lachman/instruments/other-instruments.html>).

1 **Body Mass Index.** In 2008, participants were asked their height and weight and body
2 mass index (BMI) was based on their self-report using the formula, $BMI = [\text{weight in}$
3 $\text{pounds}/(\text{height in inches})^2] \times 703$. HRS interviewers measured height and weight for a subset of
4 3,283 people in the current sample and BMI derived from self-report was highly correlated ($r =$
5 .90) with BMI derived from measured height and weight.

6 **Childhood Traumatic Life Events.** Traumatic events occurring during childhood were
7 measured in 2008 with a checklist, in which participants were asked to indicate whether each of
8 four events (e.g., *parents drink or use drugs so often that it caused problems in the family;*
9 *physically abused by either of your parents*) had occurred before they were 18 years old. Items
10 were taken from an ongoing longitudinal study on the health consequences of trauma in older
11 adults (Krause et al., 2004) and events such as these are common to many measures of traumatic
12 life events. A measure of exposure to traumatic life events before the age of 18 was calculated by
13 summing the number of events checked as having occurred, with scores > 2 recoded as 2, due to
14 the small number of people who experienced more than 2 childhood traumas.

15 **Traumatic Life Events.** Traumatic life events were measured in 2008 with a checklist,
16 in which participants were asked to indicate whether each of seven events (e.g., *ever been in a*
17 *major fire, flood, earthquake or other natural disaster; ever have a life-threatening illness or*
18 *accident*) had occurred at any point in their life. Items were taken from an ongoing longitudinal
19 study on the health consequences of trauma in older adults (Krause et al., 2004) and events such
20 as these are common to many measures of traumatic life events. A measure of lifetime exposure
21 to traumatic life events was calculated by summing the number of events checked as having
22 occurred, with scores > 4 recoded as 4, due to the small number of people who experienced more
23 than 4 traumas.

1 **Stressful Life Events.** Stressful life events were measured in 2008 with a checklist in
2 which participants were asked to indicate whether each of six stressful life events had occurred
3 in the last five years (e.g., *involuntarily lost a job for reasons other than retirement; robbed or*
4 *had your home burglarized*). Events such as these are common to self-report measures of life
5 stress (Turner et al., 1995) and assess for the occurrence of events that are unlikely to be caused
6 by a marital disruption. A measure of recent stressful life events was calculated by summing the
7 number of stressful events checked as having occurred, with scores > 3 recoded as 3, due to the
8 small number of people who experienced more than 3 events.

9 **Demographic Variables.** Standard demographic questions were administered to assess
10 for marital status history, age, gender, race (coded as White, Black, other), and ethnicity
11 (Latino/Hispanic, not Latino/Hispanic); we used demographic data from the 2006 wave.

12 **Socioeconomic Variables.** Education was operationalized based on a continuous
13 measure of years of educational attainment. Income was measured as the total household income,
14 based on a sum of unemployment and workers compensation, Supplemental Security Income
15 (SSI) and social security disability, pensions and annuities, social security retirement, other
16 government transfers, household capital income, and other income. Because total household
17 income was not normally distributed, data were transformed using the natural logarithm to
18 improve normality. Subjective social status was assessed with the MacArthur Scale of Subjective
19 Social Status (Adler et al., 2000), in which participants are shown a picture of a 10-rung ladder
20 and instructed to “Think of this ladder as representing where people stand in our society,
21 including having more money, more education and better jobs,” and then rate where they would
22 place themselves, with higher rungs representing higher social standing; we used participants’

rating as a continuous measure. Data from the 2006 wave were used for all socioeconomic variables.

Statistical Analysis

The association between marital disruption and salivary telomere length was examined using linear regression. In Model 1, we regressed 2008 salivary telomere length on 2006 marital status (separated or divorced, continuously married, married with a history of divorce, widowed, and never married, with the separated or divorced group as the reference group), statistically accounting for demographic variables assessed in 2006. Because statistical interactions qualify the interpretation of a main effect, we followed Aiken and West's (1991) recommended top-down approach for testing moderation and first evaluated whether the association between marital status and salivary telomere length was moderated by gender or neuroticism. Multiplicative interaction terms were created and entered in separate models to test whether the interaction terms were significantly associated with telomere length, controlling for the component terms; neuroticism was mean deviated (i.e., centered) prior to creating the interaction term (Whisman & McClelland, 2005). Specifically, to test for gender moderation, we created four Gender $\times$ Marital Status interaction terms, one for each the marital status group other than the separated or divorced group (i.e., the reference group), and entered these as a set into the multiple regression equation predicting salivary telomere length, along with the component terms; to test for the potential moderating role of neuroticism, we created four Neuroticism $\times$ Marital Status terms. Individual Gender $\times$ Marital Status or Neuroticism $\times$ Marital Status terms were examined if the association between the set of interaction terms and salivary telomere length was statistically significant (Cohen et al., 2003). If the main or moderated association between marital disruption and salivary telomere length was statistically significant, we then

evaluated whether the association remained statistically significant, additionally accounting for potential confounds of demographic and socioeconomic variables assessed in 2006 (Model 1) and then additionally accounting for the potential confounds of neuroticism, cigarette smoking, BMI, childhood traumatic life events, traumatic life events, and stressful life events, each of which were assessed in 2008 (Model 2). Because at least one study found a nonlinear association between age and telomere length (Rehkopf et al., 2013), we included an age-squared term in these analyses to account for potential nonlinearity in the association between age and salivary telomere length. We used HRS sample weights (i.e., the 2008 Psychosocial Leave-Behind sample weights) for descriptive statistics and all analyses. The sample weights account for differential selection probabilities, adjust for differential baseline and wave-specific non-response, and make the weighted sample representative of non-institutionalized individuals in the United States population in the age-eligible range.

Results

After weighting, mean salivary telomere length was 1.37 ($SD = 0.57$); the mean log transformed salivary telomere length was 0.12 ($SD = 0.12$). Descriptive statistics for other study variables are presented separately by marital status groups in Table 1.

We first evaluated whether the association between marital status and salivary telomere length was moderated by gender or neuroticism. After controlling for the component terms, salivary telomere length was not significantly associated with the set of Gender $\times$ Marital Status interaction terms, $F(4,3577) = 0.34, p = .853$, or the set of Neuroticism $\times$ Marital Status interaction terms, $F(4,3458) = 1.48, p = .207$. None of the four separate Gender $\times$ Marital Status interaction terms were significantly associated with salivary telomere length (all p values $> .30$). Although the four Neuroticism $\times$ Marital Status interaction terms were not significantly

1 associated with salivary telomere length as a set, the Neuroticism $\times$ Continuously Married
2 interaction term was statistically significant, $b = .018$, 95% CI = .000, .035, $\beta = .058$, $p = .039$.
3 As predicted, the difference in salivary telomere length between the separated or divorced group
4 and the continuously married group increased in magnitude with increasing levels of
5 neuroticism. However, the magnitude of the interaction was small and when we included
6 demographic and socioeconomic covariates into the regression analysis (Table 2, Model 1), the
7 interaction was no longer statistically significant. Taken together, these results suggest that
8 differences in salivary telomere length between the separated or divorced groups and each of the
9 other marital status groups did not consistently differ as a function of gender or neuroticism.
10 Therefore, no further tests of moderation were conducted.

11 Next, we evaluated the multivariate association between marital disruption and salivary
12 telomere length after statistically adjusting for demographic and socioeconomic variables. As can
13 be seen in Table 2 (Model 1), holding demographic and socioeconomic variables constant,
14 people who were separated or divorced had shorter salivary telomeres relative to (a)
15 continuously married individuals, and (b) never married individuals. After statistically adjusting
16 for demographic and socioeconomic variables, the mean salivary telomere length was 0.104 (SD
17 = 0.126) for the separated or divorced group, 0.123 ($SD = 0.118$) for the continuously married
18 group, 0.105 ($SD = 0.119$) for the married with a history of divorce group, 0.111 ($SD = 0.118$)
19 for the widowed group, and 0.128 ($SD = 0.104$) for the never married group. With respect to the
20 marital status groups that differed significantly from the marital disruption group, the effect size
21 (i.e., d) for the difference in salivary telomere length between people who were separated or
22 divorced was -.16 for people who were continuously married and -.20 for people who had never
23 been married, which translate into correlations (r) of -.08 and -.10, respectively.

1 when adjusting for several possible confounds. Consistent with the first study hypothesis, marital
2 disruption was significantly and negatively associated with salivary telomere length: people who
3 were separated or divorced had shorter salivary telomeres than people who were continuously
4 married or never married. Furthermore, we found little evidence to support the moderation
5 hypotheses, as neither gender nor neuroticism consistently potentiated the association between
6 marital disruption and salivary telomere length. Finally, the association between marital
7 disruption and salivary telomere length remained statistically significant (and essentially
8 unchanged) when adjusting for the potential confounding influence of demographic
9 characteristics, socioeconomic variables, neuroticism, health and lifestyle factors (cigarette
10 smoking, BMI), childhood and lifetime traumatic events, and other stressful events (that were
11 unlikely to result from marital disruption but that could potentially contribute to the increased
12 probability of both marital disruption and telomere shortening).

13 Before discussing these findings in greater detail, it is worth noting a few methodological
14 issues specific to the HRS. First, although it seems unlikely that shorter salivary telomere length
15 is a preexisting or acquired marker for people who subsequently become separated or divorced,
16 the study was cross-sectional in design and so it cannot be concluded that marital disruption
17 caused telomere shortening. Therefore, longitudinal research examining the prospective
18 association between marital disruption and telomere shortening would be an important topic for
19 future research. Second, in testing for moderation effects, the group sizes for some of the cells
20 were likely to be small, resulting in low statistical power for detecting statistical interactions. In
21 addition, we examined only two potential moderators, and future research could examine other
22 variables that have been suggested as potential moderators of the association between marital
23 disruption and adjustment in adults (e.g., Amato, 2000). Third, although we found that the

1 association between marital disruption and salivary telomere length remained statistically
2 significant when adjusting for a variety of potential confounds, it is possible that some other
3 unmeasured third variable increased the likelihood of both marital disruption and telomere
4 shortening. Statistically adjusting for other variables that are associated with both marital
5 disruption and telomere shortening in future research would increase confidence that the
6 association between marital disruption and telomere shortening is not secondary to some other
7 unmeasured third factor. Finally, participants were all ≥ 50 years of age. Although the divorce
8 rate for adults 50 years and over doubled between 1990 and 2010 (Brown & Lin, 2012), which
9 highlights the importance of studying older adults when examining the health-relevant correlates
10 of marital disruption, future research is needed to examine whether marital disruption is
11 associated with shorter telomeres in younger individuals.

12 This is the first study that has evaluated the association between marital disruption and
13 telomere length. Although prior studies have examined the association between *marital status*
14 and telomere length, these studies have compared married versus unmarried individuals without
15 a specific focus on marital disruption. Therefore, the current findings extend prior research by
16 adding specificity and demonstrating that compared to continuously married and never married
17 adults, separated or divorced adults have shorter salivary telomeres, which may be due to the
18 stress that is generally experienced by people going through a separation or divorce. The effect
19 sizes (i.e., d) observed for the comparisons between the separated or divorced group and the
20 other groups were -.16 for people who were continuously married and -.20 for people who had
21 never been married; these d values translate into correlations of -.08 and -.10, respectively. These
22 effect sizes are roughly comparable in magnitude to the effect sizes obtained in prior meta-
23 analyses of the association between telomere length and gender ($d = .09$, with women having

1 longer telomeres than men; Gardner et al., 2014) and BMI ($r = -.06$; Muezzinler et al., 2014),
2 although they are smaller than the effect obtained in a prior meta-analysis of the association
3 between telomere length and perceived stress ($r = -.25$; Schutte & Malouff, 2014). Therefore,
4 the association we found between marital disruption and telomere length is roughly comparable
5 to the magnitude found for some other correlates of accelerated cellular aging. It will be
6 incumbent upon future research to determine if small differences in telomere length translate into
7 meaningful pathophysiological differences or clinical health outcomes.

8 Although it is widely documented that marital disruption is associated with a range of
9 morbidities and risk for early death, the biological intermediaries that explain this association are
10 not well documented (Sbarra et al., 2012). Results of the present study suggest that accelerated
11 cellular aging may be one mechanistic pathway linking the end of marriage to distal health
12 outcomes. If future longitudinal research confirms that the experience of marital disruption is
13 associated with subsequent changes in telomere length, an obvious question is what psychosocial
14 processes lead to shorter telomeres among separated or divorced adults. The end of marriage can
15 be highly stressful for many people (Lorenz et al., 1996), and it is well known that perceived
16 stress is associated with immunological inflammatory responses that can promote telomere
17 shortening (O'Donovan et al., 2011; Segerstrom & Miller, 2004). Whereas the strength of the
18 current investigation rests in its large and representative sample, future research in this area will
19 benefit from more precise measurements of the psychological stress that follows from marital
20 disruption and whether the experience of psychological stress associated with the ending of
21 marriage can explain marital status group differences in salivary telomere length.

22 Results from the current study also suggest that people who are married but have a
23 history of divorce had shorter telomeres than people who were continuously married or never

1 married. Furthermore, the mean salivary telomere length for people with a history of divorce did
2 not significantly differ from the mean salivary telomere length for people who were currently
3 separated or divorced. These results suggest that the effects of marital disruption on accelerated
4 cellular ageing may be found over extended periods, during which other life experiences occur.
5 In particular, given that we looked at lifetime history of divorce in people who were currently
6 married, the results suggest, to the extent that they are causal, that remarriage does not ameliorate
7 the potential effects of marital disruption on telomere length. The larger literature on divorce,
8 remarriage, and health is inconsistent, with some studies showing favorable effects (i.e.,
9 remarriage reducing health risks) and others showing no such differences (Mason & Sbarra,
10 2012; Sbarra et al., 2014). Given that there is some preliminary research from some small-scale
11 studies suggesting that cellular aging can be slowed or reversed over short periods (for a review,
12 see Epel, 2012), the current findings are of considerable interest, and the results from this work
13 suggest that remarriage does not appear to reverse the cellular aging associated with a lifetime
14 history of marital disruption.

15 In summary, results from the current study suggest that (a) marital disruption was
16 associated with shorter salivary telomeres; (b) this association was not moderated by gender or
17 neuroticism (i.e., the association between marital disruption and salivary telomere length did not
18 vary as a function of either of these variables that have been shown in prior research to qualify
19 the effects of divorce on health outcomes); and (c) this association remained statistically
20 significant when adjusting for several important potential confounds. These findings support
21 continued research on marital disruption and telomere biology, as shortened telomeres may be
22 one pathway by which marital disruption contributes to adverse health outcomes, including
23 mortality. Future research involving multiple waves of data collection is needed to test whether

- 1 marital disruption is associated with subsequent changes in telomere length, which in turn
- 2 increases the likelihood of subsequent adverse health outcomes, and to evaluate the pathways by
- 3 which marital disruption may contribute to telomere shortening.

References

- Adler, N.E., Epel, E., Castellazzo, G. & Ickovics, J. (2000). Relationship of subjective and objective social status with psychological and physical health: Preliminary data in healthy white women. *Health Psychology, 19*, 586-592.
- Ahola, K., Sirén, I., Kivimäki, M., Ripatti, S., Aromaa, A., Lönnqvist, J., et al. (2012). Work-related exhaustion and telomere length: A population-based study. *PLoS ONE, 7*, e40186.
- Aiken, L.S., & West, S.G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Alviar, C.L., Rockman, C., Guo, Y., Adelman, M., & Berger, J. (2014). Association of marital status with vascular disease in different arterial territories: A population based study of over 3.5 million subjects. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 63*, A1328.
- Amato, P.R. (2000). The consequences of divorce for adults and children. *Journal of Marriage and the Family, 62*, 1269-1287.
- Armanios, M., & Blackburn, E.H. (2012). The telomere syndromes. *Nature Reviews Genetics, 13*, 693-704.
- Brown, S.L., & Lin, I.-F. (2012). The gray divorce revolution: Rising divorce among middle-aged and older adults, 1990–2010. *Journals of Gerontology: Biological Sciences & Medical Science, 67*, 731-741.
- Cawthon, R.M. (2002). Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research, 30*, e47.
- Chen, X., Velez, J.C., Barbosa, C., Pepper, M., Andrade, A., Stoner, L., et al. (2015). Smoking and perceived stress in relation to short salivary telomere length among caregivers of children with disabilities. *Stress, 18*, 20-28.

- 1 Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S.G., & Aiken, L.S. (2003). *Applied multiple regression/correlation*
2 *analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Mahway, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 3 Cui, Y., Gao, Y.-T., Cai, Q., Qu, S., Cai, H., Li, H.-L., et al. (2013). Associations of leukocyte
4 telomere length with body anthropometric indices and weight change in Chinese women.
5 *Obesity*, 21, 2582-2588.
- 6 D'Mello, M.J.J., Ross, S.A., Briel, M., Anand, S.S., Gerstein, H., & Paré, G. (2015). Association
7 between shortened leukocyte telomere length and cardiometabolic outcomes: Systematic
8 review and meta-analysis. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics*, 8, 82-90.
- 9 Daniali, L., Benetos, A., Susser, E., Kark, J.D., Labat, C., Kimura, M., et al. (2013). Telomeres
10 shorten at equivalent rates in somatic tissues of adults. *Nature Communications*, 4, 1597.
- 11 Deelen, J., Beekman, M., Codd, V., Trompet, S., Broer, L., Hägg, S., et al. (2014). Leukocyte
12 telomere length associates with prospective mortality independent of immune-related
13 parameters and known genetic markers. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 43, 878-
14 886.
- 15 DePaulo, B. (2015). *How we live now: Redefining home and family in the 21st century*. New
16 York: Simon and Schuster.
- 17 Donrovich, R., Drefahl, S., & Koupil, I. (2014). Early life conditions, partnership histories, and
18 mortality risk for Swedish men and women born 1915-1929. *Social Science & Medicine*,
19 108, 60-67.
- 20 Dupre, M.E., George, L.K., Liu, G., & Peterson, E.D. (2015). Association between divorce and
21 risks for acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*,
22 8, 244-251.

- 1 Epel, E. (2012). How "reversible" is telomeric aging? *Cancer Prevention Research*, 5, 1163-
2 1168.
- 3 Gardner, M., Bann, D., Wiley, L., Cooper, R., Hardy, R., Nitsch, D., et al. (2014). Gender and
4 telomere length: Systematic review and meta-analysis *Experimental Gerontology*, 51, 15-
5 27.
- 6 Gotlib, I.H., LeMoult, J., Colich, N.L., Foland-Ross, L.C., Hallmayer, J., Joormann, J., et al.
7 (2015). Telomere length and cortisol reactivity in children of depressed mothers.
8 *Molecular Psychiatry*, 20, 615-620.
- 9 Holmes, T.H., & Rahe, R.H. (1967). The social readjustment rating scale. *Journal of*
10 *Psychosomatic Research*, 11, 213-218.
- 11 Humphreys, J., Epel, E.S., Cooper, B.A., Lin, J., Blackburn, E.H., & Lee, K.A. (2012). Telomere
12 shortening in formerly abused and never abused women. *Biological Research for Nursing*,
13 14, 115-123.
- 14 Hunt, S.C., Chen, W., Gardner, J.P., Kimura, M., Srinivasan, S.R., Eckfeldt, J.H., et al. (2008).
15 Leukocyte telomeres are longer in African Americans than in whites: The National Heart,
16 Lung, and Blood Institute Family Heart Study and the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Aging Cell*,
17 7, 451-458.
- 18 Institute for Social Research. (2013). Health and Retirement Study, 2008 Telomere data set.
19 Produced and distributed by the University of Michigan with funding from the National
20 Institute on Aging (grant number NIAU01AG009740). Ann Arbor, MI.
- 21 Kananen, L., Surakka, I., Pirkola, S., Suvisaari, J., Lönnqvist, J., Peltonen, L., et al. (2010).
22 Childhood adversities are associated with shorter telomere length at adult age both in
23 individuals with an anxiety disorder and controls. *PLoS ONE*, 5, e10826.

- 1 Kendler, K.S., Gardner, C.O., & Prescott, C.A. (2002). Toward a comprehensive developmental
2 model of major depression in women. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 159, 1133-1145.
- 3 Krause, N., Shaw, B.A., & Cairney, J. (2004). A descriptive epidemiology of lifetime trauma and
4 the physical health status of older adults. *Psychology and Aging*, 19, 637-648.
- 5 Kurdek, L.A. (1993). Predicting marital dissolution: A 5-year prospective longitudinal study of
6 newlywed couples. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64, 221-242.
- 7 Lin, J., Epel, E.S., & Blackburn, E.H. (2009). Telomeres, telomerase, stress, and aging. In G.G.
8 Berntson, & J.T. Cacioppo (Eds.), *Handbook of Neuroscience for the Behavioral Sciences*
9 pp. 1280-1295). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- 10 Lorenz, F.O., Simons, R.L., & Chao, W. (1996). Family structure and mother depression. In R.L.
11 Simons (Ed.), *Understanding differences between divorced and intact families: Stress,*
12 *interaction, and child outcomes* pp. 65-77). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 13 Lorenz, F.O., Wickrama, K.A.S., Conger, R.D., & Elder, G.H. (2006). The short-term and
14 decade-long effects of divorce on women's midlife health. *Journal of Health and Social*
15 *Behavior*, 47, 111-125.
- 16 Mainous, A.G., Everett, C.J., Diaz, V.A., Baker, R., Mangino, M., Codd, V., et al. (2011).
17 Leukocyte telomere length and marital status among middle-aged adults. *Age and Ageing*,
18 40, 73-78.
- 19 Mason, A.E., & Sbarra, D.A. (2012). Romantic separation, loss, and health: A review of
20 moderators. In M.L. Newman, & N.A. Roberts (Eds.), *Health and social relationships:*
21 *The good, the bad, and the complicated* pp. 95-120). Washington, DC: American
22 Psychological Association.

- 1 Matthews, K.A., & Gump, B.B. (2002). Chronic work stress and marital dissolution increase risk
2 of posttrial mortality in men from the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *Archives of*
3 *Internal Medicine*, 162, 309-315.
- 4 Miller, G., Chen, E., & Cole, S.W. (2009). Health psychology: Developing biologically plausible
5 models linking the social world and physical health. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60,
6 501-524.
- 7 Mitchell, C., Hobcraft, J., McLanahan, S.S., Siegel, S.R., Berg, A., Brooks-Gunn, J., et al. (2014).
8 Social disadvantage, genetic sensitivity, and children's telomere length. *Proceedings of*
9 *the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111, 5944-5949.
- 10 Müezziner, A., Zaineddin, A.K., & Brenner, H. (2013). A systematic review of leukocyte
11 telomere length and age in adults. *Ageing Research Reviews*, 12, 509-519.
- 12 Müezziner, A., Zaineddin, A.K., & Brenner, H. (2014). Body mass index and leukocyte telomere
13 length in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews*, 15, 192-201.
- 14 Needham, B.L., Adler, N., Gregorich, S., Rehkopf, D., Lin, J., Blackburn, E.H., et al. (2013).
15 Socioeconomic status, health behavior, and leukocyte telomere length in the National
16 Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2002. *Social Science & Medicine*, 133, 1-
17 8.
- 18 Nielsen, N.M., Davidsen, R.B., Hviid, A., & Wohlfahrt, J. (2014). Divorce and risk of hospital-
19 diagnosed infectious diseases. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 42, 705-711.
- 20 O'Donovan, A., Pantell, M.S., Puterman, E., Dhabhar, F.S., Blackburn, E.H., Yaffe, K., et al.
21 (2011). Cumulative inflammatory load is associated with short leukocyte telomere length
22 in the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *PLoS ONE*, 6, e19687.

- 1 Papa, A., Lancaster, N.G., & Kahler, J. (2014). Commonalities in grief responding across
2 bereavement and non-bereavement losses. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 161, 136-143.
- 3 Rehkopf, D. H., Dow, W. H., Rosero-Bixby, L., Lin, J., Epel, E. S., & Blackburn, E. H. (2013).
4 Longer leukocyte telomere length in Costa Rica's Nicoya Peninsula: A population-based
5 study. *Experimental Gerontology*, 48, 1266-1273.
- 6 Roberts, B.W., Kuncel, N.R., Shiner, R., Caspi, A., & Goldberg, L.R. (2007). The power of
7 personality: The comparative validity of personality traits, socioeconomic status, and
8 cognitive ability for predicting important life outcomes. *Perspectives on Psychological
9 Science*, 2, 313-345.
- 10 Sanders, J.L., & Newman, A.B. (2013). Telomere length in epidemiology: A biomarker of aging,
11 age-related disease, both, or neither? *Epidemiologic Reviews*, 35, 112-131.
- 12 Sbarra, D.A., Hasselmo, K., & Bourassa, K.J. (2015). Divorce and health: Beyond individual
13 differences. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 24, 109-113.
- 14 Sbarra, D.A., Hasselmo, K., & Nojopranoto, W. (2012). Divorce and death: A case study for
15 health psychology. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 6, 905-919.
- 16 Sbarra, D.A., & Law, R.W. (2011). Divorce and death: A meta-analysis and research agenda for
17 clinical, social, and health psychology. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 6, 454-
18 474.
- 19 Sbarra, D.A., Nojopranoto, W., & Hasselmo, K. (2014). Divorce and health outcomes: From
20 social epidemiology to social psychophysiology. In C.R. Agnew, & S.C. South (Eds.),
21 *Interpersonal relationships and health: Social and clinical psychological mechanisms* pp.
22 89-108). New York: Oxford University Press.

- 1 Schutte, N.S., & Malouff, J.M. (2014). The relationship between perceived stress and telomere
2 length: A meta-analysis. *Stress and Health*.
- 3 Segerstrom, S.C., & Miller, G.E. (2004). Psychological stress and the human immune system: A
4 meta-analytic study of 30 years of inquiry. *Psychological Bulletin*, 130, 601-630.
- 5 Shor, E., Roelfs, D.J., P, B., & Schwartz, J.E. (2012). Meta-analysis of marital dissolution and
6 mortality: Reevaluating the intersection of gender and age. *Social Science & Medicine*, 75,
7 46-59.
- 8 Smith, T.W., Uchino, B.N., Florsheim, P., Berg, C.A., Butner, J., Hawkins, M., et al. (2011).
9 Affiliation and control during marital disagreement, history of divorce, and asymptomatic
10 coronary artery calcification in older couples. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 73, 350-357.
- 11 Steptoe, A., Hamer, M., Butcher, L., Lin, J., Brydon, L., Kivimäki, M., et al. (2011). Educational
12 attainment but not measures of current socioeconomic circumstances are associated with
13 leukocyte telomere length in healthy older men and women. *Brain, Behavior, and*
14 *Immunity*, 25, 1292-1298.
- 15 Stroebe, M., Schut, H., & Stroebe, W. (2007). Health outcomes of bereavement. *Lancet*, 370,
16 1960-1973.
- 17 Theall, K.P., Brett, Z.H., Shirtcliff, E.A., Dunn, E.C., & Drury, S.S. (2013). Neighborhood
18 disorder and telomeres: Connecting children's exposure to community level stress and
19 cellular response. *Social Science & Medicine*, 85, 50-58.
- 20 Tucker, J.S., Friedman, H.S., Wingard, D.L., & Schwartz, J.E. (1996). Marital history at midlife
21 as a predictor of longevity: Alternative explanations to the protective effect of marriage.
22 *Health Psychology*, 15, 94-101.

- 1 Tucker, J.S., Kressin, N.R., Spiro, A., & Ruscio, J. (1998). Intrapersonal characteristics and the
2 timing of divorce: A prospective investigation. *Journal of Social and Personal*
3 *Relationships*, 15, 211-225.
- 4 Turner, R.J., Wheaton, B., & Lloyd, D.A. (1995). The epidemiology of social stress. *American*
5 *Sociological Review*, 60, 104-125.
- 6 Tyrka, A.R., Pricea, L.H., Kao, H.-T., Porton, B., Marsella, S.A., & Carpenter, L.L. (2010).
7 Childhood maltreatment and telomere shortening: Preliminary support for an effect of
8 early stress on cellular aging. *Biological Psychiatry*, 67, 531-534.
- 9 Valdes, A.M., Andrew, T., Gardner, J.P., Kimura, M., Oelsner, E., Cherkas, L.F., et al. (2005).
10 Obesity, cigarette smoking, and telomere length in women. *Lancet*, 366, 662-664.
- 11 van Ockenburg, S.L., de Jonge, P., van der Harst, P., Ormel, J., & Rosmalen, J.G.M. (2014). Does
12 neuroticism make you old? Prospective associations between neuroticism and leukocyte
13 telomere length. *Psychological Medicine*, 44, 723-729.
- 14 Watson, D., & Clark, L.A. (1984). Negative affectivity: The disposition to experience aversive
15 emotional states. *Psychological Bulletin*, 96, 465-490.
- 16 Wentzensen, I.M., Mirabello, L., Pfeiffer, R.M., & Savage, S.A. (2011). The association of
17 telomere length and cancer: A meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers &*
18 *Prevention*, 20, 1238-1250.
- 19 Whisman, M.A., & McClelland, G.H. (2005). Designing, testing, and interpreting interactions and
20 moderator effects in family research. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 19, 111-120.
- 21 Yen, Y.-C., & Lung, F.-W. (2013). Older adults with higher income or marriage have longer
22 telomeres. *Age and Ageing*, 42, 234-239.

- 1 Zimprich, D., Allemand, M., & Lachman, M.E. (2012). Factorial structure and age-related
- 2 psychometrics of the MIDUS personality adjective items across the life span.
- 3 *Psychological Assessment*, 24, 173-186.
- 4
- 5

Table 1

Descriptive Information for Study Variables by Marital Status Groups

Variable	Separated or Divorced		Married Continuously		Married with a History of Divorce		Widowed		Never Married	
	<i>M (SD)</i>	%	<i>M (SD)</i>	%	<i>M (SD)</i>	%	<i>M (SD)</i>	%	<i>M (SD)</i>	%
Age	61.7 (8.1)		64.3 (9.2)		60.8 (7.0)		73.6 (10.1)		61.5 (8.4)	
Women		63.8		47.5		43.7		79.1		48.1
White		78.8		92.3		90.5		87.5		79.7
Black		18.5		5.0		6.2		10.3		18.7
Other		2.7		2.7		3.1		2.1		1.6
Latino		9.8		7.6		7.5		5.9		3.7
Education	12.6 (3.1)		13.3 (2.9)		13.3 (2.7)		11.9 (3.1)		14.0 (2.8)	
Total household income (log10)	4.3 (0.7)		4.8 (0.5)		4.8 (0.5)		4.3 (0.5)		4.2 (1.1)	
Subjective social status	5.8 (1.9)		6.6 (1.7)		6.6 (1.6)		6.3 (1.8)		6.2 (1.9)	
Neuroticism	2.1 (0.7)		2.0 (0.6)		2.0 (0.6)		2.0 (0.6)		2.1 (0.7)	
Cigarette smoker		67.6		51.9		66.7		53.4		52.4
Body mass index	28.9 (6.6)		28.4 (5.7)		28.7 (5.5)		27.7 (6.5)		28.3 (6.5)	
Childhood traumatic life events	0.6 (0.7)		0.4 (0.6)		0.6 (0.7)		0.4 (0.6)		0.4 (0.7)	
Traumatic life events	1.4 (1.2)		1.1 (1.1)		1.2 (1.2)		1.5 (1.2)		0.7 (0.8)	
Stressful life events	0.5 (0.8)		0.3 (0.6)		0.4 (0.7)		0.2 (0.6)		0.4 (0.7)	

Table 2
Results from Linear Regression Analyses Predicting Salivary Telomere Length

Variable	Model 1				Model 2			
	<i>b</i>	95% CI	β	<i>p</i>	<i>b</i>	95% CI	β	<i>p</i>
Marital status ¹								
Married continuously	.021	.010, .033	.086	.001	.025	.013, .037	.103	.000
Married with a history of divorce	.003	-.011, .017	.008	.733	.005	-.011, .021	.016	.494
Widowed	.004	-.010, .018	.015	.546	.009	-.007, .025	.031	.230
Never married	.025	.010, .045	.046	.016	.023	.001, .045	.041	.036
Age	-.007	-.013, -.001	-.581	.013	-.006	-.012, -.000	-.486	.046
Age-squared	.000	.000, .000	.461	.048	.000	.000, .000	.399	.102
Gender (Women)	.010	.002, .018	.042	.020	.008	-.002, .018	.034	.072
Race ²								
Black	.043	.027, .069	.101	.000	.041	.025, .057	.097	.000
Other	.023	-.004, .050	.028	.110	.014	-.015, .043	.017	.338
Ethnicity (Latino)	.008	-.010, .030	.018	.346	.005	-.013, .023	.012	.553
Education	.001	-.001, .003	.024	.239	.002	.000, .004	.044	.042
Total household income	-.015	-.023, -.007	-.072	.000	-.015	-.023, -.007	-.071	.001
Subjective social status	.003	.001, .005	.051	.007	.003	.001, .005	.047	.019
Neuroticism					.003	-.005, .011	.016	.405
Cigarette smoker					-.008	-.016, -.000	-.031	.088
Body mass index					.001	.000, .000	.048	.009
Childhood traumatic life events					.006	.000, .012	.031	.097
Traumatic life events					-.003	-.007, .001	-.029	.118
Stressful life events					.005	-.001, .011	.026	.178

Note. Model 1 includes demographic and socioeconomic covariates and Model 2 additionally includes the other covariates. *b* = unstandardized regression coefficient. CI = confidence interval. β = standardized regression coefficient. ¹Separated or divorced is the reference group. ²White is the reference group.