PRELIMINARY GEOTHERMAL ASSESSMENT OF THE HYDER AREA

by
Nile O. Jones

with a section on hydrology by
Alice Campbell

Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology
Geological Survey Branch
Geothermal Group

Arizona Geological Survey
Open-File Report 79-13

July, 1979

Arizona Geological Survey
416 W. Congress, Suite #100, Tucson, Arizona 85701

*PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, AND IN CONJUNCTION
WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,
DIVISION OF GEOTHERMAL ENERGY, UNDER
CONTRACT EG-77-S-02-4362*

This report is preliminary and has not been edited
or reviewed for conformity with Arizona Geological Survey standards

I. INTRODUCTORY MATERIAL

A. Location and Access

The Hyder area consists of the Palomas Plain, Hyder Valley, and the northern portion of the Sentinel Plain. Part of the area is in western Maricopa County and the remainder is in Yuma County (Figure I-1). The Gila River crosses the south-central portion of the area in an east to west direction on its way to the Colorado River. Highway 80 parallels the river to connect the agriculture communities of Gila Bend and Yuma. Highway 80 is also the major route to southern California. Secondary dirt roads provide access to the numerous farms in the southern portion of the Palomas Plain and Hyder Valley.

B. Local Support

Numerous contacts were established with the owners and operators of the farms in the area. They provided access to their wells and expressed enthusiasm in the goals for this project. Special recognition goes to Nick Kondora of Whitewing Ranch and Paul Manera, P.E., for their time and interest.

FIGURE I-1. Location of the Hyder Study Area, Arizona.

II. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Development of Potential Resources

The water balance of the area was evaluated by examining the water in storage and the potential for recharge. Annual precipitation is approximately 6 inches (13.8cm) per year. Much of this water is returned to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration.

Total volume of water below 300m is 60,600 hm³. Recoverable water below the same datum is set at 23,500 hm³. Water from existing wells generally contain less than 5,000 mg/l dissolved solids. No known wells contain more than 10 mg/l fluoride. The dissolved solids and salt content of a hydrothermal reservoir would no doubt contain higher values, but probable well not exceed 20,000 mg/l.

Using a normal temperature gradient of 35°C/km for the basin, a temperature of 150°C would be achieved at about 3.5 kilometers. Abnormal temperature gradients north of Baragan Mountain, south of Horn, and south of Turtleback Mountain suggest 150°C temperatures could be achieved at depths of less than 2.5 kilometers. Substantiation of these projected temperatures should be undertaken as a first step in the development of this area.

III. LAND STATUS

The Hyder Valley - Palomas Plain study area encompasses nearly 1,200 km² of basin material. The total watershed is about 2,800 km² (Map III-1). Table III-1 is a breakdown of the land situation by major land holders.

Table III-1 Land Status of Hyder Valley - Palomas Plain

<u>Owner or Trust Group</u>	<u>Area (mi²)</u>	<u>Area (km²)</u>
Private Ownership	118.	305.5
State of Arizona Trust	142.9	370.1
BLM Resource Lands	587.3	1521.1
Military Proving Grounds	216.	559.4
Kofa Game Range	<u>22</u>	<u>57</u>
TOTAL	1086.2	2812.1

Approximately 54% of the land is under BLM jurisdiction, 13% is State land and 11% is privately owned. The remaining is held by the military or is in the Kofa Game Range.

IV. RESOURCE EVALUATION

A. Introduction

A review of existing geological, hydrological and temperature data from the Dateland-Hyder area was undertaken. This was judged to be the first step in assessing the area's geothermal potential. Additional information was gathered from firsthand field observations and discussions with the local population.

Temperature measurements and chemical geothermometry suggest three areas where 40° to 100°C waters may be found at depths of 500 to 1,000 meters. Projection of thermal gradients indicate 200°C temperatures may be encountered at depths as shallow as 2,000 meters. Such a projection assumes continuation of the most favorable thermal gradients. Additional site-specific work will be required to delineate these more favorable areas.

B. Previous Work

Geological and hydrological studies on parts of the area or adjacent areas include those of Ross (1922, 1923), Bryan (1925), Wilson, (1933), Armstrong and Yost (1958), Ibrahim (1962), and Weist, Jr., (1965). Unpublished hydrology or water-supply reports on areas within the region include those of Babcock, Brown and Heim (1947), Babcock and Kendall (1948), Halpenny and others (1952), Johnson and Cahill (1954), and Cahill and Wolcott (1955). Regional gravity and aeromagnetic studies have been conducted by Peterson (1968), Sauck and Sumner (1970), and Aiken (1975). Water chemistry from selected

wells came from Smith and others (1963), the U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Open-Files (WATSTORE 1978) and those collected during this study.

C. Geology

The geologic units of the Hyder-Dateland area can be divided into the bedrock mountain blocks and the basin-fill sediments. Although much of the water will be contained within the basin sediments, it is important to recognize the variety of rock types and ages represented in the bedrock mountain blocks.

1. Bedrock and Mountain Blocks. Precambrian schist, gneiss and granite is exposed in portions of the Tank and Palomas Mountains as well as in the Aztec Hills (Map IV-1). Wilson (1960) placed a Mesozoic date on many of these units, but isotopic dating indicates a much older date of emplacement. Table IV-1 is a listing of important age dates of volcanic units located in or immediately adjacent to the Hyder study area. The gneissic granite from the Exxon State well (14)-1 shows a Mesozoic recrystallization date of a Precambrian granite. Extrusive volcanics in the Hyder area are Cenozoic in age with the youngest being Pliocene.

All Precambrian exposures have been extensively fractured and faulted. Quartz-dominated pegmatite dikes and veins may be found throughout these older units, but none were observed in the younger volcanics.

Table IV-1. Radiometric Ages of Rock Samples in the Hyder Area,
Maricopa and Yuma Counties, Arizona

Rock Type or Formation	Location	Apparent Age (m.y.)	Radiometric Age Method, Mineral Dated	Reference, Sample, Any Comments
Rhyodacite	1at33°35'10"N, long114°02'35"W	19.1±0.6	K-Ar, hornblende	Miller & McKee (1971)
		20.2±0.6	K-Ar, biotite	
Basalt	1at33°01'03"N, long112°59'58"W	18 ⁺ 7.2	K-Ar, whole rock	Eberly & Stanley (1978)
Rhyolitic Tuff	1at33°16'23"N, long113°26'51"W	23±1.6	K-Ar, biotit	Eberly & Stanley (1978)
Basaltic Andesite	1at33°11'40"N, long112°48'15"W	19.6±0.4	K-Ar, whole rock	Shoustra et al. (1976)
Basaltic Andesite	1at33°20'24"N, long112°47'40"W	19.4 ⁺ 0.4	K-Ar, whole rock	Shoustra et al. (1976)
Basaltic Andesite	1at33°25'42"N, long112°52'30"W	19.1±0.7	K-Ar, whole rock	Shoustra et al. (1976)
Andesite	1at33°25'37"N, long112°57' W	19.1 ⁺ 0.4	K-Ar, whole rock	Shoustra et al. (1976)
Basaltic Andesite	1at33°23'20"N, long112°49'48"W	17.7±0.06	K-Ar, whole rock	Shoustra et al. (1976)
Leucocratic Rhyolite	1at33°08'11"N, long113°23'52"W	18.5 ⁺ 1.5	K-Ar, whole rock	Eberly & Stanley (1978)
Basalt	1at33°06'59"N, long113°33'51"W	29.3±3.1	K-Ar, whole rock	Eberly & Stanley (1978)
Basalt	1at32°56'06"N, long113°18'05"W	3.0 ± 0.9	K-Ar, whole rock	Eberly & Stanley (1978)
Basalt	1at32°33'03"N, long112°52'43"W	21 ± 1.2	K-Ar, whole rock	Eberly & Stanley (1978)
Rhyolitic Tuff	1at32°47'17"N, long114°06'19"W	23 ± 2.7	K-Ar, whole rock	Eberly & Stanley (1978)
Andesite	T3S, R11W, Sec. 25db	20.5±1.0	K-Ar, whole rock	Eberly & Stanley (1978); Andesite from Exxon State Well
Gneissic Granite		163.7±4.0	K-Ar, whole rock	(14)-1, 299-235 m; gneissic granite, 771-777m, younger date represents recrystallization in Jurassic time.
		1080	Rb-Sr, whole rock	

2. Basin Sediments. The basin-fill sediments in the Hyder area are similar to those in other basins in southern Arizona. There are mixtures of fine to medium-grained clastics, coarser sands and conglomerates. All sediments are believed to have been deposited since late Cretaceous-early Tertiary time (Eberly and Stanley, 1978; Scarborough and Peirce, 1978).

The sediments are subdivided into an older Unit I and a younger Unit II as proposed by Eberly and Stanley (1978) with the boundary marked by one or more of the following conditions:

- 1) the first volcanic tuff or basalt flow of mid-Miocene age (13 m.y.);
- 2) a wide-spread unconformity surface associated with mid-Miocene block-faulting;
- 3) thick conglomerate sequences associated with this period of block-faulting;
- 4) the change from unconsolidated to indurated sediments and
- 5) tilting of the materials that comprise Unit I.

Figure IV-1 illustrates this two-fold classification.

Unit I rests directly on a major unconformity which separates the Tertiary sediments, volcanics and reworked volcanic detritus from the pre-Tertiary bedrock. Unit I includes all basin-fill rocks deposited following the Laramide orogeny, approximately 53 m.y. ago, and the time of the first significant movements following the mid-Miocene volcanic episode of about 18-20 m.y. ago (see Table IV-1). The basal section is generally arkosic with interbedded conglomerates. Hematite often stains these sediments a distinctive reddish-brown. Deeper portions of the basin may contain several

FIGURE IV-1: LOCATION MAP AND CROSS SECTION ACROSS THE GILA TROUGH
(FROM EBERLY AND STANLEY, 1978).

hundred meters of this coarse clastic material.

Immediately overlying the basal section is a mixture of mid-Tertiary tuffs, breccias, volcanic flows, reworked volcanic detritus, mudstones and gravels. Numerous unconformities in this section are attributed to the early-Miocene volcanic events and the interim basin and range readjustments. Unit I may be capped by basalt flows. Estimated thickness of Unit I is about 1,400 meters (see Figure IV-1).

Unit I is characterized by interbedded continental sand, gravel, silt and clay. Typically this section is unconsolidated, although the lower portions may contain some calcite or hematite cementing. Evaporites have not been reported in the literature, but high sulfate content from selected wells suggest their presence (Armstrong and Yost, 1958; Weist, 1965).

Figure IV-1 is a structural cross section across a portion of the Gila trough in the Hyder area developed by Eberly and Stanley (1978) from seismic profiles and deep oil test drilling. This seismic profile, constructed by Exxon, demonstrates the graben structure. The thickness of continental sediments is estimated at approximately 2,700 meters.

3. Water Chemistry. Maps IV-2 and IV-3 shows the distribution of fluoride and total dissolved solids, respectively, from wells in the Hyder area. Most wells contain more than 2 mg/l fluoride and about one-third of those sampled contain more than 5 mg/l. No well is currently known to contain more than 10 mg/l fluoride. Recommended limits on fluoride in

drinking water for this area is 1 mg/l or less, Table IV-2 (Hem, 1970). The higher concentrations of fluoride generally occur east of the line between Baragan Mountain and the Agua Caliente Mountains. No obvious variance in concentration can be seen along the axis of the Gila River.

Most wells within the area contain between 500 and 5,000 mg/l dissolved solids. The few wells which exceed 5,000 mg/l are located near the Gila River. Less than 10 percent of the wells contain less than 500 mg/l. The quantity of dissolved solids in the groundwater decreases away from the Gila River.

Chemical data for the water wells on the Whitewing ranch were provided by its manager, Mr. Nick Kondora. No appreciable change in overall water quality was noted between his 1974 values and those obtained during this survey. Except for HCO_3 , all 1974 values were within expected analytical error. Table IV-3 is a comparison of common ions from the 1974 and 1979 water chemistries. Fluoride, potassium, boron and silica were not evaluated in 1974 and nitrate was not included in the 1979 analyses. The presence of gypsum and halite in the basin is suspected because of the quantity of SO_4 , Cl, Na and Ca in the water. Low values for HCO_3 and Mg suggest low concentrations of calcite and dolomite in those portions of the section that have had recent ground-water movement.

4. Geophysics. State-wide aeromagnetic and gravity maps for Arizona have been compiled by the College of Geosciences, University of Arizona (Sauck and Sumner, 1970; Aiken, 1975).

Table IV-2. Recommended limits of fluoride concentration
in drinking water (after Hem, 1970).

<u>Annual average of maximum daily air temperatures (°F)</u>	<u>Recommended control limits mg/l</u>		
	<u>Lower</u>	<u>Optimum</u>	<u>Upper</u>
50.0 - 53.7	0.9	1.2	1.7
53.8 - 58.3	0.8	1.1	1.5
58.4 - 63.8	0.8	1.0	1.3
63.9 - 70.6	0.7	0.9	1.2
70.7 - 79.2 ²	0.7	0.8	1.1
79.2 - 90.5	0.6	0.7	0.8

¹Based on temperature data obtained for a minimum of 5 years.

²Temperature range for the Hyder area.

Table IV-3. WHITEWING RANCH GROUND-WATER CHEMISTRY OF 1974 AND 1979

Well No.	Na		Mg		Ca		Cl		SO ₄		HCO ₃		TDS	
	'74	'79	'74	'79	'74	'79	'74	'79	'74	'79	'74	'79	'74	'79
Whitewing # 1	320	407	22	50	285	220	860	1004	203	298	127	66	1854	2599
Whitewing # 2	205	259	10	10	61	45	308	362	120	203	137	87	877	1067
Whitewing # 3	215	291	15	16	85	62	380	411	146	275	127	76	1017	1345
Whitewing # 5	280	360	29	28	208	92	612	564	190	350	123	71	1562	1850
Whitewing #13	210	215	16	10	82	35	192	253	143	173	142	100	995	962
Whitewing #14	175	182	9	6	38	16	132	163	114	124	162	116	735	730
Whitewing #15	147	152	2	3	18	9	136	117	68	89	152	110	542	595
Whitewing #16	137	150	2	2	19	10	124	130	70	90	137	111	516	581
Whitewing #17	143	142	2	2	14	7	188	111	60	81	137	105	493	483
Whitewing #18	157	177	3	4	27	19	172	219	90	94	123	95	603	734
Whitewing #19	152	169	4	4	22	16	140	212	62	84	137	97	562	715
Whitewing #20	152	142	4	2	18	8	132	111	70	100	147	110	554	557
Whitewing #22	152	150	4	2	21	10	228	134	64	91	147	113	550	573

Peterson (1968) conducted a gravity survey of the Hyder area, and the U.S. Geological Survey is now conducting a gravity survey just south of the area. Map IV-4 shows the residual aeromagnetic data of the area; contour intervals are 25 gammas. Magnetic highs are prominent in the Little Horn Mountains at the northwest end of the area and in the northern end of the Gila Bend Mountains, 30 kilometers north of Hyder. In a general way, the gravity contour lines follow the axial trends of the mountains. Magnetic intensity also tends to decrease from north to south across the study area. Two small gravity lows are within the area, one in the central portion of the Palomas Plain and the other on the northeastern side of the Tank Mountains. A 300 gamma magnetic low occurs just south of the Palomas Mountains.

The residual Bouguer gravity map (Map IV-5) is contoured on 5 mgal intervals. The major gravity low is located north of Baragan Mountain in the Palomas Plain, and a small gravity low occurs about 5 kilometers south of the Horn.

A seismic profile across the smaller gravity low was constructed by Exxon geologists (Eberly and Stanley, 1978). Figure IV-1 shows the results of that survey as well as locating two oil and gas test holes. The basin thickness from these tests is estimated at 2,700 meters. True graben structures were encountered in the seismic survey and are indicated in Figure IV-1. The Palomas Plain gravity low should indicate 3,000 meters or more of Cenozoic material, but bedrock outcrop

within the low may substantially reduce this estimate. Density contrasts between bedrock and basin material would best explain the differences between the two gravity anomalies.

5. Water Temperatures and Gradients. Most of the wells completed in the Hyder area are used for irrigation of cotton, grapes, wheat and citrus crops. Approximately 250 wells were reviewed, either in person or through a literature search. The majority of these wells were completed to a depth of less than 100 meters. Table IV-4 lists 88 sites where natural waters have temperatures greater than 30°C. Ten of the wells have temperatures of 40°C or greater. All known discharge temperatures are shown on Map IV-6.

Simple temperature gradients were calculated by subtracting the mean annual air temperature from the water temperature, then dividing the result by the reported depth of the well. A mean annual air temperature of 22.4°C was selected from Druitt's (1976) map. The metric system was used throughout and the results were recorded in °C/km. Only those wells whose depths were in excess of 100 m were used so as to eliminate gradients that might be influenced by near-surface thermal phenomena or ground-water recharge. Results were grouped as to gradient range and plotted on Map IV-7. These values should be viewed as minimum temperature gradients as they assume 100 percent of the water coming from the deepest penetration of the well. No provisions were made for mixing of waters from different aquifers or for depth at which the pumps were set.

Table IV-4. Wells and Springs in the Hyder Area
with Temperatures of 30°C or Greater

<u>Location</u>	<u>Temperature °C</u>	<u>Depth Meters</u>	<u>Map Quadrangle</u>	<u>Reference</u>
(C-3-11)25cb	48.9	401	Hyder	1
(C-4-7)34cdc	31.0	253	Dendora Valley	1,3
(C-4-8)26add	32.8	56	Dendora Valley	2,3
(C-4-8)26ddd	34.5	59	Dendora Valley	2,3
(C-4-8)35bdd	30.6	83	Dendora Valley	2,3
(C-4-8)35daa	30.6	65	Dendora Valley	2
(C-4-8)35dbb	30.3	68	Dendora Valley	2
(C-4-10)3daa	33.5	137	Hyder	1,2,3
(C-4-10)5abb	36.0	389	Hyder	3
(C-4-10)5bbb	34.0	300	Hyder	4
(C-4-10)6bb	36.7	305	Hyder	1
(C-4-10)7bb	35.0	196	Hyder	1
(C-4-10)16abb	31.5	-	Hyder	4
(C-4-10)16bbb	32.5	-	Hyder	4
(C-4-10)17daa	31.0	-	Hyder	4
(C-4-10)17dbb	30.0	-	Hyder	4
(C-4-11)1aaa	32.8	102	Hyder	5
(C-4-11)2bbb	39.5	162	Hyder	1,3,6,7
(C-4-11)2bcb	37.8	162	Hyder	1
(C-4-11)5bbb	40.5	142	Baragon Mountains	2,3,7
(C-4-11)6aab	37.8	143	Baragon Mountains	2,3
(C-4-11)8bbb	40.0	200	Baragon Mountains	3,4

<u>Location</u>	<u>Temperature °C</u>	<u>Depth Meters</u>	<u>Map Quadrangle</u>	<u>Reference</u>
(C-4-11)11aba	37.8	184	Hyder	1
(C-4-11)11bab	37.2	168	Hyder	1,7
(C-4-11)12abb	38.0	375	Hyder	1,7
(C-4-11)12bbb	35.0	126	Hyder	2,3
(C-4-11)16bbb	30.0	153	Baragon Mountains	1,3.7
(C-4-11)21abb	32.2	419	Baragon Mountains	1,3
(C-4-11)21bbb	30.5	397	Baragon Mountains	3
(C-4-11)33bbb	30.0	332	Baragon Mountains	4,7
(C-5-7)1aaa	31.0	214	Vulture Mountains	1,3
(C-5-9)12acd	32.8	189	Aguila Mountains	2,3
(C-5-10)16bbb	45.6	387	Agua Caliente Mts.	1
(C-5-10)16bbc	45.8	-	Agua Caliente Mts.	6
(C-5-10)16cbb	32.8	22	Agua Caliente Mts.	2,3
(C-5-10)16cbc	40.0	110	Agua Caliente Mts.	3,7
(C-5-10)16ccb	39.4	26	Agua Caliente Mts.	2,3
(C-5-10)18cda	34.5	-	Agua Caliente Mts.	3
(C-5-10)19aa	35 - 40	Springs	Agua Caliente Mts.	2
(C-5-10)20dbd	33.3	4	Agua Caliente Mts.	2,3
(C-5-10)28dcb	39.0	396	Agua Caliente Mts.	3,7
(C-5-11)1dc	31.7	275	Agua Caliente Mts.	1,7
(C-5-11)2bbb	39.0	305	Agua Caliente Mts.	2,3
(C-5-11)4ab	30.0	111	Baragon Mountains	1
(C-5-11)11cab	36.7	214	Agua Caliente Mts.	1,3
(C-5-11)12cba	31.1	30	Agua Caliente Mts.	2
(C-5-11)15abb	35.5	244	Aztec NW	2,3

<u>Location</u>	<u>Temperature °C</u>	<u>Depth Meters</u>	<u>Map Quadrangle</u>	<u>Reference</u>
(C-5-12)3aa	32.2	-	Baragon Mountains	6
(C-5-12)4bcb	30.2	113	Baragon Mountains	2,3,6
(C-5-12)4bcc	32.0	152	Baragon Mountains	4
(C-5-12)4cbc	30.0	95	Baragon Mountains	1,3,4
(C-5-12)4cdb	35.0	602	Baragon Mountains	4
(C-5-12)4cdd	34.0	518	Baragon Mountains	4,7
(C-5-12)5aaa	31.1	218	Salome	2
(C-5-12)5aab	30.5	43	Salome	1
(C-5-12)5aad	30.5	90	Salome	4
(C-5-12)5abb	32.0	542	Salome	4
(C-5-12)9abc	38.8	500	Baragon Mountains	6
(C-5-12)9acc	41.6	-	Baragon Mountains	6
(C-5-15)9bbb	32.0	171	Baragon Mountains	4
(C-5-12)9cba	42.5	503	Baragon Mountains	3,4
(C-5-12)9cbc	42.5	-	Baragon Mountains	3
(C-5-12)9cca	40.0	489	Baragon Mountains	4
(C-5-12)15bcb	33.3	154	Aztec NW	2
(C-5-12)15cbd	34.0	145	Aztec NW	1,3
(C-5-12)15cca	34.2	145	Aztec NW	1
(C-5-12)16aab	32.8	27	Aztec NW	1,3,7
(C-5-12)16aa	32.2	191	Aztec NW	1
(C-5-12)16abb	36.7	203	Aztec NW	4
(C-5-12)16abb ₂	32.0	122	Aztec NW	1,4,7
(C-5-12)16aba	37.8	196	Aztec	1,7
(C-5-12)16acc	33.3	282	Aztec	2

<u>Location</u>	<u>Temperature °C</u>	<u>Depth Meters</u>	<u>Map Quadrangle</u>	<u>Reference</u>
(C-5-12)16ad	33.3	154	Aztec	1,7
(C-5-12)16ba	35.0	226	Aztec	1,7
(C-5-12)16baa	35.0	245	Aztec	2,4
(C-5-12)16bbb	35.0	139	Aztec	2,4,7
(C-5-12)21aad	33.9	177	Aztec	1,7
(C-5-12)21bb	32.8	114	Aztec	1,7
(C-5-12)21bbb	33.9	136	Aztec	2,3
(C-5-12)21bbd	33.3	79	Aztec	2,3
(C-5-12)22bbc	34.4	174	Aztec	2
(C-5-12)28aaa	33.3	218	Aztec	2
(C-5-12)33cda	31.1	75	Aztec	1,3,7
(C-5-12)35bbb	30.8	148	Aztec	2,3
(C-6-7)1bdd	30.0	-	Theba	3
(C-6-7)11abc	31.0	375	Theba	3
(C-6-7)11dcc	31.0	282	Theba	3
(C-6-7)12ccc	33.0	423	Theba	3

References:

1. Giardina and Conley, 1978
2. Armstrong and Yost, 1958
3. U.S. Geological Survey, 1979
4. This report
5. Arizona Land Department, Open File
6. Swanberg and others, 1977
7. Weist, 1965

There are 73 wells within the Hyder area with recorded temperatures and whose depths exceed 100 meters. An additional 28 wells have been recorded with temperatures, in the literature, yet have been completed to depths less than 100 meters. Table IV-5 lists the arithmetic mean and weighted mean temperature gradients for wells less than 100 meters in depth, as well as those in the intervals of 100-200 meters, 200-400 meters, and in excess of 400 meters. The increase in depth reduces the magnitude of the average simple temperature gradient. This reduction is in response to the normal thermal behavior within the region as depicted in Figure IV-2.

The weighted mean temperature gradients as well as the ranges for each of the depth intervals are also depicted in Figure IV-2. Pumping records of those wells in excess of 400 meters indicate long and constant usage. The one exception is the Exxon oil test well in T.3S., R.11W., Sec. 25cb. Its total depth is 401 meters, bottom hole temperature 48.9°C, and the simple temperature gradient is 66.1°C/km. The geophysical logs for this hole were examined in the offices of the Arizona Oil and Gas Conservation Commission, Phoenix. The temperature increases with each successive measurement. Temperature equilibration had not been achieved by the end of the test.

Extensive water well sampling at the Whitewing Ranch was undertaken in April, 1979. The Na-K-Ca chemical geothermometer of Fournier and Truesdall (1973) was applied to these samples,

FIGURE IV-2. MAXIMUM GRADIENT PROFILE OF COCHISE COUNTY WITH GRADIENT RANGES FOR THE HYDER AREA (AFTER GIARDINA AND CONLEY, 1978).

Table IV-5. Temperature Gradients for Wells
in the Hyder Area

<u>Interval (Meters)</u>	<u>Number of Wells</u>	<u>Average Depth (Meters)</u>	<u>Arithmetic Mean* Gradient (°C/km)</u>	<u>Weighted Mean** Gradient (°C/km)</u>
0 - 100	28	57.9	149.7	119.6
100 - 200	37	150.6	69.7	68.8
200 - 400	26	293.0	37.4	36.1
>400	10	482.2	27.9	27.1

* $\frac{\sum \text{(Temperature Gradient)}}{\text{Number of Wells}}$

** $\frac{\sum \text{(Temperature Gradient x Depth of Well)}}{\text{Depth of Well}}$

R. 12 W.

FIGURE IV-3. PROBABLE FAULTS ACROSS THE WHITEWING RANCH AND THE Na-K-Ca CHEMICAL GEOTHERMOMETERS. (AFTER MANERA, 1977).

and the results were plotted on the "Probable Fault Map" of Manera (1977). Figure IV-3 is Manera's map with the Na-K-Ca values added. The higher indicated temperatures ($>100^{\circ}\text{C}$) are all east of the proposed northwest fault which fronts the eastern side of the Palomas Mountains. One must, therefore, conclude that there is a real structure separating the higher values from the lower temperatures and that this structure may provide through-going communication between a heat source and the shallow aquifers. A graben structure is inferred.

Elsewhere within the Palomas Plain there are similar geochemical indications of one or more deep heat sources. None is as well documented as around the Whitewing Ranch due to the lack of close-spaced wells.

D. Conclusions

1. Eighty-eight wells and springs within the Hyder area have reported temperatures in excess of 30°C . A complete inventory of the more than 250 wells will no doubt reveal additional warm or hot water occurrences.

2. Assuming normal temperature gradients, the deeper portions of the basin should contain waters within the 50 to 150°C range.

3. Abnormal temperature gradients occur along the margins of gravity lows or on the basin-side of inferred deep structures. The deep structures may provide communication between the heat source or sources and the aquifers which display the higher temperature gradients.

4. Areas of geothermal interest are: 1) the inferred deep portion of the basin north of Baragan Mountain, 2) the area just south of Horn, 3) the area south of Turtleback Mountain and Agua Caliente Mountain.

5. Additional studies of the existing water wells may help to further delineate the size and thermal properties of this geothermal resource area. Several seismic profiles similar to those run by the Exxon Corporation may reveal deep graben structures in the aforementioned areas of interest.

V. HYDER VALLEY RESERVOIR ESTIMATE

The Hyder valley-Palomas plain area has been divided into four parts for purposes of the reservoir estimate. These four parts are the Horn area, the Agua Caliente area, the Palomas Plain, and about 657 km² of alluvial areas outside of the other three, more favorable areas. The water in the upper part of the groundwater basin is extensively used for municipal and agricultural supply, therefore, the upper 300 m of the groundwater basin has been excluded from the reservoir estimate.

Based on the description of Basin and Range structure and stratigraphy by Eberly and Stanley (1978), and the gravity map of Aiken (1975), this area is probably underlain by about 300 m of extrusive volcanic rocks under a cover of continental deposits ranging from 0 to 600 m in thickness, but averaging about 300 m. In the Horn area, the volcanics are absent and the younger continental sediments rest directly on about 1400 m of older, deformed continental sediments. These units rest on a basement complex composed of pre-Tertiary igneous and metamorphic rocks. Porosity and specific yield of the materials comprising the groundwater reservoir have been estimated using reasonable values for these rock types.

The total volume of water in storage in the groundwater reservoir below 300 m amounts to 60,600 hm³. Recoverable water amounts to about 23,500 hm³. Table V-1 summarizes the reservoir estimate.

Groundwater in this area may occur under unconfined, semi-

confined or confined conditions locally. Except in the Horn area, subsidence is not expected to be a problem. In the shallow parts of the groundwater basin, high salinity is not expected to be a problem. Geotechnical problems such as subsidence or high salinity might be encountered if water is mined from deep parts of the sedimentary section beneath the Horn area, but the extent of these problems cannot be estimated from the available data.

Table V-1. Hyder Valley - Palomas Plain

<u>Sediment Type</u>	<u>Thickness</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>Porosity</u>	<u>Specific Yield</u>
<u>Horn Area</u>				
continental seds.	1200 m	122 km ²	20%	10%
older cont. seds.	1400 m	122 km ²	10%	3%
<u>Agua Caliente Area</u>				
continental seds.	0-150 m	228 km ²	20%	10%
volcanic rocks	200 m	228 km ²	5%	1%
<u>Palomas Plain</u>				
continental seds.	600 m	168 km ²	20%	10%
volcanic rocks	300 m	168 km ²	5%	1%
<u>All Other Areas</u>				
continental seds.	0-150 m	675 km ²	20%	10%
volcanic rocks	150 m	657 km ²	5%	1%

	<u>Water in Storage</u>	<u>Recoverable Water</u>
Continental Seds.	32,600 hm ³	15,200 hm ³
Volcanic Rocks	11,000 hm ³	2,200 hm ³
Older Continenetal Seds.	17,000 hm ³	5,100 hm ³

VI. ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS

A. General

So far the investigation of the Hyder area has not disturbed the environment. Field work involved location and sampling of existing water wells, irrigation wells, seeps and springs.

Should additional work in the area be required, it probably would not involve surface disturbance. Additional wells, especially the deeper ones, would have to be sampled. Geophysical techniques, such as close-spaced gravity determinations, may be needed to better define the extent of the basin. No surface disturbance is involved in such a survey.

If heat flow drilling is deemed necessary, the judicious selection of drill site or sites will minimize local impact. Since the area is currently being farmed, there is the likelihood of one or more drill sites being located in or adjacent to farm land. The impact on the natural or farm environment would be minimal and of short duration.

Should an economic resource area or areas be delineated within the Hyder area, appropriate care and concern will be taken to extract the geothermal heat and water. The problems associated with the development of geothermal resources within a heavily farmed area should be akin to those of developing new irrigation wells which do not contain the thermal energies. Brine and/or salt may be a by-product of the upgraded waters. Disposal or sale of these by-products will depend upon their

composition and quantity. Speculation on removal of these products from the surface environment will have to be deferred until such time as the chemical composition of the resource water is known.

Withdrawal of ground waters may also cause local subsidence. This is true whether the waters are thermal or not. In this respect, the development of the resource for its water content is no different than if one were to develop shallow irrigation wells of equal capacity. The main difference between the geothermal waters and the currently exploited irrigation waters (other than their thermal characteristics) is that the geothermal waters are deeper.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aiken, C.L.V., 1975, Residual Bouguer gravity anomaly map of Arizona: Tucson, Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona, 1 sheet.
- Armstrong, C.A., and Yost, C.B., Jr., 1978, Geology and ground-water resources of the Palomas Plain-Dendora Valley area, Maricopa and Yuma Counties, Arizona: Arizona State Land Department Water-Resources Report 4, 49 p.
- Babcock, H.M., Brown, S.C., and Hem, J.D., 1947, Geology and ground-water resources of the Wellton-Mohawk area, Yuma County Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 22 p.
- Babcock, H.M., and Dendall, K.K., 1948, Geology and ground-water resources of the Gila Bend basin, Maricopa County, Arizona, with a section on water quality, by J.D. Hem: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 26 p.
- Bryan, K., 1925, The Papago Country, Arizona: a geographic, geologic, and hydrologic reconnaissance with a guide to desert watering places: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 499, 436 p.
- Cahill, J.M., and Wolcott, H.N., 1955, Further investigations of the ground-water resources of the Gila Bend and Dendora areas, Maricopa County, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 14 p.
- Druitt, C.E., 1976, Mean annual temperature map, State of Arizona: Arizona Oil and Gas Conservation Commission Map GT-2.
- Eberly, L.D., and Stanley, T.B., Jr., 1978, Cenozoic stratigraphy and geologic history of southwestern Arizona: Geologic Society of America Bulletin, Vol. 89, p. 921-940.
- Halpenny, L.C., and others, 1952, Ground-water in the Gila River basin and adjacent areas, Arizona, a summary: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 224 p.
- Fournier, R.O., and Truesdell, A.H., 1973, An empirical Na-K-Ca geothermometer for natural waters: Geochem et Cosmochim Acta, Vol. 37, p. 1255-1275.
- Giardina, S., Jr., and Conley, J.N., 1978, Thermal gradient anomalies: Arizona Oil and Gas Conservation Commission Report No. 6, 49 p.

- Ibrahim, M.S., 1962, Subsurface geology and chemical quality of ground-water in the Buckeye Valley, Arizona: University of Arizona M.S. Thesis, 97 p.
- Johnson, P.W., and Cahill, J.M., 1954, Ground-water resources and geology of the Gila Bend and Dendora areas, Maricopa County, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File report, 53 p.
- Manera, P.A., 1977, Groundwater resources and projected water levels, 1976-1981, Whitewing Ranch, Yuma County: Con Consulting Report for Whitewing Agriculture, Inc., 7 p. (Maps, Appendix).
- Miller, F.K., and McKee, E.H., 1971, Thrust and strike-slip faulting in the Pomosa Mountains, southwestern Arizona: Geological Society of America Bulletin, Vol. 82, p. 717-722.
- Peterson, D.L., 1968, Bouguer gravity map of parts of Maricopa, Pima, Pinal, and Yuma Counties, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Geophysical Inv. Map GP-615.
- Ross, C.P., 1922, Geology of the lower Gila region, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Prof. Paper 129.H, p. 183-197.
- Ross, C.P., 1923, The lower Gila region, Arizona: a geographic, geologic, and hydrologic reconnaissance with a guide to desert watering places: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 498, 237 p.
- Sauck, W.A., and Sumner, J.S., 1970, Residual aeromagnetic map of Arizona: Tucson, University of Arizona Press.
- Shoustra, J.J., Smith, J.L., Scott, J.D., Strand, R.L., and Duff, D., 1976, Geology and seismicity, site lithologic conditions and Appendix ZQ (radiometric age dating): in Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station 1, 2, and 3, Preliminary Safety analysis Report: Arizona Public Service Commission, Vol. 2, p. 2.5-60 to 2.5-76, and Vol. 8, Appendix ZQ.
- Smith, H.V., and others, 1963, The quality of Arizona's domestic waters. University of Arizona, Agricultural Experiment Station Report 217, 77 p.
- Swanberg, C.A., Morgan, P., Stoyer, C.H., and Witcher, J.C., 1977, An appraisal study of the geothermal resources of Arizona and adjacent areas in New Mexico and Utah and their value for desalination and other uses: New Mexico Energy Institute Report 6, 75 p.

United States Geological Survey, 1979, WATSTORE (computer tape).

Weist, W.G., Jr., 1965, Geohydrology of the Dateland-Hyder area, Maricopa and Yuma Counties, Arizona: Arizona State Land Department Water Resources Report 23, 46 p.

Wilson, E.D., 1933, Geology and mineral deposits of southern Yuma County, Arizona: Arizona Bureau of Mines Bulletin 137, 236 p.