What goes up might come down: The spectacular spread of an endosymbiont is followed by its decline a decade later

Alison A. Bockoven¹, Elizabeth C. Bondy², Matthew J. Flores³, Suzanne E. Kelly⁴, Martha S. Hunter^{*4}

¹Center for Insect Science, P.O. Box 210106, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, 85721, ORCID: [0000-0002-2117-1955](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2117-1955)

²Graduate Interdisciplinary Program in Entomology and Insect Science, PO Box 210036, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721 USA ebond@email.arizona.edu

³Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Tech University, Derring Hall Room 2125 926 West Campus Drive, Mail Code 0406, Blacksburg, VA 24061, mjfl268@vt.edu

⁴Department of Entomology, 410 Forbes Building The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, Hunter ORCID: [0000-0002-6342-675X](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6342-675X) mhunter@ag.arizona.edu

*Correspondence author: mhunter@ag.arizona.edu, +1-520-621-9350

Keywords: symbiont dynamics, symbiosis, *Wolbachia*, microbiome, *Bemisia tabaci*, *Rickettsia*

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to Peter Ellsworth, Naomi Pier, Isadora Bordini and John Palumbo for help collecting whiteflies for field samples, and Gabriella Rivera for help with laboratory experiments. This research was supported by an National Institute of Health Training grant in the University of Arizona Center for Insect Science (K12GM000708) to AAB, and National Science Foundation grants DEB-1020460 to MSH and Anna Himler and IOS-1256905 to MSH and Stephan Schmitz-Esser.

ABSTRACT

Facultative, intracellular bacterial symbionts of arthropods are usually vertically transmitted with great fidelity, and may dramatically affect host biology and reproduction. The length of these symbiont-host associations may be thousands of years, and while symbiont loss is predicted, there have been very few observations of a decline of symbiont infection from high frequencies to low. In a population of the sweetpotato whitefly species (*Bemisia tabaci* MEAM1) in Arizona, USA, we documented the frequency decline of a strain of *Rickettsia* in the *bellii* clade from near-fixation in 2011 to 36% of whiteflies infected in 2017. In previous studies, *Rickettsia* had been shown to increase from 1% to 97% from 2000 to 2006 and remained at high frequency for at least five years. At that time, *Rickettsia* infection was associated with both fitness benefits and female bias. In the current study we established matriline of whiteflies from the field (2016, *Rickettsia* infection frequency= 58%), and studied *Rickettsia* vertical transmission, fitness and sex ratios associated with *Rickettsia* infection, and symbiont titer. The vertical transmission rate was high, approximately 98%. *Rickettsia* infection in the matriline was not associated with fitness benefits or sex ratio bias, and appeared to be slightly costly, as more *Rickettsia*-infected individuals produced non-hatching eggs. Overall, the titer of *Rickettsia* in the matriline was lower in 2016 than in the whiteflies collected in 2011, but the titer distribution appeared bimodal, with high and low titer lines, and constancy within lines of the average titer over three generations. We found no association between *Rickettsia* titer and fitness benefits or sex ratio bias, and this change in the interaction between symbiont and host in 2016 whiteflies may explain the drop in symbiont frequency we observed.

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial endosymbionts of arthropods are widespread, and may influence many aspects of host biology and ecology, including nutrient use, abiotic tolerances [1, 2], defense against natural enemies [3-6], host-plant interactions [7, 8]), and longevity or reproduction [9, 10]. Host-symbiont interactions exist across a broad and dynamic spectrum of integration with the host and duration of the association. On one end, obligate nutritional symbionts are generally intracellular and faithfully maternally transmitted, and may have associations with their hosts that span millions of years [11, 12]. These ‘primary’ symbionts provide obligate functions for their hosts [13] (e.g. amino acid provisioning by *Buchnera aphidicola* in aphids [14], or B vitamin synthesis by *Wigglesworthia* in tsetse flies [15, 16]. In contrast, facultative or ‘secondary’ intracellular symbionts are not required by the host, and have relationships with their hosts that are more variable.

Facultative symbionts may spread through a host population by acting as mutualists that provide fitness benefits to their host. Conversely, they may act selfishly by manipulating host reproduction, increasing transmission to, or fitness of, the infected females by male-killing, feminization, parthenogenesis induction, in which genetic males are converted to genetic females or via a crossing incompatibility known as cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) [10]. They may also do their host both harm and good. For example, CI-inducing *Wolbachia* may also cause viral pathogen blocking, in which viral titers are substantially reduced, [17, 18] and may assist in iron metabolism [19] in fruit flies. Symbionts that show both costs and benefits could be either mutualists or parasites in different contexts. Fitness benefits associated with facultative symbionts that mediate ecological interactions are expected to be heterogeneous and especially

dynamic in the environment, as their benefits are commonly conditional on the presence of the stress [20]. In the absence of the stress (e.g. natural enemies, temperature extremes, or particular host plants), these symbionts may be costly to the host [21], leading to variation in infection frequency in host populations. For these reasons, we expect symbiont phenotypes that are conditional on the environment, or that confer both host fitness benefits and reproductive manipulation, to be especially dynamic [22].

We might expect a change in the equilibrium infection frequency of beneficial symbionts in host populations to be influenced by or associated with a few factors [23]. First, a change in the net benefit or reproductive manipulation of the symbiont (perhaps because of a change of environment or host genetic background) will have selective consequences for the symbiont frequency. Second, when the strength of the symbiont phenotype is dependent on bacterial titer within hosts, something that is often, if not always true [23-28], a decline in bacterial titer might reflect a reduction in the penetrance of the phenotype and also be associated with a decline in symbiont frequency. Third, a reduced maternal transmission rate of the symbiont will deterministically drive decline in symbiont frequency. Knowing how symbiont interactions develop, change, and break down over time will increase our understanding of the linked population genetics and ecologies of host and symbiont [23, 28].

Rickettsia is a facultative, maternally-transmitted endosymbiont that swept rapidly into the US population of invasive sweet potato whiteflies (*Bemisia tabaci*, provisional species designation “Middle East-Asia Minor 1 or MEAM1”) [29]. This endosymbiont strain is in the *R. bellii* clade and is closely related to the sequenced strain *Rickettsia* sp. strain MEAM1 from whiteflies in China and Israel [30, 31]. In Arizona whitefly populations, *Rickettsia* rose from an infection frequency of 1% to near-fixation (97%) in a six year period (2000-2006) [9]. In 2011,

high frequencies of *Rickettsia* were observed throughout its host range in the southern United States [31]. This dramatic rise in infection frequency was accompanied by evidence from the laboratory of both reproductive manipulation and fitness benefits [9] to Arizona *B. tabaci*. In a line where the uninfected whitefly background was introgressed into *Rickettsia* infected (R^+) whiteflies to create a homogenous nuclear background, R^+ mothers produced more female offspring than uninfected (R^-) mothers, a type of reproductive manipulation. At the same time *Rickettsia* increased fitness and performance of infected female whiteflies [9]; R^+ whiteflies showed higher survivorship to adulthood, increased fecundity, faster development time, and increased resistance to pathogens [32]. In further studies, we demonstrated that the benefits of infection were reduced in a second introgressed laboratory line, although the female bias persisted [33]. Further, the differences in the effects of *Rickettsia* in the two lines were shown to be explained by host nuclear genotype [34]. All of this suggests that there is heritable variation in the relationship between *Rickettsia* and its whitefly host upon which selection can act.

Given this context, the population dynamics of *Rickettsia* in whitefly populations may be labile and affected by the coevolutionary interactions of the symbiont with its host. Indeed, this study was motivated by preliminary data showing the field infection frequency of *Rickettsia* had dropped from its previous near-fixation levels. Here, we addressed the question: ‘Has the infection frequency of *Rickettsia* declined in its whitefly hosts?’ We further explored factors predicted to effect interactions between symbiont and host that could influence the infection frequency of *Rickettsia* in whitefly populations in the field:

1) *Maternal Transmission Frequency*: A decrease in symbiont transmission from mother to offspring would decrease *Rickettsia* frequency, possibly leading to the eventual elimination of the symbiont from the population.

2) *Titer of infection*: A decreased titer of infection within hosts (for example following selection for host nucleotypes that dampen infection titer) could alter the host-symbiont phenotype. If the low-titer symbiont phenotype is then neutral in fitness value to the host, we would predict an eventual drop in infection frequency in the population.

3) *Fitness effects of infection*: Whether changes in symbiont titer are involved in changing the relationship between symbiont and host or not, heritable differences in the fitness effects of the symbiont will drive changes in symbiont frequency in the host population. A decrease in net benefits to hosts or a loss of the reproductive manipulation of hosts could allow the frequency of the symbiont in the population to be reduced by selection (if the fitness consequences were negative) or drift (if the fitness consequences were near neutral).

METHODS

Field frequency of infection

Sampling: In the large species complex that is collectively denoted by the name *Bemisia tabaci*, one invasive species, the “B” or “MEAM1” has dominated USA field populations since its introduction [35]. We collected this species of whitefly from plots of field cotton at the University of Arizona Maricopa Agricultural Center (Maricopa, AZ) across the three successive years of 2015-2017. No more than ten adult whiteflies were collected from any one plant, and whiteflies were collected from 10-20 plants, each at least 10 meters apart. All whiteflies were preserved in 97% ethanol, transported on ice, and stored at -20°C.

DNA Extraction and Diagnostic PCR: For each collection date, we randomly sampled at least 50 female whiteflies for determination of infection status (Aug & Sep 2015: n=218; Nov 2016: n=85; Oct 2017: n=50). We extracted DNA from individual female whiteflies using a

Chelex extraction protocol [36], 10 μ L final volume. We also extracted DNA from randomly-sampled female whiteflies collected from melons at the University of Arizona Yuma Agricultural Center (Yuma, AZ, 270 km west of Maricopa; Sep 2017 n=50). Diagnostic PCR for *Rickettsia* was performed using *Rickettsia*-specific 16s rDNA primers 528F and 1044R on 4 μ L samples of DNA template [37]. All samples were run with a positive and negative control, and an additional PCR was performed to confirm the species status of the whiteflies using primers that amplify different product sizes [38]. All whiteflies were confirmed to be MEAM1. All *Rickettsia* negative samples were re-checked via PCR or qPCR (see qPCR methods) at least two additional times to confirm the initial findings.

Establish lab matriline

We collected live adult whiteflies from field cotton at the Maricopa Agricultural Center in Aug 2016. Groups of at least 50 males and 50 females each were reared on caged cowpea plants (*Vigna unguiculata* var. California blackeye; n=12) for one generation in the lab. We isolated female late fourth instar larval progeny (“pupae”) immediately prior to eclosion to assure they were unmated, and used these to established matriline. For each matriline, one newly-eclosed virgin female was paired with one adult male (randomly selected from a different plant cage). Each pair was introduced to a separate cowpea leaf disk experimental arena [9] and placed in plant growth chambers (16:8 light:dark, 27°C, 35% humidity). Whitefly pairs were allowed to mate and lay eggs for 3 days and then parents were collected from the leaf discs into ethanol. DNA was extracted from mothers and diagnostic PCR was used to determine infection status of each line using methods described above. The F1 offspring were reared on leaf discs until adult eclosion, the newly-eclosed adult offspring removed every 48 hours, and the collected offspring were preserved in ethanol and stored at -20°C. To propagate the matriline, sibling

cohorts of 2-5 male and 2-5 female newly-eclosed F1 offspring from each leaf disc were placed on small cowpea seedlings in ventilated plexiglass boxes (12.5x8x2cm) with the bottom edge of the boxes submerged in trays of water. The whiteflies were allowed to mate and lay eggs for 5 days before being removed. All leaf boxes were incubated in grow rooms at (16:8 light:dark, 27°C), and used to establish subsequent generations in the same manner.

For subsequent analyses, we excluded any lines where one or more of the P1 parents died or were not re-collected after oviposition (and therefore infection status could not be determined) or where fungi or leaf arena deterioration interfered with offspring development. We also excluded any lines that did not produce at least one daughter, as whiteflies are haplodiploid and can produce sons without mating. Therefore, we could not confirm that the mother had mated when only sons were produced (n=59). This excluded group showed no significant differences in frequency of infection or in offspring performance compared to the matriline used in transmission, titer, and performance assays (n=72).

Transmission frequency

Rickettsia transmission frequency was determined by sampling 5-10 female F1 offspring from each of 12 R^+ matriline, randomly selected from the lines established above. We extracted DNA from individual females and performed diagnostic PCR as previously described. Also, in order to determine if transmission frequency was consistent across generations, we sampled 5-10 female F2 and F3 offspring from each of 10 of these R^+ matriline, and extracted DNA and performed diagnostic PCR to determine infection status.

Titer of infection

Quantitative PCR: We amplified *Rickettsia gltA* (*citrate synthase*) from total DNA extracted from 5-10 individual female F1 offspring from each of 20 R⁺ matriline [39]. *Bemisia tabaci actin* DNA (*β -actin*) was amplified as an internal standard, to assess *Rickettsia* titer relative to host DNA. Each gene was amplified in triplicate for each biological replicate (individual female whiteflies). Extractions from known R⁺ and R⁻ whitefly samples were included as controls. An ABI Prism® 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) and accompanying software were used to quantify the real-time quantitative PCR data. Titer (relative density) of *Rickettsia* was calculated by comparing the cycle quantification value (C_t) of the *Rickettsia* gene to the C_t of the whitefly endogenous control gene using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method [40].

We assessed titer in two previously established *Rickettsia* infected lab lineages (MAC1 est. 2006, and MAC2 est. 2009) and in whiteflies collected from the same field site in 2011 [31] using the methods described above. Uninfected field whiteflies were excluded from the analysis. To determine if *Rickettsia* titer was heritable, we also extracted DNA from 5-10 individual female F2 and F3 offspring from each of 10 of the R⁺ matriline sampled above, and used qPCR to determine the matriline titers in the F2 and F3 generations as described above.

Fitness assays to determine effects of R⁺ infection

In order to assess the current fitness effects of *Rickettsia* infection on whiteflies, we assayed the performance and reproductive manipulation of each of our field-collected matriline, established above, during their first (F1) generation on cowpea leaf discs. To measure performance, we counted the number of eggs laid by each mother, and then incubated the disks, and, once progeny started to eclose, counted and sexed adult offspring every 48 hours. We measured the percentage of offspring surviving to adulthood, and the development time (days to

eclosion). The percentage of unhatched eggs was determined at the conclusion of the experiment by counting unhatched eggs remaining on the leaf disk. To assess reproductive manipulation of the whitefly host by *Rickettsia* we also determined the percentage of adult female offspring produced by each mother.

Analysis

We used ANOVA to test for significant differences in *Rickettsia* titer among groups, and Tukey-Kramer pairwise comparisons were performed to relate infection titers of laboratory lines and 2016 field matriline to titers of whiteflies previously collected from the same site in 2011. We similarly used ANOVA to test for significant differences in performance and reproductive effects between R^+ and R^- whiteflies as well as high R^+ titer, low R^+ titer and R^- whiteflies. Count data was square root adjusted and percentage data was arcsin transformed. A post hoc Bonferroni correction was used for multiple comparisons. The symbiont titers across three generations within lines were compared using Pearson's correlations. Results were analyzed using SAS 9.3.

RESULTS

Field frequency of infection

We observed a drop of almost 50% in *Rickettsia* infection frequency of whiteflies in 2015 and 2016 (Maricopa 2015: 58%, n=218 , 2016: 58%, n=85) compared to frequencies measured five years earlier previously 2011([31]; Fig. 1). The decline in *Rickettsia* frequencies was also shown to continue in the sixth year (2017: 36%, n=50; Fig. 1). Since our previous study of whiteflies collected in areas around Arizona and New Mexico in field cotton found that

Rickettsia infection frequency rose rapidly from 1% in 2000 to near-fixation in 2006, the observations taken together show symbiont spread over 6 years, a high frequency for at least five years, and a gradual decline over three – six years (Fig 1). The decrease in *Rickettsia* infection frequencies observed in Maricopa in 2017 were also comparable to those observed in whiteflies collected from melons in Yuma, AZ in the same year, 270 km away (Yuma 2017: 34%, n=50), suggesting that the frequency drop was regional and not limited to a specific location.

Transmission frequency

Himler et al. [9] determined the rate of maternal transmission of *Rickettsia* to whitefly daughters in a laboratory line established from the field in 2006 to be 99.2% (n=1 uninfected out of 120 whitefly females). In the current study in 2016, we found similarly high rates of transmission: In 12 *Rickettsia* infected matriline, whiteflies transmitted the infection to 98.0% of the female offspring sampled (n=2 uninfected out of 100 female offspring in 2 of 12 matriline). In addition, the two matriline that had uninfected offspring in the F1 generation were not the same matriline that had uninfected offspring in either the F2 or F3 generation (F2 uninfected n=0 out of 79 offspring, 0 of 10 matriline; F3 uninfected n=2 out of 74 offspring, 2 of 10 matriline), providing no evidence of heritable variation in symbiont transmission rates.

Titer

Titers of *Rickettsia* in whiteflies collected in the field in 2011 were comparable to the titers of whiteflies from two introgressed laboratory lines established in 2006 and 2009 [9, 33] and resampled in 2016 for the current study (Fig. 2). In contrast, the matriline collected in the field in 2016 display strongly bimodal *Rickettsia* titer. Titer within matriline either showed a significantly lower titer of infection (70% of the time, n= 14 of 20 lines, 6-12 whiteflies/line;

Tukey-Kramer pairwise comparisons, $p < 0.002$), or were comparable to, or in one case significantly higher than the 2011 infection titer (30% of the time, $n=6$ of 20 lines, 6-12 whiteflies/line; Tukey-Kramer pairwise comparisons, Fig. 2). Laboratory lineages established in 2006 and 2009 (“MAC1 and MAC2” of [33, 34]Cass et al. 2016 and Hunter et al. 2017 showed no significant difference in infection titer compared with 2011 field-collected whiteflies (Fig. 2).

Rickettsia titer appeared heritable. Among 10 matriline, 3 of 3 high titer matriline had high titers in F2 and F3 offspring sampled, and 7 of 7 low titer matriline had low titers in F2 and F3 offspring sampled (Pearson’s correlation $p < 0.01$, all generations; F2: $n=6-10$ whiteflies/line, F3: $n=6-11$ whiteflies/line; Fig. 3).

Fitness effects

Rickettsia infection was not significantly associated with improvements in whitefly performance (Fig. 4). We found no significant difference in the number of eggs laid ($F_{1,51}=2.05$, $p=0.1579$; Fig. 4a), percent of offspring surviving to adulthood ($F_{1,51}=1.40$, $p=0.2426$; Fig. 4b) or speed of development to adulthood (female: $F_{1,51}=1.05$, $p=0.3111$; male: $F_{1,39}=0.30$, $p=0.5833$; Figs. 4c-d). In fact, the one statistically significant difference suggested a cost; *Rickettsia* infected whiteflies had significantly lower rates of egg hatching than did uninfected whiteflies ($F_{1,51}=6.14$, $p=0.0165$; Fig. 4e). *Rickettsia* infection also did not significantly affect the percent of female offspring produced compared to uninfected whiteflies ($F_{1,51}=0.50$, $p=0.4807$; Fig. 4f).

Rickettsia titer did not clearly influence whitefly performance. There were no statistically significant differences in performance among the high titer, low titer, and uninfected lines (Fig A1; eggs laid: $F_{2,37}=1.16$, $p=0.3249$; survival: $F_{2,37}=2.74$, $p=0.0775$; female dev.: $F_{2,37}=0.57$, $p=0.5685$; male dev.: $F_{2,36}=1.52$, $p=0.2323$; percent female: $F_{2,37}=0.10$, $p=0.9030$),

except in the case of egg hatch, where an average of 8.4% of eggs from low titer lines failed to hatch compared to 3.6% from high titer lines and 2.9% from R⁻ lines (Fig A1; non-hatching: $F_{2,37}=3.36$, $p=0.0455$).

DISCUSSION

After the dramatic six year sweep of *Rickettsia* infection of its whitefly host in the Southwestern USA, followed by years of near-fixation frequencies, our results indicate that the frequency of *Rickettsia* infection of whiteflies is now undergoing an almost equally dramatic and rapid decline in frequency in Arizona populations, dropping from ~95% in 2011 [31] to as low as 36% a mere six years later. Previous studies have documented the rapid spread of symbionts through populations, generally facilitated by either fitness benefits to the host, reproductive manipulation, or a combination of both factors [5, 41, 42]. Such rapid within-species invasions fit within a broader picture of symbiont-associated diversification, speciation, and the spread of symbionts between species over (relatively) rapid evolutionary time [43]. A decline in the frequency of symbionts within host populations has been less well documented, however, perhaps because some of the factors that we expect to cause decline (e.g. evolution of host resistance, incomplete vertical transmission, drift) may occur over a longer time scale that is difficult to observe [44]. An important exception to this pattern is the example of the facultative symbionts of pea aphids, in which symbiont frequencies fluctuate in response to environmental variables, even within a season [20]. Here we explored three proximate factors that could have driven the decline in *Rickettsia* infection frequency.

We found no evidence of a change in vertical transmission frequency of infection. *Rickettsia* transmission by female whiteflies remained very high, 98%, comparable to the 99% observed seven years earlier [9]. This rate is similar to that of many secondary endosymbionts

which exhibit near-perfect vertical transmission [45, 46]. We cannot rule out the possibility that a decline in vertical transmission rate could have had a role, however. Our vertical transmission results are from a laboratory setting, and factors such as high temperatures or exposure to natural (or manmade) antibiotic substances have been shown to lower symbiont titer and transmission, and may be relevant in the field [47-49].

Within-host density, or symbiont titer, has been linked to transmission rates as well as to the penetrance of symbiont-related effects on host phenotype and sex ratio [25, 27, 28]. We predicted that the observed decline in infection frequency might be driven by a drop in within-host titer of infection, coupled with an associated decrease in fitness benefits of infection. We found marginal support for this prediction. *Rickettsia* titers in whiteflies were, on average, lower than at the time of peak infection frequency, and performance and sex ratio effects of infection also appeared lower or absent. However, about 30% of lines retained high *Rickettsia* titers, comparable to previous observations, and we found no evidence that differences in titer were associated with differences in performance relative to uninfected whiteflies (Fig. A1). While the apparently bimodal pattern of *Rickettsia* titer we observed is intriguing, a targeted study with very high replication might be necessary to discern if any subtle titer-dependent performance costs or benefits exist.

Our results instead support the idea that a decrease in the benefits of infection may have driven the decline in symbiont frequency. By examining matriline established from the field in 2016, we found no association between *Rickettsia* infection in whitefly fecundity, development time, survival to adulthood, or sex ratio. In fact, *Rickettsia*-infected whitefly eggs were slightly less likely to hatch, suggesting a net cost to infection. These data stand in stark contrast to the findings of Himler et al. [9], in which *Rickettsia*-infected individuals had higher fecundity,

shorter development times, higher developmental success, and female-biased sex ratios, relative to uninfected individuals with the same genetic background. The current study results are more similar to *Rickettsia* effects in a second (“MAC2”) background, established a few years later. In MAC2, *Rickettsia* had moderate effects, and a one-generation population cage experiment suggested the symbiont was effectively neutral [33].

One limitation to our ability to compare the current results to those of previous studies is that the role of *Rickettsia* was examined here in matriline established from field-collected mated pairs, and not, as in previous studies, in introgressed laboratory lines. Our approach for the current study allows a much broader sampling of field genotypes, and provides more insight into the full phenotypic range of the host-symbiont interaction in the field. However, due to the variation in genetic background of hosts, we expect this type of analysis to be less sensitive to the effects of *Rickettsia* than when the genetic background has been homogenized via introgression [50]. In spite of this, our data represent a reasonably large sample size, and we found no trends in *Rickettsia* associated differences in sex ratio or benefits to performance.

Given the apparent benefits of *Rickettsia* infection documented at the time of its spread, we might ask: why would this symbiont—or any beneficial symbiont—decline in a host population? We speculate that the observed decline is driven by changes in context-dependent fitness effects of hosting the bacteria. One way in which selection could act is via differences in the interaction of the symbiont with the host nuclear background. While both the fitness benefits and female bias observed in the first laboratory whitefly line (MAC1) should promote spread of the symbiont, the second introgressed line MAC2, as mentioned, showed fewer fitness benefits, but a persistent female sex ratio bias [33]. Female bias will promote symbiont spread, but manipulation of sex ratio should be resisted by the host. We found that the difference in the

phenotypic effects of infection in the two lines could be largely explained by a difference in the host nuclear genotype [34]. Thus, we predict a difference in the way hosts should respond to selection in the two lines, and in the different genotypes of the population as a whole when the symbiont has variable benefits. If a host developed resistance to infection (either through exerting control of titer or directly on the sex ratio phenotype) we would expect resistance to spread in genotypes like the MAC2 line where infection is costly. If the MAC2 type host genotypes are selectively competitive, we would predict a decline in *Rickettsia* infection across the population. Such shifts in host genotypes might be driven by environmental change, or by frequency or titer-dependent effects (e.g., a nucleotype with a novel fitness strategy may become advantageous only when *Rickettsia*-infection is widespread)[47]. We cannot say what caused the decline with certainty, but we think the variable benefits of infection among host genotypes that we observed could have been the starting point for the development of host resistance to infection among some genotypes, and subsequent dominance of those genotypes.

The life cycle of a symbiont- host relationship

While our understanding of how symbionts spread is supported by a growing body of evidence, the decline and loss of a symbiont partner has rarely been documented, and then only because of replacement by another microbial partner [41, 51] or by environmental effects on the symbiont rather than the host. For example, coral that hosts less thermal-tolerant protist symbiont strains is more prone to climate change-induced symbiont death and subsequent coral bleaching [52]. Our study provides a unique image of the full ‘life cycle’ of a host-symbiont partnership. Although some host-symbiont life cycles may occur over millions of years [22], the current study results raises the possibility that the rapid formation and breakdown of host-symbiont partnerships may also be common and part of a largely unobserved, phenomenon [53].

The evolution of populations can be fundamentally altered by relationships with symbionts that can develop and break down again within decades, relationships which we might never know had existed if we sampled after they had disappeared. While gaining a symbiont may fundamentally change the biology and genome of a host, losing it will not return the host population to its original state, and is likely to be no less of a transformation. For example, symbiont sweeps will drag all other maternally inherited DNA - mitochondrial haplotypes and other symbiont genotypes- with them, reducing variation in mtDNA, creating new cyto-nuclear conflicts and skewing reconstructions of historical patterns of population demographics and speciation [54, 55].

The life cycle of invasion and decline of *Rickettsia* in whiteflies that we observed could be one example of a large, replicated natural experiment around the world. The invasive *B. tabaci* MEAM1 species was introduced to countries around the world in the last several decades, and infected with *Rickettsia* at varying frequencies [56-59]. In Israel, although a rise in infection was not documented, we saw a similar decline of *Rickettsia* infection in MEAM1 over a decade [31]. In Asia, *Rickettsia* has climbed to near fixation in whiteflies in Japan [58] and has been documented at high frequency in China [56, 59]. It would be very interesting to follow *Rickettsia* frequency in these areas to determine whether it will also drop after a time.

Lastly, documenting and understanding symbiont declines is likely to be relevant for the application of symbiont-based control strategies. Particular CI *Wolbachia* have been shown to cause mosquitoes to become refractory to some vector-borne pathogens of humans [60-62]. The introduction and subsequent drive of these symbionts into mosquito populations in the field has been successfully associated with a decline in human arboviruses [63, 64]. While the exciting promise of this approach is a stable transformation of mosquito populations and long-term

decline of disease, the current study points to the possibility of symbiont-host interactions being labile, and frequencies dynamic, underlining the recognized importance of long term monitoring of symbiont frequencies in these programs [65].

Figure 1.

Figure 1: Percentage of *Rickettsia* infected field-collected female whiteflies from Maricopa, AZ field site. Data for the samples from 2000-2011 were published previously (Himler et al. 2011, Cass et al. 2015).

393 **Figure 2.**

396 **Figure 2.** The average relative titer of *Rickettsia gltA* genes in adult female whiteflies from each
 397 lineage relative to host DNA (β -actin), plotted on a logarithmic scale. Asterisks show groups
 398 with least-square means that were statistically significantly different from the 2011 field
 399 infection level (first bar) using Tukey-Kramer pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni correction
 400 for multiple comparisons. Error bars show standard error. N=6-12 individuals for each of the
 401 samples.

Figure 3.

Figure 3. The average relative titer of *Rickettsia* in adult female whiteflies from each of three generations of 10 matriline groups compared to host DNA (*actin*). Matriline groups are categorized as “low titer” or “high titer” based on previous comparisons of F1 whitefly *Rickettsia* levels to whiteflies collected from the field in 2011. Error bars show standard error (n = 6–12 whiteflies per line in each generation).

412 **Figure 4.**

413

414 **Figure 4.** Boxplots of outcomes for F1 offspring of uninfected whitefly mothers (R^- , $n=22$) vs
 415 infected whitefly mothers (R^+ , $n=31$). The dividing line in each box refers to the median value
 416 and the bottom and top of the box enclose the first and third quartile, respectively. The lines
 417 indicate the range of the data. The asterisk indicates a significant difference between R^- and R^+
 418 whiteflies ($P<0.05$).

419

420

421 **Figure 5:**

422

423 **Figure 5.** Boxplots of outcomes for F1 offspring of uninfected whitefly mothers (R^- , $n=22$) vs
 424 infected whitefly mothers (R^+ , $n=31$; whiteflies collected in 2016). Infected whiteflies were
 425 categorized as “high R^+ ” if their infection titer was found to be as high or higher than 2011 R^+
 426 whiteflies ($n=6$), or as “low R^+ ” if their infection titer was found to be significantly lower than
 427 2011 R^+ whiteflies ($n=12$). The dividing line in each box refers to the median value and the
 428 bottom and top of the box enclose the first and third quartile, respectively. The lines indicate the
 429 range of the data. Asterisks indicate significant differences among groups ($P<0.05$).

430 **REFERENCES CITED**

- 431
- 432 1. Russell JA, Moran NA (2006) Costs and benefits of symbiont infection in aphids:
- 433 variation among symbionts and across temperatures. *Proc Roy Soc London B* 273: 603-
- 434 610.
- 435 2. Henry LM, Peccoud J, Simon JC, Hadfield JD, Maiden MJC, Ferrari J, Godfray HCJ
- 436 (2013) Horizontally transmitted symbionts and host colonization of ecological niches.
- 437 *Current Biology* 23: 1713-1717. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.07.029
- 438 3. Oliver KM, Russell JA, Moran NA, Hunter MS (2003) Facultative bacterial symbionts in
- 439 aphids confer resistance to parasitic wasps. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100: 1803-1807.
- 440 4. Xie JL, Vilchez I, Mateos M (2010) *Spiroplasma* bacteria enhance survival of *Drosophila*
- 441 *hydei* attacked by the parasitic wasp *Leptopilina heterotoma*. *PLoS One* 5. doi:
- 442 10.1371/journal.pone.0012149
- 443 5. Jaenike J, Unckless R, Cockburn SN, Boelio LM, Perlman SJ (2010) Adaptation via
- 444 symbiosis: Recent spread of a *Drosophila* defensive symbiont. *Science* 329: 212-215.
- 445 doi: 10.1126/science.1188235
- 446 6. Lukasik P, Guo H, Van Asch M, Ferrari J, Godfray HCJ (2013) Protection against a
- 447 fungal pathogen conferred by the aphid facultative endosymbionts *Rickettsia* and
- 448 *Spiroplasma* is expressed in multiple host genotypes and species and is not influenced by
- 449 co-infection with another symbiont. *J of Evol Biol* 26: 2654-2661. doi:
- 450 10.1111/jeb.12260
- 451 7. Kaiser W, Huguet E, Casas J, Commin C, Giron D (2010) Plant green-island phenotype
- 452 induced by leaf-miners is mediated by bacterial symbionts. *Proceedings of the Royal*
- 453 *Society B-Biological Sciences* 277: 2311-2319. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2010.0214

- 454 8. Wagner SM, Martinez AJ, Ruan YM, Kim KL, Lenhart PA, Dehnel AC, Oliver KM,
 455 White JA (2015) Facultative endosymbionts mediate dietary breadth in a polyphagous
 456 herbivore. *Funct Ecol* 29: 1402-1410. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12459
- 457 9. Himler AG, Adachi-Hagimori T, Bergen JE, Kozuch A, Kelly SE, Tabashnik BE, Chiel
 458 E, Duckworth VE, Dennehy TJ, Zchori-Fein E, Hunter MS (2011) Rapid spread of a
 459 bacterial symbiont in an invasive whitefly is driven by fitness benefits and female bias.
 460 *Science* 332: 254-256. doi: 10.1126/science.1199410
- 461 10. O'Neill SL, Hoffmann AA, Werren JH (1997) Influential passengers. Oxford University
 462 Press, New York, pp. 214.
- 463 11. McCutcheon JP, Moran NA (2007) Parallel genomic evolution and metabolic
 464 interdependence in an ancient symbiosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104: 19392-19397.
 465 doi: 10.1073/pnas.0708855104
- 466 12. Tamas I, Klasson L, Canback B, Naslund AK, Eriksson AS, Wernegreen JJ, Sandstrom
 467 JP, Moran NA, Andersson SGE (2002) 50 million years of genomic stasis in
 468 endosymbiotic bacteria. *Science* 296: 2376-2379. doi: 10.1126/science.1071278
- 469 13. Buchner P (1965) Endosymbiosis of animals with plant microorganisms (Interscience,
 470 New York), p 909.
- 471 14. Moran NA, Degnan PH (2006) Functional genomics of *Buchnera* and the ecology of
 472 aphid hosts. *Mol Ecol* 15: 1251-1261. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02744.x
- 473 15. Snyder AK, Rio RVM (2015) "*Wigglesworthia morsitans*" folate (Vitamin B-9)
 474 biosynthesis contributes to tsetse host fitness. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*
 475 81: 5375-5386. doi: 10.1128/aem.00553-15

- 476 16. Michalkova V, Benoit JB, Weiss BL, Attardo GM, Aksoy S (2014) Vitamin B-6
 477 generated by obligate symbionts is critical for maintaining proline homeostasis and
 478 fecundity in tsetse flies. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 80: 5844-5853. doi:
 479 10.1128/aem.01150-14
- 480 17. Hedges LM, Brownlie JC, O'Neill SL, Johnson KN (2008) *Wolbachia* and virus
 481 protection in insects. *Science* 322: 702-702.
- 482 18. Teixeira L, Ferreira A, Ashburner M (2008) The bacterial symbiont *Wolbachia* induces
 483 resistance to RNA viral infections in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS Biology* 6: 2753-
 484 2763.
- 485 19. Brownlie JC, Cass BN, Riegler M, Witsenburg JJ, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, McGraw EA,
 486 O'Neill SL (2009) Evidence for metabolic provisioning by a common invertebrate
 487 endosymbiont, *Wolbachia pipientis*, during periods of nutritional stress. *PLoS Pathogens*
 488 5.
- 489 20. Smith AH, Lukasik P, O'Connor MP, Lee A, Mayo G, Drott MT, Doll S, Tuttle R,
 490 Disciullo RA, Messina A, Oliver KM, Russell JA (2015) Patterns, causes and
 491 consequences of defensive microbiome dynamics across multiple scales. *Mol Ecol* 24:
 492 1135-1149. doi: 10.1111/mec.13095
- 493 21. Oliver KM, Campos J, Moran NA, Hunter MS (2008) Population dynamics of defensive
 494 symbionts in aphids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 275: 293-
 495 299.
- 496 22. Bailly-Bechet M, Martins-Simoes P, Szollosi GJ, Mialdea G, Sagot MF, Charlat S (2017)
 497 How long does *Wolbachia* remain on board? *Mol Biol Evol* 34: 1183-1193. doi:
 498 10.1093/molbev/msx073

- 499 23. Jaenike J (2012) Population genetics of beneficial heritable symbionts. Trends in Ecology
500 & Evolution 27: 226-232. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2011.10.005
- 501 24. Breeuwer JAJ, Werren JH (1993) Cytoplasmic incompatibility and bacterial density in
502 *Nasonia vitripennis*. Genetics 135: 565-574.
- 503 25. McGraw EA, Merritt DJ, Droller JN, O'Neill SL (2002) *Wolbachia* density and virulence
504 attenuation after transfer into a novel host. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 2918-2923.
- 505 26. Duron O, Bernard C, Unal S, Berthomieu A, Berticat C, Weill M (2006) Tracking factors
506 modulating cytoplasmic incompatibilities in the mosquito *Culex pipiens*. Mol Ecol 15:
507 3061-3071.
- 508 27. Bordenstein SR, Marshall ML, Fry AJ, Kim U, Wernegreen JJ (2006) The tripartite
509 associations between bacteriophage, *Wolbachia*, and arthropods. PLoS Pathogens 2: 384-
510 393. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0020043
- 511 28. Jaenike J (2009) Coupled population dynamics of endosymbionts within and between
512 hosts. Oikos 118: 353-362. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2008.17110.x
- 513 29. Dinsdale A, Cook L, Riginos C, Buckley YM, De Barro P (2010) Refined global analysis
514 of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aleyrodoidea: Aleyrodidae)
515 mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 to identify species level genetic boundaries. Ann
516 Entomol Soc Amer 103: 196-208.
- 517 30. Zhu DT, Xia WQ, Rao Q, Liu SS, Ghanim M, Wang XW (2016) Sequencing and
518 comparison of the Rickettsia genomes from the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* Middle East Asia
519 Minor I. Insect Science 23: 531-542. doi: 10.1111/1744-7917.12367

- 520 31. Cass BN, Yallouz R, Bondy EC, Mozes-Daube N, Horowitz AR, Kelly SE, Zchori-Fein
 521 E, Hunter MS (2015) Dynamics of the endosymbiont *Rickettsia* in an insect pest.
 522 Microbial Ecology 70: 287-297. doi: 10.1007/s00248-015-0565-z
- 523 32. Hendry TA, Hunter MS, Baltrus DA (2014) The facultative symbiont *Rickettsia* protects
 524 an invasive whitefly against entomopathogenic *Pseudomonas syringae* strains. Applied
 525 and Environmental Microbiology 80: 7161-7168. doi: 10.1128/Aem.02447-14
- 526 33. Cass BN, Himler AG, Bondy EC, Bergen JE, Fung SK, Kelly SE, Hunter MS (2016)
 527 Conditional fitness benefits of the *Rickettsia* bacterial symbiont in an insect pest.
 528 Oecologia 180: 169-179. doi: 10.1007/s00442-015-3436-x
- 529 34. Hunter MS, Asiimwe P, Himler AG, Kelly SE (2017) Host nuclear genotype influences
 530 phenotype of a conditional mutualist symbiont. J of Evol Biol 30: 141-149. doi:
 531 10.1111/jeb.12993
- 532 35. Ellsworth PC, Martinez-Carrillo JL (2001) IPM for *Bemisia tabaci*: a case study from
 533 North America. Crop Protection 20: 853-869. doi: 10.1016/s0261-2194(01)00116-8
- 534 36. White JA, Kelly SE, Perlman SJ, Hunter MS (2009) Cytoplasmic incompatibility in the
 535 parasitic wasp *Encarsia inaron*: disentangling the roles of *Cardinium* and *Wolbachia*
 536 symbionts. Heredity 102: 483-489. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2009.5
- 537 37. Chiel E, Zchori-Fein E, Inbar M, Gottlieb Y, Adachi-Hagimori T, Kelly SE, Asplen MK,
 538 Hunter MS (2009) Almost there: Transmission routes of bacterial symbionts between
 539 trophic levels. PLoS One 4.
- 540 38. De Barro PJ, Scott KD, Graham GC, Lange CL, Schutze MK (2003) Isolation and
 541 characterization of microsatellite loci in *Bemisia tabaci*. Molecular Ecology Notes 3: 40-
 542 43.

- 543 39. Caspi-Fluger A, Inbar M, Mozes-Daube N, Mouton L, Hunter MS, Zchori-Fein E (2011)
- 544 *Rickettsia* 'In' and 'Out': Two Different Localization Patterns of a Bacterial Symbiont in
- 545 the Same Insect Species. PLoS One 6: e21096. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021096
- 546 40. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-
- 547 time quantitative PCR and the 2(T)(-Delta Delta C) method. Methods 25: 402-408. doi:
- 548 10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- 549 41. Kriesner P, Hoffmann AA, Lee SF, Turelli M, Weeks AR (2013) Rapid sequential spread
- 550 of two *Wolbachia* variants in *Drosophila simulans*. PLoS Pathogens 9. doi:
- 551 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003607
- 552 42. Turelli M, Hoffman AA (1991) Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in
- 553 California *Drosophila*. Nature 353: 440-442.
- 554 43. Turelli M, Cooper BS, Richardson KM, Ginsberg PS, Peckenpaugh B, Antelope CX,
- 555 Kim KJ, May MR, Abrieux A, Wilson DA, Bronski MJ, Moore BR, Gao JJ, Eisen MB,
- 556 Chiu JC, Conner WR, Hoffmann AA (2018) Rapid global spread of *w*Ri-like *Wolbachia*
- 557 across multiple *Drosophila*. Current Biology 28: 963-+. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2018.02.015
- 558 44. Turelli M (1994) Evolution of incompatibility-inducing microbes and their hosts.
- 559 Evolution 48: 1500-1513.
- 560 45. Rasgon JL, Scott TW (2003) *Wolbachia* and cytoplasmic incompatibility in the
- 561 California *Culex pipiens* mosquito species complex: Parameter estimates and infection
- 562 dynamics in natural populations. Genetics 165: 2029-2038.
- 563 46. Sandström JP, Russell JA, White JP, Moran NA (2001) Independent origins and
- 564 horizontal transfer of bacterial symbionts of aphids. Mol Ecol 10: 217-228.

- 565 47. Hoffmann AA, Hercus M, Dagher H (1998) Population dynamics of the *Wolbachia*
 566 infection causing cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* 148:
 567 221-231.
- 568 48. Bordenstein SR, Bordenstein SR (2011) Temperature affects the tripartite interactions
 569 between bacteriophage WO, *Wolbachia*, and cytoplasmic incompatibility. *PLoS One* 6.
 570 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029106
- 571 49. Stevens L, Wicklow DT (1992) Multispecies interactions affect cytoplasmic
 572 incompatibility in *Tribolium* flour beetles. *Am Nat* 140: 642-653.
- 573 50. Weeks AR, Reynolds T, Hoffmann AA (2002) *Wolbachia* dynamics and host effects:
 574 What has (and has not) been demonstrated? *TREE* 17: 257-262.
- 575 51. Riegler M, Sidhu M, Miller WJ, O'Neill SL (2005) Evidence for a global *Wolbachia*
 576 replacement in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Current Biology* 15: 1428-1433. doi:
 577 10.1016/j.cub.2005.06.069
- 578 52. Rowan R, Knowlton N, Baker A, Jara J (1997) Landscape ecology of algal symbionts
 579 creates variation in episodes of coral bleaching. *Nature* 388: 265-269. doi: Doi
 580 10.1038/40843
- 581 53. Haselkorn TS, Jaenike J (2015) Macroevolutionary persistence of heritable
 582 endosymbionts: acquisition, retention and expression of adaptive phenotypes in
 583 *Spiroplasma*. *Mol Ecol* 24: 3752-3765. doi: 10.1111/mec.13261
- 584 54. Hurst GDD, Jiggins FM (2005) Problems with mitochondrial DNA as a marker in
 585 population, phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies: the effects of inherited symbionts.
 586 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 272: 1525-1534.

- 587 55. Gebiola M, Kelly SE, Hammerstein P, Giorgini M, Hunter MS (2016) "Darwin's
588 corollary" and cytoplasmic incompatibility induced by *Cardinium* may contribute to
589 speciation in *Encarsia* wasps (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). *Evolution* 70: 2447-2458.
590 doi: 10.1111/evo.13037
- 591 56. Chu D, Gao CS, De Barro P, Zhang YJ, Wan FH, Khan IA (2011) Further insights into
592 the strange role of bacterial endosymbionts in whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*: Comparison of
593 secondary symbionts from biotypes B and Q in China. *Bull Entomol Res* 101: 477-486.
594 doi: 10.1017/s0007485311000083
- 595 57. Gueguen G, Vavre F, Gnankine O, Peterschmitt M, Charif D, Chiel E, Gottlieb Y,
596 Ghanim M, Zchori-Fein E, Fleury F (2010) Endosymbiont metacommunities, mtDNA
597 diversity and the evolution of the *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) species
598 complex. *Mol Ecol* 19: 4365-4378.
- 599 58. Fujiwara A, Maekawa K, Tsuchida T (2015) Genetic groups and endosymbiotic
600 microbiota of the *Bemisia tabaci* species complex in Japanese agricultural sites. *J Appl*
601 *Entomol* 139: 55-66. doi: 10.1111/jen.12171
- 602 59. Bing XL, Ruan YM, Rao Q, Wang XW, Liu SS (2013) Diversity of secondary
603 endosymbionts among different putative species of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*. *Insect*
604 *Science* 20: 194-206. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7917.2012.01522.x
- 605 60. Flores HA, O'Neill SL (2018) Controlling vector-borne diseases by releasing modified
606 mosquitoes. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 16: 508-518. doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0025-
607 0
- 608 61. Moreira LA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Jeffery JA, Lu GJ, Pyke AT, Hedges LM, Rocha BC,
609 Hall-Mendelin S, Day A, Riegler M, Hugo LE, Johnson KN, Kay BH, McGraw EA, van

- den Hurk AF, Ryan PA, O'Neill SL (2009) A *Wolbachia* symbiont in *Aedes aegypti* limits infection with Dengue, Chikungunya, and *Plasmodium*. Cell 139: 1268-1278. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.042
62. Walker T, Johnson PH, Moreira LA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Frentiu FD, McMeniman CJ, Leong YS, Dong Y, Axford J, Kriesner P, Lloyd AL, Ritchie SA, O'Neill SL, Hoffmann AA (2011) The wMel *Wolbachia* strain blocks dengue and invades caged *Aedes aegypti* populations. Nature 476: 450-453. doi: 10.1038/nature10355
63. van den Hurk AF, Hall-Mendelin S, Pyke AT, Frentiu FD, McElroy K, Day A, Higgs S, O'Neill SL (2012) Impact of *Wolbachia* on infection with Chikungunya and Yellow Fever viruses in the mosquito vector *Aedes aegypti*. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 6. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0001892
64. Carrington LB, Tran BCN, Le NTH, Luong TTH, Nguyen TT, Nguyen PT, Nguyen CVV, Nguyen HTC, Vu TT, Vo LT, Le DT, Vu NT, Nguyen GT, Luu HQ, Dang AD, Hurst TP, O'Neill SL, Tran VT, Kien DTH, Nguyen NM, Wolbers M, Wills B, Simmons CP (2018) Field-and clinically derived estimates of *Wolbachia*-mediated blocking of dengue virus transmission potential in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115: 361-366. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1715788115
65. Ritchie SA, van den Hurk AF, Smout MJ, Staunton KM, Hoffmann AA (2018) Mission accomplished? We need a guide to the 'post release' world of *Wolbachia* for *Aedes*-borne disease control. Trends in Parasitology 34: 217-226. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2017.11.011