

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE FACULTY SENATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

Room 101, Law Building, Monday, February 5, 1951

The Faculty Senate met in regular session at 3:40 p.m. on Monday, February 5, 1951, in Room 101 of the Law Building. President McCormick presided, and Mr. Windsor attended for Mr. Leshner. Twenty-six members were present.

The Minutes of January 8 were approved as published.

CATALOG MATERIAL, APPROVAL OF: Mr. Windsor called attention to the following typographical errors in the catalog material as published:

- p. 50. Pharmacy 136 should be scheduled for the first semester
- p. 60.k. Law 168 (Security Transactions)....4 units
- p. 69. Add at very bottom of page:

Humanities	4	Humanities	4
Health Educ.	2	Social Science	3
Social Science	3	Psychology la	3

- p. 71. (Page 211) Line 19, change to read: "251. Advanced Ed. Psych..."

With these changes, the material was accepted.

ARIZONA COLLEGE ASSOCIATION, ELECTION OF COUNCIL MEMBERS TO: President McCormick reported the results of the preliminary balloting for the University of Arizona representatives to the Council of the Arizona College Association. Twenty-five ballots were returned to Dr. Nugent's office, nominating Drs. Roy and Solve as the two Association members receiving the highest number of votes. The President asked whether the Senate would care to elect these two persons or to vote on the highest four candidates.

Upon motion by Dean Andersen, seconded by Dr. Schneck, Drs. Roy and Solve were elected Council members of the Arizona College Association from the University of Arizona.

COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS OF PROBATION, FURTHER REPORT OF: Dr. Roy stated that his committee wishes to withdraw point three of the recommendations (see page 22) which had been referred back for rewording (see page 33). The general feeling was that the matter of disqualification is adequately taken care of by the scholarship committees at present. Also, the committee felt that the recommendation might not have been included had world conditions when the report was drafted been as they are at present.

Dean Andersen moved that the withdrawal of the recommendation be accepted by the Senate. The motion was seconded by Dean Brown and carried. (The recommendation concerned automatic disqualification after failure to meet scholarship standards for two successive semesters.)

CLASSIFICATION OF STAFF MEMBERS, FURTHER DISCUSSION OF REPORT OF: Dean Harvill recalled the action of the Senate at its meeting of January 8 in approving the deletion of the names of all staff members from the biennial catalogue and asked the Senate's pleasure regarding the printing of a faculty and staff directory immediately after the opening of the first semester each fall, which would be made available to all departments. Dean Harvill moved that the Administration be asked to publish such a directory each year. Dr. Garretson seconded the motion, which carried.

Part II. Academic Procession (page 38). Dean Harvill explained that the listing of faculty and staff, as approved for the catalog, was used as the basis for the recommendations on the Academic Procession and the voting faculty. He enumerated the points individually, asking the Senate's pleasure on each. He moved that the platform and professorial groups be approved as listed. This motion was seconded by Dean Andersen.

There was considerable objection to the inclusion of the Business Office Manager, the Purchasing Agent, the Superintendent of Buildings and Grounds, the Graduate Manager, and the Executive Secretary of the Alumni Association in the Academic Procession. The President pointed out that these persons probably would not be offended in any way if they were excluded from the procession entirely.

Dean Harvill told the Senate that all are included now, with the exception of the Business Office Manager. He felt there was no serious problem one way or another concerning this group, however. In answer to a question by Mrs. Lindsay, Dr. Harvill stated that there is no standard practice among universities and colleges in regard to the academic procession.

Dr. Hudson remarked that the Committee of Eleven, while not trying to force issues through the Senate, had been dismayed that the group listed from the Comptroller down had been included in the Academic Procession. They had endeavored to set forth a principle that would cover the situation and had arrived at the wording "anyone whose activities had an educational function should be included." He suggested that the Comptroller be included in the platform group because his function is so important and that the Senate consider removing the others from the professorial group.

Dean Harvill again told the Senate that the Committee had no strong feelings about the problem at all.

Dr. Schneck offered an amendment to the Dean's motion to include the Comptroller in the platform group and to eliminate the Purchasing Agent, Business Office Manager, Superintendent of Buildings and Grounds, Graduate Manager, and the Executive Secretary of the Alumni Association from the professor group in the Academic Procession. Dr. Solve seconded the motion to amend.

The amendment carried, and the original motion as amended was passed.

After some discussion on the remainder of Part II, the Senate adopted all points which had not already been approved in that section on a motion by Dean Harvill seconded by Dean Patrick.

Part III. The Voting Faculty (page 39). Dean Harvill moved acceptance of Part III. He explained that the Director of the Extension Division and the Editor of the Arizona Quarterly are voting members of the faculty in other categories. He mentioned that there had been some discussion about the omission of the head resident group, inasmuch as they serve in an advisory capacity, as well as teaching sections in Orientation.

Mrs. Lindsay wondered if it would be possible, when new residents with master's degrees are hired, to give them the title of instructor and head resident and give them teaching assignments, as is done on many campuses.

Dr. Hudson asked how the recommendation changed the present voting faculty and was informed that the only change was the omission of the head residents and the addition of the Business Office Manager.

Miss Lynn asked why the Head Residents were left out of the catalog. Dean Harvill replied that they had tried to follow the policy of listing all the faculty and the administrative personnel and others to the extent that they hold positions which would cause people to want to correspond with them or want to get in touch with them. He added that the proper channel for parents wishing to get in touch with head residents is through the Dean of Men or Dean of Women.

Miss Gillmor expressed the feeling that the Manager of the Visual Aids Bureau should be included in the faculty as her function is very much an educational one.

The question being called for, the Senate adopted Part III of the report of the Committee on the Classification of Staff Members.

REPORT ON GRADE DISTRIBUTION, APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER: Dr. McCormick mentioned that Dr. Nugent had commented that he felt it would be a mistake if this information was presented to any department with the suggestion that that department's grading methods be revised.

Dr. Solve reported that a suggestion had been made in the Advisory Council that it would be wise to have a committee to study the advisability of supplying keys to faculty members. He moved that the President appoint a committee to study the advisability of supplying the key to faculty members, with the suggestion that Dr. Webb and Mrs. Schulman serve on the committee.

The motion was seconded by Dr. Cardon and carried.

There was some discussion as to the size of the committee. Dr. Webb felt five would be the best number, and the Senate generally concurred.

Dr. Barnes expressed the feeling that the Senate's taking no action on this matter at this time should not mean that the distribution of grades in the various departments is approved. Although there may be good explanations of the great variations among departments, he said, on the face the situation seemed very bad.

COMMITTEE ON FACULTY GRIEVANCES AND COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES, CATALOG LISTING OF:

President McCormick asked if the Senate wished to reconsider action taken at the meeting of January 8 listing the Committee on Faculty Grievances and the Committee on Committees as standing University Committees in the catalog. In his opinion, the listing of these two committees and the Committee on Dishonest Scholastic Work was bad from the public relations standpoint.

Dr. Solve stated that he believed the committees should be listed. He felt that the University has nothing to hide and believed the listing served a useful purpose. People reading the catalog would be reassured that the University has means of solving such problems.

Dean Lyons reiterated that the Committee on Grievances was a Senate committee rather than a University committee. He suggested that the committees be listed in the faculty and staff directory, publication of which was approved earlier.

Dean Patrick moved, with a second by Dean Garretson, that the faculty directory which is to be published early each fall should include not only the Committee on Committees and its personnel but the Committee on Dishonest Scholastic Work and the Grievances Committee and such other Senate committees as are working on academic problems and whose listing would be useful to faculty members.

Mrs. Lindsay asked how a student can know that the University disciplines dishonest students, if the catalog does not list the Committee on Dishonest Scholastic Work.

Dr. Rhodes said the catalog listing of the Dishonest Scholastic Committee, in his opinion, served as a deterrent to cheating.

Dean Patrick moved, with a second by Dr. Garretson, that the Senate action of January 8 regarding the catalog listing of the Committee on Faculty Grievances and the Committee on Committees be reconsidered. This motion was adopted.

Dean Patrick, with the approval of his second, restated the motion as follows: That the Committee on Committees and the Committee on Grievances and other Senate Committees working on current academic problems be included in the fall directory of faculty and staff and that they not be included in the catalog. It was understood that the catalog will continue to list the Committee on Dishonest Scholastic Work.

The motion was carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:30 p.m.


David L. Windsor
Secretary Pro Tem

mle