

The University of Arizona

Proceedings of the Faculty Senate

Meeting of Monday

April 5, 1965

PRESENT: Bartlett, Beattie, Brewer, Carlson, Chadwick, Cockrum, Delaplane, Forrester, Gegenheimer, Gillmor, Gries, Hall, Harvill, Hudson, Hull, Kassander, Kemmerer, Little, Lynn, Lyons, Muir, Myers, Patrick, Paylore, Picard, Quinn, Rhodes, Roy, Sorensen, Stanislawski, Svob, Vavich, Voris, Wilson, Windsor.

ABSENT: Blecha, Blitzler, Coleman, Coulter, DuVal, Edwin Gaines, Pendleton Gaines, Harris, Harshbarger, Hillman, Johnson, Martin, McMillan, Paulsen, Powell, Rappeport, Siegel, Simonian, Wallraff.

MINUTES OF MEETING OF MARCH 15, 1965, ADDITION TO: The minutes of the March 15 meeting of the Senate were approved as published and distributed, with one addition. Mr. Windsor pointed out that the minutes failed to report that following acceptance by the Senate of the report of the Special Committee on Faculty Tenure, a motion had been made by Dr. Hillman commending the work of the special committee made up of Dr. Albert Gegenheimer, Dr. Raymond H. Thompson, Dr. M. G. Vavich, and Dr. Currin V. Shields - Chairman. Dr. Hillman's motion had been seconded by Dr. Siegel and Dr. Harris and had passed unanimously.

CATALOGUE MATERIAL: The following new summer session courses and changes in old courses were presented and accepted: New Courses: Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering 218i, Reliability Testing (3) Kececioglu; Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering 228i, Reliability Management (3) Kececioglu; Education 233i, Workshop on the Teaching of English in the Secondary School (2) Caldwell-Huggins-Conroy-Cook; English 217i, Advanced Study in English, for Teachers (6) Huggins-Conroy-Cook; Speech 7226s, Studies in Speech and Language (3) Dimmick; Changes: Mathematics 8110i, UICSM Modern Algebra (1), change to Mathematics 214i (4) (originally approved as a 4 unit course); and Mathematics 231i, Introduction to Modern Algebra (4) (reactivate course originated in 1958, also offered in 1962.)

BALLOTING ON REPORT OF SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON FACULTY TENURE - STATEMENT OF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES REGARDING APPOINTMENT, REAPPOINTMENT, NON-RETENTION, TENURE, PROMOTION, RESIGNATION, AND DISMISSAL OF ACADEMIC PERSONNEL, REPORT RE: Mr. Windsor reported that the final tabulation of the mail ballot of the voting faculty on acceptance of the report of the Special Committee on Faculty Tenure, as modified by the Faculty Senate on March 15, 1965, and at a meeting of the General Faculty on March 16, 1965, showed approval of the statement of policy recommended in the report as follows:

Yes 634

No 77

HONORARY DEGREES: The Senate received three recommendations for the awarding of honorary degrees at the May 26, 1965 Commencement. The Senate voted to recommend to the General Faculty and the Board of Regents the awarding of these honorary degrees - one Doctor of Laws degree, one Doctor of Science degree, and one Doctor of Humane Letters degree.

POINT OF ORIGIN OF RECOMMENDATION FOR AN HONORARY DEGREE, DISCUSSION RE:

Dr. Harvill referred to a section of the statement of policy governing the awarding of honorary degrees adopted by the Faculty Senate in April 1955 which reads:

"The policy of departmental approval of nominees should admit of qualification. There might be cases where more than one department should be concerned. It should be possible, also, to reconsider any nominee who has not received departmental approval, if cause can be shown."

He explained that the question had been raised whether or not a recommendation for an honorary degree might appropriately come from a non-teaching department of the University. He said that the point had been made that a division of the University, possibly an administrative one, not offering course work, but made up of a group of professional persons who are members of the faculty might wish to propose someone for an honorary degree. Should that division have the privilege of submitting a recommendation to the Senate since no channel exists for a proposal to be routed through a college faculty as is the case when a proposal originates with an academic department?

Several members of the Senate remarked that they felt it would be entirely appropriate for honorary degree proposals to originate in departments other than academic ones. The fact remains that any proposal would have to be approved by the Faculty Senate and by the General Faculty before being forwarded to the Board of Regents for consideration. No member of the Senate voiced any reservations about or objections to honorary degrees being so proposed.

David L. Windsor, Secretary