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ABSTRACT

The dynamic response of subsurface cracks in fiber reinforced composites is analytically studied. The response of layered half-space and three-layered plate with two interface cracks excited by a plane SH-wave and line load respectively are studied by formulating the problem as integral equations in the frequency domain. The governing equations along with boundary, regularity and continuity conditions across the interface are reduced to a coupled set of singular integral equations by using Betti’s reciprocal theorem along with the Green’s functions. In addition, the transient response of an orthotropic half-space with a subsurface crack subjected to inplane line load at an arbitrary angle is analyzed. Two new Green’s functions for the uncracked medium are developed and used along with the representation theorem to derive the scattered field. Satisfaction of the traction free condition at the crack surfaces gives rise to a system of singular integral equations.

Singular integrals involved in the analysis are computed numerically by removing the poles. Part of the integrals containing the poles are then obtained analytically by using residue theorem. The solution of singular integral equations are obtained by expanding the unknown crack opening displacements (COD) in terms of a complete set of Chebychev polynomials. The problem is first solved in the frequency domain, the time histories are then obtained numerically by inverting the spectra via Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) routine.

Numerical results are presented for isotropic and anisotropic materials for several different crack geometries. The results show significant influence of crack geometries and material properties on the COD and surface response of composites.
1.1 MOTIVATION

In the recent years laminated composites have been used increasingly because of their high strength and modulus of elasticity combined with their light weight. These laminated composites are formed by combining very thin laminae (known as preimpregnated tape or prepeg) of a two phase material composed of randomly distributed parallel fiber embedded in a matrix. A number of laminae are bonded together by a matrix material, usually the same matrix material as in the laminae. It is well known that laminated fiber reinforced composites often suffer significant internal damage due to cooling during the manufacturing process, dynamic loads associated with impact or thermal shock during its service life or due to high stresses induced in any other way. The damage may involve matrix cracking, fiber breakage and debonding as well as delamination between the two adjacent laminae. Such damage causes severe loss in load carrying capacity of the structure. For quality control and for assuring safety during the service life of composites internal damages must be detected. One of the most practical methods for accomplishing this, in the case of engineering interest, utilizes the scattering of elastic waves and the subsequent detection of these scattered waves by an appropriate transducer. The goal of the present work is to contribute to the theoretical basis of detecting subsurface cracks by these means. In this study, attention is restricted to the subsurface cracks only.

The central issue that needs to be addressed here is the determination of wave fields within reasonably realistic models of the composites when they are
subjected to given dynamic loads which are representative of the impact or non-destructive evaluation (NDE) tests. For laminated composites if the rate of loading is slow so that the generated waves are long compared to its overall dimensions, then quasi-static and thin plate approximation (Joshi and Sun, 1985) may be used with reasonably good results. In the case of NDE applications, one is required to use elastic waves of wavelengths comparable to or much smaller than the thickness of individual laminae. It is clear that neither quasi-static approximations nor thin plate theories are adequate for such problems. A more realistic approach would be to model the laminated solid as a multilayered medium in which each lamina has certain directional (anisotropic) properties and formulate the resulting mathematical problem on the basis of linear elasto-dynamic fracture theories. This model is still approximate since the individual fibers in the lamina and matrix layers between the lamina are not explicitly modelled. However, in most applications the wave lengths are much larger than the fiber diameters and each lamina can be adequately modelled as orthotropic materials.

1.2 A REVIEW OF ANALYTICAL FRACTURE MECHANICS

The fundamental investigations concerning the behaviour of cracks in materials were initiated by Griffith (1921, 1924) in the early part of this century. In particular, Griffith considered the thermodynamics of brittle fracture, treating the crack as a closed system and evaluating the free energy change involved in its formation. The valuable contributions of Griffith in understanding brittle crack behaviour are extremely general, mainly due to the power of the energy formulation approach. In the following three decades not much attention was paid to the crack problems until Williams (1957, 1959) first examined the singular character of the stresses at the crack tips. An analysis leading to the stress field expressions about an elastic
crack subjected to three modes of deformation, namely the opening, sliding and tearing modes led Irwin (1957, 1960) to formulate stress intensity factors ($K_I$, $K_{II}$, $K_{III}$) for each of these modes. Barenblatt (1962) proposed an analytical model where the crack tip singularity could be eliminated by a superposition of cohesive forces on the elastic stress field at the tip.

Meanwhile, the development of elasticity theory to include various distortions showed that dislocations are fundamental elastic entities characterized by the geometry of the surrounding medium as well as the nature of the stress singularity (Volterra, 1907; Love, 1944). The equivalence between the continuum mechanics and those of dislocation theory as applied to the study of cracks has been proved by the development of a very rigorous theory which utilizes the energy-momentum tensor (Eshelby, 1956). The force acting on a singularity in terms of the energy-momentum tensor represents a more general approach than that developed from the linear elastic methods applied specifically to cracks. In fact, the realization that cracks are only a special case of more generalized distortions becomes clear from a more extensive study which utilizes the method of differential geometry (Marcinkowski 1979a, b).

Although earlier theoretical developments were aimed at understanding brittle crack behaviour, it has become evident from experiments that most materials, with few exceptions, are ductile and therefore linear elastic analysis should be modified accordingly. A model for plane stress yielding proposed by Dugdale (1960) is similar to the cohesive force model of Barenblatt (1962). The result derived from the energy-momentum tensor concept applied to elastic cracks (Eshelby, 1956) has been extended to include plastic cracks by Rice (1968) by defining a path independent integral known as the J-integral.
In all the work mentioned so far, analyses of cracks were based on the assumption that there is no contact between the crack surfaces. Comninou (Comninou, 1977a, b, Comninou and Schemueser, 1978) introduced the idea of a small zone of non-linear material response coming from the mechanical contact of the crack surfaces near the crack tip to take care of the physical unrealities of the oscillatory singularity in the stress field near the tip of an interface crack.

Microcracking in a process zone near macrocrack tips has been observed in many brittle materials (Hoagland et al., 1973). Interaction of the microcrack array with the main crack can significantly alter the stress concentration at the main crack tip. Depending on the geometry of the microcrack array, it can either increase the effective stress intensity factor (SIF) (stress amplification) or decrease it (stress shielding or ‘toughening by microcracking’). In the recent years much effort has been made to study the behavior of such multiple cracks. Chudnovosky et al. (Chudnovosky and Kachanov, 1983; Chudnovosky, Dolgopolsky and Kachanov, 1987) solved the problem by relating tractions on individual cracks to self consistancy conditions. Tractions on microcracks were approximated by Taylor’s polynomials and polynomial coefficients for different cracks were related through potential representations (applicable for both 2-D and 3-D configurations). Similar polynomial approximations for 2-D array of cracks and holes was used by Horii and Nemat-Nasser (1985). The same approach but with a different set of polynomials were used by Chen (1983). Kachanov (1985, 1987) and Kachanov and Montagut (1986) analyzed the problem of multiple cracks based on the superposition technique and the idea of self consistancy applied to the average tractions on the individual cracks. All these methods for the multiple crack problems are approximate. Rose (1986) and Rubinstein (1985,1986) obtained exact solutions for the problem of two cracks using linear elasticity theories.
In addition to the works already mentioned, the plane and axisymmetric problems for a medium which consists of two or three different materials and which contains a crack parallel to or located at a bimaterial interface were considered by Erdogan and Gupta (1971a, b), Arin and Erdogan (1971), Erdogan and Arin (1971). The problem of a crack perpendicular to the interface may be found in Lu and Erdogan (1983), Cook and Erdogan (1972), Erdogan and Biricikoglu (1973), Bogy (1973), Gupta (1973) and Arin (1975). The problem of a T-shaped crack located on and perpendicular to the interface of two bonded half planes was discussed by Goree and Venezia (1977). The layered composite which consists of a periodical arrangement of two dissimilar bonded layers with cracks perpendicular to the interface was considered by Erdogan and Bakioglu (1976, 1977).

The analysis of dynamic crack problems goes back to Mott (1948) who showed that for a dynamic crack analysis, the energy balance should contain not only the elastic and surface energies, but also terms due to the kinetic energy of the materials. Flitman (1962) and Kostrov (1963) established the use of Wiener-Hopf technique to dynamic fracture problems when they obtained the response of a strip of finite width but infinite length lying on and inside an elastic medium respectively due to a plane P-wave incidence.

Because of the complexities of the dynamic crack problems of laminated composites only a few idealized cases are amenable to mathematical treatment. The complexities arise from the interaction of waves scattered by the crack with those reflected by the material interfaces and free surfaces. A popular approach to the diffraction of waves from obstacles has been that of separation of variables, where the formal solution of the wave equation is given by an infinite series of orthogonal functions (Pao, 1962; Pao and Mow, 1962; Thau and Pao, 1967). Such an approach, however, is effective only for obstacle shapes adapted to those co-ordinate...
systems in which the wave equation is separable. For this reason, the dynamic stress concentrations around circular and parabolic obstacles have received considerable attention in the past. Problems involving diffraction of plane harmonic, horizontally polarized shear waves (SH-waves) by a semi-infinite crack can be formulated in terms of integral equations by the Wiener-Hopf technique (Noble, 1958). However, as pointed out by Sih (1968), since the static limit of a semi-infinite crack solution is unbounded, it is not possible to estimate precisely the magnification of stresses due to dynamic effects. To overcome this shortcomings Loeber and Sih (1968) proposed to add another barometer into the problem, namely the crack width and managed to obtain the exact behaviour of the crack front displacement and the stress fields for the case of SH-waves diffracted by a finite internal crack. Ang and Knopoff (1964) attempted to solve the internal crack problem earlier by the Wiener-Hopf technique, but their method yielded results which are restricted to low frequencies and to distances far away from the crack.

Miles (1960) and Papadopoulus (1963) have investigated crack problems concerning the diffraction of plane harmonic compression waves (P-waves) and vertically polarized shear waves (SV-waves) by a line crack. Their work discusses only the qualitative character of the displacement potential without any explicit information given on the local stress distributions. Sih and Loeber (1969) used an integral transform method for handling steady state wave propagation problems of elastic waves impinging on cracks. Mal (1971) also solved the same problem independently by using a slightly different method. Ravera and Sih (1969) and Sih et al. (1972) have used the same technique to solve for the transient response of a finite crack in an infinite medium for antiplane and inplane problems, respectively. Sih and Chen (1980) used a similar technique to study the scattering of waves by a crack embedded in the middle layer, in a three layered medium, due to impact load applied at
the crack surface. Thau and Lu (1970, 1971) employed the so called generalized Wiener-Hopf method which yields an iterative series solution to the problem of a finite crack in an infinite medium when subjected to a plane SH-wave. This solution is exact for a finite period of time, which increases with increasing iteration. Representation theorem developed by Knopoff (1956) and de Hoop (1958) to obtain a representation of motion at a general point in the medium in terms of body forces and informations on boundaries, were used by Mal (1972) to obtain surface motion due to a moving fault in a homogeneous half space. Neerhoff (1979) used the familiar Betti's reciprocal theorem along with the Green's function to solve the problem of an interface crack in a layered half space for antiplane dynamic loading. Van der Hijdem and Neerhoff (1984) later extended this technique to inplane problems by studying the response of a Griffith crack at the interface of two isotropic half spaces. Subsequently this technique was used by several investigators (Ryan and Mall, 1982; Zhang, 1984; Kundu, 1986; Boström, 1987) to solve antiplane problems. Achenbach and his group (Achenbach and Brind, 1981a, b; Achenbach, Lin and Keer, 1983; Keer, Lin and Achenbach, 1984a; Lin, Keer and Achenbach, 1984b) extensively studied the problem of a subsurface crack in a homogeneous, isotropic half space at different orientations by using a technique similar to the Neerhoff's technique. The response of interface Griffith and penny shaped cracks between two dissimilar isotropic infinite half spaces subjected to inplane loading was studied by Srivastava, Palaiya and Gupta (1979a, b) by an integral transform method using Hankel transform. The problem of an interface crack in a layered half-space was the subject of study by Yang and Bogy (1985) and Gracewski and Bogy (1986a, b). Corresponding problems of surface breaking cracks also received due attention (Datta, 1979; Stone et al., 1980; Mendelson et al., 1980; Kundu and Mal, 1981).
All these studies involving dynamic cracks mentioned so far have been restricted to a single crack. This is because of the severe mathematical complexities in finding a solution for geometries involving multiple cracks. Jain and Kanwal (1972) overcame some of these difficulties and presented a solution of the diffraction problem of normally incident longitudinal and anti-plane shear waves by symmetrical coplaner Griffith cracks located in an infinite isotropic and homogeneous elastic medium. Their solution was found to be valid only at low frequencies. Itou (1978) determined the stresses in an infinite elastic body with two coplaner Griffith cracks using a series expansion in the frequency domain. Angel and Achenbach (1985) used a similar technique to solve the problem of a periodic array of Griffith cracks in an infinite medium. More recently Gross and Zhang (1988) used the representation theorem to obtain the response of arbitrarily oriented multiple cracks subjected to plane SH-wave in an infinite medium. Kundu (1987a, b) obtained the response of two Griffith cracks at the interface of a layered half-space and a three layered plate, respectively. There the layers were made of isotropic materials and applied loads were continuous surface loads. Kundu's technique is an extension of Neerhoff's technique. In his work attention was restricted to finding crack opening displacements only. Achenbach and Zhang (1988) recently solved the problem of multiple cracks subjected to inplane loading using Boundary Element Methods.

Although almost all the attention of the investigators is devoted to the solution of two dimensional problems, it should be kept in mind that most of the physical problems are three dimensional. This realization lead Achenbach and Gautensen (1977) and Itou (1978) to solve three dimensional steady state and transient problems of a Griffith cracks in a homogeneous medium using the Wiener-Hopf technique and integral equation methods, respectively.
Although many investigators have limited the scope of their studies to the isotropic materials, recent widespread use of composite materials warrants the investigation of the response of orthotropic or anisotropic materials with cracks. Helmholtz’ decomposition which simplifies the two-dimensional problems for isotropic materials is not valid for composite materials. Although for static problems involving orthotropic materials a parallel decomposition was developed [Georgiadis and Papadopoulos (1987)], no such simplifying decomposition has been developed for dynamic problems yet. So these problems have to be solved in terms of displacements in the frequency domain. Kassir and Bandyopadhyay (1983), studied the response of a central crack in an infinite orthotropic medium. Kuo (1984a, b) studied the response of cracks at the interface of two dissimilar orthotropic or anisotropic half-spaces. Ang (1988) solved the problem of a crack in an orthotropic layer sandwiched between two orthotropic half-spaces. In all these studies external loads were applied only at the crack surfaces. A number of symmetry and anti-symmetry conditions were used to reduce the problem to a set of singular integral equations in the frequency domain.

Traditionally, internal cracks are considered to be open and do not transmit any traction. Achenbach and Norris (1982) have proposed a set of nonlinear flaw plane conditions to account for the separation and friction effects. Other conditions of linear type, have been discussed by Thompson and Fiedler (1984). Another approach has been pursued by Comninou and Dunders (1977, 1979); they have considered the interaction of elastic waves with an interface crack between two half-spaces that cannot transmit tensile tractions and where frictional slip may or may not be significant. The possible zones of separation are not known beforehand. A paper by Miller and Tran (1981) has extended the results of Comninou and Dunders (1977, 1979) to a broader class of friction laws, under the condition of no separation.
1.3 DESCRIPTION OF THE WORK

To the author's knowledge no attempt has been made yet to study analytically, the dynamic behavior of laminated composites made of anisotropic materials with subsurface cracks in a medium that has a finite boundary. In the present study three different problems involving interface and/or subsurface cracks in a half-space and a layered plate are considered. Although some progress has been made in modelling the response of cracks under dynamic loads that incorporate slip and contact force at the crack surfaces, finding such forces experimentally for a particular problem can be very difficult or even impossible with our present state of knowledge. In the current study crack surfaces are considered to be smooth and stress free, in other words contact and transmission of stresses across the crack are neglected.

Both the representation theorem (Knopoff, 1956; de Hoop, 1958) and Betti's reciprocal theorem in combination with appropriate Green's functions (Neerhoff, 1979), are used in the present study. In both techniques governing equations along with boundary, regularity and continuity conditions across the interface are reduced to a coupled set of singular integral equations. Solutions of these equations are obtained by expanding unknown crack opening displacements (COD) in terms of a complete set of Chebychev polynomials. The problem is first solved in the frequency domain, then the transient response is obtained by Fourier inversion of the spectrum using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) routines.

The transient surface response of layered isotropic and anisotropic half-spaces with two interface cracks under the action of a plane SH-wave is studied in chapter 2. Neerhoff’s technique (Neerhoff, 1979) is used to formulate the problem as a set of singular integral equations. The main difficulty encountered in solving this problem is the evaluation of the singular integrals. A technique developed by Kundu (Kundu
1983, Kundu and Mal, 1985) has been used with proper modification to overcome this problem. Details of the numerical method to compute the singular integrals are also discussed in chapter 2.

The transient response of a three layered plate with both isotropic and anisotropic layers containing two interface cracks is studied in chapter 3 by using Neerhoff's (Neerhoff, 1979) method. Loading is considered as an antiplane line load. Attention is restricted to obtaining the crack opening displacement (COD) and the surface response of the plate. Since COD is directly proportional to tearing mode stress intensity factor ($K_{III}$), the same behavior would have been observed for $K_{III}$, if it were plotted instead of COD.

Although the antiplane problem (SH-case) is much easier to solve mathematically and it gives valuable insight about the physical problems, in real world not many problems fall into this category. In plane problems are far more complex but useful. Keeping this in mind the transient response of a subsurface crack in an orthotropic half-space due to a line load incident at an arbitrary angle at the surface is computed in chapter 4 using the representation theorem. The line load solution can be considered as a fundamental solution from which all other solutions can be derived by appropriate integration. Attention is restricted to the calculation of the surface response at different points.

Several interesting conclusions are drawn from the analytical results. The conclusions and recommendations for further research are presented in chapter 5.
CHAPTER-2
RESPONSE OF LAYERED HALF-SPACE WITH INTERFACE CRACKS

The transient response of the surface of a layered isotropic or anisotropic half-space, with two interface cracks, excited by a plane SH-wave is investigated. The incident field is taken as a bulk wave. As sample problems, the surface response of isotropic as well as anisotropic layered half-spaces with and without crack interactions are computed.

2.1 PROBLEM FORMULATION

An elastic layer of thickness \( h \) is bonded to an elastic half-space as shown in Fig. 2.1. Two Griffith cracks of length \( 2a_1 \) and \( 2a_2 \) are located at the interface at \( y = h \) with a distance \( d \) between the crack centers. The incident field is chosen as a plane SH-wave with an angle of incidence \( \theta \) with the \( y \)-axis as shown in the figure. The problem geometry is independent of the \( z \)-direction.

To solve this problem, first one needs to solve two canonical problems. The two problems are then combined by Betti’s reciprocal theorem.

2.1.1 Canonical Problem 1: Flawless Layered Half-Space

Subjected to an Antiplane Stress Field

The geometry of this problem is very similar to Fig. 2.1, the only difference is that there is no crack at the interface. The time harmonic antiplane stress field of time dependence \( e^{-i\omega t} \) acts as a bulk wave. Solutions of the wave equations for this problem are given below.

2.1.1.1 Isotropic Material

For the isotropic case, let us assume that \( \rho_1, \rho_2 \) and \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \) are material
densities and shear moduli for the layer and the substrate, respectively. The governing equation in the frequency domain is given by

\[ \frac{\partial^2 U_j}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U_j}{\partial y^2} = -\frac{\rho_j \omega^2 U_j}{\mu_j} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where \( U_j \) is the transformed displacement in the \( j \)-th medium in \( z \)-direction (\( j = 1 \) for the layer and 2 for the substrate). Repeated index \( j \) does not imply summation.

Solution of this equation gives

\[ U_j = A_j e^{i(kz + \eta_j(y - y_j - 1))} + B_j e^{i(kz - \eta_j(y - y_j - 1))} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

where

\[ \eta_j = (k_{sj}^2 - k^2)^{1/2} \]
\[ k = k_{sj} \sin \theta \]

\( k_{sj}(j = 1, 2) \) is the \( S \)-wave number for the \( j \)-th medium. The time dependence \( e^{-i\omega t} \) in equation (2.2) and in all subsequent equations is implied. The expressions for unknown coefficients \( A_j \) and \( B_j \) can be obtained by using boundary and continuity conditions given below

\[ \sigma_4^1 = 0 \hspace{0.5cm} \text{at} \hspace{0.5cm} y = 0 \]
\[ \sigma_4^1 = \sigma_4^2 \hspace{0.5cm} \text{at} \hspace{0.5cm} y = h \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

\[ U_1 = U_2 \hspace{0.5cm} \text{at} \hspace{0.5cm} y = h \]

where \( \sigma_4^\alpha, \alpha = 1 \) and 2 are the shearing stresses related to \( yz \)-directions in the frequency domain for the layer and substrate respectively. Expressions for \( A_j, B_j \) are given in Appendix-A.

2.1.1.2 Anisotropic Material

For anisotropic materials, it is assumed that in each medium, layer as well as substrate, planes normal to the \( y \)-axis are the planes of symmetry. In composites,
this is known as monoclinic symmetry. $C_{44}^j$ and $C_{55}^j$ are shear moduli related to the $yz$ and $zx$-directions in the $j$-th medium (refer to Appendix-B). In composite materials these are related to principal elastic and shear moduli. Such relations can be found in a standard text book on composites (Vinson and Sierakowski, 1986).

The governing equation in the frequency domain for this material is given by

$$C_{44}^j \frac{\partial^2 U_j}{\partial y^2} + C_{55}^j \frac{\partial^2 U_j}{\partial x^2} = -\rho_j \omega^2 U_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

Solution of this equation gives exactly the same equation as (2.2) with the following redefinition of values of $k$ and $\eta_j$ (see Appendix-B)

$$k = \left\{ \frac{\rho_2 \omega^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(C_{44}^j)^2 - (C_{44}^j)^2 \sin^2 \theta + (C_{55}^j)^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\eta_j = \left\{ \frac{\rho_j \omega^2 - C_{55}^j k^2}{C_{44}^j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

2.1.2 Canonical Problem 2: A Line Load

in a Layered Flawless Half-Space

The geometry of this problem is shown in Fig. 2.2. A time harmonic line load is acting at a point $P(x_p, y_p)$ as shown in the figure. The solution of this problem is available in the literature on wave propagations in multilayered solids (Kundu, 1986). The displacement field in the $j$-th medium ($j = 1$ for the layer, 2 for the half-space) generated by this line load is given by

$$U_j^G = \frac{i}{4 \pi \mu_j^i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \delta_{1j} e^{i \eta_j |y-y_p|} + C_j e^{i \eta_j y} + \delta_{1j} D_1 e^{-i \eta_j y} \right\} e^{i k(x-x_p)} dk$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

where, for isotropic materials

$$\eta_j = (k_j^2 - k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\mu_j^i = \mu_j, \hspace{1cm} j = 1, 2$$
and for anisotropic materials

\[ \eta_j = \left\{ \frac{\rho_j \omega^2 - k^2 C_{55}^j}{C_{44}^j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \mu_j^i = C_{44}^i, \quad j = 1, 2 \]

and \( \delta_{1j} \) is the Kronecker delta function which is 1 for \( j \) equal to 1 and 0 for \( j \) not equal to 1.

The unknown coefficients \( C_1, C_2 \) and \( D_1 \) can be obtained from stress-free boundary conditions and continuity conditions across the interface. Expressions of these coefficients are given in the Appendix-A. The superscripts \( G \) of \( U_j \) indicate displacements corresponding to the Green's elastodynamic state.

### 2.2 APPLICATION OF BETTI'S RECIPROCAL THEOREM

Let us consider two solution states \( S \) and \( G \). State \( S \) corresponds to the scattered field of the original problem. So when \( S \) is added to the displacement field of the canonical problem 1, the solution state of the problem of our interest is obtained. The canonical problem 2, the Green's elastodynamic state, is referred as state \( G \). Using Betti's reciprocal theorem these two states can be related in the following manner

\[
\int_V F_i^S U_i^G dV + \int_S T_i^S U_i^G dS = \int_V F_i^G U_i^S dV + \int_S T_i^G U_i^S dS \quad \text{(summation implied)}
\]

(2.7)

where \( F_i \) is the body force per unit volume acting in the \( x_i \) direction, \( T_i \) is the surface traction per unit area acting in the \( x_i \) direction, and \( U_i \) is the displacement in the \( x_i \) direction. Superscripts \( S \) and \( G \) represent states \( S \) and \( G \) respectively. However, the body force for state \( S \) is zero and for state \( G \) it is equal to \( \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_p) \) acting in the \( z \)-direction. \( \vec{r}_p \) is the position vector of point \( P \) and \( \vec{r} \) is the position.
vector of any point of interest. For an antiplane problem all non-zero forces and dislocations act in the z-direction. So for our problem the general equation (2.7) takes the form,

\[ \int_S T^S U^G dS = U^S(\bar{r}_p) + \int_S T^G U^S dS \]  

(2.8)

where \( U \) is the particle displacement and \( T \) is the surface traction per unit area, both are in the z-direction. Since the problem is invariant in the z-direction, surface integrals may be reduced to line integrals. This line integral is carried out along a contour, shown in Fig.2.3.

The integral of the left-hand side of equation (2.8) vanishes because \( T^S \) is zero on \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \) and integrals of \( T^S U^G \) on \( \Sigma_j^+ \) and \( \Sigma_j^- \) cancel each other for \( j = 1 \) and 2. The only nonzero term comes from the integral of the right-hand side of equation (2.8) along the integration paths \( \Sigma_j^+ \) and \( \Sigma_j^- \). After some simplification, equation (2.8) is reduced to

\[ U^S(\bar{r}_p) = \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \phi(x) T^G_{y,z} dx + \int_{d-a_2}^{d+a_2} \psi(x-d) T^G_{y,z} dx \]  

(2.9)

where \( \phi(x) \) and \( \psi(x-d) \) are crack opening displacements (COD) of the two cracks and are defined as

\[ \phi(x) = U^S(x, h^+) - U^S(x, h^-) \]
\[ \psi(x-d) = U^S(x-d, h^+) - U^S(x-d, h^-) \]  

(2.10)

and \( \bar{r}_p \) is the position vector of the point \( P \). The expression for \( T^G_{y,z} \) at \( y = h \) may be obtained from

\[ T^G_{y,z}(x, h) = T^G_{1}(x, h^-) = T^G_{2}(x, h^+) = \mu_x \left\{ \frac{\partial U^G_1}{\partial y} \right\}_{y=h} \]  

(2.11)

where \( U^G_1 \) is given in equation (2.6).
If point $P$ is now moved to the crack surface, the displacement of that point can be obtained from equation (2.9) if $T_{y}$, $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x-d)$ are known. Combining equations (2.9) and (2.11) the displacement field at $(x_p, y_p)$ is obtained as,

$$U_{1}^{\phi}(x_p, y_p) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \phi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{i\eta_1(h-y_p)} + \eta_1(C_1 Q_1 - D_1 Q_1^{-1}) \right\} e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk dx - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{d-a_2}^{d+a_2} \psi(x-d) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{i\eta_1(h-y_p)} + \eta_1(C_1 Q_1 - D_1 Q_1^{-1}) \right\} e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk dx$$

(2.13)

where

$$Q_1 = e^{i\eta_1 h}$$

The scattered stress field can be obtained from the displacement field of equation (2.13). Then it is equated to the negative of the incident stress field along the crack surfaces ($y = h$ and $|x| < a_1$ or $|X| < a_2$ where $X = x - d$) to obtain

$$\eta_2(1 - B_2)e^{ikx_p} = \frac{\mu_2}{4\pi} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \phi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k)e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk dx$$

$$+ \frac{\mu_2}{4\pi} \int_{d-a_2}^{d+a_2} \psi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k)e^{ik(x-x_p)+ikd} dk dX$$

(2.14a)

and

$$\eta_2(1 - B_2)e^{ikX_p+ikd} = \frac{\mu_2}{4\pi} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \phi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k)e^{ik(x-X_p)-ikd} dk dx$$

$$+ \frac{\mu_2}{4\pi} \int_{d-a_2}^{d+a_2} \psi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k)e^{ik(X-X_p)} dk dX$$

(2.14b)

where

$$F(k) = \frac{2\eta_1 \eta_2 (Q_1^2 - 1)}{\mu_2 \eta_1 (Q_1^2 - 1) - \mu_2^2 \eta_2 (Q_1^2 + 1)}$$

(2.15)

$B_2$ in equations (2.14) is defined in equation (2.2).
2.2.1 Computation of the Crack Opening Displacement Functions

In order to evaluate the crack opening displacements, $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ are expanded in a complete set of Chebyshev polynomials, so that it gives square root singularity in the stress field in front of the crack tip and satisfy the boundary conditions at the crack tip (Neerhoff, 1979),

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha_{2n}}{2n} \phi_{2n}(x) + i \frac{\alpha_{2n+1}}{2n+1} \phi_{2n+1}(x) \right]$$

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\gamma_{2n}}{2n} \psi_{2n}(x) + i \frac{\gamma_{2n+1}}{2n+1} \psi_{2n+1}(x) \right]$$

(2.16)

where

$$\phi_{2n}(x) = \sin \{2n \arcsin(x/a_1)\}$$

$$\psi_{2n}(x) = \sin \{2n \arcsin(x/a_2)\}$$

$$\phi_{2n+1}(x) = \cos \{(2n + 1) \arcsin(x/a_1)\}$$

$$\psi_{2n+1}(x) = \cos \{(2n + 1) \arcsin(x/a_2)\}$$

(2.17)

To obtain the unknown coefficients $\alpha_n$ and $\gamma_n$, both sides of equation (2.14a) are multiplied by $\phi_m(x_p)$, then integrated from $x_p = -a_1$ to $x_p = a_1$ and both sides of equation (2.14b) are multiplied by $\psi_m(X_p)$, then integrated from $X_p = -a_2$ to $X_p = a_2$. After some algebraic manipulation an infinite set of linear equations is obtained to solve for $\alpha_n$ and $\gamma_n$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (K_{mn} \alpha_n + L_{mn} \gamma_n) = \frac{4\eta_2(1 - B_2)J_m(ka_1)l_m}{\mu_1^2 k}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (M_{mn} \alpha_n + N_{mn} \gamma_n) = \frac{4\eta_2(1 - B_2)J_m(ka_2)l_m}{\mu_1^2 k}$$

(2.18)

where $J_m$ is the Bessel function of first kind of order $m$, and

$$l_m = -i, \text{ for odd } m$$

$$= i, \text{ for even } m$$
when \((m + n)\) is even,

\[
K_{mn} = 2 \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{F(k)}{k^2} - \frac{2i}{k(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2)} \right\} J_m(ka_1)J_n(ka_2)dk + \frac{2i}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} \delta_{mn} \tag{2.19}
\]

\[
L_{mn} = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{F(k)}{k^2} J_m(ka_1)J_n(ka_2)\cos(kd)dk
\]

\[
M_{mn} = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{F(k)}{k^2} J_m(ka_2)J_n(ka_1)\cos(kd)dk
\]

\[
N_{mn} = 2 \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{F(k)}{k^2} - \frac{2i}{k(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2)} \right\} J_m(ka_2)J_n(ka_2)dk + \frac{2i}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} \delta_{mn} \tag{2.20}
\]

and when \((m + n)\) is odd,

\[
K_{mn} = N_{mn} = 0
\]

\[
L_{mn} = 2i \int_0^\infty \frac{F(k)}{k^2} J_m(ka_1)J_n(ka_2)\sin(kd)dk
\]

\[
M_{mn} = -2i \int_0^\infty \frac{F(k)}{k^2} J_m(ka_2)J_n(ka_1)\sin(kd)dk
\]

From equations (2.19) and (2.20) it can be clearly seen that \(K\) and \(N\) matrices are symmetric but \(L\) and \(M\) matrices are not. However \(L\) and \(M\) satisfy the following relations

\[
L_{mn} = \begin{cases} 
M_{nm}, & \text{for } m + n = \text{even} \\
-M_{nm}, & \text{for } m + n = \text{odd}
\end{cases}
\]

When this technique is applied to the case of continuous surface load (Kundu, 1987a, b) only two entries occur in the right hand side of equation (2.18) but for the case of plane wave incident at an arbitrary angle the right hand side becomes fully populated. Equations (2.18) have infinite series in their expressions, however, they can be terminated after a finite number of terms without introducing any significant error (Kundu, 1986). Then \(\alpha_n, \gamma_n\) can be obtained from a finite set of linear equations.
2.2.2 Computation of Surface Displacement

The total displacement $U$ is given by

$$U = U^i + U^s$$

(2.21)

in which $U^i$ is the total field in the absence of any crack given by equation (2.2), where as the scattered field $U^s$, represents the change in $U^i$ due to the presence of cracks. $U^s$ is given by equation (2.13) for the layer. At any point on the surface $P(x_p, 0)$

$$U^i(x_p, 0) = (A_1 + B_1)e^{ikx_p}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.22)

and

$$U^s(x_p, 0) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \phi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k)e^{ik(x-x_p)}dkdx$$

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{d-a_2}^{d+a_2} \psi(x-d) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k)e^{ik(x-x_p)}dkdx$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.23)

where

$$f(k) = -\frac{4\mu^2/\eta_2 Q_1}{\mu_1^2/\eta_1 (Q_1^2 - 1) - \mu_2^2/\eta_2 (Q_1^2 + 1)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.24)

after some simplification (see Appendix-B) this equation can be written as

$$U^s(x_p, 0) = -\frac{i}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(k)}{k} \cos(kx_p) \sum_{n=odd} \{\alpha_n J_n(ka_1)\} dk$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(k)}{k} \sin(kx_p) \sum_{n=even} \{\alpha_n J_n(ka_1)\} dk$$

$$-\frac{i}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(k)}{k} \cos(kd-kx_p) \sum_{n=odd} \{\gamma_n J_n(ka_2)\} dk$$

$$+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(k)}{k} \sin(kd-kx_p) \sum_{n=even} \{\gamma_n J_n(ka_2)\} dk$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.25)

then equations (2.22) and (2.23) are added to obtain the total surface displacement.
2.3 COMPUTATIONAL ASPECTS

The main task involved in obtaining the solution of this problem is the computation of the integral expressions of $K_{mn}$, $L_{mn}$, $M_{mn}$, $N_{mn}$ and $U^\ast$. The major difficulty in computing these integrals comes from the fact that a finite number of poles or singular points, which are the roots of the denominator of $f(k)$ in equation (2.24) or $F(k)$ in equation (2.15), lie on the real path of integration. A number of techniques are available in literature (Muskhelishvili, 1953; Copson, 1960; Erdogen and Gupta, 1972) to obtain singular integrals. All these techniques are suitable when there is only one pole. For multiple pole on the real axes two different techniques were used by the previous investigators. The technique used by Neerhoff (1979) and Keer et al. (1984), merely deforms the contour of integration below the real $k$-axis as shown in Fig. 2.4, so that no poles appear on the path of integration. In order to optimize accuracy and computation time, a judicious choice of the two parameters $k_1$ and $k_2$, that determine the deformed path, is required.

Kundu (Kundu, 1983; Kundu and Mal, 1985) introduced a technique for removing poles from the singular integrals. This technique is adopted in the present work with appropriate modification because of the availability of the software and vast amount of supporting literature.

All the singular integrals involved can be expressed in the following form

\[ I = \int_0^\infty \frac{G(k)}{D(k)} H(J_m) dk \]  

(2.26)

where $G$ and $D$ are transcendental functions of $k$ and $H$ is a function of Bessel functions. $D$ is the Love wave denominator.

Let $p_1$, $p_2$, ....$p_M$ be the M roots of $D(k)$ at a given frequency $\omega_i$ then

\[ D(k) = Y(k)(k^2 - p_1^2)(k^2 - p_2^2).....(k^2 - p_M^2) \]  

(2.27)
so that

\[
\frac{G(k)}{D(k)} = \frac{G(k)}{Y(k)(k^2 - p_1^2)(k^2 - p_2^2)\ldots(k^2 - p_M^2)} = \frac{G(k)}{Y(k)} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{A_i}{(k^2 - p_i^2)}
\]  

(2.28)

where

\[
A_i = \prod_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{(p_i^2 - p_j^2)} \quad i \neq j
\]  

(2.29)

and \(\Pi\) is the multiplication symbol. Thus I in (2.26) may be rewritten in the form

\[
I = \sum_{i=1}^{M} A_i \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{G(k)H(J_m)}{Y(k)(k^2 - p_i^2)} dk
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left\{ A_i \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{G(k)}{Y(k)} - \frac{G(p_i)}{Y(p_i)} \right] \frac{H(J_m)}{(k^2 - p_i^2)} dk + \frac{A_i G(p_i)}{Y(p_i)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{H(J_m)}{(k^2 - p_i^2)} dk \right\}
\]  

(2.30)

In equation (2.30) the first integral inside the square bracket does not have any singularity for real \(k\). Both the numerator and denominator vanish at \(k = p_i\), but the integrand has a limit which can be obtained by applying L'Hospital's rule numerically. The second integral contains a simple pole at \(k = p_i\), but can be evaluated analytically by contour integration (Kundu and Mal, 1985; Kundu, 1986; Kundu, 1987a, b). The following integrals are involved in the second integral

\[
I_1 = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{J_m(ka_i)J_n(ka_i)}{k^2 - p^2} dk, \quad i = 1 \text{ or } 2
\]  

(2.31)

\[
I_2 = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{J_m(ka_i)J_n(ka_j)\cos(kd)}{k^2 - p^2} dk, \quad i, j = 1, 2 \text{ or } 2, 1; \quad i \neq j
\]  

(2.32)

\[
I_3 = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{J_m(ka_i)J_n(ka_j)\sin(kd)}{k^2 - p^2} dk, \quad i, j = 1, 2 \text{ or } 2, 1; \quad i \neq j
\]  

(2.33)

\[
I_4 = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{kJ_0(ka_i)}{k^2 - p^2} dk, \quad i = 1, 2
\]  

(2.34)

\[
I_5 = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{J_1(ka_i)}{k^2 - p^2} dk, \quad i = 1, 2
\]  

(2.35)
\[ I_i = \int_0^\infty \frac{k J_2(ka_i)}{k^2 - p^2} dk, \quad i = 1, 2 \]  

(2.36)

where \( p \) is a pole of the integrand on the real \( k \)-axis. Integrals in equation (2.31) through (2.36) can be found in Kundu and Mal (1985), Kundu (1986) and Kundu (1987a).

In addition to the above integrals, we also encounter the following integral in trying to compute \( U_s \)

\[ I = \int_0^\infty \frac{f_1(k) J_n(ka_i)}{k} dk, \quad i = 1, 2 \]  

(2.37)

where \( f_1(k) \) is an even function of \( k \).

Kundu's technique (Kundu, 1983) requires that the integrand be even. However, in this case \( n \) can take any integer value odd or even. The integrand of equation (2.37) is first decomposed into integrands containing \( J_0, J_1 \) and \( J_2 \) only by using the well-known recurrence relation (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1970)

\[ J_{n-1}(ka) + J_{n+1}(ka) = \frac{2n}{ka} J_n(ka) \]  

(2.38)

Then the integrand is further manipulated to get integral expression of the form given in equations (2.31) through (2.36). Thus integral in equation (2.37) is computed.

A second difficulty in numerically computing the semi-infinite integrals such as those shown in equation (2.19) comes from the fact that any integral of the form

\[ \int_0^\infty \frac{F(k) J_m(ka_i) J_n(ka_j)}{k^2} dk, \quad i, j = 1, 2 \]  

(2.39)

is a very slowly converging integral. To get a better convergence the asymptotic expression of \( \frac{F(k)}{k^2} \) is subtracted from it. Thus one obtains the term

\[ \left\{ \frac{F(k)}{k^2} - \frac{2i}{k(\mu_2 + \mu_2^2)} \right\} \]
in the expressions of $K_{mn}$ and $N_{mn}$ in equation (2.19). This term decays at a faster rate with $k$. The asymptotic part is then integrated analytically to obtain the additional term
\[
\frac{2i}{\mu^2 + \mu^2} \delta_{mn} \frac{\delta_{mn}}{m}
\]
Similar treatment could reduce the computation time of $L_{mn}$ and $M_{mn}$ but asymptotic parts of $L_{mn}$ and $M_{mn}$ could not be integrated analytically. However, it does not cause much numerical difficulty since $L$ and $M$ matrices essentially contain the interaction effects where as $K$ and $N$ contain the direct effects, thus numerically $L$ and $M$ are much smaller than $K$ and $N$ matrices. So even if we allow some error in the computation of $L$ and $M$ matrices, due to their slow convergence property its effect on the final result become even smaller.

In all our computations the integrand has been truncated when its value has been reduced by three orders of magnitude. It is numerically observed that a further reduction in the truncation value does not improve the results any more.

2.4 RESULTS

The method discussed above has been implemented in a FORTRAN program. For isotropic materials, the results are given for a copper-plated quartz specimen which is often used in electronic industries. Material properties for these materials are given in table 2.1. For anisotropic case, same material properties are used with direction dependent properties $C_{44}^1 = 1.2C_{55}^1 = 1.2\mu^1$. 

Table 2.1: Material Properties and Dimensions of the Specimen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer/ Material Type</th>
<th>Thickness $h$ in mm</th>
<th>Density $\rho$(gm/cc)</th>
<th>Shear modulus $\mu$(GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Half-Space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-Space</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two sample problems are solved to study the interaction between two cracks. In the first problem, two cracks of length 7 mm and 9 mm are located at the interface. The distance between the crack centers is 10 mm. Second problem is same as the first problem but the distance between the crack centers is 16 mm. Displacements at three surface points, $P_1$ ($x_1 = 3.0$ mm), $P_2$ ($x_2 = 5.5$ mm) and $P_3$ ($x_3 = 16$ mm) are computed for an SH-wave pulse propagating at an angle $\theta = 30^\circ$ with a plane wave front (see Fig. 2.1). The pulse has the following time dependence,

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 16Pt^2(t - \tau)^2t^{-4} & 0 \leq t \leq \tau \\ 0 & t \geq \tau \end{cases}$$  (2.40)

whose Fourier transform is given by

$$F(\omega) = \frac{32P}{\tau^4\omega^3} \left\{ -\frac{6\tau}{\omega} + i \left( \frac{\tau^2}{2} - \frac{12}{\omega^2} \right) \right\} e^{i\omega\tau} - \left\{ \frac{6\tau}{\omega} + i \left( \frac{\tau^2}{2} - \frac{12}{\omega^2} \right) \right\}$$  (2.41)

Variation of excitation load with time is shown in Fig. 2.5. In the above equation $P$ defines the peak value of the pulse. In subsequent calculations $P$ is set equal to 1 KN/mm$^2$. $\tau$ is the duration of the pulse. Results are given for $\tau = 2.0$ and 0.5 $\mu$sec. In the results presented in Figs. 2.6 through 2.12, length, time and frequency units are in mm, $\mu$sec and MHz respectively.

Displacements at $P_1$ for the two different problems mentioned above, for an incident SH-wave pulse with $\tau = 0.5$ $\mu$sec, are shown in Fig. 2.6. In this case the
specimen has isotropic materials only. The left column shows response spectra and the right column gives time histories. The top row shows the surface displacement in absence of any crack. The second row gives the displacement at the same point when the two interface cracks have a tip to tip distance of 2.0 mm (or a center to center distance of 10.0 mm, problem 1), where as for the third row the tip to tip distance is 8.0 mm (problem 2). In both second and third rows, two curves are drawn in each plot. Thicker curves are the actual plots and the thin curves are obtained when the interaction effect between the two cracks is not considered properly. Thin curves are obtained by setting L and M matrices equal to zero in equation (2.18). In other words with L and M equal to zero, the presence of two cracks are considered separately, i.e. it is assumed that there is no interaction between them, and their individual contributions are added to get the thin curves. It can be concluded from this figure that a significant difference in surface displacements occurs if the interaction effect is ignored. There is also a big difference between the surface response of uncracked and cracked bodies. The surface movement sustains for a longer period of time, when interface cracks exist. It is due to the fact that because of the presence of crack surfaces at the interface, the energy of the top layer cannot easily transmit into the half space through the interface, instead part of it reflects back into the layer after being reflected by the crack surfaces.

Fig. 2.7 is the same as Fig. 2.6, the only difference here is that the duration time $T$ is increased to 2.0 $\mu$sec. In this case also a significant difference is observed between responses computed by considering (thick line) and neglecting (thin line) the interaction effect.

The surface response at $P_1$ when the layer and the substrate are made of anisotropic materials is shown in Fig. 2.8. The time duration ($\tau$) of the pulse is 0.5 $\mu$sec. The top row shows the response in absence of any crack and the bottom
row shows it in presence of two interface cracks with a tip to tip distance of 2.0 mm (problem 1). The main difference between the isotropic (Fig. 2.6) and anisotropic (Fig. 2.8) cases is that for the isotropic case the surface motion decays at a slower rate than the anisotropic case. Fig. 2.9 is same as Fig. 2.8, but here \( \tau \) is increased to 2.0 \( \mu \text{sec} \).

Surface displacements at \( P_2 \) and \( P_3 \) are given in Figs. 2.10 and 2.11. For both these figures \( \tau = 2.0 \mu \text{sec} \) and the layered half space is made of isotropic layers and substrates. From Figs. 2.10 and 2.11 it can be seen that the crack interaction effect is slightly reduced as the distance between crack centers is increased. The difference between the thick and thin curves is smaller in Fig. 2.10 in comparison to Fig. 2.7.

In Figs. 2.6 and 2.8 time history plots may have some error, introduced by numerical inversion of the spectra. Ideally the entire spectrum should be considered during FFT inversion. However to save some computational time it was decided to truncate the spectra at 2.4 MHz. But for \( \tau = 0.5 \mu \text{sec} \), the spectra do not go to zero at that frequency [Figs. 2.6 and 2.8] so some truncation error is introduced in the time history plots. To examine the order of this error, a typical spectrum (top row of Fig. 2.6) is inverted after truncating it at 2.4 MHz and 4.8 MHz respectively and corresponding time histories are plotted in top and bottom rows of Fig. 2.12. It can be seen from this figure that, the overall nature of the plot doesn't change but sharp peaks become slightly blunt, when the spectrum is truncated at 2.4 MHz. In this case the sharp peak lengths are reduced by about two percent due to early truncation and small oscillations occur before and after the main motion in the time history plot. Since none of these errors are serious enough to alter the overall nature of the time histories we can have confidence in these results.
FIG. 2.1: Geometry of the problem. A layered half-space containing two interface cracks is subjected to a plane SH-wave. Surface motion is computed at three surface points $P_1$, $P_2$ and $P_3$. 
Fig. 2.2: A point load in a layered half space.
Fig. 2.3: Contour of integration (Equation 2.5).
Fig. 2.4: Contour of integration for Neerhoff's (1979) method.

Fig. 2.5: Variation of excitation load with times.
Fig. 2.6: Surface displacements in a layered isotropic half space at \( x = 3 \text{ mm} \). The half space is excited by a SH-wave pulse propagating at thirty degree angle, with pulse duration time \( \tau = 0.5 \mu\text{sec} \). The top row shows the surface displacement without any crack. The middle row gives the surface displacement when two interface cracks have center to center distance of 10 mm (problem 1) and the bottom row is same as the middle row but here the distance between the crack centers is 16 mm (problem 2). In middle and bottom rows bold curves give actual displacements and thin curves give uncoupled displacements (neglecting interaction effect). Left column: Spectral amplitude of displacement in \( \text{mm-}\mu\text{sec} \). Right column: Time histories in mm.
Fig. 2.7: Same as Fig. 3 but $r = 2.0 \, \mu\text{sec}.$
Fig. 2.8: Same as top and middle rows of Fig. 2.6 but layer and substate are made of anisotropic materials.
Fig. 2.9: Same as Fig. 2.8 but $\tau = 2.0 \mu\text{sec}$.
Fig. 2.10: Same as Fig. 2.7 but $x = 5.5$ mm.
Fig. 2.11: Same as Fig. 2.7 but $z = 16.0$ mm.
Fig. 2.12: Effect of premature truncation of spectra on time history plots. Top figure is truncated at 2.4 MHz, bottom figure is truncated at 4.8 MHz.
CHAPTER–3
DYNAMIC RESPONSE OF A THREE LAYERED COMPOSITE PLATE WITH INTERFACE CRACKS

The dynamic response of three layered composite plate, with two interface cracks, subjected to an antiplane line load is analyzed in this chapter. Green's function for the uncracked medium is used with the appropriate form of Betti's reciprocal theorem to derive the scattered field. Numerical results for two sample problems are presented, for both isotropic and anisotropic materials.

3.1 PROBLEM FORMULATION

A plate is made of three elastic layers, 1, 2 and 3, of thickness $h_1$, $h_2$, $h_3$ as shown in Fig. 3.1. Plate dimensions along $x$ and $z$-directions are infinite. Two Griffith cracks of lengths $2a_1$ and $2a_2$ are located at the two interfaces at $y = y_1 (= h_1)$ and $y = y_2 (= h_1 + h_2)$ with a distance $d$ between the crack centers. An antiplane line load, $\tau_{yz} = \delta(x)f(t)$, is applied at the origin as shown in Fig. 3.1. The plate, crack geometries and loadings are invariant in the $z$-direction.

To solve this problem, we need to solve two canonical problems as discussed in the previous chapter. The two problems are then combined with the aid of Betti’s reciprocal theorem.

3.1.1 Canonical Problem 1: Flawless Layered Plate
Subjected to a Line Load at the Boundary

The geometry of this problem is similar to Fig. 3.1, the only difference is that there is no crack at the interface. The time harmonic antiplane stress field of
time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$ acts as a line load. Solutions of the wave equations for this problem are given below.

### 3.1.1.1 Isotropic Material

For the isotropic case let us assume that $\rho_j$ and $\mu_j$ are the material density and shear modulus of the $j$-th layer ($j = 1, 2, 3$). The governing equation in the frequency domain is identical to that given in equation (2.1). The solution of equation (2.1) for a line load can be written as

$$U_j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ A_j e^{i\eta_j y} + B_j e^{-i\eta_j y} \right\} e^{ikx} dk$$

(3.1a)

where

$$\eta_j = (k_{sj} - k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for} \quad k < k_{sj}$$

$$= i(k^2 - k_{sj})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for} \quad k > k_{sj}$$

(3.1b)

$k_{sj}(j = 1, 2, 3)$ is the $S$-wave number of the $j$-th medium. The time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$ in equation (3.1a) and in all subsequent equations is implied. The expressions for unknown coefficients $A_j$ and $B_j$ can be obtained from known boundary and interface conditions and are given in the Appendix-C. The integral expression in equation (3.1a) is required to account for the point load at the boundary.

### 3.1.1.2 Anisotropic Material

For anisotropic materials, it is assumed that in each layer, planes normal to the $y$-axis are planes of symmetry. $C_{44}^j$ and $C_{55}^j$ are shear moduli related to $yz$ and $zx$-directions in the $j$-th medium. The governing equation in the frequency domain
for this material is identical to that in equation (2.4). The solution of this equation gives exactly the same equation as (3.1a) with the following redefinition of $\eta_j$

$$\eta_j = \left\{ \frac{\rho_j \omega^2 - k^2 C_{55}^j}{C_{44}^j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } k < \omega \left( \frac{\rho_j}{C_{55}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= i \left\{ \frac{k^2 C_{55}^j - \rho_j \omega^2}{C_{44}^j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } k > \omega \left( \frac{\rho_j}{C_{55}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(3.2)

3.1.2 Canonical Problem 2: A Line Load in a Layered Flawless Plate

The geometry of this problem is shown in Fig. 3.2. A time harmonic line load is acting at a point $P(x_p, y_p)$ as shown in the figure. The displacement field in the $j$-th layer generated by a line load in layer $\alpha$ is given by

$$U_j^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{4\pi \mu^1_z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \delta_{\alpha j} \frac{e^{i\eta_1 y - \nu_1 z}}{\eta_2} + C_j^{\alpha} e^{i\eta_1 y} + D_j^{\alpha} e^{-i\eta_1 y} \right\} e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk$$

(3.3)

where, $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$ and for isotropic materials

$$\mu_z^j = \mu_j$$

and for anisotropic materials

$$\mu_z^j = C_{44}^j$$

and $\delta_{\alpha j}$ is the Kronecker delta function which is 1 for $j$ equal to $\alpha$ and 0 for $j$ not equal to $\alpha$.

The unknown coefficients $C_j^{\alpha}$ and $D_j^{\alpha}$ can be obtained from stress-free boundary conditions and continuity conditions across the interface. Expressions of these coefficients are given in the Appendix-C. The superscript $G$ of $U_j$ indicates displacements corresponding to the Green's elastodynamic state.
3.2 APPLICATION OF BETTI'S RECIPROCAL THEOREM

Let us consider two solution states $S$ and $G$. State $S$ corresponds to the scattered field of the original problem. So when $S$ is added to the displacement field of the canonical problem $1$ the solution state of the problem of our interest is obtained. The canonical problem $2$, the Green's elastodynamic state, is referred as state $G$. Using Betti's reciprocal theorem these two states can be related in the following manner

$$\int_V F_i S U_i^G dV + \int_S T_i S U_i^G dS = \int_V F_i G U_i^G dV + \int_S T_i G U_i^G dS \quad \text{(summation implied)}$$

(3.4)

where $F_i$ is the body force per unit volume acting in the $x_i$ direction, $T_i$ is the surface traction per unit area acting in the $x_i$ direction, and $U_i$ is the displacement in the $x_i$ direction. Superscripts $S$ and $G$ represent states $S$ and $G$ respectively.

Equation (3.4) can be reduced to a very simple form by contour integration. For a very similar problem Kundu (1987b) has discussed this procedure, we repeat it here again for completeness. The body force for state $S$ is zero and for state $G$ it is equal to $\delta (\vec{r} - \vec{r}_p)$ acting in the $z$-direction. $\vec{r}_p$ is the position vector of point $P$ and $\vec{r}$ is the position vector of any point of interest. For an antiplane problem all nonzero forces and displacements act in the $z$-direction. So for our problem the general equation (3.4) takes the form,

$$\int_S T^S U^G dS = U^S(\vec{r}_p) + \int_S T^G U^S dS$$

(3.5)

where $U$ is the particle displacement and $T$ is the shear stress. Since the problem is invariant in the $z$-direction, surface integrals may be reduced to line integrals. This line integral is carried out along a contour, as shown in Fig.3.3.
The integral of the left-hand side of equation (3.5) vanishes because \( T^S \) is zero on \( C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 \) and integrals of \( T^S U^G \) on \( \Sigma_j^+ \) and \( \Sigma_j^- \) cancel each other for \( j = 1 \) and 2. The only nonzero term comes from the integral of the right-hand side of equation (3.5) along the integration paths \( \Sigma_j^+ \) and \( \Sigma_j^- \). After some simplification, equation (3.5) is reduced to

\[
U^S(\vec{r}_p) = \int_{d_1-a_1}^{d_1+a_1} \phi(x - d_1) T^G_{yz} dx + \int_{d_2-a_2}^{d_2+a_2} \psi(x - d_2) T^G_{yz} dx
\]  

(3.6)

where \( \phi(x - d_1) \) and \( \psi(x - d_2) \) are crack opening displacements (COD) of the two cracks and are defined as

\[
\phi(x - d_1) = U^S(x - d_1, y_1^+) - U^S(x - d_1, y_1^-) \\
\psi(x - d_2) = U^S(x - d_2, y_2^+) - U^S(x - d_2, y_2^-)
\]

(3.7)

and \( \vec{r}_p \) is the position vector of the point \( P \). The expression for \( T^G_{yz} \) at \( y = y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) may be obtained from

\[
T_{yz}(x, y_1) = T_1^{2}(x, y_1^-) = T_2^{2}(x, y_1^+) = C_{44}^2 \left\{ \frac{\partial U_2^2}{\partial y} \right\}_{y=y_1}
\]

(3.8a)

and

\[
T_{yz}(x, y_2) = T_2^{2}(x, y_2^-) = T_2^{2}(x, y_2^+) = C_{44}^2 \left\{ \frac{\partial U_2^2}{\partial y} \right\}_{y=y_2}
\]

(3.8b)

where \( U_2^2 \) is given in equation (3.3).

If point \( P \) is now taken on the crack surface, the displacement of that point can be obtained from equation (3.6) if \( T^G_{yz}, \phi(x - d_1) \) and \( \psi(x - d_2) \) are known. Combining equations (3.6) and (3.8) the displacement field at \( x_p, y_p \) \((y_p = y_1 \) or \( y_2 \)) is obtained:

\[
U^S_2(x_p, y_p) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{d_1-a_1}^{d_1+a_1} \phi(x - d_1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{i\eta_2(y_p-y_1)} - \eta_2(C_2^2 - D_2^2) \right\} e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk dx - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{d_2-a_2}^{d_2+a_2} \psi(x - d_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{i\eta_2(y_2-y_p)} + \eta_2(C_2^2Q_2 - D_2^2Q_2^{-1}) \right\} e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk dx
\]

(3.9)
where

\[ Q_2 = e^{i\eta_2 h_2} \]

The scattered stress field can be obtained from the displacement field of equation (3.9). Then it is equated to the negative of the incident stress field along the crack surfaces \( y = y_1, |X_1| < a_1 \) and \( y = y_2, |X_2| < a_2 \), when \( X_1 = x - d_1, X_2 = x - d_2 \) to obtain

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{ A_2 - B_2 \} \eta_2 e^{ikX_{1p} + ikd_1} dk = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \phi(X_1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_1(k) e^{ik(X_1 - X_{1p})} dk dX_1 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \psi(X_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_2(k) e^{ik(X_2 - X_{1p}) + ikd} dk dX_2
\]

for \(- a_1 < X_{1p} = x_p - d_1 < a_1 \)

and

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{ A_2 Q_2 - B_2 Q_2^{-1} \} \eta_2 e^{ikX_{2p} + ikd_2} dk = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \phi(X_1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_3(k) e^{ik(X_1 - X_{2p}) - ikd} dk dX_1 \\
+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \psi(X_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_4(k) e^{ik(X_2 - X_{2p})} dk dX_2
\]

for \(- a_2 < X_{2p} = x_p - d_2 < a_2 \)

where

\[ F_1(k) = -\eta_2 \left\{ 1 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_p} (C_2^2 - D_2^2) \right\}_{y_p = y_1} \]

\[ F_2(k) = -\eta_2 \left\{ Q_2 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_p} (C_2^2 Q_2 - D_2^2 Q_2^{-1}) \right\}_{y_p = y_1} \]

\[ F_3(k) = -\eta_2 \left\{ Q_2 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_p} (C_2^2 - D_2^2) \right\}_{y_p = y_2} \]

\[ F_4(k) = -\eta_2 \left\{ 1 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_p} (C_2^2 Q_2 - D_2^2 Q_2^{-1}) \right\}_{y_p = y_2} \]
A_2, B_2 in equations (3.10) and C_2^2, D_2^2 in equations (3.11) are defined in equations (3.1) and (3.3) respectively. Partial derivatives of C_2^2 and D_2^2 with respect to y_p at y_p = y_1 and y_2 are given in the Appendix-C.

3.2.1 Computation of the Crack Opening Displacement Functions

In order to evaluate the crack opening displacements, \( \phi(x) \) and \( \psi(x) \) are expanded in a complete set of Chebyshev polynomials, as in equation (2.16). To obtain the unknown coefficients \( \alpha_n \) and \( \gamma_n \), both sides of equation (3.10a) are multiplied by \( \phi_m(X_{1p}) \), then integrated from \( X_{1p} = -a_1 \) to \( X_{1p} = a_1 \) and both sides of equation (3.10b) are multiplied by \( \psi_m(X_{2p}) \), then integrated from \( X_{2p} = -a_2 \) to \( X_{2p} = a_2 \). After some algebraic manipulation an infinite set of linear equations is obtained to solve for \( \alpha_n \) and \( \gamma_n \),

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (K_{mn} \alpha_n + L_{mn} \gamma_n) = -\frac{4l_m}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_1(k) \eta_2 \frac{J_m(ka_1)}{k} \, dk \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (M_{mn} \alpha_n + N_{mn} \gamma_n) = -\frac{4l_m}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_2(k) \eta_2 \frac{J_m(ka_2)}{k} \, dk
\]

where for odd \( m \)

\[
f_1(k) = (A_2 - B_2) \cos(kd_1) \\
f_2(k) = (A_2 Q_2 - A_2 Q_2^{-1}) \cos(kd_2)
\]

\[l_m = i\]

for even \( m \)

\[
f_1(k) = (A_2 - B_2) \sin(kd_1) \\
f_2(k) = (A_2 Q_2 - A_2 Q_2^{-1}) \sin(kd_2)
\]

\[l_m = 1\]
and $J_m$ is the Bessel functions of first kind of order $m$. $K$, $L$, $M$ and $N$ matrices are defined in the following manner for even $(m + n)$:

$$
K_{mn} = 2 \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{F_1(k)}{k^2} - \frac{2i\mu_1}{k(\mu_1 + \mu_2)} \right\} J_m(ka_1)J_n(ka_2) \, dk + \frac{2i\mu_1}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \delta_{mn}\frac{m}{m}
$$

$$
L_{mn} = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{F_2(k)}{k^2} J_m(ka_1)J_n(ka_2) \cos(kd) \, dk
$$

$$
M_{mn} = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{F_2(k)}{k^2} J_m(ka_2)J_n(ka_1) \cos(kd) \, dk
$$

$$
N_{mn} = 2 \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{F_3(k)}{k^2} - \frac{2i\mu_2}{k(\mu_2 + \mu_2)} \right\} J_m(ka_2)J_n(ka_2) \, dk + \frac{2i\mu_2}{\mu_2 + \mu_2} \delta_{mn}\frac{m}{m}
$$

(3.14)

and for odd $(m + n)$,

$$
K_{mn} = N_{mn} = 0
$$

$$
L_{mn} = 2i \int_0^\infty \frac{F_2(k)}{k^2} J_m(ka_1)J_n(ka_2) \sin(kd) \, dk
$$

$$
M_{mn} = -2i \int_0^\infty \frac{F_2(k)}{k^2} J_m(ka_2)J_n(ka_1) \sin(kd) \, dk
$$

(3.15)

From equations (3.14) and (3.15) it can be clearly seen that $K$ and $N$ matrices are symmetric but $L$ and $M$ matrices are not. However $L$ and $M$ satisfy the following relations:

$$
L_{mn} = \begin{cases} 
M_{nm}, & \text{for } m + n = \text{even} \\
-M_{nm}, & \text{for } m + n = \text{odd}
\end{cases}
$$

Equations (3.12) have infinite series in their expressions, however, they can be terminated after a finite number of terms without introducing any significant error (Kundu, 1986). Then $\alpha_n$ and $\gamma_n$ can be obtained from a finite set of linear equations and finally $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ can be computed from equation (2.16) without any difficulty.

After obtaining $\phi$ and $\psi$ the tearing mode stress intensity factor $K_{III}$ can be easily obtained from the following relation (Hassan, 1985; Kundu and Hassan, 1987),

$$
K_{III} = \frac{\mu_1\mu_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2r}} \phi(r)
$$

(3.16)
where $\mu_1$, $\mu_2$ are shear moduli of the two layers adjacent to the crack, $\phi(r)$ is the crack opening displacement at a distance $r$ from the crack tip ($r << 1$).

### 3.2.2 Computation of Surface Displacement

The total displacement $U$ is given by

$$U = U^i + U^s$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.17)$$

in which $U^i$ is the total field in the absence of any crack given by equation (3.1), whereas the scattered field $U^s$, represents the change in $U^i$ due to the presence of cracks. $U^s_1$, the scattered field in layer 1, can be obtained by repeating the procedure used to obtain the equation (3.9). At any point on the surface $A(x_p, 0)$ after some simplification we can write

$$U^i(x_p, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty (A_1 + B_1)e^{ikx_p} dk$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)$$

and

$$U^s_1(x_p, 0) = -2i \int_0^\infty \frac{f^1(k)}{k} \cos(kd_1 - kx_p) \sum_{n=odd}^\infty \{\alpha_n J_n(ka_1)\} dk$$

$$+ 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{f^1(k)}{k} \sin(kd_1 - kx_p) \sum_{n=even}^\infty \{\alpha_n J_n(ka_1)\} dk$$

$$-2i \int_0^\infty \frac{f^2(k)}{k} \cos(kd_2 - kx_p) \sum_{n=odd}^\infty \{\gamma_n J_n(ka_2)\} dk$$

$$+ 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{f^2(k)}{k} \sin(kd_2 - kx_p) \sum_{n=even}^\infty \{\gamma_n J_n(ka_2)\} dk$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.19)$$

where

$$f^1(k) = \frac{F_2(F_3 + F_4) + F_2Q_3^2(F_3 - F_4)}{(1 + Q_1^2)M}$$

$$f^2(k) = \frac{2F_2F_3Q_1Q_2}{(1 + Q_1^2)M}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)$$
where $M, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4$ and $Q_j$ are defined in equation (3.21)

$$M = (F_1 + F_2)(F_3 + F_4) - Q_j^2(F_1 - F_2)(F_3 - F_4)$$

$$F_1 = \mu_x^1 \eta_1 (1 - Q_j^1)$$

$$F_2 = \mu_x^2 \eta_2 (1 + Q_j^1)$$

$$F_3 = \mu_x^3 \eta_3 (1 - Q_j^2)$$

$$F_4 = \mu_x^2 \eta_2 (1 + Q_j^2)$$

$$Q_j = e^{ijnj}$$

then equations (3.18) and (3.19) are added to get the total surface displacement.

### 3.3 RESULTS

The method discussed above has been implemented in a FORTRAN program. Results for some sample problems are presented in this section. For isotropic materials, the plate specimen for which numerical results are given is made of copper, steel and quartz. A crack of length 2 mm is located at the copper-steel interface and a second crack of length 4 mm is located at the quartz-steel interface. For the first problem, the distance between the crack centers is 0.5 mm and load is applied on the surface, at a point located directly below the center of the 2 mm crack, then $d_1$ in Fig. 3.1 is zero. The second problem is same as the first problem, but in this case the distance between the crack centers is 3 mm and $d_1$ is 0.5 mm (see Fig. 3.1). Properties of the plate materials are given in Table 3.1.

**Table 3.1: Material Properties and Dimensions of the Specimen**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer Number</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Thickness $h$ in mm</th>
<th>Density $\rho$ (gm/cc)</th>
<th>Shear modulus $\mu$ (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>47.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>80.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>31.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The same two problem geometries are also considered with anisotropic layers. For each problem two types of material anisotropy are considered. In the first case, $C_{55}^{d} = 1.5C_{44}^{d} = 1.5\mu^d$, and in the second case $C_{44}^{d} = 1.5C_{55}^{d} = 1.5\mu^d$.

In the results presented in Figs. 3.4 through 3.13, length, time and frequency units are in $mm$, $\mu sec$ and MHz respectively. Response of the cracks to impact loadings are shown in Figs. 3.4 through 3.13. The same loading function as in Chapter 2 (equation 2.40) is considered. In Figs. 3.4 through 3.10, $P$ is set to 10. Results are given for $\tau = 2$ and 0.2 micro seconds.

In Figs. 3.4 through 3.9 CODs are plotted. Spectra are plotted in the left column, while the right column shows the time histories. Time histories are obtained numerically by inverting the response spectra using FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) routine. In all these figures two curves are plotted, one with a bold pen and the other with a thin pen. The bold curves are actual plots of COD and the thin curves are the COD plots when the interaction between the two cracks is neglected. In other words thin curves represent the response of one crack when the other crack is absent. They are obtained by setting the coupling matrices $L$ and $M$ equal to a null matrix.

Figs. 3.4 through 3.6 are for the first problem ($d_1 = 0$, $d_2 = 0.5$ $mm$) with $\tau = 2.0$ $\mu sec$. In Fig. 3.4 the top row shows COD at the right quarter point of the 2 $mm$ crack. The second row shows COD at the left quarter point of the same crack. Third and fourth rows are same as first and second rows respectively for the 4 $mm$ crack. The problem geometry and excitation load for Fig. 3.5 and 3.6 are identical to those in Fig. 3.4. Figs. 3.5 and 3.6 show response of plates made of anisotropic layers. For Fig. 3.5 the relation between $C_{44}^{d}$ and $C_{55}^{d}$ is given by $C_{55}^{d} = 1.5C_{44}^{d} = 1.5\mu^d$ and for Fig. 3.6 it is $C_{44}^{d} = 1.5C_{55}^{d} = 1.5\mu^d$. From these figures it can be seen that in all cases the interaction effect is more for the 4 $mm$
crack than that for the 2 mm crack. This is due to the fact that the 2 mm crack is located between the source and the 4 mm crack, hence its presence significantly influences the waves striking the 4 mm crack. Comparing Figs. 3.4 through 3.6, it can be concluded that a change in $C_{44}^i$ affects the results much more than a change in $C_{55}^i$. It seems logical because of the orientation of the cracks. On the crack surface $\tau_{yz} = 0$, and $\tau_{yz}$ is affected more by changing $C_{44}^i$ than $C_{55}^i$.

Figs. 3.7 through 3.9 show COD at the left quarter point of the 4 mm crack. The top row is for the layered plate with isotropic layers and the other two rows are for plates with anisotropic layers. For the middle row $C_{55}^i = 1.5C_{44}^i = 1.5\mu_i$ and the bottom row is for $C_{44}^i = 1.5C_{55}^i = 1.5\mu_i$. Fig. 3.7 is for $d_1 = 0$ mm, $d_2 = 0.5$ mm and Fig. 3.8 is for $d_1 = 0.5$ mm, $d_2 = 3.5$ mm. In both figures $\tau = 0.2 \mu$sec. Fig. 3.9 is same as Fig. 3.10 but for $\tau = 2.0 \mu$sec.

The distance between the crack and the source (the point of load application) is greater in Fig. 3.8 than in Fig. 3.7. Hence due to geometric damping COD in Fig. 3.8 is smaller than that in Fig. 3.7.

In all figures, 3.4 through 3.9, it is observed that interaction effect increases COD of the 4 mm crack significantly. This is due to the fact that in presence of the 2 mm crack the stress waves are shifted from their normal path to have higher concentrations near the two ends of this crack, hence more stress waves strike the 4 mm crack and increase its COD when the 2 mm crack is present.

It should be noted here that for the same problem geometry and material properties, Fig. 3.9 shows larger COD than Fig. 3.8. This is because the load duration time $\tau$ in Fig. 3.9 is larger, hence the external work done on the plate is more in Fig. 3.9 than in Fig. 3.8. Hence Fig. 3.9 shows greater crack opening displacements.
In Fig. 3.10 the effect of fiber reinforcement direction on the crack opening displacement is shown. In this figure COD at the left quarter point of the 4 mm crack is plotted for the first problem geometry \((d_1 = 0, d_2 = 0.5 \text{ mm})\) with \(\tau = 2.0 \mu\text{sec}\). The top row shows the COD of the 4 mm crack in an anisotropic homogeneous plate with fiber layup in the 0\(^{\circ}\) direction. For this plot \(C_{55}^{i} = 1.5C_{44}^{i} = 121.35 \text{ GPa}\). The middle row shows the crack response in a three layer plate with 0\(^{\circ}\)-90\(^{\circ}\)-0\(^{\circ}\) lay up. Here the top and bottom layers have the same properties, \(C_{55}^{i} = 1.5C_{44}^{i} = 121.35 \text{ GPa}\) and for the middle layer shear moduli are \(C_{44}^{2} = 1.5C_{55}^{2} = 121.35 \text{ GPa}\). The layer properties are interchanged in the bottom row of this figure. In these plots the top and bottom layers have the same shear moduli equal to \(C_{44}^{1} = 1.5C_{55}^{1} = 121.35 \text{ GPa}\) and for the middle layer they are \(C_{44}^{2} = 1.5C_{55}^{2} = 121.35 \text{ GPa}\). Hence this lay up can be identified as a 90\(^{\circ}\)-0\(^{\circ}\)-90\(^{\circ}\) lay up. The strong influence of fiber directions on the crack opening displacement should be noted here. Like other figures here also the thin curves are obtained when interaction effects are neglected.

The surface responses to impact loads for different problem geometries are shown in Figs. 3.11 and 3.12. The peak value of the plate surface excitation load, \(P\), is set equal to 100. \(\tau\), the duration of the impact load is taken as \(\tau = 0.2 \text{ micro seconds}\).

Displacements at point \(A(3,0)\) of Fig. 3.1 for the two different problem geometries mentioned above, for a line load are shown in Fig. 3.11. In this case the multilayered specimen has isotropic elastic layers. The left column shows the response spectra and the right column gives time histories. The top row shows the surface displacement in absence of any crack. The second row gives displacement at the same point when the two interface cracks have a center to center distance of 3.0 mm, (problem 1), where as for the third row the center to center distance is of 6.0 mm (problem 2). In both the second and third rows two curves are drawn
in each plot. Thicker curves are the actual plots and the thin curves are obtained when the interaction effect between the two cracks is not considered properly. Thin curves are obtained by setting L and M matrices equal to zero in equation (3.17). In other words with L and M equal to zero, the presence of two cracks are considered separately, i.e. it is assumed that there is no interaction between them, and their contributions are added to get the thin curves. It can be concluded from this figure that no significant difference in surface displacements occurs if the interaction effect is ignored. This is in contradiction with the previous result for a plane wave front and the line load when the second crack is located on the path of propagation of elastic waves. There is however, a big difference between surface responses of uncracked and cracked bodies. The surface movement of relatively bigger magnitude sustains for a longer period of time, when interface crack exists. It is due to the fact that because of the presence of crack surfaces at the interface, the energy of the bottom layer cannot easily transmit into the adjoining layer, instead part of it reflects back into the layer after being reflected by the crack surfaces.

In Fig. 3.12, the top row is for the layered plate with isotropic layers and other two rows is for plates with anisotropic layers. For the middle row \( C_{55}^i = 1.5C_{44}^i = 1.5\mu^i \) and bottom row is for \( C_{44}^i = 1.5C_{55}^i = 1.5\mu^i \). From the figure it is evident that material anisotropy can affect the response significantly.
Fig. 3.1: Geometry of the problem. A three layered plate containing two interface cracks is subjected to an antiplane line load.
Fig. 3.2: A line load in a three layered plate.
Fig. 3.3: Contour of Integration (equation 3.4).
Fig. 3.4: Spectral amplitudes (left column) and time histories (right column) of COD in a three layered plate with isotropic layers. 1st and 2nd rows are COD of 2 mm crack at right and left quarter points respectively. 3rd and 4th rows are the same for 4 mm crack at right and left quarter points respectively. Load duration time $\tau = 2.0 \mu$sec.
Fig. 3.5: Same as Fig. 3.4 but here layers are made of anisotropic materials for which $C_{55} = 1.5C_{44} = 1.5\mu$. 
Fig. 3.6: Same as Fig. 3.4 but here $C_{44} = 1.5C_{55} = 1.5\mu$
Fig. 3.7: Spectral amplitudes (left column) and time histories (right column) of COD at left quarter point of the 4 mm crack for the first problem ($d_1 = 0$, $d_2 = 0.5$ mm). Top row is for isotropic layers ($C_{44} = C_{55} = \mu$). Middle row is for anisotropic layers with $C_{55} = 1.5C_{44} = 1.5\mu$ and the bottom row is also for anisotropic layers with $C_{44} = 1.5C_{55} = 1.5\mu$. Load duration time $\tau = 0.2$ $\mu$sec.
Fig. 3.8: Same as Fig. 3.7 but here $d_1 = 0.5 \text{ mm}$, $d_2 = 3.5 \text{ mm}$. 
Fig. 3.9: Same as Fig. 3.7 but $\tau = 2.0 \mu sec.$
Fig. 3.10: Spectral amplitudes (left column) and time histories (right column) of the COD at the left quarter point of the 4 mm crack for the first problem geometry ($d_1 = 0$, $d_2 = 0.5$ mm) with $\tau = 2.0$ $\mu$sec. The top row is for a homogeneous anisotropic plate with $C_{55} = 1.5C_{44} = 121.35$ GPa. The middle row is for a $0^\circ$-$90^\circ$-$0^\circ$ lay up - top and bottom layers have shear moduli equal to $C_{55} = 1.5C_{44} = 121.35$ GPa and for the middle layer they are $C_{44} = 1.5C_{55} = 121.35$ GPa. When the layer properties are interchanged plots of the bottom row are obtained for $90^\circ$-$0^\circ$-$90^\circ$ lay ups. Thin curves are computed by neglecting the interaction effect.
Fig. 3.11: Surface displacement in a three layered orthotropic plate at A(3,0). The plate is excited by a line load with pulse duration \( t = 0.2\mu\text{sec} \). The top row shows the surface displacement without any crack. The middle row gives surface displacement when two interface cracks have center to center distance of 3 mm and the bottom row is same as middle row but here the distance between the crack centers is 6 mm. In middle and bottom rows bold curves give actual displacements and thin curves give uncoupled displacements (neglecting interaction effect). Left column: Spectral amplitude of displacement in mm – \( \mu\text{sec} \). Right column: Time histories in mm.
Fig. 3.12: Spectral amplitudes (left column) and time histories (right column) of displacement at A(3,0). Top row is for isotropic layers ($C_{44} = C_{55} = \mu$). Middle row is for anisotropic layers with ($C_{55} = 1.5C_{44} = 1.5\mu$) and the bottom row is for anisotropic layers with ($C_{44} = 1.5C_{55} = 1.5\mu$).
CHAPTER-4
RESPONSE OF AN ORTHOTROPIC HALF-SPACE WITH A SUBSURFACE CRACK: IN-PLANE CASE

Scattering of elastic waves by a subsurface crack in an orthotropic half space subjected to a surface line load with an arbitrary angle of inclination is analyzed in this chapter. Green’s functions are developed and used along with the representation theorem to reduce the problem to a set of simultaneous singular integral equations in the Fourier transformed domain. Solution of these equations is then obtained by expanding the unknown crack opening displacement (COD) in terms of Chebychev polynomials. Numerical results are given for specific examples involving orthotropic materials.

4.1 PROBLEM FORMULATION

A homogeneous, orthotropic, linearly elastic solid, which occupies the half-plane \( y > 0 \), contains a subsurface crack parallel to the free surface as shown in Fig. 4.1. The crack with traction free surfaces having a length of \( 2a \) is located at a depth \( h \). The incident line load, \( T = \delta(x)f(t) \) is applied at the origin at an angle of inclination \( \theta \) as shown in the figure.

To solve this problem we need to solve two fundamental problems–1) a flawless half-space subjected to a line load at the boundary and 2) the Green’s functions corresponding to two unit loads in \( x \) and \( y \)-directions respectively. Representation theorem is then used along with the Green’s functions to obtain the scattered displacement field.

4.1.1 Flawless Half-Space Subjected to a Line Load at the Boundary

The geometry of this problem is similar to that shown in Fig. 4.1, the only difference
is that there is no crack. The time harmonic inplane stress field of time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$ acts as a line load at an angle of inclination $\theta$ at the origin.

Constitutive equations of the material can be written in the tensorial notation as

$$\sigma_k = C_{kj} \epsilon_j \quad (k, j = 1, 2, 6) \tag{4.1}$$

where repeated subscript indicate summation and $C_{kj}$ is the stiffness tensor. For orthotropic materials $C_{16}$, $C_{26}$, $C_{61}$ and $C_{62}$ are equal to zero. The engineering strains, $\epsilon_j$, in equation (4.1) are defined by

$$\epsilon_1 = u_x, \quad \epsilon_2 = v_y, \quad \epsilon_6 = u_y + v_x \tag{4.2}$$

where $u$ and $v$ are displacement components in $x$ and $y$ directions respectively and comma (, ) indicates partial derivative. Equations of motion of the problem are given by

$$C_{11} u_{xx} + C_{66} u_{yy} + (C_{12} + C_{66}) u_{xy} = \rho u_{tt} \tag{4.3a}$$
$$C_{22} v_{yy} + C_{66} u_{xx} + (C_{12} + C_{66}) u_{xy} = \rho v_{tt} \tag{4.3b}$$

where $\rho$ is the density of the elastic material.

Solutions of these coupled equations in the Fourier transformed domain ($\omega$) can be written as

$$U(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_j e^{p_j y + ikx} dk \quad (j = 1, 2) \tag{4.4a}$$
$$V(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_j S_j e^{p_j y + ikx} dk \quad (j = 1, 2) \tag{4.4b}$$

where $p_j$ is the $j$-th root with negative real part and/or positive imaginary part of the following equation

$$C_{22} C_{66} p^4 + p^2 (k^2 C_{12}^2 + 2C_{12} C_{66} k^2 + C_{22} \rho \omega^2 - k^2 C_{11} C_{22} + C_{66} \rho \omega^2)$$
$$+ (\rho^2 \omega^4 - k^2 C_{66} \rho \omega^2 - k^2 C_{11} \rho \omega^2 + k^4 C_{11} C_{66}) = 0 \tag{4.5}$$
Roots with positive real part and/or negative imaginary part are ruled out by the radiation conditions. This guarantees that stress field will decay as one moves away from the source and there will not be a upward propagating wave since there is no reflecting boundary below $y = 0$ line. $A_j$ are the unknown functions of $k$ and $\omega$ to be determined by using boundary conditions and are given in the Appendix-D, and

$$S_j = \frac{k^2 C_{11} - p_j^2 C_{66} - \rho \omega^2}{ikp_j(C_{12} + C_{66})} \quad \text{(no summation on j)}$$

Equations (4.5) and (4.6) are obtained by taking Fourier transform of equation (4.4) with respect to $x$ and substituting in equation (4.3) after taking Fourier transform of it with respect to $x$ and $t$.

### 4.1.2 Green’s Function: A Line Load in a Flawless Half-Space

A number of Green’s functions are available in literature [Xu and Mal (1988) and references there in] for isotropic materials, in terms of displacement potentials. But none of these can be used for orthotropic materials directly. So in this section two new Green’s functions are developed, for unit loads in the $x$ and $y$-directions.

The geometry of this problem is shown in Fig. 4.2. A time harmonic line load is acting at a point $P(x_p, y_p)$ as shown in the figure. Unit loads along $x$ and $y$-directions can be considered as a body force that can be written in terms of a delta functions, $\delta(x - x_p, y - y_p, t)$. The equations of motion for this problem should be same as equations (4.3), with the additional body force term in equation (4.3a) for a unit load in the $x$-direction and in (4.3b) for a unit load in the $y$-direction. These equations of motion can be interpreted as having the same form as equation (4.3) for $y \neq y_p$ and an additional unit stress jump across the $y = y_p$ plane. The
solution of these equation can be obtained by modifying the displacement field in equation (4.4) to satisfy the stress jump condition and can be written as

\[
U_\alpha^G(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ B_\alpha^\pm e^{p_1|y-y_p|} + C_\alpha^\pm e^{p_2|y-y_p|} + D_j^G e^{p_j y} \right\} e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk
\]

\( \alpha, j = 1, 2 \) \hfill (4.7a)

\[
V_\alpha^G(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ B_\alpha^\pm S_j^\pm e^{p_1|y-y_p|} + C_\alpha^\pm S_j^\pm e^{p_2|y-y_p|} + D_j^G S_j e^{p_j y} \right\} e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk
\]

where \( \alpha = 1 \) for a unit load in the \( x \)-direction and \( 2 \) for a unit load in the \( y \)-direction and

\[
S_j^\pm = \pm S_j \quad j = 1, 2
\]

\[
B_1^\pm = -\frac{p_1 p_2 a_1 (C_{12} + C_{66})}{2C_{66}(a_1 a_6 - a_2 a_5)}
\]

\[
C_1^\pm = \frac{p_1 p_2 a_2 (C_{12} + C_{66})}{2C_{66}(a_1 a_6 - a_2 a_5)}
\]

\[
B_2^\pm = C_2^\mp = \mp \frac{a_4}{2a_3}
\]

with "+" for \( y > y_p \) and "−" for \( y < y_p \). Expressions for \( a_1 \) through \( a_6 \) are given in the Appendix-D. \( B_\alpha^\pm, C_\alpha^\pm \) are obtained by using the fact that for a unit load in the \( x \)-direction there will be a unit jump in \( \sigma_\alpha^G \) while \( U^G, V^G \) and \( \sigma_\alpha^G \) are continuous across \( y = y_p \) plane, similarly, for a unit load in the \( y \)-direction there will be a jump in \( \sigma_\alpha^G \) while \( U^G, V^G \) and \( \sigma_\alpha^G \) are continuous. These conditions give rise to two sets of equations, each having four unknowns, which are then solved to obtain \( B_\alpha^\pm, C_\alpha^\pm \).

Constants \( D_j^G \quad (\alpha, j = 1, 2) \) can be obtained from the boundary conditions and are given in the Appendix-D. Superscript \( G \) in \( U, V \) and \( \sigma \) indicates that displacements and stresses correspond to the Green's functions.
4.2 APPLICATION OF REPRESENTATION THEOREM

The displacement field due to a dislocation along a fault plane is given by the representation theorem (Knopoff, 1956; de Hoop, 1958; Mal, 1972)

$$U_k(x, y) = \int_S [U_i(\xi, \eta)]^\perp T^k_{ij}(\xi, \eta; x, y)n_j dS(\xi, \eta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

where,

- $U_k(x, y) = \text{displacement in } k\text{-direction at position } (x, y)$
- $[U_i(\xi, \eta)]^\perp = \text{displacement jump across the fault plane at } (\xi, \eta)$
- $T^k_{ij}(\xi, \eta; x, y) = ij\text{-component of stress at } (\xi, \eta) \text{ due to a unit force applied in the } k\text{-direction at } (x, y)$
- $n_j = j\text{-component of the unit normal vector to the fault plane at } (\xi, \eta)$
- $dS(\xi, \eta) = \text{elemental fault area}$

Starting with equation (4.9), after some simplifications, one can obtain the scattered displacement field, $U^s_\alpha(x_p, y_p)$, in the form

$$U^s_\alpha(x_p, y_p) = \int_{d-a}^{d+a} [\phi(x - d)\sigma^\alpha_6 |_{y=h} + \psi(x - d)\sigma^\alpha_2 |_{y=h}] dx \quad (\alpha = 1, 2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

where $\sigma^\alpha_2, \sigma^\alpha_6$ are the stress fields corresponding to a unit load acting in the $\alpha$-direction; in other words they are stress fields corresponding to the Green’s functions obtained from (4.7a) and (4.7b). $\phi(x - d)$ and $\psi(x - d)$ are crack opening displacements (COD) along $x$ and $y$-directions respectively and are defined as

$$\phi(x - d) = U(x - d, h^+) - U(x - d, h^-)$$
$$\psi(x - d) = V(x - d, h^+) - V(x - d, h^-)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

Taking Fourier transform of equation (4.1) with respect to $t$ one can express the stress fields due to unit loads as the following

$$\sigma^\alpha_2 = C_{21} U^G_{\alpha,x} + C_{22} V^G_{\alpha,y}$$
$$\sigma^\alpha_6 = C_{66} U^G_{\alpha,y} + C_{66} V^G_{\alpha,x}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.12)
Substituting the values of $\sigma^G_\alpha$ and $\sigma^G_\alpha$ from equation (4.7) we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma^1_6 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_1(k) e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk \\
\sigma^1_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_2(k) e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk \\
\sigma^2_6 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_3(k) e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk \\
\sigma^2_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_4(k) e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.13)

where the functions $F_j(k)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$), are given in the Appendix-D.

Combining equations (4.13) and (4.10), after some simplifications one can write

\[
\begin{align*}
U^s(x_p, y_p) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{d-a}^{d+a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x - d) F_1(k) e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{d-a}^{d+a} \psi(x - d) F_2(k) e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk dx \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.14a)

\[
\begin{align*}
V^s(x_p, y_p) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{d-a}^{d+a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x - d) F_3(k) e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{d-a}^{d+a} \psi(x - d) F_4(k) e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk dx \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.14b)

The scattered stress field can be obtained from the displacement field of equation (4.14). To satisfy the stress free boundary conditions this stress field should be equal to the negative of the incident stress field that can be obtained from equation
(4.4), hence

\[-iC_21 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} kF_1(k)|_{y=y_p=h} \int_{-a}^{a} \phi(x)e^{ik(x-x_p)+ikd} dxdk\]

\[-iC_21 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} kF_2(k)|_{y=y_p=h} \int_{-a}^{a} \psi(x)e^{ik(x-x_p)+ikd} dxdk\]

\[+C_{22} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial F_3(k)}{\partial y_p} |_{y=y_p=h} \int_{-a}^{a} \phi(x)e^{ik(x-x_p)+ikd} dxdk\]

\[+C_{22} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial F_4(k)}{\partial y_p} |_{y=y_p=h} \int_{-a}^{a} \psi(x)e^{ik(x-x_p)+ikd} dxdk\]

\[= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{ A_1 (ikC_{21} + C_{22} S_1 P_1) e^{p_1 h} + A_2 (ikC_{21} + C_{22} S_2 P_2) e^{p_2 h} \} e^{k x_p} dk \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.15a)

\[C_{66} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial F_1(k)}{\partial y_p} |_{y=y_p=h} \int_{-a}^{a} \phi(x)e^{ik(x-x_p)+ikd} dxdk\]

\[+C_{66} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial F_2(k)}{\partial y_p} |_{y=y_p=h} \int_{-a}^{a} \psi(x)e^{ik(x-x_p)+ikd} dxdk\]

\[-iC_{66} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} kF_3(k)|_{y=y_p=h} \int_{-a}^{a} \phi(x)e^{ik(x-x_p)+ikd} dxdk\]

\[-iC_{66} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} kF_4(k)|_{y=y_p=h} \int_{-a}^{a} \psi(x)e^{ik(x-x_p)+ikd} dxdk\]

\[= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{ A_1 C_{66} (P_1 + ikS_1) e^{p_1 h} + A_2 C_{66} (P_2 + ikS_2) e^{p_2 h} \} e^{k x_p} dk \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.15b)

In the above equations the only unknowns are the crack opening displacements \( \phi \) and \( \psi \), which are obtained in the next section.

**4.2.1 Computation of the Crack Opening Displacement Functions**

In order to evaluate the crack opening displacements, \( \phi(x) \) and \( \psi(x) \) are expanded in a complete set of Chebyshev polynomials, as in equation (2.16) with \( a_1 = a_2 = a \). To obtain the unknown coefficients \( \alpha_n \) and \( \gamma_n \), both sides of equation (4.15a) are multiplied by \( \phi_m(x_p) \), then integrated from \( x_p = -a \) to \( x_p = a \) and both
sides of equation (4.15b) are multiplied by $\psi_m(x_p)$, then integrated from $x_p = -a$ to $x_p = a$. After some algebraic manipulation an infinite set of linear equations is obtained to be solved for $\alpha_n$ and $\gamma_n$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (K_{mn}\alpha_n + L_{mn}\gamma_n) = f_1(k)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (M_{mn}\alpha_n + N_{mn}\gamma_n) = f_2(k)$$

where

$$f_1(k) = l_m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{A_1(ikC_{21} + C_{22}S_1P_1)e^{p_1h}$$

$$+A_2(ikC_{21} + C_{22}S_2P_2)e^{p_2h}\} \frac{J_m(ka)}{k} e^{ikd} dk$$

$$f_2(k) = l_m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{A_1C_{66}(p_1 + ikS_1)e^{p_1h}$$

$$+A_2C_{66}(p_2 + ikS_2)e^{p_2h}\} \frac{J_m(ka)}{k} e^{ikd} dk$$

$K_{mn} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ -C_{21}\frac{i\pi}{k} \frac{F_1(k)}{k} |_{y=y_p=h} + \pi k^2 C_{22} \frac{\partial F_3(k)}{\partial y_p} |_{y=y_p=h} \right] J_m(ka)J_n(ka) dk$

$L_{mn} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ -C_{21}\frac{i\pi}{k} \frac{F_2(k)}{k} |_{y=y_p=h} + \pi k^2 C_{22} \frac{\partial F_4(k)}{\partial y_p} |_{y=y_p=h} \right] J_m(ka)J_n(ka) dk$

$M_{mn} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ C_{66}\frac{\pi}{k^2} \frac{\partial F_1(k)}{\partial y_p} |_{y=y_p=h} - C_{66}i\pi \frac{F_3(k)}{k} |_{y=y_p=h} \right] J_m(ka)J_n(ka) dk$

$N_{mn} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ C_{66}\frac{\pi}{k^2} \frac{\partial F_2(k)}{\partial y_p} |_{y=y_p=h} - C_{66}i\pi \frac{F_4(k)}{k} |_{y=y_p=h} \right] J_m(ka)J_n(ka) dk$

and $J_m$ is the Bessel function of first kind of order $m$, and

$$l_m = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} i & \text{for odd } m \\ -i & \text{for even } m \end{array} \right.$$  

Matrices $K$, $L$, $M$ and $N$ are all symmetric. In addition when $(m + n)$ is even $K_{mn} = N_{mn} = 0$ and when $(m + n)$ is odd $L_{mn} = M_{mn} = 0$.

Equations (4.18) have infinite series in their expressions, however, they can be terminated after a finite number of terms without introducing any significant
error [Kundu (1985)]. Then $\alpha_n$, $\gamma_n$ can be obtained from a finite set of linear equations.

### 4.2.2 Computation of Surface Displacement

The total displacement $U_\alpha$ is given by

$$U_\alpha = U^i_\alpha + U^s_\alpha \quad (\alpha = 1, 2)\quad (4.21)$$

in which $U^i_\alpha$ is the total field, in absence of any crack, given by equation (4.4), where as the scattered field $U^s_\alpha$, represents the change in $U^i_\alpha$ due to the presence of the crack. $U^s_\alpha$ is given by equation (4.14). After some mathematical simplification one can write the displacement components $U$ and $V$ of any surface point $P(x_p, 0)$ in the following manner

$$U^i(x_p, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (A_1 + A_2)e^{ikx_p} dk \quad (4.22a)$$

$$V^i(x_p, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_j S_j e^{ikx_p} dk \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (4.22b)$$

and

$$U^s(x_p, 0) = i \int_0^{\infty} \frac{F_1(k)}{k} \cos(kd - kx_p) \sum_{n=odd}^{\infty} \{\alpha_n J_n(ka)\} dk$$

$$- \int_0^{\infty} \frac{F_1(k)}{k} \sin(kd - kx_p) \sum_{n=even}^{\infty} \{\alpha_n J_n(ka)\} dk$$

$$- \int_0^{\infty} \frac{F_2(k)}{k} \sin(kd - kx_p) \sum_{n=odd}^{\infty} \{\gamma_n J_n(ka)\} dk$$

$$+ i \int_0^{\infty} \frac{F_2(k)}{k} \cos(kd - kx_p) \sum_{n=even}^{\infty} \{\gamma_n J_n(ka)\} dk \quad (4.23a)$$
then equations (4.22) and (4.23) are added to obtain the total surface displacement.

4.3 RESULTS

The method discussed above has been implemented in a FORTRAN program. Results are given for a Graphite-Epoxy composite specimen which is used widely in the aircraft industry. Response of the cracked half-space to different impact loadings are shown in Figs. 4.3 through 4.8. The time dependence of the applied load is given in chapter 2 (see equation 2.40). Results are given for \( T = 2 \) microsecond and \( P \) equal to 10. The following material properties of the Graphite-Epoxy composite [Kuo (1984a)] are used for all subsequent analyses.

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{11} &= 138.4408 \text{ GPa} \\
C_{22} &= 14.5365 \text{ GPa} \\
C_{12} &= 3.0530 \text{ GPa} \\
C_{66} &= 5.8565 \text{ GPa} \\
\rho &= 7.44 \text{ gm/cm}^3
\end{align*}
\]

(4.24)

For the sample problem considered here, the half crack length is taken as 1 mm, depth of crack \( h \) is taken as 0.5 mm and distance of crack center from the point of application of load is taken as 2 mm. Fig. 4.3 shows the variation of the integrands of \( K_{12} \) and \( L_{11} \) as a function of \( k \). The left column shows the variation of imaginary
part of $K_{12}$ (real part being zero) while the right column shows the variation of the real part of $L_{11}$ (imaginary part being zero). It should be noted that depending on the material properties, elements of the $K$, $L$, $M$ and $N$ matrices can either be real or imaginary. The top row is for a frequency of 0.04 MHz and the bottom row is for a frequency of 1.20 MHz. Similar characteristics would have been observed if the integrands of $N$ and $M$ were plotted instead of $K$ and $L$. From the figure it is evident that as the frequency increases, the decay rate of the integrand decreases thus increasing the cost of integration. Fig. 4.4 shows the variation of crack opening displacements (COD) along the crack length at 0.04 MHz for $F(\omega)$ equal to 10. The left column shows the variation of displacement along the $x$-direction ($U$) while the right column shows the same in the $y$-direction ($V$). Three rows correspond to three angles of inclination ($\theta$), which are $0^\circ$ (top row), $45^\circ$ (middle row) and $90^\circ$ (bottom row). In each plot two curves are drawn, the thick curve shows the COD of the sample problem described above and the thin curve is for a similar problem where the load is shifted horizontally just above the center of the crack ($d = 0 \, \text{mm}$). From the problem geometry and the direction of applied load it is obvious that for $d = 0$, the problem is symmetric for $\theta = 0^\circ$ and antisymmetric for $\theta = 90^\circ$. Hence for $\theta = 0^\circ$ one should expect symmetric $V$ and antisymmetric $U$ where as for $\theta = 90^\circ$, $V$ and $U$ should be antisymmetric and symmetric respectively. This is what we get in our computation also. However in the COD plots (thin curves of Fig. 4.4) both $U$ and $V$ appear to be symmetric for $\theta = 0^\circ$ (top row) and $\theta = 90^\circ$ (bottom row). This is because COD amplitudes are plotted in these figures, hence both symmetric and antisymmetric curves appear to be symmetric in the figure. For $45^\circ$ inclination (middle row) COD plots are neither symmetric nor antisymmetric. Variation of $U$ and $V$ are also neither symmetric nor antisymmetric for the sample problem at any
angle of inclination, however deviation of COD amplitudes from symmetry appears to be very small.

In Figs. 4.5 through 4.8 thick and thin curves indicate the surface response of the orthotropic half-space with and without the cracks respectively. Angle of inclination ($\theta$) for the applied load is taken as $45^0$. Left and right columns give spectral amplitudes and time histories respectively. In Figs. 4.5 and 4.6 variations of $u$ and $v$ on the surface of the half space are shown. Top and bottom rows indicate the displacement at $x_p$ equal to 5 and 15 mm respectively (see Fig. 4.1). It should be noted here that for both Figs. 4.5 and 4.6 the difference between surface displacements with and without the subsurface crack is more at $x_p = 5$ mm than that at $x_p = 15$ mm. Intuitively also one should expect it, since the effect of crack decays as the distance from the crack increases.

Horizontal ($u$) and vertical ($v$) displacements at $x_p = 5$ mm are plotted in Figs. 4.7 and 4.8 for three different angles of inclination $\theta$, which are $0^0$ (top row), $45^0$ (middle row) and $90^0$ (bottom row). It can be seen in these two figures that as the external load changes its orientation from vertical ($\theta = 0^0$, top row) to horizontal position ($\theta = 90^0$, bottom row) $u$ increases and $v$ decreases. Qualitatively we can justify these results since a horizontal force should produce more horizontal displacement, whereas a vertical force should produce more vertical displacement as long as Poisson's ratio is less than 1.

It should also be noted that the peak displacement increases with the presence of the crack. This is because a cracked half-space is more flexible than an uncracked half-space, hence cracked half-space gives larger displacement.

The nature of computed results qualitatively agrees with the expected form. However, these results could not be compared with any other published result since
no analytical or numerical results are available in the literature for any problem with geometry and loading similar to this one.

In this analysis traction free crack surfaces are considered; in other words it is assumed that the crack surfaces do not come in contact with each other. However under dynamic loading the crack surfaces could come in contact with each other and introduce nonlinearity in the problem. Under certain situations these crack surface tractions may significantly alter the surface displacements computed here. However under some other real situation, such as a subsurface crack of nonzero width being excited dynamically by an ultrasonic signal, the crack surfaces may vibrate and yet may not come in contact with each other. The assumption of stress free crack surfaces is justified under such situations. Then the response computed with this simplifying assumption should be close to the actual response.

In all the results presented in this chapter the difference between the cracked and uncracked half-space response is found to be very small. However, this difference should significantly increase if the crack length is increased or the frequency content in the spectral plot is increased by subjecting the half-space to a sharper impact excitation (see chapter 2). Since the main emphasis in this dissertation is devoted to the development of the method to solve the specific problem, an elaborate parametric study is beyond the scope of current study and is not attempted here.
Fig. 4.1: Geometry of the problem. An orthotropic half-space containing a subsurface crack.
Fig. 4.2: A line load in a half-space: a) line load in horizontal direction, b) line load in vertical direction.
Fig. 4.3: Variation of imaginary part of $K(1, 2)$ (real part being zero) and real part of $L(1, 1)$ (imaginary part being zero) with $k$. Left column shows $K(1, 2)$ and right column shows $L(1, 1)$. Top and bottom rows are at frequencies 0.04 MHz and 1.20 MHz respectively.
Fig. 4.4: Spectral amplitude (in mm-μsec) of crack opening displacements at a frequency 0.04 MHz for $F(\omega)$ equal to 10. Left and right columns show the displacements along $x$ and $y$-directions respectively. Thin and thick curves are for $d = 0$ mm and 2 mm respectively (see Fig. 1).
Fig. 4.5: Surface displacements along the x-direction in an orthotropic half-space. The half-space is excited by a line load at \( d = 2 \) mm with an angle of inclination, \( \theta = 45^\circ \) and pulse duration time \( \tau = 2 \) \( \mu \)sec. The top and bottom rows show the surface displacements at \( x = 5 \) and \( 15 \) mm respectively (see Fig. 4.1). Left and right columns give spectra and time histories respectively. Thick curves show the displacement in presence of the crack while thin curves are for a flawless half-space.
Fig. 4.6: Same as Fig. 4.5 but computed displacements are along the y-direction.
Fig. 4.7: Surface displacements along the x-direction for a load applied at \( d = 2 \text{ mm} \), with the pulse duration time \( \tau = 2 \text{ \mu sec} \). Top, middle and bottom rows are for angles of inclination equal to 0°, 45° and 90° respectively. Left and right columns give spectral amplitudes and time histories respectively. Thick curves are for the cracked half-space and thin curves are for the flawless half-space.
Fig. 4.8: Same as Fig. 4.7 but computed displacements are along the y-direction.
CHAPTER-5
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

5.1 CONCLUSIONS

Analytical study of subsurface cracks subjected to dynamic loads in isotropic materials can be performed by using boundary integral equation (BIE) methods. Two most popular techniques for formulating boundary integral equations in isotropic materials for dynamic crack problems are Neerhoff's (Neerhoff, 1970) method and frequency domain representation theorem (Knopoff, 1956). In this dissertation, these techniques have been extended to orthotropic materials. Most difficult part for solving these problems is the evaluation of the singular integrals. Techniques of Kundu (Kundu, 1983) to obtain such integrals have been modified to obtain the integrals involved.

Both techniques mentioned above require Green's functions. For antiplane problems Green's functions available for isotropic materials are modified to include anisotropic materials. For in-plane problems involving orthotropic materials, no Green's functions are available in literature. So two new Green's functions are developed for line load in horizontal and vertical directions in a semi-infinite medium.

In this dissertation, solutions are given for line loads. From these solutions one can obtain solution to a more complex loading situation by suitable integration.

In a real situation, sometimes there is not just a single crack, but rather a configuration of multiple cracks, for example, when a body is hit by a projectile a number of cracks are generated. The question which has motivated part of the work in this dissertation is to what extent the response of one crack can be affected by the presence of a neighboring crack. When the faces of the crack are in contact and the crack is actually partially closed or when there are more than one neighboring
cracks, the analysis will be more involved. The simplified analysis of this dissertation can account for a partially closed crack if it can be represented by a configuration of two neighboring cracks. Solutions of such problems are obtained by formulating the problem as a coupled set of integral equations, thus the interaction between two cracks is properly accounted for.

Parametric studies involving loading, crack geometries and material properties are performed to study the effect of cracks on the structural response. The following conclusions are drawn from these studies for the range of problems analysed in this dissertation:

1) It is observed that the interaction between the cracks significantly affect the surface motion and crack opening displacements if the crack lies on the path of propagation of waves.

2) For the same excitation and crack geometries, motion in anisotropic materials decays faster than that in isotropic materials.

3) It is observed that fiber orientation has a strong influence on the crack opening displacements.

4) For the antiplane problem, a change of shear moduli associated with the crack surface stresses affects the results more than a change in shear moduli which are not directly associated with the crack surface stresses.

5) For the same problem geometry, material properties, and peak load; an increased load duration gives a larger COD and surface displacements.

5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

A crack with closed and rough crack faces may be a poor reflector of ultrasonic waves, and thus difficult to detect and characterize. Attempts should be made to develop a dynamic model that will incorporate absorption of waves at
the crack surface. It is also mandatory to incorporate contact and slip between the crack surfaces where they can significantly affect the scattering pattern of waves by the cracks.

Although, in the present study attention is restricted to a layered half-space and a three-layered plate, composites are usually made of several layers. Attempts should be made to develop a realistic model of analysis for multilayered composites with interface cracks.

In order to verify the analytical results, controlled laboratory tests are imperative. Through comparison of predicted crack responses and extensive test measurement under controlled conditions, the predictive capabilities of the analytical results can be assessed beyond question.
APPENDIX-A

Expressions of $A_1$, $B_1$, $A_2$, $B_2$ and $C_1$, $D_1$, $C_2$ of equations (2.2) and (2.6) are given here.

\[
A_1 = \frac{p_1^2 \cos(\eta_1 h) + ip_1 \sin(\eta_1 h)}{p_1^2 \cos^2(\eta_1 h) + \sin^2(\eta_1 h)}
\]

\[
B_1 = A_1
\]

\[
A_2 = 1
\]

\[
B_2 = \frac{ip_1 - \tan(\eta_1 h)}{ip_1 + \tan(\eta_1 h)}
\]

\[
C_1 = \frac{pQ_1(\mu_1^2 \eta_1 - \mu_2^2 \eta_2) + P(\mu_1^2 \eta_1 + \mu_2^2 \eta_2)}{\eta_1[\mu_2^2 \eta_2(Q_1^2 + 1) - \mu_1^2 \eta_1(Q_1^2 - 1)]}
\]

\[
D_1 = \frac{Q_1(PQ_1 + p)(\mu_1^2 \eta_1 - \mu_2^2 \eta_2)}{\eta_1[\mu_2^2 \eta_2(Q_1^2 + 1) - \mu_1^2 \eta_1(Q_1^2 - 1)]}
\]

\[
C_2 = \frac{2\mu_2^2 (PQ_1 + p)}{\mu_2^2 \eta_2(Q_1^2 + 1) - \mu_1^2 \eta_1(Q_1^2 - 1)}
\]

where

\[
p_1 = \frac{\mu_2^2 \eta_2}{\mu_1^2 \eta_1}
\]

\[
Q_1 = \exp(i\eta_1 h)
\]

\[
P = \exp(i\eta_1 y_p)
\]

\[
p = Q_1/P
\]

$\eta_1$, $\eta_2$, $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are defined in equation (2.2) and (2.6).
Equations (2.4), (2.5) and (2.25) are derived here. Equation of equilibrium for antiplane strain is given as

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_4}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_5}{\partial x} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \tag{B.1}
\]

where \(\sigma_4\) and \(\sigma_5\) are the shear stresses related to \(yz\) and \(zx\)-directions. Constitutive equations of the anisotropic materials considered here can be written as

\[
\sigma_4 = C_{44} \varepsilon_4 \tag{B.2}
\]
\[
\sigma_5 = C_{55} \varepsilon_5 \tag{B.3}
\]

where the engineering strain \(\varepsilon_4\) and \(\varepsilon_5\) for antiplane strain are defined as

\[
\varepsilon_4 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \quad \varepsilon_5 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \tag{B.3}
\]

Substitution of equations (B.2) and (B.3) into equation (B.1) gives the equation of equilibrium in time domain as

\[
C_{44} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + C_{55} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \tag{B.4}
\]

Fourier transform of this equation gives equation (2.4).

Let the solution of equation (2.4) be

\[
U = e^{ikx+iny} \tag{B.5}
\]

Substituting this in equation (2.4) and after some simplification one can write

\[
\eta = \pm \left\{ \frac{\rho \omega^2 - C_{55} k^2}{C_{44}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{B.6}
\]
In time domain displacement function for a plane wave front can be written by adding $e^{-i\omega t}$ factor in equation (B.5), i.e.

$$u = e^{i k x + i \eta y - i \omega t}$$

$$= e^{(k^2 + \eta^2)^{1/2} i (x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta - ct)} \quad (B.7)$$

where $\theta$ is the angle of incidence of the plane wave front and $c$ is the shear wave velocity in the material and is given by

$$c = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{k^2 + \eta^2}} \quad (B.8)$$

From geometric interpretation of equation (B.7) one can write

$$k^2 = (k^2 + \eta^2) \sin^2 \theta \quad (B.9)$$

Substitution of equation (B.6) in (B.9) after some simplification gives rise to equation (2.5).

Now equation (2.25) is derived. By changing limits in equation (2.23) we can write

$$U^s(x_p, 0) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \phi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k) e^{ik(x-x_p)} dk \, dx$$

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \psi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k) e^{ik(x+d-x_p)} dk \, dx \quad (B.10)$$

The integration with respect to $x$ in the equation (B.10) can be obtained analytically (Neerhoff, 1979) as

$$\int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \phi(x) e^{ikx} \, dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{i \pi}{k} J_n(k a_1) \quad (B.11)$$

$$\int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \psi(x) e^{ikx} \, dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \frac{i \pi}{k} J_n(k a_1)$$

If we substitute equation (B.11) into equation (B.10) we obtain equation (2.25).
APPENDIX-C

Expressions of $A_j$, $B_j$, $C_j^0$ and $D_j^0$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) of equations (3.1) and (3.3) are given here. Partial derivatives of $C_2^2$ and $D_2^2$ with respect to $y_p$ (see equation 3.11) are also defined here.

\[
\begin{align*}
A_2 &= \frac{2iF(\omega)(F_3 + F_4)}{M} \\
B_2 &= \frac{2iF(\omega)Q_1Q_2^2(F_3 + F_4)}{M} \\
A_1 &= \frac{(A_2 + B_2)Q_1 + R}{1 + Q_1^2} \\
B_1 &= A_1 - R \\
A_3 &= \frac{A_2 + B_2Q_2^{-1}}{1 + Q_3^2} \\
B_3 &= A_3Q_3^2
\end{align*}
\]

where $M$, $F_1$, $F_2$, $F_3$, $F_4$ and $Q_j$ are defined in (3.21) and $R$ is defined in (C.2)

\[
R = -\frac{iF(\omega)}{\mu^2\eta_1}
\]

$\eta_j$ are defined in equation (3.1) and (3.2). $F(\omega)$ is the Fourier Transform of the applied stress field on the plate boundary, $\omega$ is the frequency.
Now $C_j^g$ and $D_j^g$ of equation (3.3) are defined, 

\[
C_2^2 = \frac{F_1 - F_2}{\eta_2 M} \left\{ (F_3 - F_4) P_2 Q_2 - (F_3 + F_4) P_2 \right\}
\]

\[
D_2^2 = Q_2 \frac{F_3 - F_4}{\eta_2 M} \left\{ (F_1 - F_2) P_2 Q_2 - (F_1 + F_2) P_2 \right\}
\]

\[
C_1^1 = D_1^1 = \frac{\eta_2 Q_1}{\eta_1 (Q_1^2 - 1)} \left( C_2^2 - D_2^2 - \frac{P_2}{\eta_2} \right)
\]

\[
C_3^3 = D_3^3 Q_3^2 = \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_3 (1 - Q_3^2)} \left( C_2^2 Q_2 - D_2^2 Q_2^{-1} + \frac{P_2}{\eta_2} \right)
\]

\[
C_1^1 = \frac{E(F_1 + F_2) F_3}{M}
\]

\[
D_1^1 = -\frac{EQ_2 F_1 (F_3 - F_4)}{M}
\]

\[
C_3^3 = D_3^3 Q_3^2 = \frac{\eta_2 (C_2^2 Q_2 - D_2^2 Q_2^{-1})}{\eta_3 (1 - Q_3^2)}
\]

where

\[
P_2 = e^{i \eta_2 (y_p - y_1)}
\]

\[
p_2 = e^{i \eta_2 (y_2 - y_p)}
\]

\[
P_1 = e^{i \eta_1 y_p}
\]

\[
p_1 = e^{i \eta_1 (y_1 - y_p)}
\]

\[
E = \frac{(2P_1 + 2p_1 Q_1) F_2}{\eta_2 (1 + Q_1^2) Q_1 F_1}
\]

Partial derivatives of $C_2$ and $D_2$ with respect to $y_p$ have the following forms

\[
\frac{\partial C_2^2}{\partial y_p} = -\frac{i}{M} (F_1 - F_2) \left\{ P_2 Q_2 (F_3 - F_4) + P_2 (F_3 + F_4) \right\}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial D_2^2}{\partial y_p} = \frac{i}{M} (F_3 - F_4) \left\{ P_2 Q_2 (F_1 - F_2) + P_2 (F_1 + F_2) \right\}
\]

where $M, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, Q_2, P_2$ and $p_2$ are defined in (3.21), (C.2) and (C.4).

Clearly if $y_p = y_1$ then $P_2 = 1, p_2 = Q_2$ and if $y_p = y_2$ then $P_2 = Q_2, p_2 = 1$. 

APPENDIX-D

Expressions of $A_j$, $D_{j\alpha}$, $(\alpha, j=1,2)$, $a_j$, $(j=1$ to $6)$ in equations (4.4), (4.7) and (4.8) are given in this section. Value of Functions $F_j(k)$, $(k=1$ to $4)$ in equation (4.13) are also defined here.

\[A_1 = \frac{-a_{22}F(\omega)\cos \theta + a_{12}F(\omega)\sin \theta}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}\]
\[A_2 = \frac{a_{21}F(\omega)\cos \theta - a_{11}F(\omega)\sin \theta}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}\]  \hfill (D.1)

where
\[a_{11} = ikC_{21} + C_{22}S_1p_1\]
\[a_{12} = ikC_{21} + C_{22}S_2p_2\]  \hfill (D.2)
\[a_{21} = C_{66}(p_1 + ikS_1)\]
\[a_{22} = C_{66}(p_2 + ikS_2)\]

and
\[D_{11} = \frac{a_{22}R_1 - a_{12}R_2}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}\]
\[D_{12} = \frac{-a_{21}R_1 + a_{11}R_2}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}\]
\[D_{21} = \frac{a_{22}R_3 - a_{12}R_4}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}\]
\[D_{22} = \frac{-a_{21}R_3 + a_{11}R_4}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}\]  \hfill (D.3)

where
\[R_1 = -B_1^e p_1 y_f a_{11} - C_1^- e^{p_2 y_f} a_{12}\]
\[R_2 = B_1^e p_1 y_f a_{21} + C_1^- e^{p_2 y_f} a_{22}\]  \hfill (D.4)
\[R_3 = -B_2^e p_1 y_f a_{11} - C_2^- e^{p_2 y_f} a_{12}\]
\[R_4 = B_2^e p_1 y_f a_{21} + C_2^- e^{p_2 y_f} a_{22}\]
\[ a_1 = p_1(k^2C_{11} - C_{66}p_2^2 - \rho\omega^2) \]
\[ a_2 = p_2(k^2C_{11} - C_{66}p_1^2 - \rho\omega^2) \]
\[ a_3 = C_{22}C_{66}(p_1^2 - p_2^2) \]
\[ a_4 = -ik(C_{12} + C_{66}) \]
\[ a_5 = p_1(p_2^2C_{12} + k^2C_{11} - \rho\omega^2) \]
\[ a_6 = p_2(p_1^2C_{12} + k^2C_{11} - \rho\omega^2) \]

\[ F_1(k) = C_{66}(B_1^+p_1e^{p_1|y-y_\rho|} + p_2C_1^+e^{p_2|y-y_\rho|} + D_1^1p_1e^{p_1y} + D_2^2p_2e^{p_2y}) \]
\[ +ikC_{66}(B_1^+S_1e^{p_1|y-y_\rho|} + C_1^+S_2e^{p_2|y-y_\rho|} + D_1^1S_1e^{p_1y} + D_2^2S_2e^{p_2y}) \]

\[ F_2(k) = ikC_{21}(B_1^+e^{p_1|y-y_\rho|} + C_1^+e^{p_2|y-y_\rho|} + D_1^1e^{p_1y} + D_2^2e^{p_2y}) \]
\[ +C_{22}(B_1^+S_1e^{p_1|y-y_\rho|} + C_1^+S_2e^{p_2|y-y_\rho|} + D_1^1S_1e^{p_1y} + D_2^2S_2e^{p_2y}) \]
\[ F_3(k) = C_{66}(B_2^+p_1e^{p_1|y-y_\rho|} + p_2C_2^+e^{p_2|y-y_\rho|} + D_1^2p_1e^{p_1y} + D_2^2p_2e^{p_2y}) \]
\[ +ikC_{66}(B_2^+S_1e^{p_1|y-y_\rho|} + C_2^+S_2e^{p_2|y-y_\rho|} + D_1^2S_1e^{p_1y} + D_2^2S_2e^{p_2y}) \]
\[ F_4(k) = ikC_{21}(B_2^+e^{p_1|y-y_\rho|} + C_2^+e^{p_2|y-y_\rho|} + D_1^2e^{p_1y} + D_2^2e^{p_2y}) \]
\[ +C_{22}(B_2^+S_1e^{p_1|y-y_\rho|} + C_2^+S_2e^{p_2|y-y_\rho|} + D_1^2S_1e^{p_1y} + D_2^2S_2e^{p_2y}) \]

\[ (D.5) \]

\[ (D.6) \]
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