

## INFORMATION TO USERS

This reproduction was made from a copy of a document sent to us for microfilming. While the most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this document, the quality of the reproduction is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help clarify markings or notations which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting through an image and duplicating adjacent pages to assure complete continuity.
2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a round black mark, it is an indication of either blurred copy because of movement during exposure, duplicate copy, or copyrighted materials that should not have been filmed. For blurred pages, a good image of the page can be found in the adjacent frame. If copyrighted materials were deleted, a target note will appear listing the pages in the adjacent frame.
3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., is part of the material being photographed, a definite method of "sectioning" the material has been followed. It is customary to begin filming at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. If necessary, sectioning is continued again—beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
4. For illustrations that cannot be satisfactorily reproduced by xerographic means, photographic prints can be purchased at additional cost and inserted into your xerographic copy. These prints are available upon request from the Dissertations Customer Services Department.
5. Some pages in any document may have indistinct print. In all cases the best available copy has been filmed.

**University  
Microfilms  
International**

300 N. Zeeb Road  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106



8315288

Jacobson, Eliot Thomas

GREEN FUNCTOR CONSTRUCTIONS IN THE THEORY OF ASSOCIATIVE  
ALGEBRAS

*The University of Arizona*

PH.D. 1983

University  
Microfilms  
International

300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106



GREEN FUNCTOR CONSTRUCTIONS  
IN THE THEORY OF  
ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

by  
Eliot Jacobson

---

A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of the  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements  
For the Degree of  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
In the Graduate College  
THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

1 9 8 3

THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
GRADUATE COLLEGE

As members of the Final Examination Committee, we certify that we have read  
the dissertation prepared by Eliot Thomas Jacobson

entitled Green Functor Constructions in the Theory  
of Associative Algebras

and recommend that it be accepted as fulfilling the dissertation requirement  
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Robert C. Valentini 4/7/83  
Date

Mohammad S. Chema 4/7/83  
Date

Larry C. Grove 4/7/83  
Date

Richard S. Pierce 4/7/83  
Date

Theodore Taitel 4/7/83  
Date

Final approval and acceptance of this dissertation is contingent upon the  
candidate's submission of the final copy of the dissertation to the Graduate  
College.

I hereby certify that I have read this dissertation prepared under my  
direction and recommend that it be accepted as fulfilling the dissertation  
requirement.

Richard S. Pierce 4/7/83  
Dissertation Director Date

STATEMENT BY AUTHOR

This dissertation has been submitted in partial fulfillment of requirements for an advanced degree at the University of Arizona and is deposited in the University Library to be made available to borrowers under rules of the Library.

Brief quotations from this dissertation are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgment of source is made. Requests for permission for extended quotation from or reproduction of this manuscript in whole or in part may be granted by the head of the major department or dean of the Graduate College when in his judgment the proposed use of the material is in the interests of scholarship. In all other instances, however, permission must be obtained from the author.

SIGNED: Eliot T. Jacobsen

Tiger got to hunt,

Bird got to fly;

Man got to sit and wonder, "why, why, why?"

Tiger got to sleep,

Bird got to land;

Man got to tell himself he understand.

-The Books of Bokonon



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks are due many people, both inside and outside of this department, for their assistance in helping me towards mathematical maturity. Among the excellent teachers I've known, Larry Grove, Theodore Laetsch, Daniel Madden, Warren May, Richard Pierce, Robert Valentini, and William Vélez deserve more than modest commendation; they have stimulated me with the clarity and depth of their presentations, and have helped to provide the foundations for my further studies. I wish to thank my advisor, Richard Pierce, for his motivating ideas, his willingness to listen to mine, his concern with the quality and style of my results, and especially for his refusal to let me pursue "abstract nonsense" without definite examples and computations in mind. I would like to thank the Department of Mathematics for their continued support, financial and otherwise. Thanks also to my typist, Mrs. Sarah Oordt, whose superb skills are demonstrated within. Finally, the warmest love and thanks go to my family, Kathy, Lufian, and Shawn, for surviving this experience with me; every word that follows is dedicated to them.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT . . . . .	vii
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
2. PRELIMINARY REMARKS . . . . .	5
The Burnside Ring . . . . .	5
Mackey-Functors and Frobenius-Functors . . . . .	10
3. THE F-BURNSIDE RING . . . . .	14
The Basic Construction . . . . .	14
A Cancellation Theorem in $(G, S, F)$ . . . . .	16
$A_F$ is a Green-Functor . . . . .	18
A Basis for $A_F(G)$ . . . . .	25
4. FUNCTORIAL PROPERTIES . . . . .	30
5. STRUCTURE THEORY . . . . .	39
The Structure of $\mathbb{Q}A_F(G)$ . . . . .	39
The Structure of $\mathbb{Q}A_F(G/H)$ . . . . .	51
6. PRIME IDEALS IN THE F-BURNSIDE RING . . . . .	56
An Embedding Theorem for $A_F(G)$ . . . . .	56
Prime Ideals . . . . .	58
The Extension $A_F(G)/A(G)$ . . . . .	64
7. THE BRAUER RING OF A FIELD . . . . .	67
Tensor Products of Separable Algebras . . . . .	67
The Brauer Ring . . . . .	70
Induction and Restriction . . . . .	75

TABLE OF CONTENTS--Continued

	Page
8. APPLICATIONS OF INDUCTION THEORY TO ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS . . . . .	79
A Category Anti-Equivalence . . . . .	79
The Isomorphism Theorem . . . . .	87
Consequences of the Mackey Induction Lemma . . . . .	90
9. THE BRAUER RINGS OF $\mathbb{Q}_p$ AND $\mathbb{Q}$ . . . . .	94
Normal Algebras . . . . .	94
The Ring $BS(E, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . . . . .	96
The Ring $BS(E, \mathbb{Q})$ . . . . .	99
REFERENCES	106

## ABSTRACT

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Given a contravariant, product preserving functor  $F:G\text{-sets} \rightarrow AB$ , we construct a Green-functor  $A_F:G\text{-sets} \rightarrow CRNG$  which specializes to the Burnside ring functor when  $F$  is trivial.  $A_F$  permits a natural addition and multiplication between elements in the various groups  $F(S)$ ,  $S \in G\text{-sets}$ . If  $G$  is the Galois group of a field extension  $L/K$ , and  $SEP$  denotes the category of  $K$ -algebras which are isomorphic with a finite product of subfields of  $L$ , then any covariant, product preserving functor  $\rho:SEP \rightarrow AB$  induces a functor  $F_\rho:G \rightarrow AB$ , and thus the Green-functor  $A_\rho$  may be obtained. We use this observation for the case  $\rho = Br$ , the Brauer group functor, and show that  $A_{Br}(G/G)$  is free on  $K$ -algebra isomorphism classes of division algebras with center in  $SEP$ . We then interpret the induction theory of Mackey-functors in this context. For a certain class of functors  $F$ , the structure of  $A_F$  is especially tractable; for these functors we deduce that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A_F(G/G) \cong \prod \mathbb{Q}F(S)$ , where the product is over isomorphism class representatives of transitive  $G$ -sets. This allows for the computation of the prime ideals of  $A_F(G/G)$ , and for an explicit structure theorem for  $A_{Br}$ , when  $G$  is

the Galois group of a  $p$ -adic field. We finish by considering the case when  $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ , for an arbitrary number field  $L$ .

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois field extension, with Galois group  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}(L,K)$  be the category of  $K$ -algebras which are isomorphic with a finite product of subfields of  $L$ . We may then view the Brauer group as a covariant, additive functor  $\text{Br}:\mathcal{C}(L,K) \rightarrow \text{AB}$ , where  $\text{AB}$  denotes the category of abelian groups. Moreover, tensor product over  $K$  induces a multiplication among elements of the various groups  $\text{Br}(A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{C}(L,K)$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}(L,K)$  is anti-equivalent with the category  $\hat{G}$  of finite  $G$ -sets, it is natural to ask if, given any contravariant functor  $F:\hat{G} \rightarrow \text{AB}$  which transforms sums into products, there is a tensor product-like multiplication among elements of the groups  $F(S)$ ,  $S \in \hat{G}$ . We outline such a construction (the details will be carried out in Chapter 3).

With  $G$  and  $F$  as above, for a  $G$ -set  $S$  define the category  $(G,S,F)$  to have as objects all triples  $(T,\alpha,x)$ , where  $T \in \hat{G}$ ,  $\alpha:T \rightarrow S$  is a  $G$ -map, and  $x \in F(T)$ . A morphism from  $(T,\alpha,x)$  to  $(V,\beta,y)$  is a  $G$ -map  $\phi:T \rightarrow V$  such that  $\alpha = \beta\phi$ , and  $F(\phi)(y) = x$ . Then  $(G,S,F)$  has direct sums and pullbacks, so we define  $A_F(S)$  to be the

associated Grothendieck ring  $K_0(G, S, F)$ . Multiplication in  $A_F(S)$  essentially corresponds to the desired tensor product.

For example, if  $F(T) = \{1\}$  for every  $G$ -set  $T$ , then  $A_F(*)$  is the Burnside ring functor. In general,  $A_F(*)$  is a Green-functor, and is, in particular, the left-adjoint to the natural forgetful functor  $M \rightarrow M_*$  (see Chapter 4). If we apply this construction to the composite functor  $\hat{G} \rightarrow C(L, K) \xrightarrow{\text{Br}} \text{AB}$ , we obtain the Green-functor  $A_{\text{Br}}(*)$ . Especially,  $A_{\text{Br}}(G)$  is free, with a basis corresponding to  $K$ -algebra isomorphism classes of division algebras with center in  $C(L, K)$ , where addition and multiplication are induced from direct product and tensor product (over  $K$ ) respectively. The structure of  $A_{\text{Br}}(G)$  can often be recovered from the following more general result.

For any  $G$ -set  $S$ , and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_G(S)$ , the group automorphism  $F(\alpha)$  induces a ring automorphism of the group algebra  $\mathbb{Q}F(S)$ . Let  $W_S$  denote the set of ring automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Q}F(S)$  obtained in this way. Let  $\mathbb{Q}F(S)^{W_S}$  denote the fixed ring. Our main structure theorem asserts that

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A_F(G) \cong \coprod \mathbb{Q}F(S)^{W_S}$$

the product being over isomorphism classes of transitive  $G$ -sets (see Chapter 5). Moreover, this isomorphism embeds

$A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$  into  $\mathbb{Z}F(S)$ , which then allows us to describe the prime ideals of  $A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$  (see Chapter 6).

Chapter 7 is concerned with an alternate description of the ring  $A_{\text{Br}}(G)$ , which is much more manageable for applications. In particular, by applying the Mackey induction lemma we obtain the following cancellation theorem. If  $A$  and  $B$  are separable  $L$ -algebras such that  $A \otimes_K L \cong B \otimes_K L$  as  $L$ -algebras, then  $A \cong B$  as  $K$ -algebras.

We conclude by computing  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes A_{\text{Br}}(E, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , when  $E$  is a Galois extension of the  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . This allows us to consider the ring  $A_{\text{Br}}(N, \mathbb{Q})$ , when  $N$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . However, its computation leads us to the thorny problems of the isomorphism of adèle rings, and the arithmetic equivalence of two number fields. These active areas of current research go beyond the intentions of this dissertation. Hence we must be content with an incomplete structure theorem for  $A_{\text{Br}}(N, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Finally, we must warn the reader that the proofs of many early results are quite computational. Most of the details are not omitted. Repeatedly the author has suppressed the temptation to skip over straight-forward proofs, often leaving a tedium of technicalities in the wake. The feeling is that this gives the reader a fair choice in the selection of proofs he wishes to work through, and the knowledge that



someone, at least, has skinned his knuckles in checking all of the details.

## CHAPTER 2

### PRELIMINARY REMARKS

Throughout this chapter  $G$  will denote a fixed finite group. A  $G$ -set is a finite set on which  $G$  acts from the left. The category of all finite  $G$ -sets will be denoted by  $\hat{G}$ ; its morphisms are set maps which commute with the action of  $G$ . Our objectives here are to define certain rings and functors associated with the category  $\hat{G}$ , and to set up some notation which will be useful to us throughout this dissertation.

#### The Burnside Ring

The set of isomorphism classes of finite  $G$ -sets becomes a commutative semi-ring with addition induced by disjoint union and multiplication by cartesian products. The Grothendieck ring constructed from this semi-ring is called the Burnside ring of  $G$ ; it will be denoted  $A(G)$ . Thus, elements of  $A(G)$  are formal differences  $[S] - [T]$  where  $S, T \in \hat{G}$ . Moreover,  $[S] + [T] = [S \dot{\cup} T]$  and  $[S][T] = [S \times T]$ .

Let  $P = P(G)$  denote the set of all conjugacy classes of subgroups of  $G$ . For each  $b \in P$ , pick a representative  $H_b$  of  $b$ , and let  $S_b$  denote the transitive

$G$ -set of cosets modulo  $H_b$ . For  $a, b, c \in P$ , let  $V_{a,b,c}$  be the number of orbits in  $S_a \times S_b$ , under the diagonal action of  $G$ , which are isomorphic with  $S_c$  as  $G$ -sets. The following proposition collects some well known properties of  $A(G)$ .

Proposition 2.1. (a) Additively,  $A(G)$  is free on the set  $\{[S_a] : a \in P\}$ , that is,  $\{S_a : a \in P\}$  is a complete set of representatives of isomorphism classes of transitive  $G$ -sets.

(b) If  $S, T \in \hat{G}$ , then  $[S] = [T]$  if and only if  $S \cong T$  as  $G$ -sets.

(c) For  $a, b \in P$ ,  $[S_a][S_b] = \sum_{c \in P} V_{a,b,c} [S_c]$ . Thus the  $V_{a,b,c}$  are structure constants for  $A(G)$ .

The set  $P$  has a natural partial ordering, where we set  $a \leq b$  precisely when  $H_a$  is subconjugate to  $H_b$  (denoted  $H_a \lesssim H_b$ ). As in Solomon (1967),  $\mathbb{Q}A(G) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A(G)$  has primitive idempotents  $\{e_a : a \in P\}$ , where

$e_a = \sum_{b \leq a} \lambda_{b,a} [S_b]$  for suitable constants  $\lambda_{b,a} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We shall define  $\lambda_{b,a} = 0$  if  $b \not\leq a$  so that we may write

$e_a = \sum_{b \in P} \lambda_{b,a} [S_b]$ . It follows that  $\sum_{c \in P} e_c = 1_{A(G)}$ , and

$e_a e_b = \delta_{ab} e_a$ , for all  $a, b \in P$ . We summarize some known results on the constants  $\lambda_{a,b}$  and  $V_{a,b,c}$ .

Proposition 2.2. (a) for any  $a \in P$ ,  $V_{a,a,a} = \lambda_{a,a}^{-1}$   
 $= [N_G(H_a) : H_a]$ .

(b) For any  $a \in P$ ,  $|G|e_a \in A(G)$ . Thus  $|G| \cdot \lambda_{b,a} \in \mathbf{Z}$  for all  $a, b \in P$ .

(c) For any  $a, b, c \in P$ ,  $V_{a,b,c} = 0$  unless both  $c \leq a$  and  $c \leq b$ .

We just remark that 2.2(b) can be strengthened to the statement  $|N_G(H_a)| \cdot e_a \in A(G)$ , for any  $a \in P$ , by the idempotent formula of Gluck (1981). However, we will have no use for this extra information. For brevity we shall denote  $V_a = V_{a,a,a}$  and  $V_{a,b} = V_{a,b,b}$ , all  $a, b \in P$ . Fundamental to our later work are the following propositions relating the constants  $V_{a,b,c}$  and  $\lambda_{a,b}$ .

Proposition 2.3. Let  $a < b \in P$ . Then for all  $d \in P$ ,  
 $\sum_{c \in P} \lambda_{c,b} V_{a,c,d} = 0$ .

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e_a \cdot e_b \\ &= \sum_{c,d} \lambda_{c,a} \lambda_{d,b} [S_c][S_d] \\ &= \sum_{c,d,e} \lambda_{c,a} \lambda_{d,b} V_{c,d,e} [S_e] \\ &= \sum_e \left( \sum_{c,d} \lambda_{c,a} \lambda_{d,b} V_{c,d,e} \right) [S_e]. \end{aligned}$$

By 2.1(a) it follows that

$$(*) \quad \sum_{c,d} \lambda_{c,a} \lambda_{d,b} V_{c,d,e} = 0 \quad \text{for all } e \in P.$$

We establish the required formula by induction on  $a \in P$  with respect to the partial order  $\leq$ . If  $a = \{1\}$  (the unique minimal element) then since  $\lambda_{c,a} = 0$  if  $c \not\leq a$ , (\*) becomes  $\lambda_{a,a} \sum_d \lambda_{d,b} V_{a,d,e} = 0$ , all  $e \in P$ . Since  $\lambda_{a,a} \neq 0$  by 2.2(a), this starts the induction. Assume that  $a \neq \{1\}$ , and that whenever  $c < a$ , and  $e \in P$ , then  $\sum_d \lambda_{d,b} V_{c,d,e} = 0$ . By (\*), for any  $e \in P$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lambda_{a,a} \sum_d \lambda_{d,b} V_{a,d,e} + \sum_{c < a} \lambda_{c,a} \left( \sum_d \lambda_{d,b} V_{c,d,e} \right) \\ &= \lambda_{a,a} \sum_d \lambda_{d,b} V_{a,d,e} \quad (\text{by induction}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda_{a,a} \neq 0$ ,  $\sum_d \lambda_{d,b} V_{a,d,e} = 0$  for all  $e \in P$ , as claimed. □

Proposition 2.4. Let  $a, b \in P$  with  $b \not\leq a$ . Then

$$[S_a]e_b = 0.$$

Proof. Note that  $[S_a]e_b = [s_a]e_b e_b$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{c \leq b} \lambda_{c,b} [S_a][S_c]e_b \\ &= \sum_{c \leq b} \sum_{d \leq a, c} \lambda_{c,b} V_{a,c,d} [S_d]e_b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show  $[S_d]e_b = 0$  whenever  $c \leq b$  and  $d \leq a, c$ . The condition  $b \not\leq a$  then forces  $d < b$ , so we

may as well assume  $a < b$  to begin with. Then, by the above computation and Proposition 2.3,

$$[S_a]e_b = \sum_d (\sum_c \lambda_{c,b} v_{a,c,d}) [S_d]e_b = 0. \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.5. (a) For any  $a \in P$ ,  $e_a = v_a^{-1} [S_a]e_a$ .

(b) If  $a, c \in P$ , then  $\sum_{b \in P} \lambda_{b,a} v_{a,b,c} = \lambda_{c,a} v_a$ .

Proof. (a)  $e_a = e_a \cdot e_a$

$$= \sum_{b \leq a} \lambda_{b,a} [S_b]e_a$$

$$= \lambda_{a,a} [S_a]e_a, \text{ by 2.4}$$

$$= v_a^{-1} [S_a]e_a, \text{ by 2.2(a).}$$

(b) By (a),  $e_a = v_a^{-1} [S_a]e_a$

$$= v_a^{-1} \sum_b \lambda_{b,a} [S_a][S_b]$$

$$= v_a^{-1} \sum_{b,c} \lambda_{b,a} v_{a,b,c} [S_c]$$

$$= \sum_c (v_a^{-1} \sum_b \lambda_{b,a} v_{a,b,c}) [S_c].$$

Comparing coefficients and applying 2.1(a) yields

$$\lambda_{c,a} = v_a^{-1} \sum_b \lambda_{b,a} v_{a,b,c}$$

as claimed. □

We must indicate some notational conventions in the category  $\hat{G}$ . We shall always use a subscripted  $K$  to denote an inclusion map in  $\hat{G}$ . For example, if  $S, T \in \hat{G}$  we may denote by  $K_S$  the canonical inclusion of  $S$  into  $S \dot{\cup} T$ . If  $a \in P$ , we may use the notation  $K_a: S_a \rightarrow S_a \dot{\cup} T$ . Similarly, we shall always use a subscripted  $\pi$  to denote a projection map in  $\hat{G}$ . Thus one might see  $\pi_S: S \times T \rightarrow S$ , or  $\pi_a: S_a \times T \rightarrow S_a$ . The point is that the subscript will always be sufficient for the reader to deduce the map, explicit mention of domains and ranges will seldom be given.

### Mackey-Functors and Frobenius-Functors

Various equivalent definitions of Mackey-functors, Frobenius-functors and Green-functors have appeared over the last few years. Our definitions roughly coincide with those of Kuchler (1970).

Definition 2.6. A Mackey-functor on  $G$  is a bifunctor  $M = (M^*, M_*) : \hat{G} \rightarrow AB$ , where  $M^*$  is covariant,  $M_*$  is contravariant,  $M^*$  and  $M_*$  agree on objects, such that the following conditions are fulfilled by  $M$ .

(a) If

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & X_2 \\
 \psi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_2 \\
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & Y
 \end{array}$$

is a pullback diagram in  $\hat{G}$ , then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M(X) & \xrightarrow{M^*(\psi_2)} & M(X_2) \\
 M_*(\psi_1) \uparrow & & \uparrow (M_*(\phi_2)) \\
 M(X_1) & \xrightarrow{M^*(\phi_1)} & M(Y)
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (b) If  $S_1, S_2 \in \hat{G}$  with inclusions  $K_i: S_i \rightarrow S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2$ , then the homomorphisms  $M_*(K_i): M(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2) \rightarrow M(S_i)$  induce an isomorphism  $M_*(K_1) \times M_*(K_2): M(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2) \rightarrow M(S_1) \times M(S_2)$ .

For a  $G$ -map  $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$ , we will denote  $\alpha^* = M^*(\alpha)$  and  $\alpha_* = M_*(\alpha)$  when no confusion will arise.

Definition 2.7. A Frobenius-functor on  $G$  is a bifunctor  $M = (M^*, M_*): \hat{G} \rightarrow \text{AB}$ , with  $M^*$  covariant,  $M_*$  contravariant,  $M^*$  and  $M_*$  coincide on objects, such that  $M$  satisfies the following.

- (a) For each  $G$ -set  $S$ ,  $M(S)$  is a commutative ring with 1.  
 (b) For each  $G$ -map  $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$ ,  $\alpha_*: M(T) \rightarrow M(S)$  is a ring homomorphism (preserving unit).  
 (c) For each  $G$ -map  $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$  we may view  $M(S)$  as a  $M(T)$ -module via  $\alpha_*$ . We then require  $\alpha^*: M(S) \rightarrow M(T)$  to be



a  $M(T)$ -module homomorphism. Thus for any  $s \in M(S)$ ,  $t \in M(T)$ , we have  $\alpha^*(\alpha_*(t) \cdot s) = t \cdot \alpha^*(s)$  (Frobenius reciprocity).

Definition 2.8. A Green-functor on  $G$  is a bifunctor  $M = (M^*, M_*) : \hat{G} \rightarrow AB$  which is simultaneously both a Mackey-functor and a Frobenius-functor.

Finally, we wish to record two elementary properties of these functors which will be useful in Chapter 4.

Proposition 2.9. If  $M : \hat{G} \rightarrow AB$  is a Mackey-functor, and if  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is an isomorphism of  $G$ -sets, then  $\alpha^*$  and  $\alpha_*$  are inverse isomorphisms.

Proof. Since  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism, the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{1} & S \\ 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ S & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & T \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & T \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \\ T & \xrightarrow{1} & T \end{array}$$

are pullbacks in  $\hat{G}$ . Applying 2.6(a) to each diagram yields  $\alpha_* \alpha^* = 1_{M(S)}$  and  $\alpha^* \alpha_* = 1_{M(T)}$ .

Proposition 2.10. Let  $S_1, S_2 \in \hat{G}$ , with inclusion maps  $K_i : S_i \rightarrow S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2$ . If  $M : \hat{G} \rightarrow AB$  is a Mackey-functor, then  $K_1^* K_{1*} + K_2^* K_{2*} : M(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2) \rightarrow M(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2)$  is the identity map.

Proof. The diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \phi & \longrightarrow & S_2 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow K_2 \\
 S_1 & \xrightarrow{K_1} & S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 S_1 & \xrightarrow{1} & S_1 \\
 \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow K_1 \\
 S_1 & \xrightarrow{K_1} & S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2
 \end{array}$$

are pullbacks. Then, by 2.6(a),  $K_2^*K_1^* = 0$ , and  $K_1^*K_1^* = 1$ .

Similarly,  $K_1^*K_2^* = 0$ , and  $K_2^*K_2^* = 1$ . If  $x \in M(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2)$ ,

then  $K_1^*(K_1^*K_1^*(x) + K_2^*K_2^*(x)) = K_1^*(x)$ , and

$K_2^*(K_1^*K_1^*(x) + K_2^*K_2^*(x)) = K_2^*(x)$ . By 2.6(b),

$K_1^*K_1^*(x) + K_2^*K_2^*(x) = x$ . □

## CHAPTER 3

### THE F-BURNSIDE RING

In this chapter we shall construct one of the main objects of our study. We then prove a few elementary results which will be essential for later applications.

#### The Basic Construction

Let  $G$  be a finite group, fixed throughout the remainder of this chapter. Let  $F:\hat{G} \rightarrow AM$  be a contravariant functor, where  $AM$  denotes the category of abelian monoids. For a  $G$ -map  $\alpha:S \rightarrow T$ , we shall denote  $\alpha^0 = F(\alpha):F(T) \rightarrow F(S)$ . If given any two  $G$ -sets,  $S_1, S_2$ , with inclusions  $K_i:S_i \rightarrow S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2$ , the induced map  $K_1^0 \times K_2^0:F(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2) \rightarrow F(S_1) \times F(S_2)$  is an isomorphism, then we shall call  $F$  additive. For an additive functor  $F$  and elements  $x \in F(S_1)$ ,  $y \in F(S_2)$ , we introduce the notation  $x \dot{+} y$  to denote the unique element of  $F(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2)$  satisfying  $K_1^0 \times K_2^0(x \dot{+} y) = (x, y)$ . Thus  $K_1^0(x \dot{+} y) = x$ , and  $K_2^0(x \dot{+} y) = y$ . For the remainder of this section, assume we have a fixed additive contravariant functor  $F:\hat{G} \rightarrow AM$ .

For any  $G$ -set  $S$ , we form the category  $(G, S, F)$  as follows:

Objects: Triples  $(T, \phi, x)$  where  $T \in \hat{G}$ ,  $\phi: T \rightarrow S$  is a  $G$ -map, and  $x \in F(T)$ .

Morphisms: A morphism  $(T, \phi, x) \rightarrow (V, \psi, y)$  is a  $G$ -map  $\alpha: T \rightarrow V$  such that  $\phi = \psi\alpha$  and  $\alpha^0(y) = x$ .

Given  $(T, \phi, x), (V, \psi, y)$  in  $(G, S, F)$ , define  $(T, \phi, x) \oplus (V, \psi, y)$  to equal  $(T \dot{\cup} V, \phi \dot{\cup} \psi, x \dot{+} y)$ . The latter is an object of  $(G, S, F)$  since  $F$  is additive. It is routine to check that  $\oplus$  is a categorical coproduct for  $(G, S, F)$ . Also, by considering the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Tx_S V & \xrightarrow{\pi_V} & V \\ \pi_T \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ T & \xrightarrow{\phi} & S \end{array}$$

in  $\hat{G}$ , we may define  $(T, \phi, x) x_S (V, \psi, y)$  to equal  $(Tx_S V, \phi x_S \psi, \pi_T^0(x) \cdot \pi_V^0(y))$ .

The operations  $\oplus$  and  $x_S$  satisfy all of the necessary identities (check!) to form the half ring  $A_F^+(S)$  of isomorphism classes of objects in  $(G, S, F)$ , with addition induced by  $\oplus$  and multiplication by  $x_S$ . We denote the associated Grothendieck ring by  $A_F(S)$ , and refer to this ring as the F-Burnside ring of  $G$ -sets over  $S$ . We let  $[T, \phi, x]$  denote the image of  $(T, \phi, x)$  in  $A_F(S)$ . The following lemma collects some standard results about the

Grothendieck group of a category with product, as applied to  $A_F(S)$  (Bass 1968, pp. 344-47).

Lemma 3.1. (a) Each element of  $A_F(S)$  has the form  $[T, \phi, x] - [V, \psi, y]$ , for suitable  $(T, \phi, x)$ ,  $(V, \psi, y)$  in  $(G, S, F)$ .

(b)  $[T, \phi, x] + [V, \psi, y] = [T \dot{\cup} V, \phi \dot{\cup} \psi, x \dot{+} y]$ , and  $[T, \phi, x] \cdot [V, \psi, y] = [Tx_S V, \phi x_S \psi, \pi_T^0(x) \cdot \pi_V^0(y)]$ .

(c)  $[T, \phi, x] = [V, \psi, y]$  if and only if there exists  $(U, \lambda, z)$  in  $(G, S, F)$  such that  $(T \dot{\cup} U, \phi \dot{\cup} \lambda, x \dot{+} z) \cong (V \dot{\cup} U, \psi \dot{\cup} \lambda, y \dot{+} z)$  in  $(G, S, F)$ .

### A Cancellation Theorem in $(G, S, F)$

The goal of this section is a strengthening of 3.1(c). Fixed throughout the present discussion is a  $G$ -set  $S$ , and an additive contravariant functor  $F: \hat{G} \rightarrow AM$ .

Lemma 3.2. Suppose  $(T, \alpha, x)$ ,  $(V, \beta, y)$  and  $(W, \gamma, z)$  are in  $(G, S, F)$ , and that  $T$  is a transitive  $G$ -set. If  $(T, \alpha, x) \oplus (V, \beta, y) \cong (T, \alpha, x) \oplus (W, \gamma, z)$  in  $(G, S, F)$ , then  $(V, \beta, y) \cong (W, \gamma, z)$ .

Proof. By hypothesis,  $(T \dot{\cup} V, \alpha \dot{\cup} \beta, x \dot{+} y) \cong (T \dot{\cup} W, \alpha \dot{\cup} \gamma, x \dot{+} z)$ , so there is a  $G$ -isomorphism  $\phi: T \dot{\cup} V \rightarrow T \dot{\cup} W$  with  $\alpha \dot{\cup} \beta = (\alpha \dot{\cup} \gamma) \circ \phi$  and  $\phi^0(x \dot{+} z) = x \dot{+} y$ . Since  $T$  is transitive, and  $\phi(T)$  is non-empty, either  $\phi(T) = T$  or  $\phi(T) \subseteq W$ . We consider these cases separately.

Case 1)  $\phi(T) = T$ . Then  $\phi(V) = W$ . Write  $\phi = \mu \dot{\cup} \lambda$ , with  $\mu = \phi|_T: T \rightarrow T$ , and  $\lambda = \phi|_V: V \rightarrow W$ . Let  $K_V: V \rightarrow T \dot{\cup} V$  and  $K_W: W \rightarrow T \dot{\cup} W$  be inclusions. Clearly,  $\phi K_V = K_W \lambda$ . Thus,  $\lambda^0(z) = \lambda^0 K_W^0(x \dot{+} z) = K_V^0 \phi^0(x \dot{+} z) = K_V^0(x \dot{+} y) = y$ . Moreover, if  $v \in V$ , then  $\gamma \lambda(v) = (\alpha \dot{\cup} \gamma) \phi(v) = (\alpha \dot{\cup} \beta)(v) = \beta(v)$ , that is,  $\gamma \lambda = \beta$ . It follows that  $\lambda: (V, \beta, y) \rightarrow (W, \gamma, z)$  is an isomorphism, finishing this case.

Case 2)  $\phi(T) \subseteq W$ , and therefore  $T \subseteq \phi(V)$ . Hence we may write  $V = T_1 \dot{\cup} V'$ , where  $\phi(T_1) = T$ , and  $W = T_2 \dot{\cup} W'$ , where  $\phi(T) = T_2$ . By additivity of  $F$ , write  $y = x_1 \dot{+} y'$  and  $z = x_2 \dot{+} z'$ , where  $x_i \in F(T_i)$ ,  $y' \in F(V')$  and  $z' \in F(W')$ . We may also write  $\phi = \mu \dot{\cup} \lambda \dot{\cup} \delta$ , with  $\mu = \phi|_T: T \rightarrow T_2$ ,  $\lambda = \phi|_{T_1}: T_1 \rightarrow T$ , and  $\delta = \phi|_{V'}: V' \rightarrow W'$  all isomorphisms. As in Case 1, it follows that  $\mu^0(x_2) = x$ ,  $\lambda^0(x) = x_1$  and  $\delta^0(z') = y'$ . Define  $\psi: V \rightarrow W$  to be  $\mu \lambda \dot{\cup} \delta$ . Then  $\psi^0(z) = (\mu \lambda \dot{\cup} \delta)^0(x_2 \dot{+} z') = (\mu \lambda)^0(x_2) \dot{+} \delta^0(z') = \lambda^0 \mu^0(x_2) \dot{+} \delta^0(z') = x_1 \dot{+} y' = y$ . Finally, to show  $\beta = \gamma \psi$ , let  $v \in V$ . Let  $K_T: T \rightarrow T \dot{\cup} V$  be inclusion, so that  $\mu = \phi K_T$ . If  $v \in T_1$ , then  $\gamma \psi(v) = \gamma \mu \lambda(v) = \gamma \phi K_T \lambda(v) = (\alpha \dot{\cup} \gamma) \phi(K_T \lambda(v)) = (\alpha \dot{\cup} \beta)(K_T \lambda(v)) = \alpha \lambda(v) = (\alpha \dot{\cup} \gamma) \phi(v) = (\alpha \dot{\cup} \beta)(v) = \beta(v)$ . If  $v \in V'$  then  $\gamma \psi(v) = \gamma \delta(v) = (\alpha \dot{\cup} \gamma) \phi(v) = (\alpha \dot{\cup} \beta)(v) = \beta(v)$ . Thus  $\psi: (V, \beta, y) \rightarrow (W, \gamma, z)$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Theorem 3.3. Suppose  $(T, \alpha, x), (V, \beta, y), (W, \gamma, z) \in (G, S, F)$  satisfy  $(T, \alpha, x) \oplus (V, \beta, y) \cong (T, \alpha, x) \oplus (W, \gamma, z)$ . Then  $(V, \beta, y) \cong (W, \gamma, z)$ .

Proof. Write  $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^n T_i$ , where each  $T_i$  is a transitive  $G$ -set, and let  $\alpha_i = \alpha|_{T_i} : T_i \rightarrow S$ . By additivity of  $F$ , there exists  $x_i \in F(T_i)$  so that  $(T, \alpha, x) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (T_i, \alpha_i, x_i)$ . By the lemma, we may cancel the  $(T_i, \alpha_i, x_i)$  one at a time yielding the result.  $\square$

Corollary 3.4.  $[V, \beta, y] = [W, \gamma, z]$  in  $A_F(S)$  if and only if  $(V, \beta, y) \cong (W, \gamma, z)$  in  $(G, S, F)$ .

### $A_F$ is a Green-Functor

We shall now establish the fundamental fact that  $A_F$  is a Green-functor. More precisely, fix an additive contravariant functor  $F: \hat{G} \rightarrow \text{AM}$ , then we shall define covariant and contravariant morphism maps which turn the correspondence  $S \rightarrow A_F(S)$  into the object map of a Green-functor.

Suppose  $S, T \in \hat{G}$ , and  $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$  is a  $G$ -map. Then the map  $(V, \phi, x) \rightarrow [V, \alpha\phi, x]$  from  $(G, S, F)$  to  $A_F(T)$  respects isomorphism in  $(G, S, F)$  and is additive (preserves  $\oplus$ ). Thus there is an induced group homomorphism  $\alpha^* = A_F^*(\alpha): A_F(S) \rightarrow A_F(T)$  satisfying  $\alpha^*([V, \phi, x]) = [V, \alpha\phi, x]$ , all  $[V, \phi, x] \in A_F(S)$ . To describe a map  $\alpha_* = A_{F*}(\alpha): A_F(T) \rightarrow A_F(S)$ ,

note that for any  $(W, \psi, y) \in (G, T, F)$  we have a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Wx_T S & \xrightarrow{\pi_S} & S \\ \pi_W \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ W & \xrightarrow{\psi} & T \end{array} ,$$

hence an element  $[Wx_T S, \pi_S, \pi_W^0(y)]$  of  $A_F(S)$ .

Proposition 3.5. Given any G-map  $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$ , the correspondence  $(W, \psi, y) \rightarrow [Wx_T S, \pi_S, \pi_W^0(y)]$  induces a ring homomorphism  $\alpha_*: A_F(T) \rightarrow A_F(S)$  satisfying  $\alpha_*([W, \psi, y]) = [Wx_T S, \pi_S, \pi_W^0(y)]$ , for all  $[W, \psi, y] \in A_F(T)$ .

Proof. Define  $\lambda: (G, T, F) \rightarrow A_F(S)$  by  $\lambda(W, \psi, y) = [Wx_T S, \pi_S, \pi_W^0(y)]$ . It suffices to show that  $\lambda$  is constant on isomorphism classes, and that  $\lambda$  respects  $\oplus$  and  $x_T$  (thus  $\lambda$  induces  $\alpha_*$  above). Fix  $(V, \phi, x), (W, \psi, y)$  in  $(G, T, F)$ .

i) If  $(V, \phi, x) \cong (W, \psi, y)$ , Choose  $\beta: V \rightarrow W$ , a G-isomorphism, with  $\phi = \psi\beta$  and  $\beta^0(y) = x$ . If  $(v, s) \in Vx_T S$ , then  $\alpha(s) = \phi(v) = \psi(\beta(v))$ , so that  $(\beta(v), s) \in Wx_T S$ . Thus the map  $\gamma: Vx_T S \rightarrow Wx_T S$  given by  $\gamma(v, s) = (\beta(v), s)$  is a G-isomorphism. Plainly,  $\pi_S \gamma = \pi_S$  and  $\pi_W \gamma = \beta \pi_V$ . Thus  $\gamma^0 \pi_W^0(y) = \pi_V^0 \beta^0(y) = \pi_V^0(x)$ . It follows that  $\gamma: (Vx_T S, \pi_S, \pi_V^0(x))$



$\rightarrow (Wx_{\mathbb{T}}S, \pi_S, \pi_W^0(y))$  is an isomorphism, hence  $[Vx_{\mathbb{T}}S, \pi_S, \pi_V^0(x)] = [Wx_{\mathbb{T}}S, \pi_S, \pi_W^0(y)]$  in  $A_F(S)$ .

ii) To see that  $\lambda$  respects  $\oplus$ , it suffices to show that  $(Vx_{\mathbb{T}}S \dot{\cup} Wx_{\mathbb{T}}S, \pi_S, \pi_V^0(x) \dot{+} \pi_W^0(y)) \cong ((V \dot{\cup} W)x_{\mathbb{T}}S, \pi_S, \pi_{V \dot{\cup} W}^0(x \dot{+} y))$ . Define  $\gamma: Vx_{\mathbb{T}}S \dot{\cup} Wx_{\mathbb{T}}S \rightarrow (V \dot{\cup} W)x_{\mathbb{T}}S$  to be the identity. Evidently,  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism such that  $\pi_S \gamma = \pi_S \dot{\cup} \pi_S$ . We need  $\gamma^0 \pi_{V \dot{\cup} W}^0(x \dot{+} y) = \pi_V^0(x) \dot{+} \pi_W^0(y)$ . Let  $K_V: Vx_{\mathbb{T}}S \rightarrow Vx_{\mathbb{T}}S \dot{\cup} Wx_{\mathbb{T}}S$  and  $j_V: V \rightarrow V \dot{\cup} W$  be inclusions. Plainly,  $\pi_{V \dot{\cup} W} \gamma K_V = j_V \pi_V$ . Thus  $K_V^0(\gamma^0 \pi_{V \dot{\cup} W}^0(x \dot{+} y)) = \pi_V^0 j_V^0(x \dot{+} y) = \pi_V^0(x)$ . Similarly, if  $K_W: Wx_{\mathbb{T}}S \rightarrow Vx_{\mathbb{T}}S \dot{\cup} Wx_{\mathbb{T}}S$  is inclusion, then  $K_W^0(\gamma^0 \pi_{V \dot{\cup} W}^0(x \dot{+} y)) = \pi_W^0(y)$ . By the additive of  $F$ ,  $\gamma^0 \pi_{V \dot{\cup} W}^0(x \dot{+} y) = \pi_V^0(x) \dot{+} \pi_W^0(y)$ .

iii) To show that  $\lambda$  respects  $x_S$ , it suffices to show that  $((Vx_{\mathbb{T}}W)x_{\mathbb{T}}S, \pi_S, \pi_{Vx_{\mathbb{T}}W}^0(\pi_V^0(x) \cdot \pi_W^0(y))) \cong ((Vx_{\mathbb{T}}S)x_S(Wx_{\mathbb{T}}S), \pi_S x_S \pi_S, \pi_{Vx_{\mathbb{T}}S}^0(\pi_V^0(x)) \cdot \pi_{Wx_{\mathbb{T}}S}^0(\pi_W^0(y)))$ . Define  $\gamma: (Vx_{\mathbb{T}}W)x_{\mathbb{T}}S \rightarrow (Vx_{\mathbb{T}}S)x_S(Wx_{\mathbb{T}}S)$  by  $\gamma((v, w), s) = ((v, s), (w, s))$ . Then  $\gamma$  is the canonical isomorphism, and it follows easily that  $\pi_S = (\pi_S x_S \pi_S) \circ \gamma$ . Moreover, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (Vx_{T,W})x_{T,S} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & (Vx_{T,S})x_S(Wx_{T,S}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{Wx_{T,S}}} & Wx_{T,S} \\
 \downarrow \pi_{Vx_{T,W}} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_W \\
 Vx_{T,W} & \xrightarrow{\pi_W} & & & W \\
 \downarrow \pi_V & & \downarrow \pi_{Vx_{T,S}} & & \\
 V & \xleftarrow{\pi_V} & Vx_{T,S} & & 
 \end{array}$$

Thus,  $\gamma^0(\pi_{Vx_{T,S}}^0(\pi_V^0(x)) \cdot \pi_{Wx_{T,S}}^0(\pi_W^0(y))) = (\pi_V \pi_{Vx_{T,S}} \gamma)^0(x)$   
 $\cdot (\pi_W \pi_{Wx_{T,S}} \gamma)^0(y) = (\pi_V \pi_{Vx_{T,W}})^0(x) \cdot (\pi_W \pi_{Vx_{T,W}})^0(y)$   
 $= \pi_{Vx_{T,W}}^0(\pi_V^0(x)) \cdot \pi_W^0(y).$  □

Theorem 3.6. Let  $G$  be a finite group, and  $F: \hat{G} \rightarrow AM$  be an additive contravariant functor. Then  $A_F = (A_F^*, A_{F*})$  is a Green-functor.

Proof. We must verify the axioms 2.6(a), (b), and 2.7(a), (b), (c).

Axiom 2.6(a). Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & X_2 \\
 \psi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_2 \\
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & Y
 \end{array}$$

be a pullback diagram in  $\hat{G}$ . We must show that  $\phi_2^* \phi_1^* = \psi_2^* \psi_1^* : A_F(X_1) \rightarrow A_F(X_2)$ . Let  $[S, \alpha, x] \in A_F(X_1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2^* \phi_1^* ([S, \alpha, x]) &= [X_2 \times_Y S, \pi_{X_2}, \pi_S^0(x)], \text{ and } \psi_2^* \psi_1^* ([S, \alpha, x]) \\ &= [X \times_{X_1} S, \psi_2 \pi_X, \tilde{\pi}_S^0(x)], \text{ where the pullback diagrams} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_2 \times_Y S & \xrightarrow{\pi_S} & S \\ \pi_{X_2} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_1 \alpha \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & Y \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{X_1} S & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_S} & S \\ \pi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ X & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & X_1 \end{array}$$

explain our notation. Define  $\gamma: X \times_{X_1} S \rightarrow X_2 \times_Y S$  by  $\gamma(x, s) = (\psi_2(x), s)$ . Using the fact that  $X \cong X_1 \times_Y X_2$ , it is easy to see that  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism of  $G$ -sets.

It is equally evident that  $\psi_2 \pi_X = \pi_{X_2} \gamma$ . Since  $\tilde{\pi}_S = \pi_S \gamma$ , it follows that  $\gamma^0 \pi_S^0(x) = \tilde{\pi}_S^0(x)$ . Thus  $\gamma: (X \times_{X_1} S, \psi_2 \pi_X, \tilde{\pi}_S^0(x)) \rightarrow (X_2 \times_Y S, \pi_{X_2}, \pi_S^0(x))$  is an isomorphism. Thus,  $\phi_2^* \phi_1^* = \psi_2^* \psi_1^*$ .

Axiom 2.6(b). Let  $S_1, S_2$  be  $G$ -sets, and let  $K_i: S_i \rightarrow S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2$  be the inclusion maps. We show that  $K_{1*} \times K_{2*}: A_F(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2) \rightarrow A_F(S_1) \times A_F(S_2)$  is an isomorphism by exhibiting its inverse. We define  $\beta: A_F(S_1) \times A_F(S_2) \rightarrow A_F(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2)$  by  $\beta([T_1, \phi_1, X_1], [T_2, \phi_2, X_2]) = [T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2, \phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2, X_1 \dot{+} X_2]$  (check that this is well defined). It suffices to show that  $\beta \circ (K_{1*} \times K_{2*})$  and  $(K_{1*} \times K_{2*}) \circ \beta$  are both the identity (then  $\beta$  is a ring isomorphism). First let  $[T, \phi, x] \in A_F(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2)$ . Then  $\beta \circ (K_{1*} \times K_{2*})([T, \phi, x]) = \beta([T \times_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_1, \pi_{S_1}, \pi_T^0(x)], [T \times_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_2, \pi_{S_2}, \tilde{\pi}_T^0(x)])$

$= [(\text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_1) \dot{\cup} (\text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_2), \pi_{S_1} \dot{\cup} \pi_{S_2}, \pi_T^0(x) \dot{+} \tilde{\pi}_T^0(x)]$ , where the following pullback diagrams explain our notation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{S_1}} & S_1 \\
 \pi_T \downarrow & & \downarrow K_1 \\
 T & \xrightarrow{\phi} & S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{S_2}} & S_2 \\
 \tilde{\pi}_T \downarrow & & \downarrow K_2 \\
 T & \xrightarrow{\phi} & S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2
 \end{array}$$

Define  $\gamma: (\text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_1) \dot{\cup} (\text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_2) \rightarrow T$  to be  $\gamma = \pi_T \dot{\cup} \tilde{\pi}_T$ . Then  $\gamma$  is a G-isomorphism such that  $\phi\gamma = \pi_{S_1} \dot{\cup} \pi_{S_2}$ . We claim that  $\gamma^0(x) = \pi_T^0(x) + \tilde{\pi}_T^0(x)$ . Let  $\lambda_i: \text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_i \rightarrow (\text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_1) \dot{\cup} (\text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_2)$  be inclusion. By the additivity of  $F$ , and symmetry, it suffices to show that  $\lambda_1^0 \gamma^0(x) = \pi_T^0(x)$ . This equation follows since  $\gamma\lambda_1 = \pi_T$ . Thus  $\gamma: ((\text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_1) \dot{\cup} (\text{Tx}_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_2), \pi_{S_1} \dot{\cup} \pi_{S_2}, \pi_T^0(x) \dot{+} \tilde{\pi}_T^0(x)) \rightarrow (T, \phi, x)$  is an isomorphism, so that  $\beta \circ (K_{1*} \times K_{2*})$  is the identity on  $A_F(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2)$ .

Conversely, let  $([T_1, \phi_1, x_1], [T_2, \phi_2, x_2]) \in A_F(S_1) \times A_F(S_2)$ . Then as easy computation shows  $(K_{1*} \times K_{2*}) \circ \beta([T_1, \phi_1, x_1][T_2, \phi_2, x_2]) = ((T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2) \times_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_1, \pi_{S_1}, \pi_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}^0(x_1 + x_2)), ((T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2) \times_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_2, \pi_{S_2}, \tilde{\pi}_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}^0(x_1 + x_2))$  (the reader can deduce our notation). By symmetry, it suffices to show that  $(T_1, \phi_1, x_1) \cong ((T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2) \times_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_1, \pi_{S_1}, \pi_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}^0(x_1 + x_2))$

$\pi_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}^0(X_1 \dot{+} X_2)$ ). Define  $\gamma: (T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2) \times_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_1 \rightarrow T_1$  by  $\gamma(t, s) = t$ . Note that if  $(t, s) \in (T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2) \times_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} S_1$ , then  $s = K_1(s) = (\phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2)(t) \in S_1$ . Thus  $t \in T_1$  and  $s = \phi_1(t)$ . It follows that  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism. Plainly,  $\phi_1 \gamma = \pi_{S_1}$ , so finally we must check that  $\gamma^0(X_1) = \pi_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}^0(X_1 \dot{+} X_2)$ . If  $\lambda: T_1 \rightarrow T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2$  is inclusion, then  $\pi_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2} = \lambda \gamma$ . Thus  $\pi_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}^0(X_1 \dot{+} X_2) = \gamma^0 \lambda^0(X_1 \dot{+} X_2) = \gamma^0(X_1)$ . Therefore,  $\gamma$  is the required isomorphism.

Axiom 2.7(a). Let  $S$  be a  $G$ -set, and let  $G/G$  denote the one-point  $G$ -set. Define  $I_S: S \rightarrow S$  in the only possible way, and let  $1_S$  be the unit of  $F(S)$ . Then it is easy to check that  $[S, I_S, 1_S] = 1_{A_F(S)}$ .

Axiom 2.7(b). This is shown in 3.5.

Axiom 2.7(c). Let  $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$  be a  $G$ -map. Let  $[V, \phi, x] \in A_F(S)$  and  $[W, \psi, y] \in A_F(T)$ . We must show that  $\alpha^*(\alpha_*([W, \psi, y]) \cdot [V, \phi, x]) = [W, \psi, y] \cdot \alpha^*([V, \phi, x])$ . After applying the definitions of  $\alpha^*$  and  $\alpha_*$  it is enough to show that  $((W \times_T S) \times_S V, \alpha \circ (\pi_S \times_S \phi), (\pi_{W \times_T S}^0 \pi_W^0(y)) \cdot (\pi_V^0(x))) \cong (W \times_T V, \psi \times_T (\alpha \phi), \pi_W^0(y) \cdot \pi_V^0(x))$ , where the following pullback diagrams explain our notation.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Wx_T S & \xrightarrow{\pi_S} & S & & (Wx_T S) \times_S V & \xrightarrow{\pi_V} & V & & Wx_T V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_V} & V \\
\pi_W \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & & \pi_{Wx_T S} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi & & \tilde{\pi}_W \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \phi \\
W & \xrightarrow{\psi} & T & & Wx_T S & \xrightarrow{\pi_S} & S & & W & \xrightarrow{\psi} & T
\end{array}$$

Define  $\gamma: (Wx_T S) \times_S V \rightarrow Wx_T V$  by  $\gamma((w,s),v) = (w,v)$ . Then  $\gamma$  is a  $G$ -isomorphism such that  $\alpha \circ (\pi_S \times_S \phi) = (\psi \times_T (\alpha \phi)) \circ \gamma$  (as one checks). Moreover, since  $\pi_W \pi_{Wx_T S} = \tilde{\pi}_W \gamma$  and  $\pi_V = \tilde{\pi}_V \gamma$ , it follows that  $\gamma^0(\tilde{\pi}_W^0(y) \cdot \tilde{\pi}_V^0(x)) = (\tilde{\pi}_W \gamma)^0(x) \cdot (\tilde{\pi}_V \gamma)^0(y) = (\pi_{Wx_T S}^0 \pi_W^0(x)) \cdot (\pi_V^0(y))$ . Thus  $\gamma$  gives us the required isomorphism.  $\square$

### A Basis for $A_F(G)$

We introduce some notational conveniences. If  $H \leq G$ , then  $G/H$  denotes the transitive  $G$ -set of left cosets modulo  $H$ . We will denote  $A_F(G/H)$  by  $A_F(H)$ . In particular, if  $H = G$ , then for any non-empty  $G$ -set  $T$ , there is exactly one  $G$ -map  $\eta_T: T \rightarrow G/G$ . Thus we abbreviate the category  $(G, G/G, F)$  to  $(G, F)$ , the element  $[T, \eta_T, x]$  of  $A_F(G)$  to  $[T, x]$ , and the object  $(T, \eta_T, x)$  of  $(G, F)$  to  $(T, x)$ . Then isomorphism in  $(G, F)$  of objects  $(T, x)$  and  $(V, y)$  is equivalent with the existence of a  $G$ -isomorphism  $\beta: T \rightarrow V$  with  $\beta^0(y) = x$ .

For any  $G$ -set  $T$ , let  $W_T = \text{Aut}_G(T)$ . Especially, if  $a \in P$ , we shall abbreviate  $W_{S_a}$  to  $W_a = \text{Aut}_G(S_a)$ .

We use  $W_T$  to define an equivalence relation  $\sim_T$  on  $F(T)$ , namely, we say  $x \sim_T y$  if and only if there exists  $\alpha \in W_T$  with  $\alpha^0(x) = y$ ,  $x, y \in F(T)$ . For  $a \in P$  we shall let  $x \sim_a y$  denote  $x \sim_{S_a} y$ . The following lemma is a direct consequence of these definitions and Corollary 3.4.

Lemma 3.7. Let  $T$  be a  $G$ -set, and let  $x, y \in F(T)$ . Then  $x \sim_T y$  if and only if  $[T, x] = [T, y]$  in  $A_F(G)$ .

Let  $\underline{Y} = \underline{Y}(G) = \{S_a : a \in P\}$ . By 2.1(a),  $\underline{Y}$  is a complete set of representatives of isomorphism classes of transitive  $G$ -sets. For each  $a \in P$ , choose a set  $R_a \subseteq F(S_a)$  of equivalence class representatives under  $\sim_a$ . The following proposition may be viewed as the uniqueness statement in Wedderburn's theorem.

Proposition 3.8. Fix  $a \in P$  and suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^m [S_a, x_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n [S_a, y_i]$  for some  $x_i, y_i \in R_a$ . Then  $m = n$ , and there is a permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $x_i = y_{\pi(i)}$ , all  $i$ .

Proof. By 3.4,  $(\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^m S_a, x_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} x_m) \cong (\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^n S_a, y_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} y_n)$ , in particular,  $\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^m S_a \cong \dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^n S_a$ , so  $m = n$ . For notational ease, we set  $S_a^i = S_a$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Choose an isomorphism  $\alpha: \dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^n S_a^i \rightarrow \dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^n S_a^i$  with  $\alpha^0(y_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} y_n) = x_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} x_n$ . For each  $i$ ,  $\alpha(S_a^i)$  is a transitive subset of

$\bigcup_{j=1}^n S_a^j$ , so there is an index  $\pi(i)$  with  $\alpha(S_a^i) = S_a^{\pi(i)}$ .

This defines  $\pi$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism,  $\pi$  is a

permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . For each  $i$ , let  $K_i: S_a^i \rightarrow \bigcup_{j=1}^n S_a^j$

be inclusion, and let  $\alpha_i = \alpha|_{S_a^i: S_a^i \rightarrow S_a^{\pi(i)}}$ . Plainly,  $\alpha K_i$

$= K_{\pi(i)} \alpha_i$ . Thus  $\alpha_i^0(y_{\pi(i)}) = \alpha_i^0 K_{\pi(i)}^0(y_1 + \dots + y_n)$

$= K_i^0 \alpha^0(y_1 + \dots + y_n) = K_i^0(x_1 + \dots + x_n) = x_i$ . Since

$\alpha_i: S_a^i \rightarrow S_a^{\pi(i)}$  is an isomorphism, and  $S_a^i = S_a^{\pi(i)} = S_a$ ,

it follows from the fact that  $x_i, y_{\pi(i)} \in R_a$  that

$x_i = y_{\pi(i)}$ , all  $i$ . □

Theorem 3.9. Let  $F: G \rightarrow AM$  be an additive contravariant functor. Define  $B_F = \{[S_a, x] : a \in P(G), x \in R_a\}$ . Then  $B_F$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis of  $A_F(G)$ .

Proof. Let  $[T, y] \in A_F(G)$ . Write  $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^n T_i$ , with each  $T_i$  a transitive  $G$ -set. By additivity of  $F$ , we may find elements  $y_i \in F(T_i)$  with  $[T, y] = \sum_{i=1}^n [T_i, y_i]$ . For each  $i$ , choose  $a_i \in P$  and an isomorphism  $\alpha_i: S_{a_i} \rightarrow T_i$ . Then, for each  $i$ , there is a unique  $x_i \in R_{a_i}$  with  $\alpha_i^0(y_i) \sim_{a_i} x_i$ . Thus  $(T_i, y_i) \cong (S_{a_i}, \alpha_i^0(y_i)) \cong (S_{a_i}, x_i)$ , so that  $[T, y] = \sum_{i=1}^n [S_{a_i}, x_i]$ , and  $B_F$  spans.

For independence, first suppose there is a dependence

relation  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i [S_{a_i}, x_i] = 0$  for some fixed  $a \in P$ , where



$x_i \neq x_j$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $c_i$  is non-zero, all  $i$ . Then by Proposition 3.8, the equality  $\sum_{c_i > 0} c_i [S_a, x_i] = \sum_{c_j < 0} (-c_j) [S_a, x_j]$  yields  $x_i = x_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ , a contradiction. In general, if there is a dependence relation  $\sum_{a \in P} \sum_{x \in R_a} c_{a,x} [S_a, x] = 0$ , then since the  $S_a$  are pairwise non-isomorphic, Corollary 3.4 yields  $\sum_{x \in R_a} c_{a,x} [S_a, x] = 0$ , for each  $a \in P$ . By the above argument,  $c_{a,x} = 0$  for all  $a \in P$ ,  $x \in R_a$ .  $\square$

Finally, let us consider the case when the relation  $\sim$  is trivial.

Definition 3.10. Let  $T$  be a  $G$ -set. An element  $x \in F(T)$  is normal if given any  $\alpha \in W_T = \text{Aut}_G(T)$ , we have  $\alpha^0(x) = x$ . Let  $F_N(T)$  denote the set of all normal elements of  $F(T)$ . A  $G$ -set  $T$  is normal over  $F$  if  $F_N(T) = F(T)$ . If every  $G$ -set  $T$  is normal over  $F$  then  $F$  is called normal.

We collect some facts about normality.

Proposition 3.11. Let  $F: \hat{G} \rightarrow \text{AM}$  be an additive contra-variant functor, and let  $T$  be any  $G$ -set.

(a)  $F_N(T)$  is a subgroup of  $F(T)$ . In fact, if we let  $W_T^0 = \{\alpha^0 : \alpha \in W_T\} \subseteq \text{Aut}(F(T))$ , then  $F_N(T)$  is the fixed subgroup of  $F(T)$  under the action of  $W_T^0$ .

(b) If  $\eta_T: T \rightarrow G/G$  denotes the canonical map, then image  $(\eta_T^0) \subseteq F_N(T)$ .

(c) If  $S_a$  is normal over  $F$ , then  $R_a = F(S_a)$ . In particular, if  $F$  is normal, then  $B_F = \{[S_a, x]: a \in P, x \in F(S_a)\}$ .

The proofs of these statements are trivialities. Under the assumption of normality for the functor  $F$ , the Green-functor  $A_F$  is especially computable. Indeed, its theory resembles that of the Burnside ring functor  $A$ . It will be the topic of Chapter 6 to describe some of these connections.

## CHAPTER 4

### FUNCTORIAL PROPERTIES

Fixed throughout this chapter is a finite group  $G$ . We shall denote by  $AM^G$  the category of additive contra-variant functors  $F: \hat{G} \rightarrow AM$ , with natural transformations as morphisms, and by  $GF^G$  the category of Green-functors  $M: \hat{G} \rightarrow AB$ . Given  $M \in GF^G$ , it follows that  $M_* \in AM^G$ , where for a  $G$ -set  $S$ ,  $M_*(S)$  is the multiplicative monoid of  $M(S)$ . By axioms 2.6(b), 2.7(a) and 2.7(b), we obtain the forgetful functor  $U: GF^G \rightarrow AM^G$  given by  $U(M) = M_*$ . By general existence theorems, a left adjoint must exist for  $U$ . The purpose of our present discussion is to show that the correspondence  $F \rightarrow A_F$ , from  $AM^G$  to  $GF^G$ , defines such an adjoint. We must first establish that this correspondence defines a functor.

Proposition 4.1. Let  $F_1, F_2 \in AM^G$ , and let  $\gamma: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  be a natural transformation. Then there is an induced natural transformation of Green-functors  $\hat{\gamma}: A_{F_1} \rightarrow A_{F_2}$ , such that for all  $S \in \hat{G}$ ,  $[T, \phi, x] \in A_{F_1}(S)$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}_S([T, \phi, x]) = [T, \phi, \gamma_T(x)] \in A_{F_2}(S)$ .

Proof. Let  $S \in \hat{G}$ . Define  $\lambda_S: (G, S, F_1) \rightarrow A_{F_2}(S)$  by  $\lambda_S(T, \phi, x) = [T, \phi, \gamma_T(x)]$ . We must first check that  $\lambda_S$  respects isomorphism,  $\oplus$ , and  $x_S$ . Let  $(T_i, \phi_i, x_i) \in (G, S, F_1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

i) Suppose  $\alpha: (T_1, \phi_1, x_1) \rightarrow (T_2, \phi_2, x_2)$  is an isomorphism, so that  $F_1(\alpha)(x_2) = x_1$  and  $\phi_1 = \phi_2 \alpha$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a natural transformation,  $F_2(\alpha) \gamma_{T_2}(x_2) = \gamma_{T_1} F_1(\alpha)(x_2) = \gamma_{T_1}(x_1)$ . It follows that  $\alpha: (T_1, \phi_1, \gamma_{T_1}(x_1)) \rightarrow (T_2, \phi_2, \gamma_{T_2}(x_2))$  is an isomorphism in  $(G, S, F_2)$ . Thus, by Corollary 3.4,  $\lambda_S(T_1, \phi_1, x_1) = [T_1, \phi_1, \gamma_{T_1}(x_1)] = [T_2, \phi_2, \gamma_{T_2}(x_2)] = \lambda_S(T_2, \phi_2, x_2)$ .

ii)  $\lambda_S$  respects  $\oplus$ . Need  $\lambda_S(T_1, \phi_1, x_1) + \lambda_S(T_2, \phi_2, x_2) = \lambda_S((T_1, \phi_1, x_1) \oplus (T_2, \phi_2, x_2))$ , that is,  $[T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2, \phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2, \gamma_{T_1}(x_1) \dot{+} \gamma_{T_2}(x_2)] = [T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2, \phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2, \gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}(x_1 \dot{+} x_2)]$ . It suffices to show that  $\gamma_{T_1}(x_1) \dot{+} \gamma_{T_2}(x_2) = \gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}(x_1 \dot{+} x_2)$  in  $F_2(T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2)$ . By naturality,  $\gamma_{T_i} F_1(K_i) = F_2(K_i) \gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2} : F_1(T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2) \rightarrow F_2(T_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus,  $F_2(K_i) \gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}(x_1 \dot{+} x_2) = \gamma_{T_i} F_1(K_i)(x_1 \dot{+} x_2) = \gamma_{T_i}(x_i)$ . By additivity of  $F_2$ , this shows  $\gamma_{T_1}(x_1) \dot{+} \gamma_{T_2}(x_2) = \gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}(x_1 \dot{+} x_2)$ , as needed.

iii)  $\lambda_S$  respects  $x_S$ . Computing, as above, we must show that  $[T_1 \times_S T_2, \phi_1 \times_S \phi_2, \gamma_{T_1 \times_S T_2}(F_1(\pi_1)(x_1) \cdot F_1(\pi_1)(x_2))]$

$= [T_1 x_S T_2, \phi_1 x_S \phi_2, F_2(\pi_1)(\gamma_{T_1}(x_1)) \cdot F_2(\gamma_2)(\pi_{T_2}(x_2))]$ , so it suffices to show  $\gamma_{T_1 x_S T_2}(F_1(\pi_1)(x_1) \cdot F_2(\pi_2)(x_2)) = F_2(\pi_1)(\gamma_{T_1}(x_1)) \cdot F_2(\pi_2)(\gamma_{T_2}(x_2))$  in  $F_2(T_1 x_S T_2)$ . This follows immediately, since by naturality of  $\gamma$ ,

$$\gamma_{T_1 x_S T_2}^{F_1(\pi_i)} = F_2(\pi_i) \gamma_{T_i}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

It follows that there is an induced ring homomorphism

$$\hat{\gamma}_S: A_{F_1}(S) \rightarrow A_{F_2}(S) \quad \text{satisfying} \quad \hat{\gamma}_S([T, \phi, x]) = [T, \phi, \gamma_T(x)].$$

We now show  $\hat{\gamma} = \{\hat{\gamma}_S: S \in \hat{G}\}$  is a natural transformation of Green-functors  $A_{F_1} \rightarrow A_{F_2}$ . Let  $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$  be a  $G$ -map. We

must show that  $\hat{\gamma}_T A_{F_1}^*(\alpha) = A_{F_2}^*(\alpha) \hat{\gamma}_S: A_{F_1}(S) \rightarrow A_{F_2}(T)$ , and

that  $\hat{\gamma}_S A_{F_1}^*(\alpha) = A_{F_2}^*(\alpha) \hat{\gamma}_T: A_{F_1}(T) \rightarrow A_{F_2}(S)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{If } [V, \phi, x] \in A_{F_1}(S), \text{ then } \hat{\gamma}_T A_{F_1}^*(\alpha)([V, \phi, x]) \\
 &= \hat{\gamma}_T([V, \alpha\phi, x]) = [V, \alpha\phi, \gamma_V(x)] = A_{F_2}^*(\alpha)([V, \phi, \gamma_V(x)]) \\
 &= A_{F_2}^*(\alpha) \hat{\gamma}_S([V, \phi, x]).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if  $[W, \psi, y] \in A_{F_1}(T)$ , then

$$\hat{\gamma}_S A_{F_1}^*(\alpha)([W, \psi, y]) = \hat{\gamma}_S([W x_T S, \pi_S, F_1(\pi_W)(y)]) = [W x_T S, \pi_S,$$

$\gamma_{W x_T S}^{F_1(\pi_W)}(y)]$ , whereas,  $A_{F_2}^*(\alpha) \hat{\gamma}_T([W, \psi, y])$

$= A_{F_2}^*(\alpha)([W, \psi, \gamma_W(y)]) = [W x_T S, \pi_S, F_2(\pi_W) \gamma_W(y)]$ . By naturality

of  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma_{W x_T S}^{F_1(\pi_W)}(y) = F_2(\pi_W) \gamma_W(y)$ .  $\square$

Corollary 4.2. The correspondences  $F \rightarrow A_F$ ,  $\gamma \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}$  define a covariant functor from  $AM^G$  to  $GF^G$ .

Conversely, we have the following.

Proposition 4.3. Let  $F \in AM^G$ , and  $M \in GF^G$ . Given any natural transformation  $\gamma: F \rightarrow U(M)$ , the prescription  $\tilde{\gamma}_S([T, \phi, x]) = M^*(\phi)\gamma_T(x): A_F(S) \rightarrow M(S)$  defines a natural transformation of Green-functors  $\tilde{\gamma}: A_F \rightarrow M$ .

Proof. Fix  $S \in \hat{G}$ . Define  $\lambda_S: (G, S, F) \rightarrow M(S)$  by  $\lambda_S(T, \phi, x) = \phi^*\gamma_T(x)$ , where  $\phi^* = M^*(\phi): M(T) \rightarrow M(S)$ . As usual, let  $(T_i, \phi_i, x_i) \in (G, S, F)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

i)  $\lambda_S$  respects isomorphism. Suppose  $\alpha: (T_1, \phi_1, x_1) \rightarrow (T_2, \phi_2, x_2)$  is an isomorphism, so that  $\alpha^0(x_2) = x_1$  and  $\phi_1 = \phi_2\alpha$ . By Frobenius reciprocity (2.7(c)),  $\lambda_S(T_1, \phi_1, x_1) = \phi_1^*\gamma_{T_1}(x_1) = \phi_2^*\alpha^*\gamma_{T_1}(\alpha^0(x_2)) = \phi_2^*\alpha^*\alpha^*\gamma_{T_2}(x_2) = \phi_2^*(\gamma_{T_2}(x_2) \cdot \alpha^*(1_{M(T_1)})) = \phi_2^*\gamma_{T_2}(x_2) = \lambda_S(T_2, \phi_2, x_2)$ .

ii)  $\lambda_S$  is additive. By Frobenius reciprocity, naturality of  $\gamma$ , and Proposition 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_S(T_1, \phi_1, x_1) + \lambda_S(T_2, \phi_2, x_2) &= \phi_1^*\gamma_{T_1}(x_1) + \phi_2^*\gamma_{T_2}(x_2) \\ &= (\phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2 \circ K_1)^*\gamma_{T_1}(K_1^0(x_1 \dot{+} x_2)) \\ &+ (\phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2 \circ K_2)^*\gamma_{T_2}(K_2^0(x_1 \dot{+} x_2)) \\ &= (\phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2)^*K_1^*K_1^*\gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}(x_1 \dot{+} x_2) \\ &+ (\phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2)^*K_2^*K_2^*\gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2}(x_1 \dot{+} x_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2)^* (\gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2} (x_1 \dot{+} x_2) \cdot K_1^* (1_{M(T_1)})) \\
&+ (\phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2)^* (\gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2} (x_1 \dot{+} x_2) \cdot K_2^* (1_{M(T_2)})) \\
&= (\phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2)^* (\gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2} (x_1 \dot{+} x_2) ((K_1^* K_{1*} + K_2^* K_{2*}) (1_{M(T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2)}))) \\
&= (\phi_1 \dot{\cup} \phi_2)^* \gamma_{T_1 \dot{\cup} T_2} (x_1 \dot{+} x_2) = \lambda_S ((T_1, \phi_1, x_1) \oplus (T_2, \phi_2, x_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

iii)  $\lambda_S$  respects  $x_S$ . Using Frobenius reciprocity, and 2.6(a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_S ((T_1, \phi_1, x_1) x_S (T_2, \phi_2, x_2)) &= \lambda_S ((T_1 x_S T_2, \phi_1 x_S \phi_2, \pi_1^0(x_1) \cdot \pi_2^0(x_2))) \\
&= (\phi_1 x_S \phi_2)^* \gamma_{T_1 x_S T_2} (\pi_1^0(x_1) \cdot \pi_2^0(x_2)) \\
&= (\phi_1 x_S \phi_2)^* (\gamma_{T_1 x_S T_2} (\pi_1^0(x_1)) \cdot \gamma_{T_1 x_S T_2} (\pi_2^0(x_2))) \\
&= \phi_1^* \pi_1^* (\pi_1^* \gamma_{T_1} (x_1) \cdot \pi_2^* \gamma_{T_2} (x_2)) = \phi_1^* (\gamma_{T_1} (x_1) \cdot \pi_1^* \pi_2^* \gamma_{T_2} (x_2)) \\
&= \phi_1^* (\gamma_{T_1} (x_1) \cdot \phi_1^* \phi_2^* \gamma_{T_2} (x_2)) = \phi_2^* \gamma_{T_2} (x_2) \cdot \phi_1^* \gamma_{T_1} (x_1) \\
&= \lambda_S (T_1, \phi_1, x_1) \cdot \lambda_S (T_2, \phi_2, x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\lambda_S$  induces a ring homomorphism  $\tilde{\gamma}_S: A_F(S) \rightarrow M(S)$  satisfying  $\tilde{\gamma}_S([T, \phi, x]) = M^*(\phi) \gamma_T(x)$ . To see that  $\tilde{\gamma} = \{\tilde{\gamma}_S: S \in \hat{G}\}: A_F \rightarrow M$  is a natural transformation of Green-functors, let  $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$  be a G-map. We must show that  $\tilde{\gamma}_T A_F^*(\alpha) = M^*(\alpha) \tilde{\gamma}_S: A_F(S) \rightarrow M(T)$ , and that  $\tilde{\gamma}_S A_{F*}(\alpha) = M_*(\alpha) \tilde{\gamma}_T: A_F(T) \rightarrow M(S)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{If } [V, \phi, x] \in A_F(S), \text{ then } \tilde{\gamma}_{T, A_F^*}(\alpha)([V, \phi, x]) \\
&= \tilde{\gamma}_T([V, \alpha\phi, x]) = M^*(\alpha\phi)\gamma_V(x) = M^*(\alpha)M^*(\phi)\gamma_V(x) \\
&= M^*(\alpha)\tilde{\gamma}_S([V, \phi, x]).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Conversely, if } [W, \psi, y] \in A_F(T), \text{ then} \\
&\tilde{\gamma}_{S, A_F^*}(\alpha)([W, \psi, y]) = \tilde{\gamma}_S([Wx_T S, \pi_S \pi_W^0(y)]) = \pi_S^* \gamma_{Wx_T S}(\pi_W^0(y)) \\
&= \pi_S^* \pi_{W^*} \gamma_W(y) = \alpha_* \phi^* \gamma_W(y) = M_*(\alpha)\tilde{\gamma}_T([W, \psi, y]), \text{ using 2.6(a).}
\end{aligned}$$

We can now prove the main theorem of this chapter.

Theorem 4.4. The functor  $F \rightarrow A_F$  from  $AM^G$  to  $GF^G$  is the left adjoint of the forgetful functor  $U: GF^G \rightarrow AM^G$ .

Proof. Fix  $F \in AM^G$ ,  $M \in GF^G$ . We must establish a natural bijection  $\text{Nat}(A_F, M) \leftrightarrow \text{Nat}(F, UM)$ . Define  $\phi: \text{Nat}(A_F, M) \rightarrow \text{Nat}(F, UM)$  by  $\phi(\gamma)_S(x) = \gamma_S([S, l_S, x])$ , and  $\psi: \text{Nat}(F, UM) \rightarrow \text{Nat}(A_F, M)$  by  $\psi(\gamma) = \tilde{\gamma}$  (which is well defined by 4.3). We now show that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are inverse bijections.

If  $\gamma \in \text{Nat}(A_F, M)$ ,  $S \in \hat{G}$ , and  $[T, \phi, x] \in A_F(S)$ , then  $(\psi\phi(\gamma))_S([T, \phi, x]) = \phi(\tilde{\gamma})_S([T, \phi, x]) = M^*(\phi)\phi(\gamma)_T(x) = M^*(\phi)\gamma_T([T, l_T, x]) = \gamma_{S, A_F^*}(\phi)([T, l_T, x]) = \gamma_S([T, \phi, x])$ . Hence  $\psi\phi = 1$ .

If  $\gamma \in \text{Nat}(F, UM)$ ,  $S \in \hat{G}$ , and  $x \in F(S)$ , then  $(\phi\psi(\gamma))_S(x) = \psi(\gamma)_S([S, l_S, x]) = \tilde{\gamma}_S([S, l_S, x]) = M^*(l_S)\gamma_S(x) = \gamma_S(x)$ . Therefore  $\phi\psi = 1$ . All that remains is to show naturality in  $F$  and  $M$ .

For the 'F' variable, let  $\gamma: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  be a natural transformation in  $AM^G$ , and let  $\psi_i: \text{Nat}(F_i, UM) \rightarrow \text{Nat}(A_{F_i}, M)$



be the function given above,  $i = 1, 2$ . We must show that for any  $\theta \in \text{Nat}(F_2, UM)$ , we have  $\Psi_1(\theta\gamma) = \Psi_2(\theta)\hat{\gamma}: A_{F_1} \rightarrow M$ .

Let  $S \in \hat{G}$  and  $[T, \phi, x] \in A_{F_1}(S)$ . Then  $\Psi_1(\theta\gamma)_S([T, \phi, x]) = (\hat{\theta}\hat{\gamma})_S([T, \phi, x]) = M^*(\phi)(\theta\gamma)_T(x) = M^*(\phi)\theta_T\gamma_T(x) = \Psi_2(\theta)_S([T, \phi, \gamma_T(x)]) = \Psi_2(\theta)_S\hat{\gamma}_S([T, \phi, x])$ .

For the 'M' variable, fix  $F \in AM^G$ , and let  $\gamma: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  be a natural transformation of Green-functors. We must show that for any  $\theta \in \text{Nat}(F, UM_1)$ , we have  $\gamma\Psi_1(\theta) = \Psi_2(\gamma\theta): A_F \rightarrow M_2$ . Let  $S \in \hat{G}$  and  $[T, \phi, x] \in A_F(S)$ . Then  $(\gamma\Psi_1(\theta))_S([T, \phi, x]) = \gamma_S\Psi_1(\theta)_S([T, \phi, x]) = \gamma_S\hat{\theta}_S([T, \phi, x]) = \gamma_S M_1^*(\phi)\theta_T(x) = M_2^*(\phi)\gamma_T\theta_T(x) = M_2^*(\phi)(\gamma\theta)_T(x) = \Psi_2(\gamma\theta)_S([T, \phi, x])$ . □

Of course, if we let  $M = A_F$ , then adjointness implies that the identity transformation  $1_{A_F} \in \text{Nat}(A_F, A_F)$  determines a universal arrow  $\phi(1_{A_F}): F \rightarrow UA_F$  (MacLane 1971, pp. 77-84). Explicitly, we have  $\phi(1_{A_F})_S(x) = [S, 1_S, x]$ , all  $S \in \hat{G}$ ,  $x \in F(S)$ , and the universality may be rephrased thus:

Corollary 4.5. Let  $F \in AM^G$ , and  $\phi(1_{A_F}): F \rightarrow UA_F$  be the natural transformation given above. Then, given any Green-functor  $M$ , and natural transformation  $\gamma: F \rightarrow UM$ , there is a natural transformation of Green-functors  $\tilde{\gamma}: A_F \rightarrow M$  (given as in 4.3) such that  $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}\phi(1_{A_F})$ .

Now the functor  $I: \hat{G} \rightarrow AM$ , which associates to each  $G$ -set the monoid consisting of the identity alone, is both an initial and final object in  $AM^G$ . For each  $F \in AM^G$ , we let  $\alpha_F: I \rightarrow F$  and  $\zeta_F: F \rightarrow I$  be the canonical natural transformations. Since  $\zeta_F \alpha_F: I \rightarrow I$  is the identity, it follows that for each  $G$ -set  $S$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}_{F,S}: A_I(S) \rightarrow A_F(S)$  embeds  $A_I(S)$  as a direct summand of  $A_F(S)$ , and that  $\hat{\zeta}_{F,S}: A_F(S) \rightarrow A_I(S)$  is surjective. In particular, the correspondence  $(T, \phi) \rightarrow [T, \phi, 1]$  is an isomorphism  $A(S) \rightarrow A_I(S)$ , where  $A(S)$  is the Burnside ring of  $G$ -sets over  $S$  (Dress 1971, pp. 54-61), and thus we may (and do) identify  $A(S)$  with a subring of  $A_F(S)$ . Explicitly,  $A(S) \cong \text{image}(\hat{\alpha}_{F,S} \circ \hat{\zeta}_{F,S}) \subseteq A_F(S)$ , any  $F \in AM^G$ . This observation will be useful later when we shall exploit the known properties of  $A(S)$  in determining those of  $A_F(S)$ . For example, using the fact that  $\hat{\alpha}_F$  and  $\hat{\zeta}_F$  are natural transformations of Green-functors, together with the fact that  $A \cong A_I$  is an initial object in  $GF^G$  (Dress 1971, p. 79), we obtain

Corollary 4.6. For any  $F \in AM^G$ ,  $A_F$  is an initial object in the category of Green-functors:  $\hat{G} \rightarrow AB$ .

Finally, we can compute the defect basis of  $A_F$ . Indeed, since the defect basis of the Burnside ring functor is the set of all subgroups of  $G$ , the following corollary is obtained.

Corollary 4.7. For any  $F \in AM^G$ , the defect basis of  $A_F$  is the set of all subgroups of  $G$ .

Proof. This follows directly from Dress (1971, p. 87), and the existence of  $\hat{\alpha}_F$  and  $\hat{\zeta}_F$ . □

## CHAPTER 5

### STRUCTURE THEORY

Fixed in this chapter are a finite group  $G$ , and a functor  $F \in \text{AM}^G$ . By Theorem 3.9,  $A_F(G)$  is torsion free (as an abelian group), and thus it embeds faithfully in the tensor product  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A_F(G)$ . For simplicity we shall denote  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A_F(G)$  by  $\mathbb{Q}A_F(G)$ , and consider its elements to be rational multiples of elements of  $A_F(G)$ . The principal aim of this chapter is the explicit computation of  $\mathbb{Q}A_F(G)$ . In the next chapter we will use this characterization to examine the prime ideal structure of  $A_F(G)$  when  $F$  is normal.

#### The Structure of $\mathbb{Q}A_F(G)$

As discussed at the end of Chapter 4,  $A(G) \cong A_{\mathbb{1}}(G)$ , and we may identify  $A(G)$  with the subring of  $A_F(G)$  consisting of the elements  $\{[S,1] - [T,1] : S, T \in \hat{G}\}$ . In particular, from Chapter 2,  $\mathbb{Q}A(G)$  has primitive idempotents  $\{e_a : a \in P\}$ , where  $e_a = \sum_{b \in P} \lambda_{b,a} [S_b,1]$ , and multiplication in  $\mathbb{Q}A(G)$  satisfies  $[S_a,1][S_b,1] = \sum_{c \in P} v_{a,b,c} [S_c,1]$ .

Lemma 5.1. Let  $a, b \in P$  and  $x \in F(S_a)$ . Then for some  $r \geq 0$ ,  $[S_a, x][S_b, 1] = v_{a,b,a} [S_a, x] + \sum_{j=1}^r [S_{a_j}, x_j]$ , where  $a_j < a$  and  $x_j \in F(S_{a_j})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ .

Proof. Set  $n = V_{a,b,a}$ . If  $a \not\leq b$ , then  $n = 0$  and the result is clear. Assume  $a \leq b$ , and set  $S_a^i = S_a$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (possibly  $n = 0$ , but this gives no trouble). Then  $S_a \times S_b \cong S_a^1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} S_a^n \dot{\cup} \dot{\cup}_{j=1}^r S_{a_j}$ , where  $a_j < a$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$  (by 2.2(c)). Let  $\alpha: S \rightarrow S_a \times S_b$  be this isomorphism, and let  $K_i: S_a^i \rightarrow S$ ,  $\ell_j: S_{a_j} \rightarrow S$  be the canonical injections. Let  $\pi: S_a \times S_b \rightarrow S_a$  be the projection map. Since each composite  $\pi \alpha K_i: S_a^i = S_a \rightarrow S_a$  is a G-map, it must be an automorphism, by the transitivity of  $S_a$ . By Lemma 3.7,  $[S_a, x] = [S_a^i, (\pi \alpha K_i)^0(x)]$   $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Set  $x_j = (\pi \alpha \ell_j)^0(x) \in F(S_{a_j})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . By the additivity of  $F$ , and the above comments,

$$\begin{aligned}
[S_a, x][S_b, 1] &= [S_a \times S_b, \pi^0(x)] \\
&= [S, \alpha^0 \pi^0(x)] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n [S_a^i, K_i^0 \alpha^0 \pi^0(x)] + \sum_{j=1}^r [S_{a_j}, \ell_j^0 \alpha^0 \pi^0(x)] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n [S_a, x] + \sum_{j=1}^r [S_{a_j}, x_j] \\
&= V_{a,b,a} [S_a, x] + \sum_{j=1}^r [S_{a_j}, x_j]. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

We now generalize Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 5.2. Let  $a, b \in P$  with  $b \not\leq a$ , and let  $x \in F(S_a)$ . Then  $[S_a, x]e_b = 0$ .

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on  $a \in P$  with respect to  $\leq$ . If  $a = 1$ , then by 5.1 and 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} [S_1, x]e_b &= \sum_{c \in P} \lambda_{c,b} [S_1, x][S_c, 1] \\ &= \left( \sum_{c \in P} \lambda_{c,b} v_{1,c,1} \right) [S_1, x] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $[S_c, y]e_b = 0$  whenever  $c < a$  and  $y \in F(S_c)$  (thus  $b \not\leq c$ , since  $b \not\leq a$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} [S_a, x]e_b &= [S_a, x]e_b \cdot e_b \\ &= \sum_{c \in P} \lambda_{c,b} [S_a, x][S_c, 1]e_b \\ &= \sum_c \lambda_{c,b} (v_{a,c,a} [S_a, x] + \sum_{j=1}^{r_c} [S_{a_j, c}, x_{j,c}])e_b \\ &= \left( \sum_c \lambda_{c,b} v_{a,c,a} \right) [S_a, x]e_b \\ &\quad + \sum_c \sum_{j=1}^{r_c} \lambda_{c,b} [S_{a_j, c}, x_{j,c}]e_b. \end{aligned}$$

Since each  $a_{j,c} < a$ , induction implies that all

$[S_{a_j, c}, x_{j,c}]e_b = 0$ , and thus,  $[S_a, x]e_b$

$= \left( \sum_c \lambda_{c,b} v_{a,c,a} \right) [S_a, x]e_b$ . The hypothesis  $b \not\leq a$  implies that

either  $a < b$  or  $a \not\leq b$ . If  $a < b$ , then 2.3 implies  $\sum_c \lambda_{c,b} V_{a,c,a} = 0$ . If  $a \not\leq b$ , then  $a \not\leq c$  for all  $c \leq b$ , so that  $V_{a,c,a} = 0$ , all  $c \leq b$  by 2.2(c). But if  $c \not\leq b$ , then  $\lambda_{c,b} = 0$  by definition. Hence  $\sum_c \lambda_{c,b} V_{a,c,a} = 0$  in this case also. In either case, this implies  $[S_a, x]e_b = 0$ .  $\square$

The next step is the explicit computation of the product  $[S_a, x][S_a, y]e_a$ , any  $x, y \in F(S_a)$ . To obtain this, we must recall an isomorphism yielding the decomposition of  $S_a \times S_a$  into transitive  $G$ -sets. For any  $a \in P$ , recall that  $\text{Aut}_G(S_a) \cong N_G(H_a)/H_a$ , in particular  $|\text{Aut}_G(S_a)| = V_a$ . We just state the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. Let  $a \in P$ , and set  $S_a^i = S_a$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq V_a$ . Say that  $\text{Aut}_G(S_a) = \{\sigma_i : 1 \leq i \leq V_a\}$ . For each  $i$ , define  $\alpha_i : S_a^i \rightarrow S_a \times S_a$  by  $\alpha_i(s) = (s, \sigma_i(s))$ . Then there is a (possibly empty) set  $\{a_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq P$  with each  $a_j < a$ , and an isomorphism  $\alpha : S_a^1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} S_a^{V_a} \dot{\cup} \bigcup_{j=1}^n S_{a_j} \rightarrow S_a \times S_a$  such that if  $K_i : S_a^i \rightarrow S_a^1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} S_a^{V_a} \dot{\cup} \bigcup_{j=1}^n S_{a_j}$  is inclusion, then  $\alpha_i = \alpha K_i$ , all  $i$ .

Since  $F$  is a functor, there is a natural action of  $W_S$  on  $F(S)$ , for any  $G$ -set  $S$ , given by  $\sigma \cdot x = (\sigma^{-1})^0(x)$ ,  $x \in F(S)$ ,  $\sigma \in W_S$ . Contravariance implies  $(\sigma\tau) \cdot x = ((\sigma\tau)^{-1})^0(x) = (\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1})^0(x) = (\sigma^{-1})^0(\tau^{-1})^0(x) = \sigma \cdot (\tau \cdot x)$ .

For brevity we denote  $\sigma \cdot x$  by  $x_\sigma$ . This action plays a key role in the structure of  $QA_F(G)$ , as illustrated by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. Let  $a \in P$ ,  $x, y \in F(S_a)$ . Then

$$[S_a, x][S_a, y]e_a = \sum_{\sigma \in W_a} [S_a, xy_\sigma]e_a.$$

Proof. Let  $\{a_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq P$ ,  $\alpha, \alpha_i, K_i$  be as in Lemma 5.3. Denote  $S = S_a^1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} S_a^{\vee a} \dot{\cup} \dot{\cup}_{j=1}^n S_{a_j}$ , and let  $\pi_i : S_a \times S_a \rightarrow S_a$  be the coordinate projections,  $i = 1, 2$ . Using the additivity of  $F$ , together with 5.2 and 5.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} [S_a, x][S_a, y]e_a &= [S_a \times S_a, \pi_1^0(x) \cdot \pi_2^0(y)]e_a \\ &= [S, \alpha^0(\pi_1^0(x) \cdot \pi_2^0(y))]e_a \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\vee a} [S_a^i, K_i^0 \alpha^0(\pi_1^0(x) \cdot \pi_2^0(y))]e_a \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\vee a} [S_a^i, \alpha_i^0(\pi_i^0(x) \cdot \pi_2^0(y))]e_a \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\vee a} [S_a^i, (\pi_1^{\alpha_i})^0(x) \cdot (\pi_2^{\alpha_i})^0(y)]e_a \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^V [S_a^i, x \cdot (\sigma_i)^0(y)] e_a \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in W_a} [S_a, xy_\sigma] e_a. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.5. Suppose  $a \in P$ ,  $x \in F(S_a)$ ,  $y \in F_N(S_a)$ . Then  $[S_a, x][S_a, y]e_a = V_a[S_a, xyle_a]$ .

The following lemma will be crucial in computing the prime ideals of  $A_F(G)$ , when  $F \in AM^G$  is normal.

Lemma 5.6. Let  $a \in P$ ,  $x \in F(S_a)$ , and  $y \in F_N(S_a)$ . Then,

$$[S_a, x][S_a, y] = V_a[S_a, xy] + \sum_{j=1}^n [S_{a_j}, x_j], \text{ where } a_j < a,$$

$x_j \in F(S_{a_j})$ , all  $j$ .

Proof. Let  $\{a_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq P$ ,  $\alpha, \alpha_j, K_j$  be as in 5.3, and let  $S = S_a^1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} S_a^V \dot{\cup} \dot{\cup}_{j=1}^n S_{a_j}$ . Let  $\pi_1 : S_a \times S_a \rightarrow S_a$  be the coordinate projections. By Lemma 5.3,  $\pi_1 \alpha K_j : S_a^j = S_a \rightarrow S_a$  is the identity map, and  $\pi_2 \alpha K_j : S_a^j = S_a \rightarrow S_a$  is a  $G$ -automorphism, all  $j$ . Therefore,  $x = (\pi_1 \alpha K_j)^0(x)$ , and  $y = (\pi_2 \alpha K_j)^0(y)$ , all  $j$ , since  $y \in F_N(S_a)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
[S_a, x][S_a, y] &= [S_a \times S_a, \pi_1^0(x) \cdot \pi_2^0(y)] \\
&= [S, (\pi_1 \alpha)^0(x) \cdot (\pi_2 \alpha)^0(y)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{V_a} [S_a^i, (\pi_1^{\alpha K_i})^0(x) \cdot (\pi_2^{\alpha K_i})^0(y)] \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^n [S_{a_j}, x_j], \quad \text{some } x_j \in F(S_{a_j}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{V_a} [S_a, xy] + \sum_{j=1}^n [S_{a_j}, x_j] \\
&= V_a [S_a, xy] + \sum_{j=1}^n [S_{a_j}, x_j]. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

For any monoid  $H$ , Let  $\mathcal{Q}H$  denote the rational group algebra. For  $a \in P$ , define  $\psi_a: \mathcal{Q}F(S_a) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}A_F(G) \cdot e_a$  by  $\psi_a(x) = V_a^{-1} [S_a, x] e_a$ , all  $x \in F(S_a)$ , then extend linearly to all of  $\mathcal{Q}F(S_a)$ .

Lemma 5.7. For any  $a \in P$ ,  $\psi_a$  is a surjective  $\mathcal{Q}$ -space homomorphism.

Proof. Everything is clear except surjectivity. It is sufficient to show that for any  $b \in P$ ,  $x \in F(S_b)$ ,  $[S_b, x] e_a \in \text{im} \psi_a$ . We proceed by induction on  $b$ . First note that if  $a \not\leq b$ , then  $[S_b, x] e_a = 0 \in \text{im} \psi_a$ , by 5.2, and if  $a = b$ , then  $[S_a, x] e_a = \psi_a(V_a x)$ . In particular, this covers the case  $b = 1$ . Assume that  $b > 1$ , and that whenever  $c < b$  and  $y \in F(S_c)$ , then  $[S_c, y] e_a \in \text{im} \psi_a$ . We may also assume  $a < b$ . Applying 5.1 and 2.5(a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[S_b, x]e_a &= [S_b, x]e_a e_a \\
&= v_a^{-1} [S_b, x] [S_a, 1] e_a \\
&= v_a^{-1} v_{b,a,b} [S_b, x] e_a + v_a^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^r [S_{b_j}, x_j] e_a,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $b_j < b$ , and  $x_j \in F(S_{b_j})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Since  $a < b$ ,  $v_{b,a,b} = 0$  by 2.2(c). By induction, each  $[S_{b_j}, x_j]e_a \in \text{im}\psi_a$ , so that

$$[S_b, x]e_a = v_a^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^r [S_{b_j}, x_j]e_a \in \text{im}\psi_a. \quad \square$$

If  $S \in \hat{G}$  and  $\sigma \in W_S$ , then clearly  $\sigma \cdot (xy) = (\sigma \cdot x)(\sigma \cdot y)$ , all  $x, y \in F(S)$ . It follows that  $W_S$  acts as a group of ring automorphisms on  $\mathcal{Q}F(S)$ . We let  $\mathcal{Q}F(S)^{W_S}$  denote the fixed ring under this action, that is  $\mathcal{Q}F(S)^{W_S} = \{x \in \mathcal{Q}F(S) : \sigma \cdot x = x, \text{ all } \sigma \in W_S\}$ . Then there is a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -space epimorphism  $\rho: \mathcal{Q}F(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}F(S)^{W_S}$  given by  $\rho(x) = |W_S|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W_S} \sigma \cdot x$ . Note that the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $\mathcal{Q}F(S)^{W_S}$  is the identity; moreover,  $\rho(x\rho(y)) = \rho(x)\rho(y)$ , all  $x, y \in \mathcal{Q}F(S)$ . If  $a \in P$  and  $S = S_a$ , we denote  $\rho_a = \rho$ . Thus  $\rho_a(x) = v_a^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W_a} \sigma \cdot x$ , all  $x \in \mathcal{Q}F(S_a)$ .

Proposition 5.8. Let  $a \in P$ . Then  $\psi_a \rho_a = \psi_a$ . Moreover, the map  $\chi_a: \mathcal{QF}(S_a)^{W_a} \rightarrow \mathcal{QA}_F(G)e_a$ , given by  $\chi_a(x) = \psi_a(x)$ , all  $x \in \mathcal{QF}(S_a)^{W_a}$ , is a surjective  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism.

Proof. If  $\sigma \in W_a$ , then by Corollary 3.4  $[S_a, x] = [S_a, x_\sigma]$ , all  $x \in F(S_a)$ , hence  $\psi_a(x) = \psi_a(x_\sigma)$ , all  $x \in F(S_a)$ . But then,  $\psi_a \rho_a(x) = v_a^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W_a} \psi_a(x_\sigma) = v_a^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W_a} \psi_a(x) = \psi_a(x)$ . The first result follows, since  $F(S_a)$  spans  $\mathcal{QF}(S_a)$ . Furthermore the surjectivity of  $\psi_a$ , together with  $\psi_a \rho_a = \psi_a$ , imply that  $\chi_a$  is surjective. To see that  $\chi_a$  is an algebra homomorphism, let  $x, y \in F(S_a)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi_a(\rho(x)\rho(y)) &= \chi_a(\rho(x \cdot \rho(y))) \\
 &= \psi_a(x \cdot \rho(y)) \\
 &= v_a^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W_a} \psi_a(xy_\sigma) \\
 &= v_a^{-2} \sum_{\sigma \in W_a} [S_a, xy_\sigma] e_a \\
 &= v_a^{-2} [S_a, x] [S_a, y] e_a \quad (\text{by 5.4}) \\
 &= (v_a^{-1} [S_a, x] e_a) (v_a^{-1} [S_a, y] e_a) \\
 &= \psi_a(x) \cdot \psi_a(y) = \chi_a(\rho(x)) \cdot \chi_a(\rho(y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the elements  $\{\rho(x) : x \in F(S_a)\}$  span  $\mathcal{Q}F(S_a)^{W_a}$ ,  $\chi_a$  is a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism, as asserted.  $\square$

As we shall presently show, each  $\chi_a$  is an isomorphism.

Lemma 5.9. Let  $S \in G$  and  $x, y \in F(S)$ . Then  $x \sim_S y$  if and only if  $\rho(x) = \rho(y)$ .

Proof  $\Rightarrow$ ). Suppose there is some  $\alpha \in W_S$  with  $\alpha^0(x) = y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(y) &= |W_S|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W_S} \sigma^0(y) = |W_S|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W_S} \sigma^0 \alpha^0(x) \\ &= |W_S|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W_S} (\alpha\sigma)^0(x) = |W_S|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W_S} \sigma^0(x) = \rho(x). \end{aligned}$$

$\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $\rho(x) = \rho(y)$ . Since  $F(S)$  is a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{Q}F(S)$ , the identity  $\sum_{\sigma \in W_S} \sigma^0(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in W_S} \sigma^0(y)$  implies that  $\sigma^0(x) = \tau^0(y)$  for some  $\sigma, \tau \in W_S$ . If  $\alpha = \sigma\tau^{-1} \in W_S$ , then  $\alpha^0(x) = y$ . Thus  $x \sim_S y$ .  $\square$

Lemma 5.10. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in F(S_a)$ , with  $x_i \not\sim_a x_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\{[S_a, x_i]e_a : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is a linearly independent set in  $\mathcal{Q}A_F(G)e_a$ .

Proof. For any  $i$ , Lemma 5.1 implies that  $[S_a, x_i]e_a = \sum_{b \leq a} \lambda_{b,a} [S_a, x_i][S_b, 1] = \lambda_{a,a} [S_a, x_i][S_a, 1]$

$$+ \sum_{b < a} \lambda_{b,a} [S_a, x_i] [S_b, 1] = [S_a, x_i] + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j [S_{a_j}, y_j], \quad \text{some}$$

$a_j < a \in P$ ,  $c_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $y_j \in F(S_{a_j})$ . Therefore, if there is a

dependence relation  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i [S_a, x_i] e_a = 0$  (where  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$

without loss of generality), then Corollary 3.4 together with

the above yields a dependence relation  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i [S_a, x_i] = 0$ .

By Theorem 3.9, and the assumption on the  $x_i$ , it follows

that  $d_i = 0$ , all  $i$ . □

Theorem 5.11. For any  $a \in P$ , the map  $\chi_a: \mathbb{Q}F(S_a)^{\mathcal{W}^a} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}A_F(G)e_a$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra isomorphism.

Proof. All that remains is injectivity. If  $R_a$  is a set of representatives for  $\sim_a$  in  $F(S_a)$ , then by Lemma 5.9,  $\{\rho_a(x) : x \in R_a\}$  spans  $\mathbb{Q}F(S_a)^{\mathcal{W}^a}$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -space. By Lemma 5.10, the set  $\{\chi_a \rho_a(x) : x \in R_a\} = \{V_a^{-1} [S_a, x] e_a : x \in R_a\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The result follows. □

Theorem 5.12. Let  $G$  be a finite group, and let  $F: \hat{G} \rightarrow \text{AM}$  be an additive contravariant functor. Then the injections

$\chi_a: \mathbb{Q}F(S_a)^{\mathcal{W}^a} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}A_F(G)e_a$  induce a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\chi = (\chi_a) : \prod_{a \in P} \mathbb{Q}F(S_a)^{\mathcal{W}^a} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}A_F(G).$$

In particular, if every transitive  $G$ -set is normal over  $F$ , then

$$\mathbb{Q}A_F(G) \cong \prod_{a \in P} \mathbb{Q}F(S_a).$$

We remark that the only denominators used in the proof that  $\chi$  is an isomorphism were divisors of powers of  $|G|$ . Thus this theorem is valid upon replacing  $\mathbb{Q}$  by any field  $K$ , where  $\text{char}(K) \nmid |G|$ .

Several ring theoretic properties of  $\mathbb{Q}A_F(G)$  now become transparent. We single out the following.

Corollary 5.13. Suppose  $F:\hat{G} \rightarrow AB$  is an additive contravariant functor satisfying

- i) for all  $S \in \hat{G}$ ,  $F(S)$  is torsion, and
- ii) every transitive  $G$ -set is normal over  $F$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}A_F(G)$  is a von Neumann regular ring.

Proof. If  $S \in \hat{G}$ , then  $F(S)$  is a torsion abelian group, hence it is locally finite. By a theorem of Villamayor (1958), the group algebra  $\mathbb{Q}F(S)$  is von Neumann regular. Since the product of regular rings is again a regular ring, the result follows from the second part of Theorem 5.12.  $\square$

Corollary 5.14. If  $F:\hat{G} \rightarrow AB$  is any contravariant additive functor, then  $J(\mathbb{Q}A_F(G)) = 0$ .

Proof. By a result of Montgomery (1976), if  $R$  is any ring acted upon by a finite group  $W$  of ring automorphisms, and if  $|W|^{-1} \in R$ , then  $J(R^W) = J(R) \cap R^W$ . Applying this to  $R = \mathbb{Q}F(S_a)$  and  $W = W_a$ , it follows from Passman (1971,

p. 73) that  $J(\mathbb{Q}F(S_a)^W)^a = 0$ . Since the radical respects products of rings, the result is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.12.  $\square$

### The Structure of $\mathbb{Q}A_F(G/H)$

Theorem 5.12 effectively computes  $\mathbb{Q}A_F(G)$ . We shall now indicate a construction which will permit the computation of  $\mathbb{Q}A_F(G/H)$ , for any subgroup  $H \leq G$ .

Definition 5.15. If  $H \leq G$  and  $S$  is an  $H$ -set, then the fibred product of  $G$  with  $S$ , denoted  $Gx^H S$ , is the  $G$ -set of (equivalence classes of) pairs  $(g, s)$ , where  $g \in G$ ,  $s \in S$ , with the identification  $(g, s) = (gh^{-1}, hs)$ , all  $h \in H$ . The  $G$ -action on  $Gx^H S$  arises from multiplication in the first component.

The notation  $Gx^H S$  is not standard; this is usually written as  $Gx_H S$ . However, we have already used the latter to denote the pullback of  $G/H$ -sets. Thus, to avoid ambiguity, we will be non-standard.

Given two  $H$ -sets  $S$  and  $T$ , and an  $H$ -map  $\phi: S \rightarrow T$ , the map  $lx^H \phi: Gx^H S \rightarrow Gx^H T$  given by  $(lx^H \phi)(g, s) = (g, \phi(s))$  is a well-defined  $G$ -map.

Lemma 5.16. The correspondences  $S \rightarrow Gx^H S$ , and  $\phi \rightarrow lx^H \phi$  define a covariant, sum preserving functor from  $\hat{H}$  to  $\hat{G}$ .



Proof. To say that  $Gx^H(*)$  is sum preserving is to say that, given any H-sets  $S$  and  $T$ , there is a natural isomorphism of G-sets  $(Gx^H S) \dot{\cup} (Gx^H T) \cong Gx^H(S \dot{\cup} T)$ . This is clear.  $\square$

It follows that if  $F \in AM^G$ , then  $F \circ Gx^H(*) \in AM^H$ . For notation, let  $F_H = F \circ Gx^H(*)$ . Thus, for any H-set  $S$ ,  $F_H(S) = F(Gx^H S)$ , and for any H-map  $\phi: S \rightarrow T$ ,  $F_H(\phi) = (lx^H_\phi)^0: F_H(T) \rightarrow F_H(S)$ . The result we are after is to show that for any subgroup  $H \leq G$ , there is an isomorphism between  $A_F(G/H)$  and  $A_{F_H}(H/H)$ . We must first introduce some notation.

Let  $H \leq G$ , and let  $S$  be a G-set. Suppose there is a G-map  $\alpha: S \rightarrow G/H$ . Denote by  $S_\alpha = \{x \in S: \alpha(x) = 1H\}$ . Plainly,  $S_\alpha$  is an H-set. Denote by  $\mu_\alpha$  the G-map  $Gx^H S_\alpha \rightarrow S$  given by  $\mu_\alpha(g, s) = g \cdot s$ , all  $(g, s) \in Gx^H S_\alpha$ . It follows easily that  $\mu_\alpha$  is a G-isomorphism. Indeed, we are just formalizing the well-known fact that the categories of H-sets and G-sets over  $G/H$  are equivalent. Define a function  $\Lambda_H = \Lambda: A_F(G/H) \rightarrow A_{F_H}(H/H)$  by  $\Lambda([S, \alpha, x]) = [S_\alpha, \mu_\alpha^0(x)]_H$ , where we use the notation  $[*, *]_H$  to denote elements of  $A_{F_H}(H/H)$ . Since  $x \in F(S)$ ,  $\mu_\alpha^0(x) \in F(Gx^H S_\alpha) = F_H(S_\alpha)$ , so our definition makes sense. We are ready to attack the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.17. For any functor  $F \in AM^G$ , and subgroup  $H \leq G$ , the function  $\Lambda_H: A_F(G/H) \rightarrow A_{F_H}(H/H)$  is a ring isomorphism.

Proof. We shall show that  $\Lambda$  is a well defined bijection, and leave the straightforward verification that  $\Lambda$  preserves sums and products to the reader. Let  $[S, \alpha, x], [T, \beta, y] \in A_F(G/H)$ .

i)  $\Lambda$  is well defined. If  $(S, \alpha, x) \simeq (T, \beta, y)$ , then choose a  $G$ -isomorphism  $\phi: S \rightarrow T$  with  $\alpha = \beta\phi$  and  $\phi^0(y) = x$ . We must show  $(S_\alpha, \mu_\alpha^0(x)) \simeq (T_\beta, \mu_\beta^0(y))$  in  $(H, F_H)$ . Note that if  $s \in S_\alpha$ , then  $\beta\phi(s) = \alpha(s) = 1H$ , so  $\phi(s) \in T_\beta$ . Similarly, if  $t \in T_\beta$ , then  $\phi^{-1}(t) \in S_\alpha$ . Thus,  $\psi = \phi|_{S_\alpha}$  is an  $H$ -isomorphism  $S_\alpha \rightarrow T_\beta$ . We claim  $\mu_\beta(lx^{H_\psi}) = \phi\mu_\alpha$ . Indeed, if  $(g, s) \in Gx^H S_\alpha$ , then  $\mu_\beta(lx^{H_\psi})(g, s) = \mu_\beta(g, \phi(s)) = g\phi(s) = \phi(gs) = \phi\mu_\alpha(g, s)$ . Thus  $(lx^{H_\psi})^0 \mu_\beta^0(y) = \mu_\alpha^0 \phi^0(y) = \mu_\alpha^0(x)$ . It follows that  $\psi: (S_\alpha, \mu_\alpha^0(x)) \rightarrow (T_\beta, \mu_\beta^0(y))$  is an isomorphism.

ii)  $\Lambda$  is injective. Suppose that  $\Lambda([S, \alpha, x]) = \Lambda([T, \beta, y])$ , that is  $[S_\alpha, \mu_\alpha^0(x)]_H = [T_\beta, \mu_\beta^0(y)]_H$ . By Corollary 3.4, there is an  $H$ -isomorphism  $\psi: S_\alpha \rightarrow T_\beta$  with  $(lx^{H_\psi})^0 \mu_\beta^0(y) = \mu_\alpha^0(x)$ . Let  $\phi = \mu_\beta \circ (lx^{H_\psi}) \circ \mu_\alpha^{-1}: S \rightarrow T$ . Then  $\phi$  is a  $G$ -isomorphism, with  $\phi^0(y) = (\mu_\alpha^{-1})^0 (lx^{H_\psi})^0 (\mu_\beta)^0(y) = (\mu_\alpha^{-1})^0 (\mu_\alpha)^0(x) = x$ . To see that  $\alpha = \beta\phi$ , let  $s \in S$ , and choose  $g \in G$  with  $\alpha(s) = gH$ .

Then  $\beta\phi(s) = \beta\mu_\beta(1x^H\psi)\mu_\alpha^{-1}(s) = \beta\mu_\beta(1x^H\psi)(g, g^{-1}s)$   
 $= \beta\mu_\beta(g, \psi(g^{-1}s)) = \beta(g\psi(g^{-1}s)) = g\beta(\psi(g^{-1}s)) = gH = \alpha(s).$

Thus  $\phi: (S, \alpha, x) \rightarrow (T, \beta, y)$  is an isomorphism.

iii)  $\Lambda$  is surjective. Let  $[T, y]_H \in A_{F_H}(H/H).$

Denote  $S = Gx^HT$ , and define  $\alpha: S \rightarrow G/H$  by  $\alpha(g, t) = gH.$

Then  $\alpha$  is a well defined  $G$ -map with  $S_\alpha = \{(h, t) \in Gx^HT:$

$h \in H, t \in T\}.$  Since  $(h, t) = (1, ht),$  the map  $\psi: S_\alpha \rightarrow T$

given by  $\psi(h, t) = ht$  is an  $H$ -isomorphism. Moreover,

$\mu_\alpha = 1x^H\psi: Gx^HS_\alpha \rightarrow S.$  Thus  $[T, y]_H = [S_\alpha, (1x^H\psi)^0(y)]$   
 $= [S_\alpha, \mu_\alpha^0(y)] = \Lambda([S, \alpha, y]).$  □

We can combine this result with Theorem 5.12 to determine the structure of  $\mathcal{Q}A_F(G/H).$  If  $K \leq H \leq G,$  then there is an embedding  $\theta: \text{Aut}_H(H/K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_G(G/K)$  given by  $\theta(\phi)(gK) = g\phi(1K),$  all  $\phi \in \text{Aut}_H(H/K),$   $gK \in G/K.$  Denote by  $W_K^H = \{\theta(\phi): \phi \in \text{Aut}_H(H/K)\} = \text{im}\theta.$  Upon identifying  $\text{Aut}_H(H/K)$  with  $N_H(K)/K$  and  $\text{Aut}_G(G/K)$  with  $N_G(K)/K,$  it is easy to see that  $\theta$  corresponds to the inclusion of  $N_H(K)/K$  into  $N_G(K)/K.$  As before,  $W_K^H$  will act on the group  $F(G/K),$  and thus also act on the group algebra  $\mathcal{Q}F(G/K).$

Theorem 5.18. Let  $F: \hat{G} \rightarrow \text{AM}$  be an additive contravariant functor. Let  $H \leq G.$  Denote by  $P(H)$  a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of subgroups of  $H.$  Then there

is a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra isomorphism:  $\mathcal{Q}A_F(G/H) \cong \prod_{K \in P(H)} \mathcal{Q}F(G/K)_{W_K^H}$ .

Proof. For  $K \in P(H)$ , set  $W_K = \{lx^H\phi : \phi \in \text{Aut}_H(H/K)\}$   
 $\subseteq \text{Aut}_G(Gx^H_H/K)$ . By 5.12 and 5.17,  $\mathcal{Q}A_F(G/H) \cong \mathcal{Q}A_{F_H}(H/H)$   
 $\cong \prod_{K \in P(H)} \mathcal{Q}F_H(H/K)_{W_K} = \prod_{K \in P(H)} \mathcal{Q}F(Gx^H_H/K)_{W_K}$ . However, for any  
 $K \in P(H)$ ,  $Gx^H_H/K \cong G/K$  (via  $(g, hK) \rightarrow ghK$ ). Furthermore,  
 this isomorphism carries the automorphism  $lx^H\phi$  of  $Gx^H_H/K$   
 to the automorphism  $\theta(\phi)$  of  $G/K$ ; hence, it carries  $W_K$   
 onto  $W_K^H$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{Q}F(Gx^H_H/K)_{W_K} \cong \mathcal{Q}F(G/K)_{W_K^H}$ ,  
 for each  $K$ . □

Corollary 5.19. If  $F$  is any additive contravariant  
 functor from  $\hat{G}$  to  $AB$ , and  $S \in \hat{G}$ , then  $J(\mathcal{Q}A_F(S)) = 0$ .

Proof. Expressing  $S$  as a disjoint union of transitive  
 $G$ -sets, the result follows directly from 3.6, 5.14, and  
 5.17. □

## CHAPTER 6

### PRIME IDEALS IN THE F-BURNSIDE RING

Throughout this chapter we fix a finite group  $G$ , and a functor  $F \in \text{AM}^G$  such that every transitive  $G$ -set is normal over  $F$ . In this setting, most of the structural results for  $A(G)$  can be extended in some fashion to  $A_F(G)$ . The object of this chapter is to illustrate this principle.

#### An Embedding Theorem for $A_F(G)$

For  $a \in P$ , we let  $\chi_a: \mathbb{Q}F(S_a) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}A_F(G)e_a$  be the isomorphism of Chapter 5. Thus,  $\chi_a(x) = V_a^{-1}[S_a, x]e_a$ , all  $x \in F(S_a)$ . By Theorem 5.12, the product map  $\chi = (\chi_a)$ :

$\prod_{a \in P} \mathbb{Q}F(S_a) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}A_F(G)$  is an isomorphism. We let  $\Gamma: \mathbb{Q}A_F(G)$

$\rightarrow \prod_{a \in P} \mathbb{Q}F(S_a)$  be the inverse of  $\chi$ . For  $b \in P$ , we have the

projection homomorphism  $r_b: \prod_{a \in P} \mathbb{Q}F(S_a) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}F(S_b)$ . We denote by

$\Gamma_b$  the composition  $\Gamma_b = r_b \Gamma: \mathbb{Q}A_F(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}F(S_b)$ . Evidently,

each  $\Gamma_b$  is a surjective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism.

Lemma 6.1. For any  $a \in P$  we have

- (a)  $\Gamma([S_a, x]e_a) = V_a x$ , all  $x \in F(S_a)$ ,
- (b)  $\Gamma_a \chi_a$  is the identity on  $\mathbb{Q}F(S_a)$ ,
- (c)  $\chi_a \Gamma_a(x) = x e_a$ , all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}A_F(G)$ .

Proof. (a) If  $x \in F(S_a)$ , then  $x = \Gamma\chi(x)$   
 $= \Gamma(V_a^{-1}[S_a, x]e_a)$ .

(b) Since  $\chi|_{\mathcal{Q}F(S_a)} = \chi_a$ , it follows that for any  
 $x \in \mathcal{Q}F(S_a)$ ,  $\Gamma_a\chi_a(x) = r_a\Gamma\chi(x) = r_a(x) = x$ .

(c) Let  $x \in \mathcal{Q}A_F(G)$ . Then  $x = x \cdot 1 = \sum_{b \in P} xe_b$ ,  
 where  $xe_b \in \mathcal{Q}A_F(G)e_b$ . By Lemma 5.7, there are elements

$y_b \in \mathcal{Q}F(S_b)$  such that  $\chi_b(y_b) = xe_b$ , all  $b \in P$ . Then  
 $\chi_a\Gamma_a(x) = \chi_a\Gamma_a\left(\sum_{b \in P} xe_b\right) = \chi_a\Gamma_a\left(\sum_{b \in P} \chi_b(y_b)\right) = \chi_a r_a \Gamma\chi\left(\sum_{b \in P} y_b\right)$   
 $= \chi_a r_a\left(\sum_{b \in P} y_b\right) = \chi_a(y_a) = xe_a$ . □

Lemma 6.2. Let  $g = |G|$ , and suppose  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies  $g^2 | n$ . Then for any  $a \in P$  and  $x \in F(S_a)$ ,  
 $nx \in \Gamma_a(A_F(G))$ .

Proof. Write  $n = g^2 m$ , some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By 6.1(c),  $\chi_a(nx)$   
 $= nV_a^{-1}[S_a, x]e_a = nV_a^{-1}[S_a, x]e_a^2 = \chi_a\Gamma_a(nV_a^{-1}[S_a, x]e_a)$ . By the  
 injectivity of  $\chi_a$ ,  $nx = \Gamma_a(nV_a^{-1}[S_a, x]e_a)$ . By 2.2(a), (b),  
 it follows that  $gV_a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $ge_a \in A(G) \subseteq A_F(G)$ ; hence,  
 $nV_a^{-1}[S_a, x]e_a = m(gV_a^{-1})[S_a, x](ge_a) \in A_F(G)$ . Thus,  
 $nx \in \Gamma_a(A_F(G))$ . □

Lemma 6.3.  $\Gamma(A_F(G)) \subseteq \prod_{a \in P} \mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$ .

Proof. Let  $b \in P$  and  $x \in F(S_b)$ . By 3.11(c) it suffices  
 to show that  $\Gamma_a([S_b, x]) \in \mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$ , all  $a \in P$ . By 6.1(c)  
 and 2.5(a),  $\chi_a\Gamma_a([S_b, x]) = [S_b, x]e_a = V_a^{-1}[S_b, x][S_a, 1]e_a$   
 $= V_a^{-1}[S_b x S_a, \pi_b^0(x)]e_a$ . By 2.2(c),  $S_b \times S_a$  is a union of

$V_{b,a}$  copies of  $S_a$ , together with various other  $S_c$ , where  $c < a$ . Thus, using the additivity of  $F$  together with 5.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_a \Gamma_a([S_b, x]) &= v_a^{-1} [S_b \times S_a, \pi_b^0(x)] e_a \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{V_{b,a}} v_a^{-1} [S_a, x_i] e_a, \quad \text{some } x_i \in F(S_a), \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad 1 \leq i \leq V_{b,a} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{V_{b,a}} \chi_a(x_i) = \chi_a \left( \sum_{i=1}^{V_{b,a}} x_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\chi_a$  is injective,  $\Gamma_a([S_b, x]) = \sum_{i=1}^{V_{b,a}} x_i \in \mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$ .  $\square$

Combining these lemmas, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4.  $\prod_{a \in P} (|G|^{2\mathbb{Z}} F(S_a)) \subseteq \Gamma(A_F(G)) \subseteq \prod_{a \in P} \mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$ .

Corollary 6.5. The group  $\prod_{a \in P} \mathbb{Z}F(S_a) / \Gamma(A_F(G))$  is  $|G|^{2\mathbb{Z}}$ -torsion.

### Prime Ideals

We wish to compute almost all of the prime ideals of  $A_F(G)$ . Note that when  $F = I$ , the proof of Lemma 6.3 shows that  $\Gamma_a([S_b, 1]) = V_{b,a}$ , all  $a, b \in P$ . Especially, the

set of maps  $\{\Gamma_a : a \in P\}$  is the same set used by Dress (1969) to describe the prime ideals of  $A(G) \cong A_{\mathbb{I}}(G)$ . Following his notation, for any  $a \in P$ , and prime  $0 < p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we let  $q(a,p) = \{x \in A(G) : \Gamma_a(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$ , and for  $p = 0$ ,  $q(a,0) = \ker \Gamma_a$ . The following description of the prime ideals of  $A(G)$  is sufficient for our purposes.

Proposition 6.6. Let  $q$  be a prime ideal of  $A(G)$ . Then there is a unique minimal element  $a \in P$  (w.r.t.  $\leq$ ) such that if  $p = \text{char}(A(G)/q)$ ,

- (a)  $q = q(a,p)$ ,
- (b) for any  $b < a \in P$ ,  $[S_b, 1] \in q(a,p)$ ,
- (c)  $[S_a, 1] \notin q(a,p)$ .

Proof. Dress (1969, p. 215). □

When the prime ideal  $q$  of  $A(G)$  is written in the form  $q(a,p)$ , where  $a \in P$  is the element given in the Proposition, we will say that  $q$  is in standard form. Note that this form is unique: if  $q(a,p) = q(b,p')$  are both in standard form, then  $a = b$  and  $p = p'$ . We now extend this result to  $A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$ .

Proposition 6.7. Let  $Q$  be a prime ideal of  $A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$ , such that  $Q \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $p \nmid |G|$  (possibly  $p = 0$ ). Then there is a unique minimal element  $a \in P$  (w.r.t.  $\leq$ ) such that



- (a) for any  $b < a \in P$ , and any  $x \in F(S_b)$ ,  $[S_b, x] \in Q$ ,  
 (b) for any  $x \in F(S_a)$ , if also  $x^{-1} \in F(S_a)$ , then  
 $[S_a, x] \notin Q$ .

Proof. Since  $Q \cap A(G)$  is a prime ideal of  $A(G)$  lying over  $p\mathbb{Z}$ , we may apply 6.6, and write  $Q \cap A(G) = q(a, p)$ , in standard form.

(a) Induce on  $b < a \in P$ . If  $a = 1$ , the result is clear, so we may assume  $a > 1$ . By 6.6(b),  $[S_b, 1] \in Q$ , all  $b < a$ . If  $b = 1$ , then by 5.6,  $[S_1, x][S_1, 1] = V_1[S_1, x]$ . Since  $[S_1, 1] \in Q$  and  $p \nmid V_1$ , it follows that  $[S_1, x] \in Q$ . For the induction step, assume  $1 < b < a$ , and that for all  $c < b$ ,  $y \in F(S_c)$ , we have  $[S_c, y] \in Q$ . Then, by 5.6,  $[S_b, x][S_b, 1] = V_b[S_b, x] + \sum_{j=1}^n [S_{b_j}, y_j]$ , where  $b_j < b$ ,  $y_j \in F(S_{b_j})$  all  $j$ . But  $[S_b, 1] \in Q$ , and all  $[S_{b_j}, y_j] \in Q$  by the induction hypothesis. Therefore  $V_b[S_b, x] \in Q$ , and since  $p \nmid V_b$ ,  $[S_b, x] \in Q$ .

(b) If in fact  $[S_a, x] \in Q$ , then by Lemma 5.6,  $[S_a, x][S_a, x^{-1}] = V_a[S_a, 1] + \sum_{j=1}^n [S_{a_j}, x_j]$ , where  $a_j < a$ ,  $x_j \in F(S_{a_j})$ . By part (a), all  $[S_{a_j}, x_j] \in Q$ . But this implies that  $V_a[S_a, 1] \in Q \cap A(G) = q(a, p)$ , which contradicts  $p \nmid V_a$  and  $[S_a, 1] \notin Q$ .

If  $b \in P$  also satisfies (a) and (b), then

$$[S_a, 1][S_b, 1] = \sum_{c \leq a, b} V_{a, b, c} [S_c, 1] \notin Q. \text{ Thus, there is some}$$

$c \leq a, b$  such that  $[S_c, 1] \notin Q$ . By (a),  $a = b = c$ .  $\square$

For a prime ideal  $Q$  of  $A_F(G)$  such that  $Q \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $p \nmid |G|$ , let  $a \in P$  be the element given in the Proposition. Define  $V(a, Q) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}F(S_a) : |G|^n x \in \Gamma_a(Q), \text{ some } n \geq 0\}$ .

Lemma 6.8. In the setting above,  $V(a, Q)$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$  lying over  $p\mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof. Set  $g = |G|$ . To see that  $V(a, Q)$  is an ideal, let  $x \in V(a, Q)$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$ . Say  $g^n x = \Gamma_a(z)$ , some  $z \in Q$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . By Lemma 6.2,  $g^2 y = \Gamma_a(w)$ , some  $w \in A_F(G)$ . Then  $g^{n+2}(xy) = g^n x g^2 y = \Gamma_a(z) \cdot \Gamma_a(w) = \Gamma_a(zw)$ , so  $xy \in V(a, Q)$ . Since  $V(a, Q)$  is additively closed, it is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$ . To see that  $V(a, Q)$  is prime, let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$  with  $g^n(xy) = \Gamma_a(z)$ , some  $z \in Q$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $ge_a \in A_F(G)$ ,  $\chi_a(g^{n+4}xy) = g^4 \chi_a(g^n xy) = g^4 \chi_a \Gamma_a(z) = g^3 z (ge_a) \in Q$ . As in 6.2, it follows that  $\chi_a(g^2 x), \chi_a(g^{n+2} y) \in A_F(G)$ , thus, one of  $\chi_a(g^2 x), \chi_a(g^{n+2} y) \in Q$ . Applying  $\Gamma_a$ , and 6.1(b), either  $g^2 x \in \Gamma_a(Q)$  or  $g^{n+2} y \in \Gamma_a(Q)$ , that is,  $x \in V(a, Q)$  or  $y \in V(a, Q)$ . Thus  $V(a, Q)$  is a prime ideal.

To see that  $V(a, Q) \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ , first let  $x = t \cdot 1_{F(S_a)} \in V(a, Q) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Say  $g^n x = \Gamma_a(z)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,  $z \in Q$ . Then  $\chi_a(g^{n+1}x) = g^{n+1} t \chi_a(1_{F(S_a)}) = g^{n+1} t e_a = g^{n+1} t v_a^{-1} [S_a, 1] + g^n t \sum_{b < a} g \lambda_{b, a} [S_b, 1]$ . On the other hand,

$\chi_a(g^{n+1}x) = \chi_a \Gamma_a(gz) = (ge_a)z \in Q$ , so it follows from the choice of  $a \in P$  and Proposition 6.6, that  $g^nt(gV_a^{-1})[S_a, 1] \in Q$ . Again by 6.6,  $[S_a, 1] \notin Q$ , so  $g^nt(gV_a^{-1}) \in Q \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $p \nmid |G|$ , we must have  $p|t$ , establishing the inclusion  $V(a, Q) \cap \mathbb{Z} \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$ . Conversely, since  $Q \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p[S_a, 1] \in Q$ . Then, using  $\Gamma_a([S_a, 1]) = V_a^{-1}l_{F(S_a)}$ , we have  $g(p \cdot l_{F(S_a)}) = (gV_a^{-1})pV_a^{-1}l_{F(S_a)} = \Gamma_a((gV_a^{-1})p[S_a, 1]) \in \Gamma_a(Q)$ , so  $p \cdot l_{F(S_a)} \in V(a, Q) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ .

The result follows.  $\square$

For an  $a \in P$  and prime ideal  $L$  of  $\mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$ , define  $R(a, L) = \{x \in A_F(G) : \Gamma_a(x) \in L\} = \Gamma_a^{-1}(L) \cap A_F(G)$ . Plainly,  $R(a, L)$  is a prime ideal of  $A_F(G)$ .

Lemma 6.9. Let  $Q$  be a prime ideal of  $A_F(G)$  such that  $Q \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $p \nmid |G|$ . Let  $a \in P$  be the element given in Proposition 6.7. Then  $Q = R(a, V(a, Q))$ .

Proof. We must show that  $Q = \{x \in A_F(G) : \Gamma_a(x) \in V(a, Q)\}$ .

$\subseteq$ ) Let  $x \in Q$ . Then  $\Gamma_a(x) \in \Gamma_a(Q)$ , so  $\Gamma_a(x) \in V(a, Q)$ .

$\supseteq$ ) Let  $x \in A_F(G)$  be such that  $\Gamma_a(x) \in V(a, Q)$ . If

$g = |G|$ , then  $g^n \Gamma_a(x) = \Gamma_a(y)$ , some  $y \in Q$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .

It follows that  $(ge_a)g^n x = \chi_a \Gamma_a(g^{n+1}x) = \chi_a \Gamma_a(gy)$

$= (ge_a)y \in Q$ . However,  $g \in Q$  (since  $p \nmid g$ ), and therefore by 6.6 and the choice of  $a \in P$ ,  $ge_a \notin Q$ .

Thus  $x \in Q$ .  $\square$

Lemma 6.10. Let  $a \in P$ , and let  $L$  be a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$  with  $L \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $R(a,L) \cap A(G) = q(a,p)$ .

Proof.  $\subseteq$ ) If  $x \in R(a,L) \cap A(G)$ , then  $\Gamma_a(x) \in L \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $x \in q(a,p)$ .

$\supseteq$ ) If  $x \in q(a,p)$ , then  $\Gamma_a(x) \in p\mathbb{Z} \subseteq L$ , so  $x \in R(a,L) \cap A(G)$ . □

Lemma 6.11. Let  $a \in P$ . Suppose  $L_1, L_2$  are prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$ , where  $L_i \cap \mathbb{Z} = p_i\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p_i \nmid |G|$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $R(a,L_1) = R(a,L_2)$ , then  $L_1 = L_2$ .

Proof. Set  $g = |G|$ , and let  $x \in L_1$ . Then  $g^2x = \Gamma_a(y)$ , some  $y \in A_F(G)$ , by Lemma 6.2. Since  $y \in R(a,L_1) = R(a,L_2)$ , we have  $g^2x = \Gamma_a(y) \in L_2$ . Since  $p_2 \nmid g$ , we conclude that  $x \in L_2$ , establishing  $L_1 \subseteq L_2$ . By a symmetrical argument,  $L_2 \subseteq L_1$ . □

Theorem 6.12. Let  $F:\hat{G} \rightarrow AM$  be a contravariant additive functor such that every transitive  $G$ -set is normal over  $F$ . Let  $q(a,p)$  be a prime ideal of  $A(G)$  in standard form, with  $p \nmid |G|$ . Then there is a bijective correspondence between the set of prime ideals of  $A_F(G)$  lying over  $q(a,p)$  and the set of prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$  lying over  $p\mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof. If  $L$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}F(S_a)$  lying over  $p\mathbb{Z}$ , then by 6.10,  $R(a,L)$  is a prime ideal of  $A_F(G)$  lying over  $q(a,p)$ . The correspondence  $L \rightarrow R(a,L)$  is injective by 6.11, and surjective by 6.8 and 6.9. □

The Extension  $A_F(G)/A(G)$

We shall finish this chapter by describing those normal functors  $F$  for which the ring extension  $A_F(G)/A(G)$  is integral. Indeed, this will occur precisely when each of the groups  $F(S)$ ,  $S \in G$ , is torsion.

For any integer  $n > 0$ , we let  $S^n$  denote the product of  $n$  copies of  $S$ , and  $\pi_{n,i}: S^n \rightarrow S$  will denote projection onto the  $i$ th component.

Lemma 6.13. Let  $F$  be a normal functor,  $S \in G$  and  $x \in F(S)$ . Then  $[S, x]^n = [S^n, \pi_{n,1}^0(x^n)]$ , for all  $0 < n \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Proof. Induction on  $n$ . The formula being clear for  $n = 1$ , assume  $n > 1$ , and that the result holds for lesser  $n$ . Let  $t: S^n \rightarrow S^n$  be the  $G$ -automorphism which interchanges the first two components, and is the identity on every other component. Clearly,  $\pi_{n,2} = \pi_{n,1}t$ , so by normality of  $F$ ,  $\pi_{n,2}^0(y) = t^0 \pi_{n,1}^0(y) = \pi_{n,1}^0(y)$ , all  $y \in S$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} [S, x]^n &= [S, x] [S^{n-1}, \pi_{n-1,1}^0(x^{n-1})] \\ &= [S^n, \pi_{n,1}^0(x) \cdot \pi_{n,2}^0(x^{n-1})] \\ &= [S^n, \pi_{n,1}^0(x) \cdot \pi_{n,1}^0(x^{n-1})] = [S^n, \pi_{n,1}^0(x^n)]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.14. Let  $F \in AM^G$  be a normal functor. Then the extension  $A_F(G)/A(G)$  is integral if and only if for every  $G$ -set  $S$ ,  $F(S)$  is a torsion group.

Proof  $\Rightarrow$ ). Assume  $A_F(G)/A(G)$  is integral. Since  $A(G)/\mathbb{Z}$  is already integral, so is  $A_F(G)/\mathbb{Z}$ . By way of contradiction suppose that for some  $G$ -set  $S$ ,  $F(S)$  is not torsion. By additivity of  $F$ , this implies that for some  $a \in P$ ,  $F(S_a)$  is not torsion. Pick  $x \in F(S_a)$  of infinite order. Since  $x^j \neq x^k$  if  $j \neq k$ , normality of  $F$  implies that  $x^j \not\sim_a x^k$ . By integrality, choose  $\phi(X) = X^n$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k X^k \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \text{ with } \phi([S_a, x]) = 0, \text{ that is } [S_a, x]^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k [S_a, x]^k + c_0 = 0. \text{ Multiplying both sides by } \bigvee_a e_a$$

and applying 5.5 and 2.5(a), this yields

$$\bigvee_a^n [S_a, x^n] e_a + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k \bigvee_a^k [S_a, x^k] e_a + c_0 [S_a, 1] e_a = 0,$$

which is a contradiction to 5.10.  $\square$

$\Leftarrow$ ) By 3.11(c), it suffices to show that if  $a \in P$  and  $x \in F(S_a)$ , then  $[S_a, x]$  is integral over  $A(G)$ . If (say)  $x^n = 1$ , then by 6.13,  $[S_a, x]^n = [S_a, \pi_{n,1}^0(x^n)] = [S_a^n, 1] \in A(G)$ . Thus  $[S_a, x]$  satisfies the monic polynomial  $X^n - [S_a^n, 1] \in A(G)[X]$ .  $\square$

Finally, we wish to make a statement about the Boolean algebra of idempotents of  $A_F(G)$ .

Theorem 6.15. Let  $F: \hat{G} \rightarrow AB$  be a normal, additive, contravariant functor. Then  $A(G)$  and  $A_F(G)$  contain exactly the same idempotents.

Proof. By a theorem of Kaplansky (see Passman 1971), given any group  $H$ , the only idempotents in the group algebra  $\mathbb{Z}H$  are  $0, 1$ . Thus if  $e \in A_F(G)$  is idempotent, then for any  $b \in P$ ,  $\Gamma_b(e) \in \{0, 1\}$ . Therefore  $\Gamma(e) \in \prod_{a \in P} \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1_{F(S_a)}$ . It follows from the definition of  $\chi$  that,  $e = \chi \Gamma(e) \in QA(G)$ . Since also  $e \in A_F(G)$ , we must have  $e \in A(G)$ . The other inclusion is trivial.  $\square$

## CHAPTER 7

### THE BRAUER RING OF A FIELD

In this chapter we begin the study of the tensor product of separable algebras over a field. Our guiding question is this: is there a natural ring into which we may embed the Brauer group as a subgroup of its unit group? Of course, one should expect this ring to yield information about separable algebras which the Brauer group does not, and one should hope to be able to recover the Brauer group from purely ring theoretic properties. Although the material presented here may seem unrelated to what has come before, the necessary tie up will come next chapter. We begin our discussion with a generalization of a well known result on the tensor product of two subfields of a finite Galois extension.

#### Tensor Products of Separable Algebras

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, with  $0, 1$  its only idempotents ( $R$  is connected). Let  $S$  be a Galois extension of  $R$ , and let  $S_1, S_2$  be separable,  $G$ -strong subalgebras of  $S$ , where  $G$  is the Galois group of  $S/R$  (see Chase, Harrison and Rosenberg (1965) for definitions). Let  $H_i \leq G$  be the Galois group of  $S/S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Choose



$\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m \in G$  to obtain a double coset decomposition

$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^m H_1 \sigma_i H_2$ . Define a map  $\phi: S_1 \otimes_R S_2 \rightarrow S_1 S_2^{\sigma_1} + \dots$

$+ S_1 S_2^{\sigma_m}$  by  $\phi(u \otimes v) = (u\sigma_1(v), \dots, u\sigma_m(v))$ , where  $S_1 S_2^{\sigma_i}$  denotes the compositum of  $S_1$  and  $\sigma_i(S_2)$  in  $S$ . Plainly,  $\phi$  is a well defined  $R$ -algebra homomorphism.

Proposition 7.1.  $\phi$  is an injective  $R$ -algebra homomorphism.

Proof. Suppose  $\sum_i u_i \otimes v_i \in \ker \phi$ , so that  $\sum_i u_i \sigma_j(v_i) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Let  $\tau \in G$ ; find  $\alpha \in H_1, \beta \in H_2$  so that  $\tau = \alpha \sigma_j \beta$  for some  $j$ . Then  $\sum_i u_i \tau(v_i) = \alpha(\sum_i u_i \sigma_j(v_i)) = 0$ , showing

$$(1) \quad \sum_i u_i \tau(v_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \tau \in G.$$

If  $E$  denotes the  $S$ -algebra of all functions from  $G$  to  $S$  under pointwise operations, then the map  $h: S \otimes_R S \rightarrow E$  given by  $h(u \otimes v)(\sigma) = u \cdot \sigma(v)$  is an  $S$ -algebra isomorphism, by Chase et al. (1965, p. 4). By (1),  $\sum_i u_i \otimes v_i \in \ker h = 0$ .  $\square$

Under rather non-restrictive conditions,  $\phi$  will also be surjective.

Proposition 7.2. Let  $g = |G|$ . Suppose that  $g = g \cdot 1_R$  is a unit in  $R$ . Then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since  $S/R$  is Galois, there are elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n$  of  $S$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sigma(y_i) = \delta_{1,\sigma}$ , all  $\sigma \in G$ . Set  $x'_i = \sum_{\rho \in H_1} \rho(x_i)$  and  $y'_{ij} = \sum_{\gamma \in H_2} \gamma \sigma_j^{-1}(y_i)$ . By Galois theory,  $x'_i \in S_1$ ,  $y'_{ij} \in S_2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Set  $g_k = |H_1 \cap \sigma_k H_2 \sigma_k^{-1}|$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . We claim that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i \sigma_k(y'_{ij}) = g_k \delta_{j,k}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq m.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i x'_i \sigma_k(y'_{ij}) &= \sum_i \sum_{\rho \in H_1} \sum_{\gamma \in H_2} \rho(x_i) \sigma_k \gamma \sigma_j^{-1}(y_i) \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in H_1} \sum_{\gamma \in H_2} \rho \left( \sum_i x_i \rho^{-1} \sigma_k \gamma \sigma_j^{-1}(y_i) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in H_1} \sum_{\gamma \in H_2} \delta_{1, \rho^{-1} \sigma_k \gamma \sigma_j^{-1}} \end{aligned}$$

by the condition on the  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ . If  $j \neq k$ , then  $\sigma_j$  and  $\sigma_k$  are distinct double coset representatives, so that  $\rho^{-1} \sigma_k \gamma \sigma_j^{-1} \neq 1$ , all  $\rho$  and  $\gamma$ , and (2) holds in this case. If  $j = k$ , then  $\rho^{-1} \sigma_k \gamma \sigma_j^{-1} = 1$  iff  $\rho = \sigma_k \gamma \sigma_k^{-1} \in H_1 \cap \sigma_k H_2 \sigma_k^{-1}$ . Since  $\rho$  uniquely determines  $\gamma$ , (2) holds in all cases.

Since  $g_k$  divides  $g$ , our hypothesis implies that  $g_k$  is a unit in  $R$ , all  $k$ . Define  $e_k = g_k^{-1} \sum_i x'_i \otimes y'_{ik}$

$\in S_1 \otimes_R S_2$ . From (2) it follows that  $\phi(e_k) = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , with 1 in the  $k$ th place. The surjectivity of  $\phi$  follows easily.  $\square$

We remark that implicit in the proof of 7.2 is the construction of the indecomposable idempotents of  $S_1 \otimes_R S_2$ , namely, the  $e_k$ . When  $R$  and  $S$  are both fields, there is an easier proof.

Proposition 7.3. If  $R$  and  $S$  are fields, then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since  $\text{im}\phi \subseteq S_1 S_2^{\sigma_1} + \dots + S_1 S_2^{\sigma_m}$ , we may prove equality by counting dimensions. Since  $\phi$  is injective,  $\dim(\text{im}\phi) = (\dim S_1) \cdot (\dim S_2)$ . Moreover, by Rotman (1978, p. 17),  $\dim(S_1 S_2^{\sigma_1} + \dots + S_1 S_2^{\sigma_m}) = \sum_{i=1}^m [S_1 S_2^{\sigma_i} : R]$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^m [G:H_1 \cap \sigma_i H_2] = [G:H_1][G:H_2] = (\dim S_1) \cdot (\dim S_2)$ .  $\square$

### The Brauer Ring

Let  $E/F$  be a (not necessarily finite) Galois extension of fields. Let  $\text{SEP}(E,F)$  be the category of separable  $F$ -algebras  $A$ , with the center of  $A$  (denoted  $Z(A)$ ) isomorphic with a finite product of finite dimensional subfields of  $E$ . If the extension  $E/F$  is understood, we abbreviate  $\text{SEP}(E,F)$  as  $\text{SEP}$ . Plainly,  $\text{SEP}$  is closed under the formation of algebra products. It is also closed under tensor

products. Indeed, let  $A, B \in \text{SEP}$  with  $Z(A) \cong K_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} K_m$  and  $Z(B) = L_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} L_n$  with each  $K_i, L_j$  a finite separable extension of  $F$ . Since any pair  $K_i, L_j$  can be embedded in a finite Galois extension of  $F$  contained in  $E$ , it follows that  $Z(A \otimes_F B) \cong Z(A) \otimes_F Z(B) \cong \prod_{i,j} K_i \otimes_F L_j$ , which in turn is isomorphic with a finite product of finite dimensional subfields of  $E$  by Proposition 7.3. It follows that we may form the associated Grothendieck-ring of this category, as in Bass (1968, pp. 344-47). Thus, denote  $S(E,F) = K_0 \text{SEP}(E,F)$ . We denote the image of an object  $A \in \text{SEP}(E,F)$  in  $S(E,F)$  by  $[A]$ . The following proposition collects some basic facts.

Proposition 7.4. (a) For elements  $[A], [B]$  in  $S(E,F)$ ,  $[A] + [B] = [A + B]$  and  $[A][B] = [A \otimes_F B]$ . Also,  $1_{S(E,F)} = [F]$ .

(b) Every element of  $S(E,F)$  can be written in the form  $[A] - [B]$  for some  $A, B \in \text{SEP}$ .

(c) If  $A, B \in \text{SEP}$ , then  $[A] = [B]$  if and only if  $A \cong B$  as  $F$ -algebras.

Proof. (a), (b) and the if part of (c) are direct consequences of the definitions. For the only if part of (c), suppose  $[A] = [B]$ . Then there is an algebra  $C \in \text{SEP}$  with  $A \dot{+} C \cong B \dot{+} C$  as  $F$ -algebras. Since separable  $F$ -algebras are finite dimensional and semisimple, the uniqueness

statement of Wedderburn's theorem implies that  $A \cong B$  as  $F$ -algebras.  $\square$

Note that if  $A$  is a finite dimensional, simple  $F$ -algebra, with  $Z(A)$  isomorphic to a (finite separable) subfield of  $E$ , then in particular,  $A$  is a central simple  $Z(A)$ -algebra, and  $Z(A)$  is a separable  $F$ -algebra. Since central simple algebras are separable, transitivity of separability implies that  $A$  is a separable  $F$ -algebra. It follows that  $A \in \text{SEP}$ . Thus any product of matrix algebras,  $M_{n_1}(D_1) \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} M_{n_r}(D_r)$ , with each  $D_i$  a division algebra, and  $Z(D_i)$  isomorphic to a finite dimensional subfield of  $E$ , is in  $\text{SEP}$ . Conversely, by Wedderburn's theorem, any algebra  $B \in \text{SEP}$  has this form uniquely up to  $F$ -isomorphism. This discussion, together with 7.4, establishes the following proposition.

Proposition 7.5. As an abelian group,  $S(E,F)$  is free on the set  $\{[A]: A \in \text{SEP}, A \text{ is simple}\}$ .

Proposition 7.6. There is a group endomorphism  $\beta$  of  $S(E,F)$  such that if  $A \cong M_n(D)$  as  $F$ -algebras, where  $D \in \text{SEP}$  is a division algebra, then  $\beta([A]) = [D]$ . The image of  $\beta$  is the subgroup of  $S(E,F)$  that is freely generated by  $\{[D]: D \in \text{SEP} \text{ is a division algebra}\}$ . Moreover, for all  $u, v \in S(E,F)$ , we have  $\beta(\beta(u)) = \beta(u)$  and  $\beta(uv) = \beta(\beta(u) \cdot \beta(v))$ .

Proof. If  $A \in \text{SEP}$  is simple, then  $A \cong M_n(D)$ , where  $D$  is a division algebra with  $Z(A) \cong Z(D)$ . Thus,  $D \in \text{SEP}$ . Moreover, if  $B \cong M_m(D') \in \text{SEP}$  with  $A \cong B$ , then by Wedderburn's theorem,  $D \cong D'$ . It follows from 7.5 that the correspondence  $[A] \rightarrow [D]$  gives a well defined group endomorphism  $\beta$  of  $S(E,F)$  such that  $\beta([M_n(D)]) = [D]$ . The statement regarding the image of  $\beta$  is clear. Since  $\beta(\beta([M_n(D)])) = \beta([D]) = [D] = \beta([M_n(D)])$ , it follows from 7.5 that  $\beta^2(u) = \beta(u)$ , all  $u \in S(E,F)$ . Finally, let  $A \cong M_n(D)$ ,  $B \cong M_m(D')$  be in  $\text{SEP}$ , where  $D, D'$  are division algebras. Since  $D \otimes_F D'$  is semisimple, we can write  $D \otimes_F D' \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} M_{n_r}(D_r)$ . Then  $A \otimes_F B \cong (M_n(F) \otimes_F D) \otimes_F (M_m(F) \otimes_F D') \cong M_{nm}(F) \otimes_F (M_{n_1}(D_1) \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} M_{n_r}(D_r)) \cong M_{nmn_1}(D_1) \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} M_{nmn_r}(D_r)$ . Therefore,  $\beta([A][B]) = \beta([A \otimes_F B]) = [D_1] \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} [D_r] = \beta([D] \cdot [D']) = \beta(\beta([A]) \cdot \beta([B]))$ . Again by 7.5, it follows that  $\beta(uv) = \beta(\beta(u) \cdot \beta(v))$ , for all  $u, v \in S(E,F)$ .  $\square$

Corollary 7.7.  $\ker \beta$  is an ideal of  $S(E,F)$ . As an ideal it is generated by  $\{[M_n(F)] - [F] : n \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$ .

Proof. Suppose  $u \in \ker \beta$  and  $v \in S(E,F)$ . Then  $\beta(uv) = \beta(\beta(u) \cdot \beta(v)) = \beta(0 \cdot \beta(v)) = 0$ , so  $uv \in \ker \beta$ , and  $\ker \beta$  is an ideal. Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $S(E,F)$  generated by  $\{[M_n(F)] - [F] : n \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$ . Plainly,  $I \subseteq \ker \beta$ . On the other

hand, if  $A \cong M_n(D) \in \text{SEP}$ , then  $[A] - \beta([A])$   
 $= [D]([M_n(F)] - [F]) \in I$ . Extending linearly, it follows  
 that  $[A] - \beta([A]) \in I$  for all  $A \in \text{SEP}$ . Thus, if  
 $[A] - [B] \in \ker\beta$ , then  $\beta([A]) = \beta([B])$ , so that  $[A] - [B]$   
 $= ([A] - \beta([A])) - ([B] - \beta([B])) \in I$ . Thus  $\ker\beta \subseteq I$ .  $\square$

The factor ring  $S(E,F)/\ker\beta$  is called the Brauer ring  
ring of  $E/F$ . We denote this ring by  $BS(E,F)$ . For  
 $A \in \text{SEP}$ , we denote  $\langle A \rangle = [A] + \ker\beta \in BS(E,F)$ .

Proposition 7.8. As an abelian group,  $BS(E,F)$  is free on  
 the generating set  $\{\langle D \rangle : D \in \text{SEP} \text{ is a division algebra}\}$ .  
 Moreover, if  $D, D' \in \text{SEP}$  are division algebras, then  
 $\langle D \rangle = \langle D' \rangle$  if and only if  $D \cong D'$  as  $F$ -algebras.

Proof. Since  $\beta^2 = \beta$ , it follows that  $S(E,F) = \ker\beta \oplus \text{im}\beta$ .  
 Therefore, the canonical isomorphism  $BS(E,F) \cong \text{im}\beta$  of  
 abelian groups, together with 7.6, imply the first statement.  
 If  $\langle D \rangle = \langle D' \rangle$ , then  $[D] - [D'] \in \ker\beta \Rightarrow 0 = \beta([D] - [D'])$   
 $= [D] - [D']$ . Thus,  $D \cong D'$  as  $F$ -algebras by 7.4(c).  $\square$

For the field  $F$ , let  $F_S$  denote its separable  
 algebraic closure. In this case we denote  $S(F_S, F) = S(F)$ ,  
 and  $BS(F) = BS(F_S, F)$ . Whenever  $E \subseteq E'$  is an inclusion of  
 Galois extensions of  $F$ , there is a natural inclusion of  
 categories  $\text{SEP}(E, F) \subseteq \text{SEP}(E', F)$ , hence also of rings,  
 $S(E, F) \subseteq S(E', F)$ ,  $BS(E, F) \subseteq BS(E', F)$ . Since every finite

Galois extension of  $F$  is contained in  $F_S$ , and  $F_S$  is the union (direct limit) of such extensions, we obtain the following.

Proposition 7.9. Let  $F$  be any field. Then as rings,

$$S(F) = \bigcup_E S(E,F) = \lim_{\vec{E}} S(E,F),$$

and

$$BS(F) = \bigcup_E BS(E,F) = \lim_{\vec{E}} BS(E,F),$$

where the union and the limit are over the directed set of all finite Galois extensions of  $F$ .

Finally, note that the mapping from  $\text{Br}(F) \rightarrow BS(E,F)$ , given by  $\langle A \rangle \rightarrow \langle A \rangle$ , is a well defined injection into the group of units of  $BS(E,F)$ . Indeed, if  $A$  and  $B$  are central simple  $F$ -algebras, with  $A \cong M_n(D)$  and  $B \cong M_m(D')$  then the equality  $\langle A \rangle = \langle B \rangle$  yields  $D \cong D'$  as  $F$ -algebras, by 7.8. Therefore,  $\{A\} = \{B\}$  in  $\text{Br}(F)$ .

#### Induction and Restriction

We claim that  $BS(E,F)$  is the correct ring into which one should embed  $\text{Br}(F)$ . The justification of this assertion is the subject matter of the next chapter. Especially, we shall examine the consequences of the general



induction lemma for Mackey-functors. For this, we need a corresponding induction and restriction for the rings  $S(E,F)$  and  $BS(E,F)$ . For any intermediate subfield  $F \subseteq K \subseteq E$ , we shall let  $[A]_K$  denote the image of  $A \in \text{SEP}(E,K)$  in  $S(E,K)$ .

Proposition 7.10. Let  $F \subseteq K \subseteq L \subseteq E$  be a tower of fields.

(a) There is a group homomorphism  $\text{ind} = \text{ind}_{L \rightarrow K}: S(E,L) \rightarrow S(E,K)$  such that  $\text{ind}([A]_L) = [A]_K$  for all  $A \in \text{SEP}(E,L)$ .

(b)  $\text{ind}_{L \rightarrow F} = \text{ind}_{K \rightarrow F} \circ \text{ind}_{L \rightarrow K}$ .

(c)  $\text{ind}_{L \rightarrow K}$  factors through the projection of  $S$  to  $BS$ , that is, there is a group homomorphism  $\overline{\text{ind}} = \overline{\text{ind}}_{L \rightarrow K}: BS(E,L) \rightarrow BS(E,K)$  such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S(E,L) & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}} & S(E,K) \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ BS(E,L) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{ind}}} & BS(E,K) \end{array}$$

Proof. (a) This follows from Proposition 7.5, together with the existence of the natural forgetful functor  $\text{SEP}(E,L) \rightarrow \text{SEP}(E,K)$ .

(b) Clear.

(c) Let  $s_{L/K}$  denote the endomorphism of  $S(L,K)$  given in 7.6. We must show that  $\text{ind}_{L \rightarrow K}(\ker s_{E/L}) \subseteq \ker s_{E/K}$ .

Suppose  $[A]_L - [B]_L \in \ker \beta_{E/L}$ . Write  $A \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} M_{n_r}(D_r)$  and  $B \cong M_{m_1}(D'_1) \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} M_{m_s}(D'_s)$ , where the isomorphisms are as  $L$ -algebras. Since  $[A]_L - [B]_L \in \ker \beta_{E/L}$ , Proposition 7.4(c), together with the uniqueness statement of Wedderburn's theorem, insures  $r = s$ , and (without loss of generality)  $D_i \cong D'_i$  as  $L$ -algebras, all  $i$ . Then  $D_i \cong D'_i$  as  $K$ -algebras, all  $i$ , so that  $[A]_K - [B]_K \in \ker \beta_{E/K}$ .  $\square$

Restriction will correspond to scalar extension.

Proposition 7.11. Let  $F \subseteq K \subseteq L \subseteq E$  be a tower of fields.

(a) There is a ring homomorphism  $\text{res} = \text{res}_{K \rightarrow L}: S(E, K) \rightarrow S(E, L)$  such that  $\text{res}([A]_K) = [L \otimes_K A]_L$ , all  $A \in \text{SEP}(E, K)$ .

(b)  $\text{res}_{F \rightarrow L} = \text{res}_{K \rightarrow L} \circ \text{res}_{F \rightarrow K}$ .

(v)  $\text{res}_{K \rightarrow L}$  factors through the projection of  $S$  onto  $BS$ .

Proof. (a) The existence of  $\text{res}$  follows the observation that if  $A \cong B$  as  $K$ -algebras, then  $L \otimes_K A \cong L \otimes_K B$  as  $L$ -algebras, and 7.5.  $\text{res}$  is a ring homomorphism because of the distributive property of tensor products over algebra products, and the fact that  $L \otimes_K (A \otimes_K B) \cong (L \otimes_K A) \otimes_L (L \otimes_K B)$  as  $L$ -algebras.

(b) Clear.

(c) We must show that  $\text{res}_{K \rightarrow L}(\ker \beta_{E/K}) \subseteq \ker \beta_{E/L}$ .  
Note that if  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $\text{res}_{K \rightarrow L}([M_n(K)]_K - [K]_K)$   
 $= [M_n(L)]_L - [L]_L$ . Therefore, by Corollary 7.7, and the  
fact that  $\text{res}$  is a ring homomorphism, the inclusion  
holds. □

## CHAPTER 8

### APPLICATIONS OF INDUCTION THEORY TO ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

In this chapter we give a construction which allows us to connect the Brauer ring of the previous chapter with the F-Burnside rings we studied earlier. The generality with which this construction goes through gives hope for many more applications than those we include here.

#### A Category Anti-Equivalence

Fixed throughout this chapter is a finite Galois extension  $E/F$  with Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$ . The category  $\hat{G}$  of finite  $G$ -sets is then anti-equivalent with the category  $\text{CSEP}(E, F)$ , whose objects are those  $F$ -algebras  $R$  such that  $R$  is  $F$ -isomorphic with a finite product of (separable) subfields of  $E$  containing  $F$ . In other words,  $\text{CSEP}$  is the full subcategory of  $\text{SEP}$  consisting of the commutative algebras in  $\text{SEP}$ . This anti-equivalence is given as follows. For  $S \in \hat{G}$ , define  $R_S = \text{Hom}_G(S, E)$ , under pointwise operations. Then  $R_S \in \text{CSEP}$ . Moreover, if  $S \cong G/H$  for some subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , then  $R_S \cong E^H$  (fixed field of  $H$ ) under the correspondence  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(lH)$ , where  $lH$  is the coset containing the identity. For a  $G$ -map  $\phi: S \rightarrow T$ , there is an

induced  $F$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi_*:R_T \rightarrow R_S$ , given by  $\phi_*(\gamma) = \gamma \circ \phi$ , all  $\gamma \in R_T$ . Conversely, if  $R \in \text{CSEP}$ , define  $S_R = \text{Hom}_F(R, E)$ , a finite set, which becomes a  $G$ -set using the  $G$ -action on  $E$ . Again we observe that if  $L$  is a subfield of  $E/F$ , then  $S_L$  is isomorphic with the transitive  $G$ -set of cosets modulo  $\text{Gal}(E/L)$ . The isomorphism  $G/\text{Gal}(E/L) \rightarrow S_L$  is given by  $\sigma \text{Gal}(E/L) \rightarrow \sigma|_L$ , any  $\sigma \in G$ . If  $\alpha:R \rightarrow R'$  is an  $F$ -algebra homomorphism, then the map  $\alpha*:S_R \rightarrow S_{R'}$ , given by  $\alpha^*(f) = f \circ \alpha$  ( $f \in S_R$ ), is a  $G$ -map. Note that for any two  $G$ -sets  $S_1, S_2$ , we have  $R_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} = \text{Hom}_G(S_1 \cup S_2, E) \cong \text{Hom}_G(S_1, E) \dot{+} \text{Hom}_G(S_2, E) = R_{S_1} \dot{+} R_{S_2}$ . This isomorphism takes an element  $\alpha \in R_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2}$  to the pair  $(\alpha|_{S_1}, \alpha|_{S_2})$ .

We now show how from an arbitrary covariant, product preserving functor  $\rho:\text{CSEP} \rightarrow \text{AM}$ , we may construct an additive contravariant functor  $F_\rho:\hat{G} \rightarrow \text{AM}$ , and thus obtain the Green-functor  $A_\rho = A_{F_\rho}$ . Namely, define  $F_\rho:\hat{G} \rightarrow \text{AM}$  by  $F_\rho(S) = \rho(R_S)$ , and for a  $G$ -map  $\phi:S \rightarrow T$ , denote (as usual)  $\phi^0 = F_\rho(\phi) = \rho(\phi_*):F_\rho(T) \rightarrow F_\rho(S)$ . Plainly,  $F_\rho$  is a contravariant functor from  $\hat{G}$  to  $\text{AM}$ .

Proposition 8.1. Given any covariant, product preserving functor  $\rho:\text{CSEP}(E, F) \rightarrow \text{AM}$ , the functor  $F_\rho:\hat{G} \rightarrow \text{AM}$  is additive.

Proof. Let  $S_1, S_2 \in \hat{G}$ , and let  $K_i: S_i \rightarrow S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2$  be the inclusions. We must show  $K_1^0 \times K_2^0: F_\rho(S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2) \rightarrow F_\rho(S_1) \times F_\rho(S_2)$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\theta: R_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2} \rightarrow R_{S_1} \dot{+} R_{S_2}$  be the canonical isomorphism, and let  $\pi_i: R_{S_1} \dot{+} R_{S_2} \rightarrow R_{S_i}$  be projection. Since  $\rho$  preserves products, the composition  $(\rho(\pi_1) \times \rho(\pi_2)) \circ \rho(\theta): \rho(R_{S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2}) \rightarrow \rho(R_{S_1}) \times \rho(R_{S_2})$  is an isomorphism. However, an easy check shows that  $K_{i*} = \pi_i \theta$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , so that  $(\rho(\pi_1) \times \rho(\pi_2)) \circ \rho(\theta) = \rho(\pi_1 \theta) \times \rho(\pi_2 \theta) = \rho(K_{1*}) \times \rho(K_{2*}) = K_1^0 \times K_2^0$ .  $\square$

Our applications arise as follows. For any commutative ring  $R$ , let  $AZ(R)$  denote the category of Azumaya (central separable)  $R$ -algebras. When  $R$  is a field,  $AZ(R)$  coincides with the category of finite dimensional, central simple  $R$ -algebras. For an algebra  $A$  in  $AZ(R)$ , let  $(A)$  denote its  $R$ -algebra isomorphism class, and  $\{A\}$  its image in the Brauer group,  $Br(R)$ . Denote the set of all isomorphism classes in  $AZ(R)$  by  $AZ_0(R)$ . Then  $AZ_0(R)$  becomes a commutative monoid under tensor products over  $R$ , with identity element  $(R)$ . If  $\phi: R \rightarrow S$  is a homomorphism of commutative rings, then the correspondence  $(A) \rightarrow (S \otimes_R A)$  (where  $S$  is considered an  $R$ -algebra via  $\phi$ ) defines a monoid homomorphism,  $AZ_0(R) \rightarrow AZ_0(S)$ . Thus the correspondence  $R \rightarrow AZ_0(R)$  defines a covariant functor, which is easily checked to be product preserving (that is,

$AZ_0(R + S) \cong AZ_0(R) \times AZ_0(S)$ , for any commutative rings  $R$  and  $S$ ). Similarly, the correspondence  $R \rightarrow Br(R)$  is covariant and product preserving.

By applying Proposition 8.1 to the restrictions of  $AZ_0$  and  $Br$  to  $CSEP(E,F)$ , we may obtain the Green-functors  $A_{AZ}$  and  $A_{Br}$ . More explicitly, for any  $G$ -set  $S$ , a typical element of  $A_{AZ}(S)$  will be a formal difference  $[T_1, \phi_1, (A_1)] - [T_2, \phi_2, (A_2)]$ , where  $T_i$  is a  $G$ -set,  $\phi_i: T_i \rightarrow S$  is a  $G$ -map, and  $(A_i) \in AZ_0(R_{T_i})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . A similar description holds for  $A_{Br}(S)$ . One of the major results of this chapter establishes that for any subgroup  $H \leq G$ , there are isomorphisms  $A_{AZ}(H) \cong S(E, E^H)$  and  $A_{Br}(H) \cong BS(E, E^H)$ . We first need a few preliminaries on the structure of anti-equivalence of  $\hat{G}$  and  $CSEP$ .

Proposition 8.2. Let  $S$  and  $T$  be transitive  $G$ -sets, and  $\alpha: R_S \rightarrow R_T$  an  $F$ -algebra isomorphism. Then there is a  $G$ -isomorphism  $\phi: T \rightarrow S$  such that  $\phi_* = \alpha$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $S = G/H$ , and  $T = G/J$  for some subgroups  $H, J \leq G$ . Define  $\lambda_S: R_S \rightarrow E^H$  by  $\lambda_S(\gamma) = \gamma(1H)$  ( $\gamma \in R_S$ ), and  $\lambda_T: R_T \rightarrow E^J$  by  $\lambda_T(\gamma) = \gamma(1J)$  ( $\gamma \in R_T$ ). Then  $\lambda_S$  and  $\lambda_T$  are  $F$ -algebra isomorphisms. Define  $\beta: E^H \rightarrow E^J$  by  $\beta = \lambda_T \alpha \lambda_S^{-1}$ . Thus, if  $\gamma \in R_S$ , then  $\beta \lambda_S(\gamma) = \lambda_T \alpha(\gamma)$ , that is,

$\beta\gamma(1H) = \alpha(\gamma)(1J)$ . Since  $E/F$  is Galois, there exists  $\bar{\beta} \in G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$  such that the restriction of  $\bar{\beta}$  to  $E^H$  is  $\beta$ . Define  $\phi: G/J \rightarrow G/H$  by  $\phi(\sigma J) = \sigma\bar{\beta}H$ . Check that this is a well defined  $G$ -isomorphism. To see that  $\phi_* = \alpha$ , let  $\gamma \in R_S = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S, E)$  and  $t = \sigma J \in T = G/J$ . Then
 
$$\phi_*(\gamma)(t) = \gamma\phi(\sigma J) = \gamma\sigma\bar{\beta}H = \sigma\bar{\beta}\gamma(1H) = \sigma\beta\gamma(1H) = \sigma\alpha(\gamma)(1J) = \alpha(\gamma)(\sigma J) = \alpha(\gamma)(t).$$

□

Proposition 8.3. Let  $S$  and  $T$  be any  $G$ -sets, and suppose  $\alpha: R_S \rightarrow R_T$  is an  $F$ -algebra isomorphism. Then there is a  $G$ -isomorphism  $\phi: T \rightarrow S$  such that  $\phi_* = \alpha$ .

Proof. Write  $S = S_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} S_m$  and  $T = T_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} T_n$  as disjoint unions of transitive  $G$ -sets. Since  $R_{S_1} \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} R_{S_m} \cong R_S \cong R_T \cong R_{T_1} \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} R_{T_n}$ , and each  $R_{S_i}, R_{T_j}$  is a field, we must have  $m = n$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $e_i \in R_S$  and  $f_i \in R_T$  be the primitive idempotents corresponding to  $S_i$  and  $T_i$ , respectively. That is,  $e_i(s) = 1$  if  $s \in S_i$ , and  $e_i(s) = 0$  if  $s \notin S_i$ , and similarly for  $f_i$ . Since  $\alpha$  is a ring isomorphism, there is a permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha(e_k) = f_{\pi(i)}$ . Now, for each  $i$ ,

define  $\lambda_i: R_{S_i} \rightarrow R_S$  by  $\lambda_i(f)(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & s \in S_i \\ 0 & s \notin S_i \end{cases}$ . Then  $\lambda_i$

is a monomorphism with  $\lambda_i(1_{R_{S_i}}) = e_i$ . Moreover, if



$f \in R_S$ , then  $\lambda_i(f|_{S_i}) = f \cdot e_i$ . Next define  $\alpha_i: R_{S_i} \rightarrow R_{T_{\pi(i)}}$  by  $\alpha_i(f)(t) = \alpha(\lambda_i(f))(t)$ , all  $t \in T_{\pi(i)}$ . It is straightforward to check that each  $\alpha_i$  is an  $F$ -algebra isomorphism. Thus, by 8.2, there exists  $\phi_i: T_{\pi(i)} \rightarrow S_i$ ,  $G$ -isomorphisms, with  $\phi_{i*} = \alpha_i$ . Define  $\phi = \phi_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} \phi_n: T \rightarrow S$ . Then  $\phi$  is a  $G$ -isomorphism. Moreover, if  $f \in R_S$  and  $t \in T$  with (say)  $t \in T_{\pi(i)}$ , then  $\phi_*(f)(t) = f\phi(t) = f|_{S_i}(\phi_i(t)) = \phi_{i*}(f|_{S_i})(t) = \alpha_i(f|_{S_i})(t) = \alpha(\lambda_i(f|_{S_i}))(t) = \alpha(fe_i)(t) = \alpha(f)(t) \cdot \alpha(e_i)(t) = \alpha(f)(t)f_{\pi(i)}(t) = \alpha(f)(t)$ . Thus,  $\phi_* = \alpha$ , as needed.  $\square$

Proposition 8.4. Suppose  $\alpha, \beta: S \rightarrow T$  are  $G$ -maps, with  $T$  a transitive  $G$ -set. If  $\alpha_* = \beta_*: R_T \rightarrow R_S$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality  $T = G/H$ , some subgroup  $H \leq G$ . Let  $s \in S$ , and set  $\alpha(s) = gH$ . By transitivity, there exists  $g_1 \in G$  such that  $g_1\alpha(s) = \beta(s)$ , that is,  $\beta(s) = g_1gH$ . Since  $\alpha_* = \beta_*$ , for any  $f \in \text{Hom}_G(G/H, E)$  we have  $f\alpha = f\beta$ . Thus  $f(lH) = f(g^{-1}gH) = g^{-1}f(\alpha(s)) = g^{-1}f(\beta(s)) = g^{-1}g_1f(\alpha(s)) = g^{-1}g_1gf(lH)$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_G(G/H, E) \cong E^H$  via the map  $f \rightarrow f(lH)$ , it follows from Galois theory that  $g^{-1}g_1g \in H$ , hence  $g_1g = gh$ , some  $h \in H$ . But then,  $\beta(s) = g_1gH = ghH = \alpha(s)$ .  $\square$

Lemma 8.5. Let  $H, J \leq G$ , and fix a double coset decomposition  $G = \dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^r H\sigma_i J$ . Then  $G/J \cong H/H \cap \sigma_1 J \dot{\bigcup} \dots \dot{\bigcup} H/H \cap \sigma_r J$  as  $H$ -sets.

Proof. For each  $i$ , define  $\beta_i: H/H \cap \sigma_i J \rightarrow G/J$  by  $\beta_i(h(H \cap \sigma_i J)) = h\sigma_i J$ . It is straightforward to verify that the map  $\beta = \beta_1 \dot{\bigcup} \dots \dot{\bigcup} \beta_r$  is an  $H$ -isomorphism.  $\square$

Proposition 8.6. Let  $H \leq G$ . Let  $S_1, S_2$  be any  $G$ -sets, and suppose there are  $G$ -maps  $\alpha_i: S_i \rightarrow G/H$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Define  $\phi: R_{S_1} \otimes_{R_{G/H}} R_{S_2} \rightarrow R_{S_1 \times_{G/H} S_2}$  by  $\phi(f \otimes g)(x, y) = f(x) \cdot g(y)$ , all  $(x, y) \in S_1 \times_{G/H} S_2$ . Then  $\phi$  is an  $R_{G/H}$ -algebra and  $R_{S_1} - R_{S_2}$  bimodule isomorphism.

Proof (Sketch). First suppose  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are transitive, so that with no loss of generality,  $S_1 = G/H_1$  and  $S_2 = G/H_2$  for some subgroups  $H_1, H_2 \leq G$ . Say  $\alpha_i(lH_i) = g_i H$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $H_i^{g_i} \subseteq H$ , so we may decompose  $H$  into  $H_1^{g_1} - H_2^{g_2}$  double cosets:  $H = \dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^n H_1^{g_1} \sigma_i H_2^{g_2}$ . Since  $R_{G/H_i} \cong E^{H_i} \cong E^{H_i^{g_i}}$  as  $R_{G/H}$ -algebras, Proposition 7.3

implies  $R_{G/H_1} \otimes_{R_{G/H}} R_{G/H_2} \cong E^{H_1^{g_1}} \otimes_{E^H} E^{H_2^{g_2}} \cong \prod_{i=1}^n E^{H_1^{g_1}} (E^{H_2^{g_2}})^{\sigma_i}$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n E_{H_1^{g_1} \cap H_2^{g_2 \sigma_i^{-1}}}$$
 . Explicitly, this map sends  $f \otimes g$  to
 
$$(\dots, f(g_1^{-1} H_1) \cdot g(\sigma_i g_2^{-1} H_2), \dots)$$

Now if an element  $\sum_i f_i \otimes g_i \in \ker \phi$ , then
 
$$\sum_i f_i(xH_1) \cdot g_i(yH_2) = 0$$
, whenever  $(xH_1, yH_2) \in G/H_1 \times_{G/H} G/H_2$ .
 However, since each  $\sigma_i \in H$ , it follows that  $(g_1^{-1}, \sigma_i g_2^{-1}) \in G/H_1 \times_{G/H} G/H_2$ .
 Thus  $\sum_i f_i \otimes g_i$  is in the kernel of the map described in the first paragraph (which was an isomorphism), so  $\sum_i f_i \otimes g_i = 0$ , and  $\phi$  is injective.

Surjectivity of  $\phi$  follows from a dimension count. Set  $T = \{\sigma \in G : \alpha_1(1H_1) = \alpha_2(\sigma H_2)\}$ . If  $\sigma \in T$ , then  $H_1 \sigma H_2 \subseteq T$ , so we may decompose  $T$  into  $H_1 - H_2$  double cosets:

$$T = \dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^m H_1 \tau_i H_2$$
. Set  $J_i = H_1 \cap \tau_i H_2$ , and define

$\beta_i : G/J_i \rightarrow G/H_1 \times_{G/H} G/H_2$  by  $\beta_i(gJ_i) = (gH_1, g\tau_i H_2)$ . Five pages of routine calculations show that each  $\beta_i$  is an injective  $G$ -map, and that  $\beta = \beta_1 \dot{\bigcup} \dots \dot{\bigcup} \beta_m : G/J_1 \dot{\bigcup} \dots \dot{\bigcup} G/J_m \rightarrow G/H_1 \times_{G/H} G/H_2$  is a  $G$ -isomorphism. Therefore

$$R_{G/H_1 \times_{G/H} G/H_2} \cong \prod_{i=1}^m E_{J_i}$$
. Another straightforward argument

establishes that  $m = n$ , and that there is a permutation

of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $J_{\pi(i)}$  conjugate to  $H_1^{g_1} \cap H_2^{g_2 \sigma_i^{-1}}$ .

In particular,  $E^{\mathbb{J}_\pi(i)} \cong E^{H_1^{g_1} \cap H_2^{g_2 \sigma_i^{-1}}}$ , so the dimensions coincide, and  $\phi$  is surjective.

In general, write  $S_1 = T_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} T_r$  and  $S_2 = U_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} U_t$ , as unions of transitive  $G$ -sets. Then

$$R_{S_1} \otimes_{R_{G/H}} R_{S_2} \cong \prod_{i,j} R_{T_i} \otimes_{R_{G/H}} R_{U_j} \cong \prod_{i,j} R_{T_i} \otimes_{R_{G/H}} R_{U_j}$$

$$= \prod_{i,j} R_{T_i} \otimes_{R_{G/H}} R_{U_j} \cong R_{S_1} \otimes_{R_{G/H}} R_{S_2}.$$

Check that this isomorphism is  $\phi$ . □

### The Isomorphism Theorem

Let  $H \leq G$ . For any  $S \in \hat{G}$ ,  $A \in \text{AZ}(R_S)$  and  $G$ -map  $\alpha: S \rightarrow G/H$ , define an  $R_{G/H}$ -algebra  $A_\alpha$  to be  $A$  as a ring, with  $R_{G/H}$  action induced from  $\alpha_*: R_{G/H} \rightarrow R_S$ . Thus, if  $x \in R_{G/H}$  and  $a \in A$ , then  $x \cdot a = \alpha_*(x)a$ . Note that  $A \cong A_\alpha$  as  $F$ -algebras, since  $\alpha_*$  is an  $F$ -algebra homomorphism.

Proposition 8.7. Let  $H \leq G$ , and let  $[S, \alpha, (A)]$ ,  $[T, \beta, (B)] \in A_{\text{AZ}}(H)$ . Then  $[S, \alpha, (A)] = [T, \beta, (B)]$  if and only if  $A_\alpha \cong B_\beta$  as  $R_{G/H}$ -algebras.

Proof.  $\Rightarrow$ ) By Corollary 3.4, there is a  $G$ -isomorphism  $\phi: T \rightarrow S$  with  $\alpha\phi = \beta$  and  $\phi^0((A)) = (B)$ . This last condition yields an  $R_T$ -algebra isomorphism  $\psi: R_T \otimes_{R_S} A \rightarrow B$ . Define  $\gamma: A \rightarrow B$  by  $\gamma(a) = \psi(1 \otimes a)$ , all  $a \in A$ . Since

$R_S \cong R_T$ ,  $\alpha$  is a ring isomorphism. Furthermore, if  $x \in R_{G/H}$ , then  $\gamma(x \cdot a) = \gamma(\alpha_*(x)a) = \psi(1 \otimes x) \alpha_*(x)a$   
 $= \psi(\phi_* \alpha_*(x) \otimes a) = \psi(\beta_*(x) \otimes a) = \beta_*(x) \psi(1 \otimes a) = \beta_*(x) \gamma(a)$   
 $= x \cdot \gamma(a)$ . Thus  $\gamma$  is an  $R_{G/H}$ -algebra isomorphism of  $A_\alpha$  to  $B_\beta$ .

$\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $\gamma: A_\alpha \rightarrow B_\beta$  is an  $R_{G/H}$  algebra isomorphism. Then  $\gamma(Z(A_\alpha)) = Z(B_\beta)$ , that is,  $\gamma(R_S) = R_T$ . By Proposition 8.3, there is a  $G$ -isomorphism  $\phi: T \rightarrow S$  with  $\phi_* = \gamma$ . We claim that  $\alpha\phi = \beta$ . By Proposition 8.4, since  $G/H$  is transitive, it is enough to show that  $\phi_* \alpha_* = \beta_*: R_{G/H} \rightarrow R_T$ . Then, if  $x \in R_{G/H}$ , we have  $\phi_* \alpha_*(x) = \gamma(\alpha_*(x)) = \beta_*(x) \gamma(1_A) = \beta_*(x)$ . Finally we must show that  $\phi^0((A)) = (B)$ , that is,  $R_T \otimes_{R_S} A \cong B$  as  $R_T$ -algebras. The map  $\psi: R_T \otimes_{R_S} A \rightarrow B$  given by  $\psi(x \otimes a) = x\gamma(a)$  is such an isomorphism. Thus  $\phi: (T, \beta, (B)) \rightarrow (S, \alpha, (A))$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

For any subgroup  $H \leq G$ , the isomorphism  $R_{G/H} \cong E^H$  allows us to replace  $R_{G/H}$  by  $E^H$ , if we consider every  $R_{G/H}$  algebra to be an  $E^H$ -algebra via this isomorphism. Define  $\Psi_H = \Psi: A_{AZ}(H) \rightarrow S(E, E^H)$  by  $\Psi([S, \alpha, (A)]) = [A_\alpha]$ . By Proposition 8.7,  $\Psi$  is well defined and injective.

Theorem 8.8. For any subgroup  $H \leq G$ , the map  $\Psi_H$  is a ring isomorphism.

Proof. Let  $[S, \alpha, (A)], [T, \beta, (B)] \in A_{AZ}(H)$ . Since  $(A) \dot{+} (B) = (A \dot{+} B)$ , and  $(A \dot{+} B)_{\alpha \dot{+} \beta} \cong A_{\alpha} \dot{+} B_{\beta}$  (via the identity), we have  $\Psi([S, \alpha, (A)] + [T, \beta, (B)])$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Psi([S \dot{+} T, \alpha \dot{+} \beta, (A \dot{+} B)]) = [(A \dot{+} B)_{\alpha \dot{+} \beta}] = [A_{\alpha}] + [B_{\beta}] \\
&= \Psi([S, \alpha, (A)]) + \Psi([T, \beta, (B)]). \text{ Now } \Psi([S, \alpha, (A)] \cdot [T, \beta, (B)]) \\
&= \Psi([Sx_{G/H}^T, \alpha x_{G/H}^{\beta}, \pi_S^0(A) \cdot \pi_T^0(B)]), \text{ where } \pi_S^0(A) \cdot \pi_T^0(B) \\
&= (R_{Sx_{G/H}^T} \otimes_{R_S} A) \cdot (R_{Sx_{G/H}^T} \otimes_{R_T} B) \\
&= (A \otimes_{R_S} R_{Sx_{G/H}^T} \otimes_{R_{Sx_{G/H}^T}} R_{Sx_{G/H}^T} \otimes_{R_T} B) \\
&= (A \otimes_{R_S} R_{Sx_{G/H}^T} \otimes_{R_T} B) = (A \otimes_{R_S} R_S \otimes_{R_{G/H}} R_T \otimes_{R_T} B) \\
&= (A \otimes_{R_{G/H}} B), \text{ by 8.6. (Note that } A \otimes_{R_{G/H}} B \text{ is an } R_{Sx_{G/H}^T} \\
&\text{ algebra via the composition } R_{Sx_{G/H}^T} \rightarrow R_S \otimes_{R_{G/H}} R_T \\
&\rightarrow A \otimes_{R_{G/H}} B). \text{ The identity map: } (A \otimes_{R_{G/H}} B)_{\alpha x_{G/H}^{\beta}} \\
&\rightarrow A \otimes_{R_{G/H}} B \text{ is an } R_{G/H} \text{ algebra isomorphism. Thus,} \\
&\Psi([S, \alpha, (A)] \cdot [T, \beta, (B)]) = [(A \otimes_{R_{G/H}} B)_{\alpha x_{G/H}^{\beta}}] \\
&= [A_{\alpha} \otimes_{R_{G/H}} B_{\beta}] = [A_{\alpha}] [B_{\beta}] = \Psi([S, \alpha, (A)]) \cdot \Psi([T, \beta, (B)]).
\end{aligned}$$

To see that  $\Psi$  is surjective, let  $A \in \text{SEP}(E, E^H)$  be simple, with  $Z(A) \cong E^J$  for some subgroup  $J \leq H$ . Let  $\alpha: G/J \rightarrow G/H$  be projection, that is,  $\alpha(gJ) = gH$ , all  $g \in T$ . Then, viewing  $A$  as an  $R_{G/J}$ -algebra via the  $R_{G/H}$  isomorphism  $R_{G/J} \cong E^J$ , we have  $A \in AZ(R_{G/J})$ . It follows that  $\Psi([G/J, \alpha, (A)]) = [A]$ . Thus  $\Psi$  is surjective by Proposition 7.5 □

Following an almost identical proof, we obtain the final result of this section.

Theorem 8.9. Let  $H \leq G$ . Define a map  $A_{Br}(H) \rightarrow BS(E, E^H)$  by  $[S, \alpha, \{A\}] \rightarrow \langle A_\alpha \rangle$ . Then this mapping is an isomorphism.

Consequences of the Mackey  
Induction Lemma

Theorem 8.8 will permit us to apply the induction theory of Mackey-functors to rings  $S(E, E^H)$ , where  $H \leq G$ . However, we must first verify that restriction and induction for  $A_{AZ}$  and  $S$  coincide.

Lemma 8.10. Let  $H \leq G$ , and set  $L = E^H$ . Let  $\eta: G/H \rightarrow G/G$  be the canonical map. Then the following diagrams both commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{(a)} & A_{AZ}(G) \xrightarrow{\eta_*} \bar{A}_{AZ}(H) & \text{(b)} & A_{AZ}(G) \xleftarrow{\eta^*} A_{AZ}(H) \\
 & \downarrow \Psi_G & & \downarrow \Psi_H \\
 & S(E, F) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{F \rightarrow L}} S(E, L) & & S(E, F) \xleftarrow{\text{ind}_{L \rightarrow F}} S(E, L)
 \end{array}$$

Proof. (a) It is convenient to identify  $R_{G/G}$  with  $F$ . If  $[S, (A)] \in A_{AZ}(G)$ , and if  $\pi_S: G/H \times S \rightarrow S$  is projection, then by Proposition 8.6,  $\pi_S^0(A) = (R_{G/H \times S} \otimes_{R_S} A)$   
 $= (R_{G/H} \otimes_F A)$ . Furthermore, if  $\pi_H: G/H \times S \rightarrow G/H$  is

projection, then  $\pi_{H^*}: R_{G/H} \rightarrow R_{G/H \times S} \cong R_{G/H} \otimes_F R_S$  is injection,  $\pi_{H^*}(\gamma) = \gamma \otimes 1$  all  $\gamma \in R_{G/H}$ . Thus, the identity map  $R_{G/H} \otimes_F A \rightarrow (R_{G/H} \otimes_F A)_{\pi_H}$  is an  $R_{G/H}$  algebra isomorphism.

Therefore,  $\Psi_{H^*}([S, (A)]) = \Psi_H([G/H \times S, \pi_H^0(A)])$   
 $= \Psi_H([G/H \times S, \pi_H, (R_{G/H} \otimes_F A)]) = [(R_{G/H} \otimes_F A)_{\pi_H}]_L$   
 $= [R_{G/H} \otimes_F A]_L = [L \otimes_F A]_L = \text{res}_{F \rightarrow L}([A]_F) = \text{res}_{F \rightarrow L} \Psi_G([S, (A)])$ .

(b) Let  $[S, \alpha, (A)] \in A_Z(H)$ . Then  $\Psi_G \eta^*([S, \alpha, (A)])$   
 $= \Psi_G([S, (A)]) = [A]_F = [A_\alpha]_F = \text{ind}_{L \rightarrow F}([A_\alpha]_L)$   
 $= \text{ind}_{L \rightarrow F} \Psi_H([S, \alpha, (A)]),$  since  $A \cong A_\alpha$  as  $F$ -algebras.  $\square$

We are interested in studying  $\ker(\text{res}_{F \rightarrow L})$  and  $\text{im}(\text{ind}_{L \rightarrow F})$ ; it will be convenient to proceed more generally. Let  $M$  be any Mackey-functor  $\hat{G} \rightarrow AB$ , and let  $S$  be a  $G$ -set. Denote by  $K_M(S)$  the kernel of the map  $(\eta_S)_*: M(G) = M(G/G) \rightarrow M(S)$ , and by  $I_M(S)$  the image of  $(\eta_S)^*: M(S) \rightarrow M(G)$ .

Lemma 8.11. (Induction lemma for Mackey-functors.) Let  $G$  be a finite group, and  $M: \hat{G} \rightarrow AB$  a Mackey-functor. Then for any  $G$ -set  $S$ ,

- (a)  $|G| \cdot (I_M(S) \cap K_M(S)) = 0,$
- (b)  $|G| \cdot M(G) \subseteq I_M(S) + K_M(S).$

Proof. See Dress (1971, p. 64).  $\square$

In particular, using the commutivity from Lemma 8.10, together with Theorem 3.6 ( $A_{AZ}$  is a Green-functor), we obtain



Theorem 8.12. Let  $E/F$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ . Let  $H \leq G$ , and set  $L = E^H$ . Then

- (a)  $\text{im}(\text{ind}_{L \rightarrow F}) \cap \ker(\text{res}_{F \rightarrow L}) = 0$ ,  
 (b)  $|G| \cdot S(E, F) \subseteq \text{im}(\text{ind}_{L \rightarrow F}) + \ker(\text{res}_{F \rightarrow L})$ .

Proof. Take  $S = G/H$  in 8.11. We may drop multiplication by  $|G|$  in (a) because  $S(E, F)$  is free, hence torsion free, by 7.5. The rest is clear.  $\square$

Corollary 8.13. Let  $L/F$  be a finite separable field extension, and let  $A$  and  $B$  be separable  $L$ -algebras. If  $L \otimes_F A \cong L \otimes_F B$  as  $L$ -algebras, then  $A \cong B$  as  $F$ -algebras.

Proof. By a standard characterization of separable algebras over fields, we may write  $A$  and  $B$  as finite products of finite dimensional, simple  $L$ -algebras, where each simple algebra has as center a finite separable field extension of  $L$ . Since  $L/F$  is finite separable, it follows that there is a finite Galois extension  $E/F$  containing the centers of all of these simple algebras. Thus,  $A, B \in \text{SEP}(E, L)$ . Consider  $[A]_F - [B]_F \in S(E, F)$ . Plainly,  $\text{ind}_{L \rightarrow F}([A]_L - [B]_L) = [A]_F - [B]_F$ . Also,  $\text{res}_{F \rightarrow L}([A]_F - [B]_F) = [L \otimes_F A]_L - [L \otimes_F B]_L = 0$ , since  $L \otimes_F A \cong L \otimes_F B$  as  $L$ -algebras. Thus  $[A]_F - [B]_F \in \text{im}(\text{ind}_{L \rightarrow F}) \cap \ker(\text{res}_{F \rightarrow L}) = 0$ , so that  $[A]_F = [B]_F$ . By 7.4(c),  $A \cong B$  as  $F$ -algebras.  $\square$

Of course, this result is not true if  $A$  and  $B$  do not contain  $L$  in their centers. For example, take  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,

$L = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $A = M_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $B = \mathbb{H}$  (quaternions). Then  $A \not\cong B$ , but  $\mathbb{C} \otimes A \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes B$ , as  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras. 8.12(b) yields a much stranger consequence.

Corollary 8.14. Let  $E/F$  be a finite Galois extension. Suppose that  $A$  is a separable  $F$ -algebra whose center is isomorphic with a finite product of subfields of  $E/F$ , that is,  $A \in \text{SEP}(E, F)$ . Then there are  $F$ -algebras  $B$ ,  $C \in \text{SEP}(E, F)$  with  $E \otimes_F B \cong E \otimes_F C$  as  $E$ -algebras, and there are algebras  $Y, Z \in \text{SEP}(E, E)$  (that is, finite products of central simple  $E$ -algebras) such that  $A \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} A \dot{+} B \dot{+} Y \cong C \dot{+} Z$  as  $F$ -algebras, where  $[E:F]$  copies of  $A$  appear in the left hand product.

Proof. Take  $H = \{1\}$  in Theorem 8.12, so that  $L = E$ . Set  $n = [E:F] = |\text{Gal}(E/F)|$ . Then  $n[A]_F \in \text{im}(\text{ind}_{E \rightarrow F}) + \ker(\text{res}_{F \rightarrow E})$ , so that  $n[A]_F = \text{ind}_{E \rightarrow F}([Z]_E - [Y]_E) + [C]_F - [B]_F$ , where  $[C]_F - [B]_F \in \ker(\text{res}_{F \rightarrow E})$ , and  $[Z]_E - [Y]_E \in S(E, E)$ . Thus,  $n[A]_F + [Y]_F + [B]_F = [Z]_F + [C]_F$ . Using 7.4(c), this translates to the desired result.  $\square$

It is worth mentioning that results similar to 8.10 and 8.12 hold upon replacing  $A_{AZ}$  by  $A_{Br}$  and  $S$  by  $BS$ . However, these results tell us nothing new, so we will not formulate them precisely.

## CHAPTER 9

### THE BRAUER RINGS OF $\mathbb{Q}_p$ AND $\mathbb{Q}$

We are ready to combine the results of the preceding chapters to determine the structure of the ring  $\mathbb{Q}BS(E, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{x})_{\mathbb{Z}}BS(E, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , for a finite Galois extension  $E$  of the  $p$ -adic rationals  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We shall begin by interpreting normality for the functor  $F_{Br}$ .

#### Normal Algebras

The following definition was first given by Eilenberg and MacLane (1948).

Definition 9.1. Let  $F$  be a field, and let  $L$  be a finite separable field extension of  $F$ . The central simple  $L$ -algebra  $A$  is normal over  $F$  if every  $F$ -automorphism of  $L$  can be extended to an  $F$ -algebra automorphism of  $A$ .

As we shall see, if  $L$  is a finite separable extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , then every central simple  $L$ -algebra is normal over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . However, non-normal algebras exist.

For example, let  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , and let  $A$  be the generalized quaternion algebra  $(\frac{-1, -\sqrt{2}}{L})$ . Thus,  $A = L \cdot 1 \oplus L \cdot i \oplus L \cdot j \oplus L \cdot k$ , where  $i^2 = -1$ ,  $j^2 = -\sqrt{2}$ , and  $ij = -ji = k$ . Define  $\sigma: L \rightarrow L$  by  $\sigma(\sqrt{2}) = -\sqrt{2}$  and suppose

$\sigma$  has an extension to an  $F$ -algebra automorphism  $\phi$  of  $A$ . Set  $i_0 = \phi(i)$ ,  $j_0 = \phi(j)$ , and  $k_0 = \phi(k)$ . Since  $\phi$  is  $F$ -linear, the set  $\{1, i_0, j_0, k_0\}$  is linearly independent over  $F$ , from which it follows that  $A = L \cdot 1 \oplus L \cdot i_0 \oplus L \cdot j_0 \oplus L \cdot k_0$ . However,  $i_0^2 = -1$ ,  $j_0^2 = \sqrt{2}$ , and  $i_0 j_0 = -j_0 i_0 = k_0$ , so that  $A \cong \left(\frac{-1, \sqrt{2}}{L}\right)$ , that is  $\left(\frac{-1, -\sqrt{2}}{L}\right) \cong \left(\frac{-1, \sqrt{2}}{L}\right)$ . This isomorphism is impossible since  $-1$  is not the norm of any element of  $L(\sqrt{-1})$  to  $L$ , that is,  $-1 \notin N_{L(\sqrt{-1})/L}(L(\sqrt{-1}))$ .

The importance of normal algebras to us is indicated by the following proposition.

Proposition 9.2. Let  $E/F$  be a finite Galois extension, with  $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$ . Let  $S \in \hat{G}$  be transitive, and let  $\{A\} \in \text{Br}(R_S)$ . Then  $\{A\}$  is a normal element of  $F_{\text{Br}}(S)$  if and only if  $A$  is a normal  $R_S$ -algebra over  $F$ .

Proof  $\Rightarrow$ ). Let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_F(R_S)$ . We must show that  $\alpha$  can be extended to  $A$ . By Proposition 8.2, we may find  $\phi \in \text{Aut}_G(S)$  with  $\phi_* = \alpha$ . Since  $\{A\}$  is normal, it follows that  $\phi^0(\{A\}) = \{A\}$ . But  $\phi^0(\{A\}) = \{R_S \otimes_{R_S} A\}$ , where  $R_S$  is considered as an  $R_S$ -algebra via  $\alpha$ , that is,  $x \cdot y = x\alpha(y)$ , for  $x, y \in R_S$ . By counting dimensions, there is an  $R_S$ -algebra isomorphism  $\psi: R_S \otimes_{R_S} A \rightarrow A$ . Define  $\gamma: A \rightarrow A$  by  $\gamma(d) = \psi(1 \otimes d)$ , all  $d \in A$ . If  $r \in R_S$ , then

$\gamma(rd) = \psi(1 \otimes rd) = \psi(\alpha(r) \otimes d) = \psi(\alpha(r)(1 \otimes d))$   
 $= \alpha(r)\psi(1 \otimes d) = \alpha(r)\gamma(d)$ . Since  $\alpha$  is  $F$ -linear,  $\gamma$  is an  
 $F$ -algebra isomorphism. Taking  $d = 1$  yields  $\gamma(r) = \alpha(r)$   
 all  $r \in R_S$ , so  $\gamma$  extends  $\alpha$ .

$\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\phi \in \text{Aut}_G(S)$ . We must show that  
 $\{A\} = \phi_0(\{A\}) = \{R_S \otimes_{R_S} A\}$ , where  $R_S$  is considered as an  
 $R_S$ -algebra via  $\phi_*$ , as above. Since  $\phi_* \in \text{Aut}_F(R_S)$ , the  
 normality of  $A$  implies the existence of  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_F(A)$  such  
 that  $\alpha|_{R_S} = \phi_*$ . The map  $\psi: R_S \otimes_{R_S} A \rightarrow A$ , given by  
 $\psi(r \otimes d) = \phi_*^{-1}(r) \cdot d$ , is a well defined  $F$ -algebra iso-  
 morphism. Therefore,  $\alpha \circ \psi: R_S \otimes_{R_S} A \rightarrow A$  is an  $R_S$ -algebra  
 isomorphism, showing  $\{R_S \otimes_{R_S} A\} = \{A\}$ , as needed.  $\square$

### The Ring $BS(E, \mathbb{Q}_p)$

For a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  denote the completion  
 of  $\mathbb{Q}$  at the  $p$ -adic valuation. The next result shows that  
 all finite dimensional simple  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebras are normal. It is  
 due to Janusz (1978), and the reader may refer to this paper  
 for the proof.

Proposition 9.3. Let  $0 \neq p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime. For  $i = 1,$   
 $2$ , let  $L_i$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and let  $A_i$  be  
 a central simple  $L_i$ -algebra. If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are isomorphic  
 as rings, then  $\text{inv}A_1 = \text{inv}A_2$ .

This proposition clearly also holds for  $p = \infty$ , that is,  $\mathbb{Q}_p = \mathbb{R}$ . We remark that the notation  $\text{inv}A$  for a central simple  $L$ -algebra  $A$  denotes its Hasse invariant. For a discussion of the properties of this invariant see Pierce (1982). The most important fact for us is that the class of the algebra  $A$  in  $\text{Br}(L)$  is completely determined by its Hasse invariant.

Corollary 9.4. Let  $0 \neq p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime, and let  $L$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then every central simple  $L$ -algebra is normal over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Proof. Let  $A$  be a central simple  $L$ -algebra, and let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L)$ . Define an  $L$ -algebra  $B$  to be  $A$  as a ring, with  $L$ -algebra structure given by  $\ell \cdot b = \alpha(\ell)b$ , all  $\ell \in L$ ,  $b \in B = A$ . Then  $B$  is a central simple  $L$ -algebra, and  $B \cong A$  as rings (in fact as  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebras). By 9.3,  $A$  and  $B$  yield the same class in  $\text{Br}(L)$ . Since  $\dim_L A = \dim_L B$ , we have  $A \cong B$  as  $L$ -algebras. Let  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  be an  $L$ -algebra isomorphism. Using the  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra isomorphism  $\text{id}: B \rightarrow A$ , we obtain the  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra isomorphism  $\gamma = \text{id} \circ \phi: A \rightarrow A$ . Then, if  $\ell \in L$ ,  $\gamma(\ell 1_A) = \phi(\ell 1_A) = \ell \cdot \phi(1_A) = \alpha(\ell)1_A$ , thus  $\gamma$  extends  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

Corollary 9.5. Let  $E$  be a finite Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , with  $G = \text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Then every transitive  $G$ -set is normal over  $F_{\text{Br}}$ .

Proof. This follows directly from 9.2 and 9.4.  $\square$

A mildly surprising result follows from our work. As shown by Eilenberg and MacLane (1948, Corollary 7.3), if  $E/F$  is cyclic, then any central simple  $E$ -algebra which is normal over  $F$  can be obtained by extension of scalars from a central simple  $F$ -algebra. Combining this with Corollary 9.4 we obtain the following.

Corollary 9.6. Let  $0 \neq p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime, and suppose that  $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a finite cyclic Galois extension. Then the canonical homomorphism  $\text{BR}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \text{Br}(E)$  is surjective.

Theorem 9.7. Let  $E$  be a finite Galois extension of the  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and let  $G = \text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Let  $n = |P(G)|$  be the number of conjugacy classes of subgroups of  $G$ . Then  $\text{QBS}(E, \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \prod_n \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ , where the right hand side is a product of  $n$  copies of the group algebra  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ ,

Proof. By Theorem 8.9,  $\text{QBS}(E, \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \text{QA}_{\text{Br}}(G)$ . Since every transitive  $G$ -set is normal over  $F_{\text{Br}}$ , Theorem 5.12 implies that  $\text{QA}_{\text{Br}}(G) \cong \prod_{a \in P} \mathbb{Q}\text{Br}(R_{S_a})$ . However, the Brauer group of a local field is  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , thus  $\text{Br}(R_{S_a}) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $a \in P$ . The result follows.  $\square$

Passing to direct limits we can state

Proposition 9.8. Let  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  denote the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then  $\text{QBS}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  is von Neumann regular.

Proof. By Theorem 8.9 and Corollary 5.13,  $\mathcal{O}_{BS}(E, \mathcal{O}_p)$  is von Neumann regular for each finite Galois extension  $E/\mathcal{O}_p$ . The proposition follows from Proposition 7.9 and the fact that the property of being von Neumann regular is preserved under the taking of direct limits.  $\square$

### The Ring $BS(E, \mathcal{O})$

Let  $E$  be a finite Galois extension of  $\mathcal{O}$ . If  $p \neq 0$  is an integral prime, then  $p$  factors in  $\mathcal{O}_E$  (the ring of algebraic integers of  $E$ ) as a product  $(P_1 \dots P_g)^e$ . Since each completion  $E_{P_i}$  is the compositum of  $E$  (embedded in  $E_{P_i}$ ) and  $\mathcal{O}_p$ , the extensions  $E_{P_i}/\mathcal{O}_p$  are all Galois. We introduce the notation  $E_p$  to denote the compositum over  $\mathcal{O}_p$  of the Galois extensions  $E_{P_1}, \dots, E_{P_g}$  ( $E_p$  is the splitting field over  $\mathcal{O}_p$  of a generating polynomial for the extension  $E/\mathcal{O}$ ). If  $p = \infty$  is the infinite prime, set  $E_\infty = \mathbb{R}$  when all of the infinite primes of  $E$  are real, otherwise set  $E_\infty = \mathbb{C}$ . We shall use this notation in attempting the computation of  $BS(E, \mathcal{O})$ . We first recall a basic number theory result. Its proof may be found, for example, in Narkiewicz (1974, Proposition 6.1).

Proposition 9.9. Let  $L$  be a finite extension of  $\mathcal{O}$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_L$ .



- (a) Let  $0 \neq p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime, and write  $pO_L = P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_g^{e_g}$  where the  $P_i$  are distinct prime of  $O_L$ . Then there is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra isomorphism  $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong L_{P_1} \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} L_{P_g}$ .
- (b) If the infinite prime of  $\mathbb{Q}$  factors into  $r_1$  real and  $r_2$  complex infinite primes in  $L$  (so that  $r_1 + 2r_2 = [L:\mathbb{Q}]$ ), then  $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \prod_{r_1} \mathbb{R} \dot{+} \prod_{r_2} \mathbb{C}$ .

For a Galois extension  $e$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  we shall use the notation  $[ ]_p$ , respectively  $\langle \rangle_p$ , to denote elements of  $S(E, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , respectively  $BS(E, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

Proposition 9.10. Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite Galois extension. For each prime  $p$  (possibly infinite) of  $\mathbb{Q}$  define a map  $\theta_p: S(E, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow S(E_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  by  $\theta_p([A]) = [A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p]_p$ . Then

(a)  $\theta_p$  is a ring homomorphism.

(b)  $\theta_p$  factors through the projection of  $S$  to  $BS$ . That is, there is a ring homomorphism  $\bar{\theta}_p: BS(E, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow BS(E_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S(E, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_p} & S(E_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \\
 \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
 BS(E, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}_p} & BS(E_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. (a) If  $A \in \text{SEP}(E, \mathcal{Q})$  is simple, we may assume without loss of generality that  $Z(A) = L$ , where  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq L \subseteq E$ . Then  $A \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}_p \cong A \otimes_L (L \times_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}_p) \cong A \otimes_L (L_{P_1} \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} L_{P_g})$   
 $\cong \prod_{i=1}^g A \otimes_{L_{P_i}} L_{P_i}$  as  $\mathcal{Q}_p$ -algebras, by Proposition 9.9. Since each  $A \otimes_{L_{P_i}} L_{P_i}$  is a central simple  $L_{P_i}$ -algebra, and  $\mathcal{Q}_p \subseteq L_{P_i} \subseteq E_p$ ,  $A \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}_p$  is an element of  $\text{SEP}(E_p, \mathcal{Q}_p)$ . It follows from this, together with Proposition 7.5, that  $\theta_p$  is a well defined group homomorphism. If also  $B \in \text{SEP}(E, \mathcal{Q}_p)$ , then the  $\mathcal{Q}_p$ -isomorphism  $(A \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} B) \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}_p} \mathcal{Q}_p \cong (A \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}_p} \mathcal{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}_p} (B \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}_p} \mathcal{Q}_p)$  shows that  $\theta_p$  is a ring homomorphism. These same arguments work for  $p = \infty$ .

(b) Let  $0 < n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $\theta_p([M_n(\mathcal{Q})] - [\mathcal{Q}]) = [M_n(\mathcal{Q}_p)]_p - [\mathcal{Q}_p]_p$ . Part (b) then follows from part (a) and Corollary 7.7. □

Patching together the homomorphism of Proposition 9.10 over all primes  $p$ , we obtain ring homomorphisms

$$\theta = (\theta_p) : S(E, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \prod_p S(E_p, \mathcal{Q}_p),$$

and

$$\bar{\theta} = (\bar{\theta}_p) : BS(E, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \prod_p BS(E_p, \mathcal{Q}_p).$$

The image and kernel of  $\bar{\theta}$  are the subject of the remainder of this chapter.

For each prime  $p$  (possibly  $p = \infty$ ), let  $G_p = \text{Gal}(E_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Then  $\text{BS}(E_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong A_{\text{Br}}(G_p)$ . By earlier remarks, the Burnside ring of  $G_p$ ,  $A(G_p)$ , can be identified as a subring of  $A_{\text{Br}}(G_p)$ , and thus as a subring of  $\text{BS}(E_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . It is easy to see that  $A(G_p)$  corresponds to the subring of  $\text{BS}(E_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  consisting of all sums of fields  $A(G_p) = \{ \sum n_i \langle L_i \rangle_p : n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq L_i \subseteq E_p \}$ .

Proposition 9.11. Let  $\bar{\theta}: \text{BS}(E, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \prod_p \text{BS}(E_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  be the ring homomorphism given above. Then  $\text{im} \bar{\theta}$  is contained in the restricted direct product of the rings  $\text{BS}(E_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  over the subrings  $A(G_p)$ .

Proof. The statement of the proposition is equivalent with showing that given any  $x \in \text{BS}(E, \mathbb{Q})$ , one has  $\theta_p(x) \in A(G_p)$  for all but finitely many  $p$ . Let  $A \in \text{SEP}(E, \mathbb{Q})$  with  $A$  simple, where without loss of generality,  $Z(A) = L$ , with  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq L \subseteq E$ . Now,  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L_p \cong M_n(L_p)$  ( $n = \text{Deg} A$ ) for all but finitely many primes  $p$  of  $L$  (see Pierce (1982, Proposition 18.5)), and there are at most finitely many primes of  $\mathbb{Q}$  lying under these exceptional primes. If  $p$  is not one

of them then  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong \prod_{i=1}^g A \otimes_{L} L_{p_i} \cong \prod_{i=1}^g M_n(L_{p_i})$ , so that

$\bar{\theta}_p(\langle A \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^g \langle M_n(L_{P_i}) \rangle_P = \sum_{i=1}^g \langle L_{P_i} \rangle_P \in A(G_p)$ . Since  $BS(E, \mathcal{Q})$  is spanned by the classes  $\langle A \rangle$ , where  $A$  is simple, the result follows from the additivity of  $\bar{\theta}$ .  $\square$

We wish to look at  $\ker \bar{\theta}$ . For an algebraic number field  $K$ , let  $K_A$  denote its adèle ring. We need a characterization of number fields with isomorphic adèle rings.

Proposition 9.12. Let  $K$  and  $L$  be finite extensions of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Denote by  $V_K$  the set of non-zero primes of  $K$  (including the infinite primes), and similarly for  $L$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $K_A$  and  $L_A$  are (topologically) isomorphic.
- (2) There is a bijection  $\psi$  of  $V_K$  onto  $V_L$  such that given any prime  $P$  of  $K$ ,  $P$  and  $\psi(P)$  lie over the same prime  $p$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and  $K_P \cong L_{\psi(P)}$  as  $\mathcal{Q}_p$ -algebras.
- (3) For every prime  $p$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , there is a  $\mathcal{Q}_p$ -algebra isomorphism  $K \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}_p \cong L \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}_p$ .

Proof. The equivalence (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is given in Komatsu (1978). The equivalence (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) follows directly from Proposition 9.9 and the uniqueness statement of Wedderburn's theorem.  $\square$

Corollary 9.13. Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite Galois extension, and suppose  $K$  and  $L$  are subfields of  $E$ . Then  $\langle K \rangle - \langle L \rangle \in \ker \bar{\theta}$  if and only if  $K_A \cong L_A$ .

Proof. Since  $K$  and  $L$  are commutative,  $\langle K \rangle - \langle L \rangle \in \ker \bar{\theta}$  iff  $[K] - [L] \in \ker \theta$  iff  $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$  for all primes  $p$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Apply the previous proposition.  $\square$

At this point the question naturally arises to find nonisomorphic number fields with isomorphic adèle rings. An infinite list of such examples was given by Komatsu (1978). We state his result for completeness.

Proposition 9.14. Let  $m$  be a square free integer such that  $m \neq \pm 1, \pm 2$ , and  $m \equiv 2, 7, 14, 15 \pmod{16}$ . Let  $n$  be an integer with  $n \geq 3$ , and set  $s = 2^n$ . Put  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[s]{m})$  and  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt[s]{m})$ . Then  $K_A \cong L_A$ , but  $K$  and  $L$  are not isomorphic.

We remark that it is an interesting and open problem to classify radical extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  by the isomorphism type of their adèle rings.

If we let  $I$  be the ideal of  $BS(E, \mathbb{Q})$  generated by the set  $\{\langle K \rangle - \langle L \rangle : K_A \cong L_A\}$ , then the above shows that  $I \subseteq \ker \bar{\theta}$ . If  $[E:\mathbb{Q}] \leq 6$ , or if  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is abelian, then the work of Perlis (1977) establishes that  $I = 0$ . Hence the equality  $I = \ker \bar{\theta}$  would imply the injectivity of  $\bar{\theta}$  in

these cases. However, it is not known, even when the extensions  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is abelian, whether the inclusion  $I \subseteq \ker \bar{\theta}$  is proper or not. Not wishing to conjecture the wrong result, we finish our work here, leaving the foregoing problem unsolved.

## REFERENCES

- Bass, H. 1968. "Algebraic K-Theory." W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam.
- Chase, S. U., D. K. Harrison and A. Rosenberg. 1965. "Galois Theory and Cohomology of Commutative Rings." Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 52.
- Dress, A. 1969. "A Characterization of Solvable Groups." Math. Zeitschr., Vol. 110, pp. 213-217.
- Dress, A. 1971. "Notes on the Theory of Representations of Finite Groups. Part I: The Burnside Ring of a Finite Group and some AGN-Applications." Lecture Notes, Bielefeld.
- Eilenberg, S., S. MacLane. 1948. "Cohomology and Galois Theory. I. Normality of Algebras and Teichmüller's Cocycle." Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 64, pp. 1-20.
- Gluck, D. 1981. "Idempotent Formula for the Burnside Algebra with Applications to the  $p$ -Subgroup Simplicial Complex." Ill. J. of Math, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 63-67.
- Janusz, G. J. 1978. "Automorphism Groups of Simple Algebras and Group Algebras." Representation Theory of Algebras (Proc. Conf. Temple Univ., Philadelphia, Pa., 1976). Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 37, pp. 381-388, Dekker, New York.
- Komatsu, K. 1978. "On the Adele Rings and Zeta-Functions of Algebraic Number Fields." Kodai Math. J., Vol. 1, pp. 394-400.
- Küchler, M. 1970. "Frobenius-, Burnside-, and Mackey-Functors." Open House for Algebraists, Aarhus Universitet, Denmark. Various Publications Series No. 17, pp. 72-84.
- MacLane, S. 1971. "Categories for the Working Mathematician." Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.

- Montgomery, S. 1976. "The Jacobson Radical and Fixed Rings of Automorphisms." *Comm. Alg.*, Vol. 4, No. 5, pp. 459-465.
- Narkiewicz, W. 1974. "Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers." PWS-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa.
- Passman, D. S. 1971. "Infinite Group Rings." *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 6. Marcel Decker, New York.
- Perlis, R. 1977. "On the Equation  $\zeta_K(s) = \zeta_{K'}(s)$ ." *J. of Number Theory*, Vol. 9, pp. 342-360.
- Pierce, R. S. 1982. "Associative Algebras." *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Vol. 88, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.
- Rotman, J. 1965. "The Theory of Groups - An Introduction." Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston-London-Sydney-Toronto.
- Solomon, L. 1967. "The Burnside Algebra of a Finite Group." *J. Combinatorial Theory*, Vol. 2, pp. 603-615.
- Villamayor, O. E. 1958. "On the semisimplicity of Group Algebras." *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 9, pp. 621-627.