SOURCE OF NET RETURNS

William E. Martin, Professor of Agricultural Economics Harold M. Stults, Ag. Economist with Natural Resource Economics Div. U.S.D.A. Robert A. Young, Associate Professor of Ag. Economics

General crop farms in Pinal County contained 96.6 percent of all cropped acreage in that county in 1967. Only 3.4 percent of cropped acreage was devoted to vegetables and citrus, mostly on specialized farms. A breakdown of this acreage by crop is shown in the accompanying table.

What are the sources of net income to these general crop farms? It is well-known that cotton is important to the Arizona farm economy, but just how important is it? A recent study of typical Pinal County farming units, based on personal interviews with 120 Pinal County farmers enables us to answer these questions. The answers are illustrated in the accompanying figures.

Figure 1 shows acres of each crop for the county on the horizontal axis and net returns over variable costs per acre on the vertical axis. Therefore, the area of each rectangle shows total net returns over variable costs for each crop for the entire county. Variable costs are those costs which are incurred directly in the production of a given crop and thus may accurately and logically be debited against that specific crop. All fixed costs must still be paid out of net returns above variable costs. Fixed costs include such items as depreciation, interest on investment, taxes, insurance, and certain repairs, as well as any return to management.

Figure 1 shows that the contribution of short staple cotton toward net income is of overwhelming importance. And, of cotton's contribution, over half is income from government price support and acreage diversion payments. (There is a certain arbitrariness in subtracting variable costs from market returns instead of from government payments. However, since government payments are subject to change by Congress, we have chosen the former course.) The data are shown for the past year, 1967, when the average weighted market price for Pinal County cotton was about 29.7 cents per pound of lint. In 1966, when the market price was about 22.5 cents per pound, total government payments were approximately the same size and constituted about 70 percent of net income over variable costs.

The obvious implication of these data is that total net returns to Pinal County farmers are to a very large degree dependent on government programs. In fact, it is possible that future changes in government programs for upland cotton will have more effect on total farm net income than any other technical or cost factor including the declining water table. This is not to suggest that technology or cost factors should be ignored, but simply that

	Acres	Percent		
Upland Cotton	81,100	36.5		
American-Egyptian Cotton	6,300	2.8		
Grain Sorghum	40,000	18.0		
Barley	45,000	20.2		
Wheat	11,700	5.3		
Alfalfa	21,000	9.4		
Other Field Crops	9,700	4.4		
Subtotal	214,800	96.6		
Vegetables	7,090	3.2		
Citrus	380	0.2		
Total	222,270	100.0		

## Cropped Acres, Pinal County, 1967

Table 1

the magnitudes of their possible effects on total net farm income are relatively small when compared to income factors. The large change in net income between 1966 and 1967 because of the change in market price (resulting from reduced acreage allotments), is an illustration of the principle.

So far the discussion has been only in terms of net income after payment of variable costs. How much of this net income is left after fixed costs are paid as well? Figure 2 gives this picture for the county as a whole. Estimates from our study showed fixed costs to vary from about \$97 per acre on small sized farms down to about \$46 per acre on the largest sized farms. A weighted average for the county as a whole was \$53 per cropped acre. We here define fixed costs as depreciation, taxes, insurance, certain repairs not included as variable costs, and interest on investment excluding investment in the land. Thus, any net income left may be considered as net return to land and management.

Note that if fixed costs are spread evenly over all cropped acres at \$53 per acre (the low rectangle under the dotted line in Figure 2), only cotton covers its share of the fixed costs. On this basis, the grains and alfalfa cover less than 50 percent of their share of fixed costs per acre. However, a better way of observing the total net return to land and management is to redistribute the leftover fixed costs on the grain and alfalfa acreage to the cotton acreage and observe the remaining areas in the two cotton rectangles. The hatched areas in Figure 2 show that income which is needed to cover these fixed costs. The remaining white areas represent net return to land and management.

In 1967, net return to land and management is represented by the two small cotton rectangles above the hatched area plus the government payments rectangle (see Figure 2). If the cotton price situation of 1966 (22 cents per pound) had been illustrated, only a part of the government payments rectangle would have been left. That is, there is no net return to land and management on typical Pinal County farms without government payments when cotton sells at 22 cents per pound.



## COMPUTERIZED FARM RECORDS NOW EASIER TO USE

David Brueck, Extension Economist Ramon W. Sammons, Farm Management Specialist

At the farmers' request great strides have been made during 1968 in simplifying the farmers' job in using computerized farm records. By writing three numbers on each check, he can receive his monthly costs and income by enterprise, for the month, to date this year and per acre, or head for livestock. The first number, 1-9 designates the enterprise or overhead account. The second two numbers are 00-99 for income tax and cost summaries.

This new Management Accounting Program is called MAP-72.

-114-

A sample of the MAP-72 Check form is shown in Figure I. The number designating the enterprise 1-9, personally selected by each farmer, is placed under the <u>TO</u> on the check. The Income Tax Class is placed in that area with a two digit number. Deposit slips have similar coding spaces. A carbon below the check and deposit slip allows the copy to be sent to the computer center monthly with no additional work by the farmer.

If he is using a personal pocket-size checkbook, an insert, illustrated in Figure II, is inserted into the checkbook, the insert allows coding to take place wherever the check or deposit is written. He may also use this system with business checks written at his office or voucher type checks.

With this easy input the farmer receives reports as illustrated in Figures III and IV, one page like this for each enterprise. He can receive these as often as he wishes, weekly, monthly, quarterly, etc. Under EXPENSE TO THIS ACCOUNT at the top of each Enterprise report, the amounts of each check are listed separately for easy auditing. Receipts are shown at the bottom of the page. The name of each tax class is written at the left of the page. The dollar total for these, e.g., labor, fertilizer, etc., for the month is printed near the center and the total to date is printed at the far right of the report. The total of all classes on the report, both expenses and receipts, are printed at the bottom of each section.

When acreage designations, or other units, are given the computer center at the beginning of the year for each enterprise and total acreage for overhead such as the 480 acres showing on Figure IV, the Computer divides each total by that amount so the farm has costs per unit and income per year for the month on the left and to date this year on the right.

All of this information results from the three additional numbers written on each check. Also, with no additional information from the farmer, the following report pages are received (no samples are shown).

HARRISON P. SMITH 1234 MOUNTAIN AVENUE ANYWHERE, U.S.A.	FARM 0000	<b>15</b> 6 <u>00-00</u> 0000		
IORDER OF				
		_Dollars		
	TO TAX CLASS DETAIL	ITEM		
ANY BANK AND TRUST CO.				
41121000001 120345670 <b>8</b> 0				

FIGURE I

то			FOR	INCOME TAX	CLASS	
C + + + + +	32	ACCOUNTING	52	FARM ORGNZ	24	PENSION
1 00/100	07	AD CAPITAL	17	FUEL & ÓIL	60	POSTAGE
. Over where d	59	AD PUR RES	43	FUNGICIDES	61	PRESCRIPTI
2 OVEFACAG	33	ADVERTISING	45	GOVER PAYM	04	PURCHASE
•	35	BANK CHARG	22	HAULING	05	PURCH RESA
J	15	BREEDING	46	HERBICIDE	29	PURCHTRADE
4	23	CONSERVATI	47	HOSPITAL	63	REFUND
	27	CONTRIBUTI	48	INSECTICID	21	RENT
5	36	CUSTO WORK	69	INSPECTION	08	REPAIRS
_	38	DEFOLIANTS	19	INSURANCE	02	SALES
6	44	DENTIST	09	INTEREST	06	SALES RESA
-	39	DIREC FEE	00	LABOR	11	ŞEED
ſ	40	DIVIDENDS	53	labor exp	70	STORAGE
8	49	DOCTOR	54	LEGAL FEES	14	SUPPLIES
•	50	DRUGS	55	LICENSE	18	TAXES
9	68	DUES	13	Machi Hire	64	TRAVEL
	51	EDUCATION	56	MACHI LEAS	20	UTILITIES
MAP-72	41	FARM RECRD	57	MAR <b>e</b> k Chg	16	VET DRUGS
Check Book Insert	10	FEED	25	MEDICAL	65	WAGES INCO
Cooperative Extension Service	12	FERTILIZER	62	MISCELLAN	66	WATER
University of Arizona	42	FOOD	58	NEMATOCIDE	67	WATER ASSE

FIGURE II

JOHN Q COTTON FARNER South, Artzona				JUN; 1969 RUN 01/10/69			PAGE NO. 4						
		FARM	201		ACCOUNT	REPUR	1						
FROM ACCOUNT	FOR DATE TAX CLA	· ss	DETAIL DESCRIPTION	EX ITEM /EMP	PENSES TO THI *********** Amount	S ACCOU CURREI CKNO	UNT NT PERIOD QUANTITY	***** UNIT	****** CST/UN	******** CST/UN		DATE ****** AMOUNT	 \$\$?\$
								·					
701 STATE BANK	6/01 100 LAB	DR			\$190.00	101							
IVE STATE BARK	6715				\$190.00 \$380.00 B	110						\$2,340,00	50
					\$380.00 C	ř	200.00	ACR	1.90	11.70	200.00	\$2,340.00	SÇ
701 STATE BANK	6/02 112 FER	TILIZER			\$1,000.00	102							
					\$1,000.00 D	T T	200 00	400	6 00	17 60	200 00	\$3,500.00	SD
					\$1,000.00 C	•	200.00	ACK	9-00	11.50	200400	\$31300100	30
701 STATE BANK	6/18 119 INS	URANCE			\$1,360.00	- 111						** 260 00	60
					\$1,360.00 0	I T	200.00	ACR	6.80	6-80	200.00	\$1,300.00	SC SC
						•	200000				200100	*********	00
TOL STATE BANK	0/18 146 MER	SICIUE			\$375.00 D	104 T						\$275.00	\$6
					\$375.00 C	Ť	200.00	ACR	1.87	1.87	200.00	\$375.00	sc
701 STATE BANK	6/18 148 INS	CTICIO			\$650.00	103							
					\$650.00 D	Ţ						\$650.00	SD
					\$650.00 C	I T	200.00	ACR	3.25	3.25	200.00	\$670.00	50
					*3,709.00 K	. •	200.00	ACK	10.02	41.12	200.00	\$8,229.00	344
										l			
				SALES	(RECEIPTS) FR	OM THI	S ACCOUNT		*******		* * * *	·	
ACCOUNT	DATE TAX CLA	22	DESCRIPTION	76Wb	AMOUNT	CKNO	QUANTITY	UNIT	INCIUN	LINC/UN	QUANTITY	AMOUNT	****
701 STATE BANK	6/17 123 CON	SERVATE			\$256.00								
					\$256.00 0	Ŧ	200 00	100	1 30	1 1 20	300 00	\$256.00	) SD
					\$250.00 0		200.00	ALK	1.428	1.28	200+00	\$270.UL	1 36
701 STATE BANK	6/16 145 GOV	ER PAYM			\$2,480.00								
					\$2,480.00 0	T	200 00	400	12 / 0	12.60	200 00	\$2,480.00	) SD
					⇒21480-00 0 \$2.736.00 0	T	200.00	ACP	13.69	96.10	200+00	\$19.236-01	) SR#
					428130840 N	•	200.00	AUN	13400	1	200100		
										-			

FIGURE III

JOHN Q COTTON F South, Arizona						JUN, 1969 Run 01/10/69			1969 D/69	PAGE NO. 6		
	FARM	201		OVERHEAD ACCOUNT	REPOR 2	T						
				PENSES TO THE					ſ. <b>-</b>			
	DATE TAX CLASS	DESCRIPTION	/EMP	AMOUNT	CKNO	QUANTITY	UNIT	CST/UN	CST/UN	QUANTITY		<u> </u>
701 STATE BANK	6/30 100 LABOR			\$190.00	116							
				\$190.00 D	ĩ						\$530.00 SD	
				\$190.00 C	\$	480.00	ACR	. 39	1.10	480.00	\$530.00 SC	
701 STATE BANK	6/14 108 REPAIRS			\$230.00	108							
				\$230-00 0	T				ļ		\$230.00 SD	
				\$230.00 C	T	480.00	ACR	•47	•47	480-00	\$230.00 SC	
701 STATE BANK	6/01 117 FUEL & OIL			\$195.00	105							
701 STATE BANK	6/15			\$163.00	106				1			
				\$358.00 0	Ŧ						\$918.00 SD	
				\$358.00 C	т	480.00	ACR	-74	1.91	480.00	\$918.00 SC	
701 STATE BANK	6/10 118 TAXES			\$568.00	107				ł			
				\$568.00 D	T						\$568.00 SD	
				\$568.00 C	1	480.00	ACR	1.18	1-18	480-00	\$568-00 SC	
701 STATE BANK	6/17 166 WATER			\$456+00	109				1			
				\$456.00 0	F				1		\$456.00 SD	
				\$456.00 C	Ţ	480.00	ACR	•95	.95	480.00	\$456.00 SC	
				\$1,802.00 R	ł	480.00	ACR	3.75	5.62	480-00	\$2,702-00 SR*	2
									1			

FIGURE IV

- 1. Balance sheet updated, with five ratios.
- 2. Profit and Loss Summary by enterprise.
- 3. Checkbook Report with each check and deposit listed in order, with the name of the recipient and source of receipt. Totals are listed for easy reconciliation with the bank statement.
- 4. Income tax totals for auditing and transferring to the 1040 F.

Twelve other reports are available, but they require small amounts of additional information. The farmer decides the type of reports he wants and provides the information required to receive them. He may have reports for each of 100 enterprises and 999 pens or fields within each if he desires with analysis and budgets for each. Some of the additional reports are:

- 1. Labor Summary by laborer for Social Security Reports.
- 2. Cash Flow Summary and Budget Comparison.
- 3. Enterprise and Full Farm analyses with Budget Comparisons.

Problems of splitting checks for different items can be worked out easily and efficiently for the farmer, as can assignment of overhead costs to enterprises.

Farmers in Arizona can use this system independently or through their accountant at a cost of \$10 per month for a minimum number of checks. Your County Extension Office can give you the information required to use MAP-72. An arrangement can be made for Commercial interests or farmer groups to cooperatively use the program.

This program, developed by the University of Arizona Cooperative Extension Service in the College of Agriculture, is being used nationwide by banks, cooperatives, Production Credit Associations, etc.

Some farmers wait until they have problems before taking advantage of these tools which often is too late to do any good. The more cotton farmers in Arizona that avail themselves of these tools, the more that will make the adjustments necessary in their rapidly changing environment.