

Inheritance of Resistance to Permethrin by the Tobacco Budworm, *Heliothis virescens* (F): Implications for Resistance Management

Theo F. Watson and Suzanne E. Kelly

Abstract

A laboratory selected permethrin resistant strain of tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens* (F), was crossed with a susceptible strain to determine the nature of inheritance of the resistance. Crossing of these highly resistant and highly susceptible strains showed susceptibility to permethrin to be autosomal and incompletely dominant. Backcrosses of F_1 progeny with resistant males indicated either that more than one gene is responsible for the resistance in this strain, or that the strain was not homozygous for resistance. It is likely that more than one locus is influencing permethrin resistance. The crosses and backcrosses performed provided relevant information for resistance management in the field.

Introduction

The tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens* (F.), has long been a major pest of field crops in the Americas (Wolfenbarger *et al.* 1981) and in Arizona was first reported as a pest of cotton in 1972 (Watson 1974). Subsequently, it has been a sporadic pest in the major cotton-producing areas of the state with heavy, widespread populations occurring in 1976, 1977 and 1978.

During the initial tobacco budworm (TBW) outbreak in 1972 Lentz *et al.* (1974) obtained baseline data for susceptibility to several commonly-used insecticides, and found the population to be resistant to the organophosphates. In 1976, Crowder *et al.* (1979) established baselines for the synthetic pyrethroids, fenvalerate and permethrin. Since then, field-collected populations have been monitored to determine susceptibility to methyl parathion and permethrin at the end of each growing season (Watson *et al.* 1986, Watson and Kelly 1991).

In 1980, a laboratory culture was established using TBW from Maricopa Co., AZ., to initiate studies on selection for permethrin resistance. Selection through the first 12 generations demonstrated the capacity of the TBW to develop resistance to pyrethroid insecticides (Jensen *et al.* 1984). Continued selection resulted in dramatic increases in the LD_{50} 's. Because of the capacity of TBW and other *Heliothis* spp. to develop resistance to pyrethroid insecticides in the laboratory, and because of control failures in the field (Gunning *et al.* 1984, Plapp and Campanhola 1986) interest has been generated in finding ways to manage resistance in the field (Anonymous 1984, Luttrell and Roush 1987, Plapp 1987).

The inheritance of resistance to pyrethroids in Lepidoptera has not been studied as extensively as in Diptera. A 700-fold resistance to fenvalerate in the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) was autosomal, incompletely recessive, and due to more than one gene (Liu *et al.* 1981). Resistance to pyrethroids in *Heliothis armigera* in Australia was incompletely dominant and autosomal, but the number of genes responsible for the resistance was not conclusively demonstrated (Daly 1988).

Gunning and Easton 1987). Roush and Luttrell (1987) crossed pyrethroid resistant and susceptible TBW and found the susceptible trait to be incompletely dominant, however no attempt was made to further elucidate the genetics in this case. Payne *et al.* (1988) conducted the most thorough study of the inheritance of pyrethroid resistance in TBW. They utilized several resistant strains, two of which were subjected to a crossing scheme to produce homozygosity for resistance. Reciprocal crosses demonstrated that susceptibility was autosomal and incompletely dominant, and backcrossing of the F_1 to a resistant parental strain indicated that a single gene is responsible for the resistance.

Knowledge of the inheritance of resistance is essential to the development of any sound resistance management strategy. The pyrethroid resistant strain developed in our laboratory attained a level of resistance much higher than any of the strains utilized by the above researchers, and was believed to be approaching homozygosity for resistance. Therefore, studies were initiated in 1985 to determine the inheritance of resistance to permethrin in the TBW. The information generated in these studies could be utilized in resistance management strategies.

Materials and Methods

Strains. The susceptible strain (S) used in this experiment was obtained from the USDA Western Biological Control Laboratory in Tucson, AZ, and was maintained in our laboratory for the duration of this experiment. This strain has been reared without exposure to insecticides since 1965. The permethrin resistant strain (PsHi=R) has been selected every generation with permethrin in the laboratory since the fall of 1980, and had attained >1000-fold resistance (LD_{50} 4000-9000 $\mu\text{g/g}$ vs 3-5 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for susceptible field strain) by the time these tests were conducted. Portions of the experiment were conducted using the F_{36} , F_{41} and F_{43} generations of the R strain.

Bioassays. Dosage mortality tests were modified from the standard method recommended by the Entomological Society of America (Anon. 1970). Third instar larvae weighing 22 ± 6 mg were topically treated in the dorsal thoracic region with 1 μl droplets of serial dilutions of technical permethrin (98.4% purity) in acetone. Three or more replicates of 25 larvae were used at each of five to eight doses. Mortality was assessed 72 h after treatment. Controls were treated with acetone alone. No mortality was observed among the controls.

Crosses. Following eclosion of adults, reciprocal crosses [$R \sigma \times S \text{♀}$ (RS); $S \sigma \times R \text{♀}$ (SR)] were made in 3.8 L (1 gal) glass jars, with approximately 20 pairs per jar. Untreated F_1 progeny from the two crosses involving the R F_{36} generation were either mated with others from the same cross to produce F_2 generations, or separately backcrossed to S males. Untreated F_2 progeny of both RS and SR crosses were used to produce F_3 generations.

In the R F_{41} generation, $R \sigma$ were crossed with $S \text{♀}$ using the above technique. Some of the untreated F_1 generation were used to produce the F_2 , and the remainder of the females were backcrossed with R $F_{42} \sigma$. This procedure was repeated with the R F_{43} generation, except that the initial cross was $S \sigma \times R \text{♀}$, and the backcross utilized R $F_{44} \sigma$.

Analysis of Data. Dosage mortality lines were obtained for all crosses at each generation, but not for the resistant strain during the generations in which they were being used as a parental strain. Dosage mortality results were obtained for R F_{37} , F_{39} , and F_{44} . Dosage mortality regressions were computed by probit analysis using a Fortran program to perform the calculations described by Finney (1971). LD_{50} values and slopes were compared using means, confidence intervals and standard errors.

Results and Discussion

Table I presents dosage mortality results for the resistant and susceptible strains of TBW and the various crosses resulting from these parentages. The R F₃₇ generation (that following the crossing experiments with R F₃₆ generation) was highly resistant to permethrin. Reciprocal crosses of this resistant strain with the susceptible (S) strain produced F₁'s with LD₅₀'s much closer to that of the S parent, but nevertheless with a 14- to 18-fold level of resistance, indicating that susceptibility apparently was inherited as an incompletely dominant trait. The F₁ generations from crosses involving the R F₄₁ and R F₄₃ produced similar results. There was no difference between the R σ x S φ and the S σ x R φ crosses, indicating that the gene(s) involved are not sex linked. Results similar to these were reported by Payne *et al.* (1988). Little change was noted in the LD₅₀'s of the F₁, F₂, and F₃'s. However, when F₁ φ were backcrossed to S and R σ , LD₅₀'s were further reduced in the former and considerably increased in the latter. In contrast to the findings of Payne *et al.*, our backcrossing results indicated that more than one gene is probably involved in the resistance. It should be noted that the R σ backcrosses, as detailed in the methods section, utilized generations F₄₂ for the R σ x (RS F₁ φ) backcross, and F₄₄ for the R σ x (SR F₁ φ) backcross.

The backcrosses to S σ provide little additional information. The LD₅₀ is intermediate to that of the S and F₁, and the slope is steep. If monogenic inheritance were assumed, this would be expected since the progeny should be 50% homozygous susceptible (SS) and 50% heterozygous (Ss), and these phenotypes do not differ too greatly. However, this does not rule out polygenic influences.

It is likely that there is more than one locus for resistance, possibly corresponding to more than one mechanism of resistance. Other studies we are conducting indicate that more than one mechanism of resistance may be present in this strain. These mechanisms have not yet been quantified, and it is possible that the results observed here are due to more than one mechanism playing a role in the resistance. There is evidence that target site insensitivity is a major resistance mechanism in this strain, and that metabolism may play a lesser role (Kelly 1988). This would account for the agreement of our results with those of Payne *et al.* (1988), who also found susceptibility to be incompletely dominant in strains whose major mechanism of resistance was target site insensitivity. In contrast, Daly (1988) found resistance to be incompletely dominant in strains of *H. armigera* in which increased metabolism by mixed function oxidases was responsible for the resistance.

These data show two important points that would be most relevant to insecticide resistance management; susceptibility is incompletely dominant and it is autosomal. Because the resistance is recessive, it would be easily selected, and therefore it would be important to avoid widespread treatments of successive generations with a pyrethroid. These precautions would ensure a source of susceptible individuals which could mate with pyrethroid-selected populations and thus maintain the dominant susceptible genes in local populations. While resistance developed in the field might differ from that of the laboratory selected strain examined here, this resistance management strategy could be applied at least until more specific information is obtained.

Populations usually do not develop in cotton in AZ until late summer and fall and are subjected to insecticidal pressure for a relatively short period of time, if at all. Moreover, TBW develop initially on a number of wild hosts which never receive insecticidal treatments (Rathman and Watson 1985), thereby providing a reservoir of susceptible individuals for mating with those subjected to insecticidal pressure. These phenomena probably help explain why populations of TBW in AZ are not yet resistant to the pyrethroid insecticides.

Literature Cited

- Anonymous. 1970. Second conference on test methods for resistance in insects of agricultural importance. *Bull. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 16: 147-153.
- Anonymous. 1984. Pyrethroid resistance - Control strategy for *Heliothis* 1985-86. AGDEX622, Queensland Dept. of Primary Industries, G.P.O. Box 46, Brisbane, Q4001.
- Crowder, L.A., M.S. Tollefson and T.F. Watson. 1979. Dosage-mortality studies of synthetic pyrethroids and methyl parathion on the tobacco budworm in central Arizona. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 72: 1-3.
- Daly, J.C. 1988. Insecticide resistance in *Heliothis armigera* in Australia. *Pestic. Sci.* 23: 165-176.
- Finney, D.J. 1971. Probit Analysis. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. 333p.
- Gunning, R.V. and C.S. Easton. 1987. Inheritance of resistance to fenvalerate in *Heliothis armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Aust. Ent. Soc.* 26: 249-250.
- Gunning, R.V., C.S. Easton, L.R. Greenup and V.E. Edge. 1984. Pyrethroid resistance in *Heliothis armiger* (Hübner) in Australia. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 77: 1283-1287.
- Jensen, M.P., L.A. Crowder and T.F. Watson. 1984. Selection for permethrin resistance in the tobacco budworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 77: 1409-1411.
- Kelly, S.E. 1988. Metabolism and penetration of permethrin in resistant and susceptible strains of tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens*. M.S. Thesis, Univ. of Arizona. 135 pp.
- Lentz, G.L., T.F. Watson and R.V. Carr. 1974. Dosage-mortality studies on laboratory-reared larvae of the tobacco budworm and the bollworm. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 67: 719-720.
- Liu, M., Y. Tzeng and C. Sun. 1981. Diamondback moth resistance to several synthetic pyrethroids. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 74: 393-396.
- Luttrell, R.G. and R.T. Roush. 1987. Strategic approaches to avoid or delay development of resistance to insecticides. pp. 31-33 *In Proc. 1987. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf. Nat. Cotton Council, Memphis Tenn.*
- Payne, Gregory T., Robert G. Blenk, and Thomas M. Brown. 1988. Inheritance of permethrin resistance in the tobacco budworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 81: 65-73.
- Plapp, F.W., Jr. 1987. Managing resistance to synthetic pyrethroids in the tobacco budworm. pp. 224-226 *In Proc. 1987 Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf. Nat. Cotton Council, Memphis Tenn.*
- Plapp, F.W., Jr., and C. Campanhola. 1986. Synergism of pyrethroids by chlordimeform against susceptible and resistant *Heliothis*, pp. 167-169. *In Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf. Nat. Cotton Council, Memphis, Tenn.*
- Rathman, R.J. and T.F. Watson. 1985. A survey of early-season host plants and parasites of *Heliothis* spp. in Arizona. *J. Agric. Entomol.* 2: 388-394.
- Roush, R.T. and R.G. Luttrell. 1987. The phenotypic expression of pyrethroid resistance in *Heliothis* and implications for resistance management. pp. 220-224. *In Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf. Nat. Cotton Council, Memphis, Tenn.*
- Watson, T.F. 1974. Tobacco budworm and bollworm control in western cotton. *In Proc., Western Cotton Prod. Conf.*, 79pp.
- Watson, T.F., L.A. Crowder and S.E. Kelly. 1986. Pyrethroid and methyl parathion susceptibility of the tobacco budworm in Arizona. *Southwest Entomol.* 11: 281-286.
- Watson, T.F. and S.E. Kelly. 1991. Response of the tobacco budworm to permethrin and methyl parathion in Arizona, 1977-1989. *Southwest Entomol.* (In press).
- Wolfenbarger, D.A., P.R. Bodegas and R. Flores. 1981. Development of resistance in *Heliothis* spp. in the Americas, Africa and Asia. *Bull. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 27: 181-185.

Table 1. Dosage Mortality Results for Resistant and Susceptible Strains and all Crosses.

STRAIN ^a	LD ₅₀ (95% CI) ^b	LD ₉₅ (95% CI) ^b	SLOPE(SE)	RR ^c
S	0.21(0.20-0.23)	0.59(0.51-0.68)	3.69(0.23)	1
R F ₃₇ ^d	5530(4850-6300)	38100(27700-52600)	1.96(0.15)	26100
RσxS♀ ^e				
F ₁	2.92(2.33-3.60)	22.0(14.9-39.5)	1.88(0.13)	13.8
F ₂	2.34(1.98-2.76)	39.2(24.2-63.6)	1.34(0.11)	11.0
F ₃	2.73(2.29-3.30)	17.5(11.1-27.9)	2.04(0.13)	12.9
SσxF ₁ ♀	0.71(0.61-0.82)	3.54(2.56-4.89)	2.36(0.18)	3.3
SσxR♀ ^e				
F ₁	3.86(3.03-4.84)	18.4(12.6-34.4)	2.43(0.19)	18.2
F ₂	2.57(2.23-2.96)	26.0(17.0-39.8)	1.64(0.14)	12.1
F ₃	3.80(3.09-4.76)	46.5(25.9-85.3)	1.51(0.10)	17.9
SσxF ₁ ♀	0.63(0.56-0.71)	3.46(2.77-4.33)	2.22(0.15)	3.0
R F ₃₉ ^d	4130(3650-4680)	31000(21500-44700)	1.88(0.16)	19500
RσxS♀ ^f				
F ₁	4.87(3.92-5.88)	16.8(12.5-27.9)	3.05(0.19)	23.0
F ₂	2.81(2.46-3.20)	26.2(18.9-36.2)	1.70(0.12)	13.3
RσxF ₁ ♀	39.8(27.7-57.2)	3670(1300-10400)	0.84(0.06)	188
R F ₄₄ ^d	8930(7890-10100)	61700(45900-83100)	1.96(0.14)	42100
SσxR♀ ^g				
F ₁	4.89(4.50-5.32)	16.9(13.6-21.0)	3.05(0.25)	14.4
F ₂	5.43(4.82-6.11)	40.1(29.1-55.0)	1.90(0.14)	25.6
RσxF ₁ ♀	42.7(30.5-59.9)	11200(4060-30700)	0.68(0.07)	201

^aCrosses are grouped under the original parental cross.

^bLD₅₀ and LD₉₅ values are expressed in μg insecticide/g larval weight. The 95% confidence interval is shown in parentheses.

^cRR = resistance ratio = (LD₅₀ of strain)/(LD₅₀ of S).

^dThe resistant generations listed represent the closest generations to those used in the crosses for which dosage mortality results were obtained.

^eThe resistant F₃₆ generation was used in this cross.

^fThe resistant F₄₁ generation was used in this cross, and the F₄₂ generation was used in the subsequent backcross.

^gThe resistant F₄₃ generation was used in this cross, and the F₄₄ generation was used in the subsequent backcross.