Annual Subscription, \$1.50

Single Copy, 50c

THE TREE-RING SOCIETY

Tree-Ring Laboratory
University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona

THE TREE-RING BULLETIN

Archaeology......Mr. W. S. Stallings, Jr. Botany......Dr. Charles J. Lyon

AUTHORS

The Tree-Ring Bulletin will publish papers resulting from original research in tree-rings in relation to climatology, archaeology, and other fields. For reports of projects in tree-ring dating, a tabular form as in Vol. 6, No. 1 is suggested. Until funds are available authors will be requested to pay the cost of illustrations. Each contributor will be given twenty-five copies of the Bulletin in which his article appears.

SUBSCRIBERS

All correspondence regarding subscriptions should be addressed to Mr. Edmund Schulman, Tree-Ring Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.

DATES FROM WUPATKI PUEBLO

JOHN C. McGregor

Wupatki Pueblo is located on the Wupatki National Monument, some 28 miles airline northeast of Flagstaff, Arizona, in red sandstone country, on the edge of the Painted Desert. The houses of the site, some of which still retain roof beams in position, are arranged along a narrow ridge and take advantage of the natural topography of the ridge including the use of the flat faces of large stone blocks as walls. Behind the ruin is a high lavacapped mesa, and in front of it stretches the desert with an unbroken view far across the Little Colorado River. Most important is the presence of a good permanent spring, which more than likely determined the location of the pueblo. Several other large sites, all within the Monument, may be seen from Wupatki Pueblo.

Dates from the site previously have been reported by Douglass (a series of 69 dates between 1073 and 1205 A.D.), and by McGregor (the range of 49 dates between 1084 and 1179 A.D.). There is duplication of specimens in the two series but lacking detailed data it is not possible to correlate them at the present time.

The specimens reported are deposited in the Museum of Northern Arizona and have been accumulated over a number of years. Several were collected by the late Mr. J. C. Clarke while he was custodian of the Monument. Small collections were made by the writer from large beams which at one time were scattered about the surface of the site. By far the greatest number came from expeditions undertaken or sponsored by the Museum of Northern Arizona. The latter group is now particularly important because it may be correlated with specific rooms or with specific stratigraphic horizons. Additional dates probably could be secured from the material already collected, but at the present time these possible additional dates do not seem to warrant the necessary work.

Dendrochronology. The most common wood represented by the specimens is apparently ponderosa pine, with only a few pieces of pinyon and rare

A. E. Douglass, The Secret of the Southwest Solved by Talkative Tree-Rings, Nat. Geog. Mag. 65, 743, map, 1929; Dating Pueblo Bonito and Other Ruins of the Southwest, Nat. Geog. Soc. Tech. Papers, Pueblo Bonito Series No. 1, 52, 1935 (which see especially); Southwestern Dated Ruins: V, Tree-Ring Bul. 5, 10-13, 1938. J. C. McGregor, Southwestern Dated Ruins: III, Tree-Ring Bul. 4, n. 4, 6. 1938.

² A report by Katherine Bartlett, of the Museum of Northern Arizona, is in preparation.

pieces of juniper. Much of the wood here designated as pine is extremely discolored and resembles Douglas fir in appearance. However, a microscopic examination of the specimens failed to reveal the characteristics peculiar to Douglas fir and it is here identified as ponderosa pine. Today the mesa above Wupatki has a profusion of growing junipers, and a few miles back from the edge of the mesa there are clusters of residual pines.

The dated records of the Wupatki specimens match readily into Douglass' diagram of the Central Pueblo Chronology. Such differences as occur arise mainly through variations in accent of certain rings, and are variations of degree rather than of kind. For comparative purposes a pattern plot of the Wupatki sequence is given below.

```
1062 1065 or 65 1067 1069 1070 (1071B) 1072-73-74-75

1078 (1079-80B) 1081-82 1085-86 (1087B) 1088-89-90-91 1093 or 93

1095 (loc.ab.) 1098-99-(1100 var.) (1101-02B) 1105 1107 or 07

1108 1111 1113 or 13 1115 (1117-18-19-20B) (1118 rarely small)

1121 1122 (or 22B) 1123 or 23 1126 1128 or 28 (1129B) 1130-31-32-33

(1137-38 var.) 1140 (1143 or normal) 1146 1148 1150 (1151 var.)

(1153-54 var.) 1156 1158 1161 (1164 var.) 1166 1168-69 1174-75-76-77

1179 1182 or 82 1185-86 or 86 1189-90-91-92.
```

Distinctive and consistent short series of rings which are of the greatest aid in locating the dates of individual specimens have been termed "signatures" by Douglass. In the Wupatki series, and between 1060 and 1192, occur four such signatures: 1062-1070, 1121-1126, 1146-1161, and 1175-1182.

Most of the specimens from Wupatki have sensitive and datable rings. Complacent records are uncommon, and supersensitive records are extremely rare.

Ecological Change. Wupatki Pueblo is located well within the area of cinder fall from the eruption of Sunset Crater. It has been generally assumed that the presence of this cinder cover attracted people to the site by making agriculture more profitable than it had been, and that, with the blowing off of the cinders sometime later, the people left. Wupatki is believed to have been occupied sometime between the first leveling of the cinders, when agriculture was most remunerative, and the time when the local cinders blew away and it was no longer profitable to raise crops.

Archaeology. In Colton's system of indicating cultural relationships Wupatki may be placed as predominantly of the Elden Focus. This focus is a part of the Sinagua Branch, which is considered a segment of the Mogollon Root.⁴ The site is a later manifestation of the culture found at the Ridge Ruin, only 20 miles to the south, with which it shares outstanding and unusually rich cultural material, apparently derived from several sources.⁵ Outstanding traits include profuse and characteristic carving in bone, wood,

³ A. E. Douglass, Estimated Ring Chronology, VI: 1050-1200, Tree-Ring Bul. 2, 13-16, 1935; *Ibid.* 6, 39, 1940.

⁴ H. S. Colton, Prehistoric Culture Units and Their Relationships in Northern Arizona, Mus. North. Arizona Bul. 17, 1939.

⁵ J. C. McGregor, Winona and Ridge Ruin, I, Mus. North. Arizona Bul. 18, 1941.

DATED SPECIMENS FROM SITE N. A. 405 (WUPATKI)

| | | | | | | SS | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| i. | | A) | s. | S. | of men | er of it Rir | ated | Location |
| Number | Outside Dated Ring | Inside Dated Ring | Radius, mm. | Species | Form of Specimen | Number of Absent Rings | Estimated Bark Date | Loc |
| Miscellaneous | | | | | | | | |
| F. 3808 | 1173 | 1109 | 26 | Pnn. | 1/4 Sec. | 0 | 1176 ± 3 | Misc. |
| $\frac{2532}{3834}$ | $\frac{1167}{1152}$ | $\frac{1092}{1098}$ | 59 44 | P <u>,</u> P. | ½ Sec. ¼ Sec. | $egin{array}{c} 0 \ 2 \end{array}$ | 1167 1155±3 | " |
| 3840 | 1151 | 1077 | 63 | Pnn. | ⅓ Sec. | 2 | 1151 | " |
| 2510 | 1139 | 1096 | $\begin{array}{c} 38 \\ 42 \end{array}$ | P ,P . | Sec. | 0 0 | 1145 ± 6 1132 ± 1 | " |
| 2538 2552 | $\frac{1131}{1130}$ | $\frac{1091}{1077}$ | 43 | ,, | Sec. ½ Sec. | 1 | $1130+\mathbf{X}$ | ,, |
| 2519 | 1128 | 1077 | 44 | " | ½ Sec. | 1 | 1131 ± 3 | " |
| 2545 2525 | $1127 \\ 1125$ | $\frac{1028}{1019}$ | 57 86 | Pnn. | ½ Sec. ½ Sec. | 0 0 | 1130±3 1130±5 | " |
| 2351 | 1124 | 1024 | 110 | P.P. | Core | 1 | 1127 ± 3 | " |
| 2989 | 1120 | 1011 | 60 66 | " | Sec. | $_{0}^{0}$ | $1140\pm 20 \ 1125\pm 5$ | " |
| $2529 \\ 2541$ | $\frac{1120}{1118}$ | $1032 \\ 1047$ | 42 | ,, | Splint Splint | 0 | 1125 ± 5 1122 ± 5 | " |
| W. 5 | 1116 | 1012 | 68 | " | Core | 0 | 1121 ± 5 | " |
| W. 6 2520 | $\frac{1112}{1104}$ | $\frac{1025}{1033}$ | 61 65 | " | Core ½ Sec. | $\frac{1}{0}$ | $1113\pm 1 \\ 1114\pm 10$ | " |
| 2991 | 1094 | 1032 | 61 | Jun? | Sec. | 0 | 1095 ± 1 | " |
| 2513 | 1074 on. # 2. | 1017 | 52 | P.P. | Sec. | 1 | 1084 ± 10 | ,, |
| F. 3902 | 1159 | 1129 | 40 | ,, | Sec. | 0 | 1159 | # 24 |
| Section | om. #3. | | 40 | ,, | | 0 | 1100 | |
| F. 3704 3758 | $\frac{1192}{1167}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1162 \\ 1131 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 43 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | ,, | Sec. Sec. | 0 0 | $1192 \\ 1167$ | # X 2 X 2 |
| F. 3895 | on # 4. 1190 | 1104 | 58 | ,, | ½ Sec. | 0 | 1192±2 | # 58 |
| 3864 | 1189 | 1165 | 25 | " | Sec. | 0 | 1189 | # 20 |
| $\frac{3884}{3862}$ | $\frac{1189}{1170}$ | $\frac{1154}{1123}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 42 \\ 44 \end{array}$ | " | Sec. Sec. | 0 0 | $1191\pm 2\ 1175\pm 5$ | # 56 # 54 |
| 3865 | 1164 | 1126 | 38 | ,, | Sec. | ŏ | 1175 = 5 | # 33 |
| 3737 | 1159 | 1135 | 23 | " | Frag. | 0 | 1159 | # 130 |
| $\frac{3871}{3899}$ | 1155 1151 | $\frac{1128}{1092}$ | $\frac{45}{39}$ | ,, | ¾ Sec. ⅓ Sec. | 0 0 | $1155 \\ 1153 \pm 2$ | # 56 # 54 # 33 # 130 # 30 # 73 |
| Koom # 35. | | | | | | | | |
| F. 2949 3215 | $\frac{1190}{1161}$ | $\frac{1134}{1117}$ | 48 5 0 | ,, | ½ Sec. Sec. | 0 0 | $1190 \\ 1161$ | # A. 5 |
| 2948 | 1160 | 1084 | 53 | Pnn. | Sec. | ŏ | 1160 | # A. 7 |
| 3213 | 1160 | 1134 | 38 | P.P. | Sec. | 0 | 1160 | |
| $\frac{3018}{2973}$ | $1159 \\ 1156$ | $\frac{1133}{1120}$ | 28 35 | Pnn. P.P. | ¾ Sec. Sec. | 0 0 | $^{1164\pm 5}_{1156+{ m X}}$ | # Roof B. # B 7 |
| 3012 | 1150 | 1113 | 43 | " | Sec. | 0 | 1150 | # Roof B. |
| $\frac{2952}{2951}$ | $\frac{1127}{1127}$ | $\frac{1090}{1093}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 48 \\ 47 \end{array}$ | " | ½ Sec. Sec. | 0 0 | $1127 \\ 1127$ | # B 2? # B 1 |
| $\frac{2931}{2947}$ | 1099 | 936 | 133 | " | Plank | 3 | 1099 + X | # A. 6 |
| 2971 | 1081 | 1058 | 40 | Jun ? | Sec. | 0 | 1101 ± 20 | # Roof B. # B 7 # Roof B. # B 2? # B. 1 # A. 6 # B 3? |
| F. 3030 | 1 # 36. 1137 | 1096 | 29 | P.P. | ⅓ Sec. | 0 | 1137 | Roof C. |
| 2954 | 1129 | 1108 | 38 | - ;; | Sec. | Ŏ | 1129 | # 4 |
| Room F. 3746 | 1 # 41. 1172 | 1138 | 39 | ,, | ½ Sec. | 0 | 1175±3 | X 3 |
| Room | ı # 4 5. | | | | | | | |
| F. 2982 | 1145 | 1088 | 53 85 | " Dnn | Sec. | 0 | 1150 ± 5 | Roof A. |
| 2981 Roo m | 1137 ı # 46 . | 1027 | 85 | Pnn. | Sec. | 0 | 1140±3 | Roof A. |
| F. 2996 | 1177 | 1128 | 40 | P.P. | Sec. | 0 | 1180 ± 3 | Roof B. |
| $\frac{3002}{2995}$ | $1160 \\ 1156$ | $\frac{1092}{1108}$ | 50 65 | " | Sec. ½ Sec. | $\frac{1}{0}$ | $^{1160}_{1166\pm10}$ | Roof B. |
| 2993 2993 | 1149 | 1118 | 37 | ,, | Sec. | ő | 1149 ± 1 | " " |
| 3006 | 1094 | 1032 | 79 | ,, | Sec. | 0 | 1094±X | Rf. beam sup. |
| F. 4202 | 1 # 61A. 1184 | 1154 | 28 | ,, | Sec. | 0 | 1184 | Roof |
| | | | | | | | | |

Three other beams have been dated in the past, and the short records kept of them checked again, but the actual specimens could not be found to complete the data listed above. They are as follows:

F. 2524—Outside date 1126—Inside 1085—Loc: Misc. W. 2 —Outside date 1127—Inside 1079—Loc: Misc. F. 3837—Outside date 1148—Inside 1091—Loc: Misc.

shell and stone; the extremely wide use of paint; a peculiar painted basketry; the use of lac as a modeling and adhesive material, and characteristic masonry unusually good for this section of the Southwest. Walls have rubble cores which are faced with carefully laid small blocks of sandstone and limestone. In the ceramic complex at Wupatki Winona Brown and Sunset Red are the most common plain type and there is some Turkey Hill Red. Tusayan Corrugated and Moenkopi Corrugated are abundant. The painted types include Citadel Polychrome, Flagstaff Black-on-White and Walnut Black-on-White, with Sosi Black-on-White and Wupatki Black-on-White present in smaller amounts.⁶ This is an early Pueblo III complex.

As an examination of the accompanying list of dates will show, early beams were reused in various parts of the pueblo.

Associated with the site is the only known southwestern ball court built completely of masonry. There is also a large circular walled structure, termed a "dance plaza," which in form and location is strongly suggestive of the Great Kivas of the Chaco Canyon and similar sites. It is of interest that the later dates from the Chaco overlap with the earlier dates from Wupatki.

The resemblance between Wupatki and the Bear Ruin in east-central Arizona reported by Haury may also be noted. Here there is a unit of rooms, a large circular kiva with a wide opening on one side, and the dates are almost identical with those from Wupatki.

DATES FROM KINNIKINNICK PUEBLO

JOHN C. McGregor

Kinnikinnick Pueblo is a late Pueblo III and early Pueblo IV site on Anderson Mesa, some 35 miles southeast of Flagstaff, Arizona. One room, room no. 3, was dug by an expedition conducted by Mr. Milton Wetherill and Sidney Connor, for the Museum of Northern Arizona, in the summer of 1940 in an effort to collect tree-ring material which would bridge a short gap in the local Flagstaff series. This gap originally consisted of some forty years at the end of the thirteenth century and the beginning of the fourteenth. The material collected did not close this gap, failing to do so by only six years, but did extend the chronology to 1311 A.D.

The archaeology of this site gives every evidence that beams may be expected which will fill this small period, for pottery types are found here which definitely date later than 1311. The most common decorated pottery complex consists of Jeddito Black-on-Yellow, Bidahochi Polychrome, and

<sup>H. S. Colton, Winona and Ridge Ruin, II, Mus. North. Ariz. Bul. 19, 1941.
H. S. Colton and L. L. Hargrave, Handbook of Northern Arizona Pottery Wares,</sup> Mus. North. Ariz. Bul. 11, 1937.

 $^{^7}$ E. W. Haury, New Tree-Ring Dates from the Forestdale Valley, East Central Arizona, Tree-Ring Bul. 7, 14-16, 1940.