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ABSTRACT

These studies trace the development of a mid-twentieth century romanticism, a NeoRomanticism distinct from both an earlier High Modernism and a later Postmodernism. The focus is on six twentieth century writers, all but one American: D.H. Lawrence (English), Paul Bowles, Robert Lowell, John Ashbery, William Stafford, and Tim O'Brien. Neoromantics seek to relandscape the derealized self by venturing outward; venturing outward they both empty and refurbish the self. By pursuing a new self or taking an extreme course— that is, the long way home—they come to an unexpected conclusion: they discover the illusion of liberty, of democracy, of self-agency, and thus the great truth of old orders, deeper than tradition.
Foreword

How to begin to find a shape--to begin again turning the inside out... William Carlos Williams

...giving a form to inner life from outside, from another consciousness. Mikhail Bakhtin

You shall stand by my side and look in the mirror of me.
Walt Whitman

These studies trace the development of a modernism somewhat distinct from the Late Symbolism or High Modernism Edmund Wilson describes in Axel's Castle. Although the first motive in writing each study was to explore a specific relationship between subject and form in a particular artistic work or works, the writers surveyed here make up a kind of constellation of their own, more or less outside of the matrix Wilson points to in his discussion of W.B. Yeats, Paul Valery, T.S. Eliot, Marcel Proust, James Joyce, and Gertrude Stein. Here I examine late moderns from D.H.
Lawrence to Tim O'Brien. These writers differ from Wilson's representative high moderns in that they pursue, to varying and various degrees, what Wilson himself calls a "life of pure action and more primitive civilizations" (an attitude he associates with Arthur Rimbaud). In other words, such writers are romantics—or more accurately, "neoromantics" as they belong to the second wave or twentieth century version of romanticism. My principle examples, Lawrence, Paul Bowles, Robert Lowell, John Ashbery, William Stafford, and O'Brien, tend toward a greater interest in travel and adventure, work in more intimate, voice-centered as opposed to mythic, technique-centered modes, and often tend toward plainly personal-social as opposed to symbolical-aesthetic interpretive models. Neoromanticism is, in part, a reaction to the submerging of "self" in High Modernism. Neoromantic texts emphasize experiential, confessional, and expressionist modes, and in doing so reorient the self-in-culture alignment of High Modernism toward a self/landscape or self/other vision. They tend to reject the general dispersion of self and flattening of experience found in Postmodernism, which reframes High Modernism in terms of deconstruction instead of construction yet primarily concerns itself, like High Modernism, with questions of language rather than self and experience. However, Postmodernism is discussed throughout these studies, especially in relation to such contemporary figures as Ashbery and O'Brien.

In dealing with what Wilson rightly identifies as the key twentieth-century concern, consciousness, neoromantics shift the ground from High Modernism's tradition-consciousness, that is,
the "self" forged through the analysis of and remaking of inherited cultural traditions, to an other-consciousness, the "self" exposed and transformed through contact with distant (yet strangely familiar) lands. The use of a lyric structure of epic memory--my definition of High Modernism--which late moderns or neoromantics inherit, leads ultimately to a desire on the part of the latter to find some alternative to a kind of cul-de-sac of self-analysis and aestheticism. Late Symbolism and High Modernism's inclusive and sublimating stream of consciousness--the whole of which is presented in a somewhat detached and ironic manner--tends to submerge Self and Other within interior monologue and unified symbolic sites. The method is primarily a rendering of states of mind through the symphonic interplay of light and color. The central preoccupation is time and memory. The last work discussed in this book, Tim O'Brien's *In the Lake of the Woods*, is to a great extent late symbolist and high modernist (and postmodernist, the evil twin) in precisely such ways as I've mentioned. And, of course, so are the other works discussed, if to a lesser extent. Yet a difference remains, I believe, in the work of those I've chosen to discuss, including O'Brien's. The neoromantic seeks to relandscape the self by venturing outward; venturing outward he both empties and refurbishes the self. He is still highly self-conscious, as all moderns must be, but he has seen new things, new sides of himself.

Yet in the end the Other--however exotic or primitive--
turns out to be a kind of mirror of the self, a listener, or in Whitman's terms, a "camerado." Or, in Joseph Conrad's terms, a "secret sharer." Art, especially romantic art, and particularly modern romantic art, is about telling secrets, as Conrad was among the first to fully explore. The challenge for the writer is to create a form in which he can spy on himself. And in which we, as readers, can spy on ourselves. The first requirement is to, in Lawrence's words, experience one's self being "canceled out." Often this takes place as a result of an encounter with a foreign and previously unacknowledged Other. Once canceled out, one begins to see one's self in strangers--Catherine seeing herself in Heathcliff, for example, or Kit, in Paul Bowles's *The Sheltering Sky*, in a passing nomadic tribe of Arab men.

Romantics and especially neoromantics are willing to speak their passions more openly, are willing to tell the truth, however shameful or belated or difficult to articulate; they expose themselves for what they are, hurt, hungry, incomplete, without prospects, thus finally not separate from the world around them. The challenge is not only a confessional one, but also a hermeneutic and heuristic one: the job is to translate the hints, the hard-to-identify feelings and longings--lost selves--into human, intimate terms and into action. And only by plundering and unhousing the self do we wind up back where we started, fresh, ready for life and death.

Of course the risk is solipsism. Among my six "neoromantics" only Lawrence and Stafford seem strongly grounded in a substantial local culture, one they've known since
childhood. (Among high modernists, Joyce and Faulkner—and Yeats, whom I'd label early modernist—hold a similar advantage.) Bowles, Lowell, and O'Brien often seem strangely unmoored. Ashbery does also but has learned to make that his mooring. In contemporary writing we must turn to suburbanite Philip Larkin or outdoorsman/novelist Jim Harrison, for example, to find a renewed sense of local grandeur. The renewed appreciation for the common and familiar which arrived with contemporary "minimalist" literature offers a chastening example to those who would pursue new experience at all and any cost.

The preponderance of American writers among my neoromantics reflects not only my own American background, but also the nature of America as a place devoted to both self and new world creation (of course this too is a "tradition"). Lawrence is the lone Englishmen in these pages; and I take up his Mexican novel, his North American work. Richard Poirier rightly identifies Lawrence, who figures strongly here, as being "by temperament an 'American' writer" because, like Emerson and Whitman, he sought a style which "would release hitherto unexpressed dimensions of the self into space...." Late modern texts of a romantic bent emphasize geography over psychology, cosmography over cosmology. Whitman is of course the key figure in regard to the romantic and American emphasis on self-exposure, especially in terms of imagining the self in geographical terms—as Manchild in the Promised Land. An ethnographic imperative runs throughout the works discussed in these pages.
Generally I limit myself to works dating between 1925-1975. World War I and the Vietnam War are the extreme boundaries of my inquiry. O'Brien's *In the Lake of the Woods* was published in 1995--and indeed it is in many respects more postmodern than neoromantic--but the setting for the novel is the Vietnam era and the tenor of the novel, its tendency to moral allegory in a pastoral space, is in keeping with the other works I discuss. Further limitations result of course from my emphasis on the neoromantic, antinomian strain of mid-century work, but my argument is that is a primary strain and one that infuses the period as a whole. And importantly, it is precisely by reaching a state of antinomian distance, and thereby coming to understand what Lawrence calls "the illusion of liberty," that neoromantics swerve toward a vision of some basic, even primitive version of community. By pursuing a new self or taking an extreme course--that is, the long way home--they come to an unexpected conclusion: they discover the illusion of liberty, of democracy, of self-agency, and thus the great truth of old orders, deeper than tradition.

I wish to acknowledge Roger Bowen for his helpful suggestions and criticisms in response to earlier drafts of this manuscript as well as for his unflagging encouragement of its author. I am also grateful to Darryl Hattenhauer and Tenney Nathanson for their editorial suggestions and criticisms.

Earlier versions of parts of this manuscript appeared in
the pages of The Arkansas Review, Midwest Quarterly, and Mythosphere. I am pleased to thank the various editors for their support.
In *The Plumed Serpent* D.H. Lawrence's desire for an open yet archaic-like form led him into new and strange territory—"novel" territory one might be inclined to say were it not for the fact it is as a "novel" that *The Plumed Serpent* has been most called into question. Critics who find a great deal to praise about the book are often forced to spend much of their time defending the novel's form from its detractors. For instance, L.D. Clark argues that many have misread the book because they were expecting a "novel" when in fact Lawrence's composition has more in common with the "romance" of classic American fiction than the English novel.

My intention here is three-fold: to add my own comments to those who have traced Lawrence's models for *The Plumed Serpent*; to consider to what degree Lawrence's Mexican novel (or romance) anticipates postmodernist fiction; and finally, to briefly evaluate the success of the novel, especially in terms of its relationship to the high-modernist, symbolist novel.

Lawrence may be the key twentieth century writer in English in terms of both carrying forward nineteenth-century romanticism
and influencing the development of a neoromantic or expressionistic postmodernism, which I distinguish from what many mean when they use the term "Postmodernism." I argue that to some extent we need to take *The Plumed Serpent* on its own terms: it is more utopian fiction than novel, that is, its dramatic and allegorical elements are foregrounded. This has the effect of setting up an abstract stage for the playing out of various ideas and desires. Lawrence's text is a pastoral (though somewhat violent) allegory in novel form. Its allegorical elements, along with the novel's playfulness, link the book to Postmodernism, especially the metafictional tendencies of "conventional" Postmodernism (for instance, Donald Barthelme's *The Dead Father*, discussed later, is an allegory of the fall of patriarchal society). It is of course the pastoral features of *The Plumed Serpent* that distinguish it from a good deal of conventional Postmodernism and tie the text to Romanticism.

These pastoral romantic elements, in combination with a certain expressionistic, action-packed playfulness, provide much of the book's color and, crucially, its vitality. In this vitality lies hope for the Novel as a form and for a postmodernism more attuned to the natural world and the life force.

**All the World a Stage**

I want to begin with the current scene (Clark's essay only leans in the direction of later twentieth century literature).
Postmodernism, argues Ihab Hassan, cannot be defined by a single feature but rather through a constellation of features, many of which become evident because of their opposition to prominent high modernist and, to a lesser extent, avant-garde traits.

For instance, unlike High Modernism, which tends to be purposeful, hierarchical, and totalizing, Postmodernism tends to be playful, anarchical, and deconstructionist. While any text can be approached in a postmodern manner (Ulysses taught as a text that leads to a series of dead ends rather than as a master narrative), Hassan suggests further distinguishing features of postmodernism. Postmodernism is "far less aversive to the pop, electronic society of which it is part" than either modernism or the avant-garde. Postmodern books also tend to be "cooler" than the typical, politically-motivated avant-garde text, for example, Futurist, Surrealist, etc. (indicating the avant-garde's closer links to romanticism's rebelliousness). Yet like the avant-garde, postmodern works often seek to strongly test the social and political assumptions of the world, a world somewhat excluded from high modernist, late symbolist texts (259-271). Postmodern writers such as Donald Barthelme and Thomas Pynchon do not so much write novels as deconstructive entertainments, books that seek to once more open up the text to the big, dull, crazy, fun world beyond the borders of literary modernism.

One implication of postmodernism's open-endedness is a renewed sense of vista--of possibilities. The hopeful artist leaves things open and unfinished; he, or she, battles against being enclosed in a dead or reductive system; and often he
approaches the world with a rueful sense of good humor, keeping himself ready for something that may arrive at a later date. Susan Sontag believes Antonin Artaud is one the great figures of our times precisely because he tried everything and mastered nothing. Sontag quotes Artaud as delighting in "the disrepute into which all forms of art are successively falling" (30), while holding out hope for a master art to absorb all others.

In Postmodernism, the new is most likely to issue, if at all, at the most common levels. In "What Are You Doing After the Orgy?" Jean Baudrillard writes,

How great it feels to disappear in the bosom of the masses! ... It is my fantasy to disappear into television's cold blue light, to disappear behind that screen, forever protected from it, because buried at the heart of this obscenity, blue also, sometimes black and white, I can lie in wait from the bottom of his silence for blinding signal of a definitive event (46).

In other words, at a certain point conventionless life and art (conventional postmodern art)—which finds both beauty and humor in the commonplaces of our existence—gives way to a view that envisions, however provisionally, some sort of "other" emerging from out of the void. An other-worldliness or mythopoeic aspect returns. If in our conscious lives we can believe in little beyond what we see before us, our unconscious insists on dreaming of other worlds, as Symbolism recognized.

Even a writer as rigorously self-erasing as Samuel Beckett cannot escape, and perhaps does not want to escape, hopes for
renewal that naturally rise up when, as in Worstward Ho, the word "void" almost as easily registers a sense of something waiting to be filled as it does a sense of nothingness and waste. Indeed, much like Lawrence in *The Plumed Serpent*, Beckett's intention seems to be to provide a dramatic stage where words themselves, "simple" words--"Said," "Dim," "Void"--become complex characters that "contain" many meanings and where, more importantly, words begin to blur (by virtue of their position in a shifting syntax and their repetition) so that words once again acquire the attributes of pure sound, of chant. And since speech is, as Saussure argues, closer to "presence," all of Beckett's defacing and erasing strategies have the paradoxical effect of creating Being, a kind of purified and purer being, closer to the pristine world of first vision, first consciousness. *Worstward Ho* is a primer on the history of consciousness. The narrator of the book has seen too much, and thus his eyes film over. The result is a longing for original, pre-verbal creation. (Like many Irishmen, Beckett is a near-sentimentalist--sentimentality being something Richard Hugo says all great writers "risk".)

But primarily it is not writers like Beckett, who apparently see their project as the unmaking and unmasking of inherited codes, who offer the most helpful clues for a necessary reanimation and revitalization of contemporary fiction. Fiction, especially the novel, requires a realistic base--often missing in Beckett--and missing to some degree in Lawrence's *The Plumed Serpent*. Moreover, fiction that relies too heavily on deconstructive tropes for its power will inevitably suffer from
sterility, that is, the inability to provide something that lives, and lives as no other thing has before it.

Barthelme's novel *The Dead Father* can be taken as a representative case. Despite Barthelme's creation of an interesting platform for the playing out of certain ideas and desires, the novel spends itself on characters, most especially the Dead Father, who have little vitality, who suffer from exhaustion. The Dead Father is the mythic father deposed. The father becomes a fallen God, as well as a clown and lecher (although in the best section of the book, "A Manual for Sons," he is also the heart-breaking father). This decentering of the father figure, and of individual consciousness itself, does indeed offer wonderful opportunities for bemused reflections on the state of the world and, as we shall see when we turn to *The Plumed Serpent*, such decentering of the ego is important to D.H. Lawrence's aims. But the flipside of this approach, for Lawrence as well as Barthelme, is that characters can appear to be little more than puppets the author drags around the stage. In order to fill the space, in the novel and in the world, "created" by God's absence, Barthelme becomes a ventriloquist, employing characters, foils, shades, projections, and images which are, primarily, the manifold voices of his own mind at the end of its tether.

Additionally, each voice, lacking an outside context, concentrates on the commonplace concrete, turning each commonplace thought or object or character into a kind of abstraction, thus creating an allegory of the everyday. Problems
of meaning, value, and relationship become abstracted from social context, that is, the realistic base, which results in "no ideas but in things." While this condition of materialistic abstractedness is true to much of what we experience in contemporary life, and has led to new successes in literature, it does not mean that a novel can succeed by merely offering some mimetic sense of this state.

Lawrence identifies the heart of the problem in his comments on Paul Cezanne's still lifes and portraits:

Sometimes Cezanne builds up a landscape essentially out omissions. ... It is interesting in a repudiative fashion, but it is not the new thing. The appleyness, the intuition has gone. We have only the mental repudiation. ... And the very fact that we can reconstruct almost instantly a whole landscape from the few indications Cezanne gives, shows what a cliche the landscape is, how it exists already ready-made in our minds....(581)

Such a mode and manner of composition lacks enduring interest: we have seen it all before and are left, rather unmercifully, to the limitations of our own cliche-ridden, disembodied minds.

Roland Barthes makes a claim for omission: "Pleasure in pieces; language in pieces; culture in pieces. ... The text of bliss is absolutely intransitive" (51-52). Yet, in cutting through the dead weight of the monumental, we need, I believe, some trace of a new thing. Furthermore, this intransitiveness is especially detrimental to novel writing.
Other Worlds

There is another postmodern paradigm, less attached to popular culture, yet no less critical of the high modernist withdrawal to traditional Western values and sources, the result of High Modernism's despair over mass market values and pluralistic social structures. This other paradigm—neoromantic, expressionistic, ethnopoetic (and to some degree symbolist)—seeks the renewal of modern culture beneath or behind contemporary Western orientations and seeks to realize this renewal in active life in addition to in art. In Fantasia of the Unconscious, Lawrence writes, "...some, like the Druids or Etruscans or Chaldeans or Amerindians or Chinese, refused to forget, but taught the old wisdom in its half-forgotten, symbolic forms. More or less forgotten, as knowledge: remembered as ritual, gesture, and myth-story" (55).

In Lawrence, perhaps especially The Plumed Serpent, we see how this somewhat different approach—later taken up by such writers as Malcolm Lowry, Tennessee Williams, Charles Olson, and, the subject of Chapter 2 of this text, Paul Bowles—first emerged from out the high art aestheticism of modernism. In "A Propos of Lady Chatterley's Lover," Lawrence writes,

We must plant ourselves again in the universe. ... It means a return to ancient forms. But we shall have to create these forms again, and it is more difficult than the preaching of an evangel.... The last three thousand years of mankind have been an excursion into ideals,
bodilessness, and tragedy, and now the excursion is over.
(Phoenix II, 510-511)

For Lawrence, high modernism was a shield against life; he believes there is a "greater body" behind and beyond attempts to restrict life and art to aesthetically satisfying categories. Lawrence holds in common with the postmodernists a desire to find artistic forms that breathe more freely. But he differs from conventional postmodernists in his fierce desire to locate a new being, one who carries all the power and wisdom of the ages, in particular pre-Christian ages. At his best Lawrence achieves an art not unlike this description of his from Etruscan Places: "The scene is natural as life, and yet it has a heavy archaic fullness of meaning" (64).

In The Plumed Serpent we slowly enter the kingdom of the men of Quetzalcoatl, a world where ritual, gesture, and myth-story supersede not only realist character and plot structures but also many of the formalistic conventions of high modernism, such as rigorous processes of aesthetic selection, totalization, synthesis, and sublimation. Lawrence didn't lose his ability to delineate character, develop a realistic plot, or achieve modernist sublimity when he left England (although some critics would have us believe so), rather he was faced with and embraced different challenges. Lawrence is following a path Edmund Wilson describes as being similar to Rimbaud's--Lawrence, of course, didn't give up literature--"...getting away to a life of pure action and a more primitive civilization" (283).
The notion of sublimation is key. Lawrence can be as smooth, as sublimated, as chromatically textured a writer as even Joyce when Lawrence so chooses. Witness this passage from *Women in Love*:

She wore no hat in the heated cafe, her loose, simple jumper was strung on a string round her neck. But it was made of rich yellow crepe-de-chine, that hung heavily and softly from her young throat and her slender wrists. Her appearance was simple and complete, really beautiful, because of her regularity and form, her shiny yellow hair falling curved and level on either side of her head, her straight, small, softened features provoking in the slight fullness of their curves, her slender neck and the simple, rich-coloured smock hanging on her slender shoulders. She was very still, almost null, in her manner, apart and watchful. (57)

In this excerpt consonance and assonance, which Joyce often relied on are skillfully employed, but for Lawrence the soft sweep of this slender woman is finally "almost null." The rich perfumes of the material world do not so much express vanity, as in much of Joyce, as nullity. The word "still" is especially resonant. Lawrence has drawn a "picture" of fashionable cafe society. Here, as elsewhere in Lawrence, an obsession with appearances, and more specifically, a desire to display ourselves in public, indicates an unhealthy ambition to maintain intact and unsullied our individual ego, to sublimate all that is not fitting and representative of our best self (Joyce beautifully
renders just this problem of sublimation in his portrait of Gabriel in "The Dead").

There are scenes in The Plumed Serpent—in the "Tea-Party in Tlacolula," for instance—the equal of Lawrence's earlier work in terms of evoking the texture of modern life, but it is the desire to escape from the modern self almost entirely that distinguishes the book from the somewhat hermetic, self-absorbed works Wilson describes in Axel's Castle. Ironically, Lawrence flight from the self leads to the creation of a novel that seems, at times, little more than the author's wish-fantasies. Lawrence constructs a moated castle of his own. Extremes are closer than means. The flight from the self produces a self-enclosed world.

As an isolated modern individual, Kate Leslie, the protagonist of The Plumed Serpent, is described as having little or no significance. While visiting Mexico Kate gets caught up in a rhythmic dance that frees her (at least for that moment) from the terrible burden of her individuality, even to the point that she feels like a virgin again. "Men and women alike danced with faces lowered and expressionless, abstract, gone in the deep absorption of men into the greater manhood, women into the greater womanhood. It was sex, but the greater, not the lesser sex. The waters over the earth wheeling upon the waters under the earth, like an eagle silently wheeling above its own shadow" (143). Much of Lawrence's work is akin to a medieval tapestry, in which birds, animals, men, light, etc. each maintain their separate glow—instead of being sublimated to some single texture—and yet each can only be truly seen within the curves of
the some living whole (which includes explication and abstract thought—though sometimes, in Lawrence, is too abstract).

Lawrence writes,

It is the appleyness of the portrait of Cezanne's wife that makes it so permanently interesting: the appleyness, which carries with it also the feeling of knowing the other side as well, the side you don't see, the hidden side of the moon. For the intuitive apperception of the apple is so tangibly aware of the apple that it is aware of it all round, not only the front. The eye sees only the front, and the mind, on the whole, is satisfied with fronts. But intuition needs all-roundedness, and instinct needs insideness. The true imagination is for ever curving to the other side, to the back of presented appearance.

(Phoenix 579)

The style of The Plumed Serpent, the almost supersensory plunge into spirit of place, creates a landscape at once lustrous and mysterious, "real" and "unreal," or, to put it differently, "real" and "mythical." In a scene from the chapter "Marriage by Quetzalcoatl," for instance, we are provided with a powerful sense of living voices at the back of us—"the hidden side of the moon"—even though the scene, in its attention to modern dress, machines, etc., also creates a strong sense of the real, the present.

Kate waded slowly to the boat, and stepped in. The water was warm, but the wind was blowing with strong, electric heaviness. Kate quickly dried her feet and legs on her
handkerchief, and pulled on her biscuit-coloured silk stockings and brown shoes.

She sat looking back, at the lake-end, the desert of shingle, and the blowing, gauzy nets, and, beyond them, the black land with the green maize standing, a further fleecy green of trees, and the broken lane leading deep into the rows of old trees, where the soldiers from Jaramy were now riding away on the black horse and the donkey. On the right there was a ranch, too; a long, low black building and a cluster of black huts with tiled roofs, empty gardens with reed fences, clumps of banana and willow trees. All in the changeless, heavy light of the afternoon, the long lake reaching into invisibility, between unreal mountains. (357-358)

The scene continues: "Still the boat drifted. There was a smell of gasoline. The man pottered with the engine. The motor started again, only to stop again in a moment. (359) Here, as elsewhere in The Plumed Serpent, Lawrence's evocation of place, the site-specificity of the description, is designed to not only give a sense of a palpable present world but also to create a vivid possible world where intuitiveness has not atrophied.

Hymns, drums, and sermons are some of the different voices Lawrence employs to generate a realm where the daily rhythm of life is unlike modern, urban, white civilization, or, for that matter somewhat unlike the Mexican civilization Lawrence encountered in the early 1920's. Although many have shown, most recently Ross Parmenter, the pains Lawrence took to make his
novel of Mexico both authentic and convincing in terms of its
temporal social context, clearly Lawrence also intended the book
to serve extramundane and extra-literary purposes. Lawrence
seems keen on providing a liturgy for a new religion. Parmenter
writes,

Lawrence also knew that every successful religion must have the
equivalent of a Bible, with cosmogony, creation myths, a
Savior, a cast of holy personages and ethical mandates, a
hymnal, a Book of Common Prayer, and a collection of
sermons, sacred images, a body of easily recognized visual
symbols, and a repertoire of vestments, stances for praying at
peak moments of worship, services for such occasions as
marriage, burial, and ordination, each with its sacramental
rituals, and regular services with established orders of
procedures. (283)

Edwin Honig has described the age-old aim of the pastoral as a
desire to "resurrect a lost paradise and invest it with new
values" (164). The artificiality of The Plumed Serpent, the
sort of ancient and epic stage set up in the novel, serves two
primary purposes: a proscenium for the transfiguration of Ramon,
Cipriano, and Kate into living gods of the new Quetzalcoatl
church and a dias for the interpretive dialectics of the narrator
of the book. And indeed these two aims are related, as Honig
notes:

Dialectic transfer can be seen in allegory as the
transvaluation of fictional agents from relatively static
to progressively more active and meaningful roles in the
course of the narrative. ... The dialectic transfer is
effected when their ideational roles are fully tested in
the action (the "drama") and finally resolved in the larger
design of the allegory. (138)

Lawrence's appropriation of a foreign mythology allows him
a certain degree of freeplay that the modernist novel, and
perhaps more so the nineteenth-century English novel, would have
discouraged. His pastoral allegory, his folk opera, is played
out in a manner so wildly expressionistic (to detractors of the
novel, in a manner of puerile wish-fantasies) that, despite the
sometimes excessive and ponderous dialogue and general
heavy-handedness of some scenes, Lawrence appears to be having
fun.

This fun is similar to that found in postmodernism. In
Lawrencean Mexico things happen, and they happen quickly,
abruptly:

"Where is Don Cipriano?" she [Kate] asked.

"Don Cipriano is very much General Viedma at the
moment," he [Ramon] replied. "Chasing rebels in the State
of Colima."

"Will they be very hard to chase?"

"Probably not. Anyhow Cipriano will enjoy chasing
them. He is Zapotec, and most of his men are Zapotecans,
from the hills. They love chasing men who aren't."

"I wondered why he wasn't there on Sunday when you
carried away the images," she said. "I think it was an
awfully brave thing to do."

"Do you?" he laughed. "It wasn't. It's never half so brave, to carry something off, and destroy it, as to set a pulse beating."

"But you have to destroy those old things, first?"

"Those frowsty images -- why, yes. But it's no good until you've got something else moving, from the inside".

(319)

This talk of action (and of transformation) is interrupted by gunfire.

At that moment, he sat erect, listening. There had been a shot, which Kate had heard, but which she had hardly noticed; to her ears, it might have been a motor-car backfiring, or even a motor-boat.

Suddenly, a sharp little valley of shots.

Ramon rose swiftly, swift as a great cat, and slammed to the iron door at the top of the stairway, shooting the bars. (320)

Lawrence's fun in this passage takes at least three forms: the play of quick, arch dialogue (as well as the straight-forward humor of Zapotecs chasing non-Zapotecs); the ironic humor Lawrence's enjoys at expense of modern Kate who thinks a gunshot might be a motor-car or motor-boat; and, perhaps most significantly, the fun of undercutting social dialogue with dramatic, and violent, action. Action, as well as of course the shift from some form of dialogue or description to action, became
increasingly scarce in high modernist art, which tends to sublimate such action-oriented, mixed-up material.

Indeed, in "Indians and An Englishman," written just prior to beginning his work on The Plumed Serpent, Lawrence identifies the Southwest he encounters in the early twenties as being "like a stage," and thus "not like a proper world" (92). He writes, "It is rather like comic opera played with solemn intensity. All the wildness and woolliness and westernity and motor-cars and art and sage and savage are so mixed up...(Phoenix 92). Lawrence is taken aback and yet thrilled by his encounters with Southwestern Navahos and Apaches:

The gobble-gobble chuckle in the whoop surprised me in my very tissues. Then I got used to it, and could hear in it humanness, the playfulness, and then, beyond that, the mockery and the diabolical, pre-human, pine-tree fun of cutting dusky throats and letting the blood spurt out unconfined. ...the fun, the greatest man-fun. The war-whoop. (95)

Living Form

Many contemporary writers respond in ways similar to Lawrence: action and ritual action override morality and metaphysics. Consider this passage from T. Coraghessan Boyle's short story "Greasy Lake."

Before we could pin her to the hood of the car, our eyes masked with lust and greed and purest primal badness, a pair of headlights swung into the lot. There we were,
dirty, bloody guilty, disassociated from humanity and civilization, the first of the Ur-crimes behind us....(6)
In postmodernity only a superficial narrative is employed, leaving room for the playing out of the spectacle, that is, individual scenes of excitement and sensation, primal in aspect.

In the work of novelist and short story writer Barry Hannah, for instance, the narrative (as well as authorial comment and moral evaluation) is truncated, and thus his characters act outside of and in opposition to usual narrative injunctions (be good, work hard, stay in line, practice patience, etc.) Hannah's stories often thrust us into the middle of a violent and unassimiable situation. "Midnight and I'm Not Famous Yet" begins:

I was walking around Gon one night, and this C-man--
I saw him open the window, and there was a girl in back of him, so I thought it was all right--peeled down on me and shot the back heel off my boot (105).

Violence in Hannah often serves as a means of getting closer to "raw" experience, even at times pastoral experiences. In an interview, Hannah discusses his novel Ray: "Flying a jet can make you feel all kinds of things. When Ray was taking about glory there ... he wasn't necessarily talking about violence. He did shoot up people over there [Vietnam]; he had to. But the glory he saw was mainly being in the air, being close to the stars (113)."

An unwillingness to sublimate performative, and specifically primitive, even puerile performative, aspects of one's desires is
an especially postmodern (as well as avant-garde) attitude. Lawrence wants to move the novel back towards realms of popular culture, e.g., the historical novel, the exotic novel, the action-adventure story, etc. Lawrence is trying to apprehend, record, recreate (and enhance) the world he came across in Mexico. Ethnological projects—for example, James Agee's *Let Us Now Praise Famous Men*—require the transcription of various kinds of materials and experiences.

But a novel requires, to a greater degree than "nonfictional" ethnographic literature, a teleological structure, that is, an encompassing and forward-moving design. Lawrence's novel does cohere: the resurrection and reinvesting of the Quetzalcoatl church provides a social, dramatic, and metaphysical context, and Kate's debate over her role in the church yields dramatic tension grounded in the real life struggles of humanity.

This ground-situation mitigates against the natural tendency of allegory, itself a "popular form," to become static, ritualistic, and repetitive. Furthermore, the interpretive acts of the writer, his unwillingness to sublimate his metaphysic (even as he undercuts it and juxtaposes it with action) gives the novel a controlling voice—or, to put it differently, weaves the tapestry together.

But (this is indeed a novel that provokes "buts" and "yets") there remains the question of the appleyness of *The Plumed Serpent*. Jose Ortega y Gasset writes,

The "I" is the innermost being, it is that which is within us, it exists for itself. Nevertheless it must,
without losing this innermost character, find a world which is fundamentally different from itself and go forth, outside itself, to that world. Therefore the "I" must be at once intimate and exotic, withdrawn and free, a prisoner and at liberty. The problem is startling (182). This is the problem that faces Kate, as it faces Lawrence the novelist. In the last chapter of the novel, Kate thinks to herself:

After all, when Cipriano touched her caressively, all her body flowered. That was the greater sex, that could fill all the world with lustre and which she dared not think about, its power was so much greater than her own will. But on the other hand, when she spread the wings of her own ego, and sent forth her own spirit, the world could look very wonderful to her, when she was alone. But after a while, the wonder faded, and a sort of jealous emptiness set in.

"I must have both," she said to herself. (481-482)

Lawrence, as writer, also tries to have both: a novel that charts the psychological and spiritual journey of a twentieth-century European, Kate, and a novel that responds to the ancient otherness of Mexico. And perhaps one can not ever assimilate the materials and spirit of another culture as well as one can approach one's own culture and the other that lies beneath and behind it. "Lawrence, for all his rovings, must come back to the collieries again," writes Wilson (288). But, in the aftermath of
World War I, Lawrence felt that England, and all of northern European culture, was dying, and beyond resuscitation.

The Plumed Serpent carries forward Lawrence's search, philosophically and artistically, for a new being, one that conveys the power and wisdom of pre-historical cultures. Much of the liveliness of novel derives from the passionate nature and expression of Lawrence's yearnings. In the novel, at its best, ritual, gestures, and myth-stories are woven with the new toward the creation of the world.

But in the end Kate asks of this mythological kingdom, as we must ask of the novel, is the myth convincing? Is it mere fantasy, or at best thoughtful utopianism, or is it truly a living, breathing myth which curves round to "the back of presented appearance," so that we feel its appleyness? Does Lawrence's myth provide us with a sense of a possible world while acknowledging the nature of modern sensibilities? Does this myth of the men of Quetzalcoatl, and its attendant symbols--the morning star, the evening star, the dark sun, and the lake--contain, without silencing, the many voices of the earth. Does The Plumed Serpent having living form? And is it a good model for postmodern times?

It is not a wholly successful novel. At times it lacks certain novelistic virtues, such as ones mentioned earlier: character and plot development. Ramon and Cipriano are too much alike, even for brothers in Quetzalcoatl, and Kate does not so much develop as give in (only to partially retreat from embracing Quetzalcoatlism in the end). But a more serious limitation than
insufficient character and plot development or the dearth of sublime, modernist symmetries (though, for instance, the return of the bull in the last chapter fittingly recalls the bullfight in Chapter I), is the lack of adequate response in the novel to modern life itself, with its black humor, friction, and urban beauty. What is especially missing is the world of business.

In the latter parts of the book the voices of the modern world are almost entirely displaced. Jerome Rothenberg describes contemporary poetics in terms of an art of "displacement: a poetry that transports us from where we are to where we might be" (591-592), and certainly Lawrence seeks these effects.

And metaphor -- if that is what we have or think we have becomes transformative, envisioning, invoking, the strange and marvelous (that key word of the Surrealist fathers), 'causing to see'. ... From this kind of metaphoric language-making, the shamans and other native ritualist and singers go on to more structured and often more extended forms of visualization. (Rothenberg 592)

But in a novel, in particular, the vision cannot be wholly other, for then the dramatic tension, the tension between life as it is and life as it might be, is lost.

The Plumed Serpent becomes too much a manual for living, not the living work of a man of his time. The novel too often seeks to make the new way of living too explicit. Cipriano says to his followers:

"Are we men? Can we not get the second strength? Can we not? Have we lost it forever?"
"I say no! Quetzalcoatl is among us. I have found red Huitzilopochtli. The second strength!

"When you walk or sit, when you work or lie down, when you eat or sleep, think of the second strength, that you must have it.

"Be very quiet. It is shy as a bird in a dark tree.

"Be very clean, clean in your bodies and your clothes. It is like a star, that will not shine in dirt.

"Be very brave.... (398-399)

This passage, like others, sounds as if Lawrence were merely translating a foreign religious story into English. The didactic and humorless quality of much of the writing in The Plumed Serpent, especially as the novel progresses, brings into question his commitment to representing life as it is. Ironically, Lawrence's search for a more primal and truer mode of being led him to construct a world far removed, like much symbolist work, from much of what we experience in quotidian existence.

But my final interest here is not to evaluate The Plumed Serpent as a novel. Rather I seek to point out that we have in this novel, or romance, a vitality beyond even some of the best works of modern or postmodern genius, especially when their works are cut off from ancient sources of strength. Often in such cases the writer merely flails his (or her) own ego and ventriloquises his despair. The despair that caused Lawrence to turn his back on Northern Europe produced, in his case at least, a determination to find alternative ways of life. In The Minoan Distance, L.D. Clark writes,
We realize, though we can seldom afford to face the fact and hope for gods to save us from it, that all we really know of the life force is that it seems to be composed equally of the will to create and the will to destroy; to create in order to destroy, to destroy in order to create again—the cycle of virginity and death. The ancient myths take account of this inexplicable course of existence, which goes its way oblivious to any morality we devise. The modern story knows no way to encompass it.

In this respect, as in all others, The Plumed Serpent is Lawrence's bid to re-create a mythic consciousness out of America and a story form to create it. He fails, of course, for he is attempting a feat probably beyond the grasp of any modern writer. Still there is good reason to admire his boldness in striving for a form to reach a plane of experience that we know to be real enough still, even if we have lost the faculty for incorporating it into our conscious lives. (329-330)

In Lawrence, and in those postmodernists who have responded to his lead, we once more find traces, ghostly intimations of the return of the greater body.
2.

Quest and Predestination in
Paul Bowles's *The Sheltering Sky*

Luther voiced the spirit of this faith [Protestantism] when, asked where he would stand if the church were to excommunicate him, he is said to have replied, "Under the sky."

Huston Smith, *The Religions of Man* (348)

The cover of the 1990 Vintage International Edition of Paul Bowles's 1949 novel, *The Sheltering Sky*, is mostly blue, a light blue horizon with swirling sand dunes—the Sahara—below a cloudless sky. The title is printed in white, against the blue, and the author's name is also in white but at the top against the black background. On many copies a reflecting disk has been glued onto the blue sky. The disk is the sun but also a mirror into which the reader can look and see himself. Gore Vidal wrote of *The Sheltering Sky*: "Bowles has glimpsed what was back of our sheltering sky ... an endless flux of stars so like atoms which make us up that in our apprehension of terrible infinity, we experience not only horror but likeness" (9).

Like Joseph Conrad's *Heart of Darkness*, Paul Bowles's novel is a journey in which the central characters come face to face with
heretofore unacknowledged truths about nature and the primitive self—dark, rueful truths that, paradoxically, perhaps offer a hope of renewal or salvation, even as they deny the possibility of regaining original innocence. For these dark revelations, while they emphasize the general brutality as well as indecipherability of the world, at the same time point to our common sinfulness and thus to the saving fact that we are all in this life together, for better or worse.

The Sheltering Sky is a journey, for both protagonists, Port and Kit Moresby, to the other side of the self, even to the other side of their respective sexes. In a sense, Port must become a woman and Kit a man. Only then are they sufficiently unmade as to reveal their common inheritance, especially in terms of the insufficiency of their former actions and their failure to look out for each other.

Unfortunately, for their married life if perhaps not entirely for their individual salvation, Bowles's protagonists discover too late the indeterminate as well as brutal condition of both nature and human nature. Camille Paglia writes, "Happy are those periods when marriage and religion are strong. System and order shelter us against sex and nature" (25). In passing outside their own social realm, in entering alien lands, Bowles's characters, as he says in an interview, are at the mercy of "the Romantic fantasy of reaching a region of self-negation and thereby regaining a state of innocence" (Hibbard 149)—a desire in its born-againness and focus on individual salvation also Puritan and Protestant in origin.
In Paul Bowles, Romantic Savage, Gena Dagel Caponi writes, "Characters throughout Bowles's stories and novels continue a search for innocence and a 'lost childhood.' In each instance, they learn that the innocence of youth is a sham and that the childhood is always already lost" (39). Yet, despite the fantastical element in the quest, the novel leaves unclear whether its protagonists could have come to understand the false promise of regaining original innocence in ways other than the extreme methods they followed, or were compelled to follow.

It is as though the Moresbys' journey deep into the desert were predestined, either by unconscious compulsion or some supernatural force. In his autobiography, Without Stopping, Bowles writes, "Since early childhood it had been a fantasy of mine to dream a thing in such detail that it would be possible to bring it across the frontier intact--" (165). When reading Bowles we sense something beyond immediate experience; there is a feeling of "ulteriority"-- "beyond" in terms of "covert," as John Hollander defines ulteriority: an insistence on the prelapsarian meaning surviving in the fallen one (2). Along with our associating such covert feelings or longings with Romanticism, we may again also associate them with Protestant or Calvinist Christianity.

"In protest [against Catholicism] Calvin often insisted on God's absolute transcendence and total otherness, on his mysterious, incomprehensible essence, his unfathomable purpose, and his inscrutable decrees. He was a hidden God" (Bokenkotter 239). Bowles, especially the forward, westering Port-side of
Bowles—as well as the Kit who in some respects becomes Port in Book Three of the novel—searches for something absolute, abstract, sublime, and emblematic, and one might say prelapsarian in terms of its purity and unity.

To journey south—from the cold green Atlantic to the warm aquamarine Mediterranean—is to seek a ripe sensual landscape, a more various sexual and social latitude, and a more gracious, ancient (yet earthy) way of life. But to journey south beyond the Mediterranean into Africa and the Mideast is, for Bowles, to seek a more primitive and spiritual absolute beneath or beyond sensual, aesthetic communities; it is to pass through Catholic and pagan rites, through Dionysus and Apollo, through body and mind, ritual and word, even through female and male, to some sort of, at least in Bowles's work, Protestant/Oriental manifestation of the spirit. As in certain strains of Romanticism (a largely Protestant mode, and one often influenced by Oriental thought), matter is spiritualized.

Yet one of the paradoxes of The Sheltering Sky is that the prelapsarian meaning is, apparently, the absence of transcendental meaning, the absence of God. The far frontier turns out to be a mirror, wherein nothing—although this is an important "nothing"—but the self is reflected. And for Bowles, the self is the seat of horror and depravity.

Still, at that far point one may have replicated some redeeming aspects of the first American Puritans, the pilgrims: the Puritans were brave adventurers, and by going into the wilderness they came to understand the importance of social
restrictions as a check against natural depravity. Furthermore, inside Bowles's world one is, like a Puritan, eternally watchful for signs or omens from God, that might come out of the nothingness.

**Port and the Western Eye**

In the novel an American couple crosses to a final frontier, one toward which they'd been going blindly toward for a long time, yet one they also seem little able to avoid and, on some level, have already experienced. As the epigraph, by Eduardo Mallea, to Book One of in the novel suggests, Port and Kit appear to be acting out something predestined: "Each man's destiny is personal only insofar as it may happen to resemble what is already in his memory" (1). Such typological thinking is particularly Protestant and especially American, as Herbert Schneidau explains, "For Paul, Adam was a type (in the old sense of image, as in "tintype" or "Daguerrotype") of Christ, Hagar of the Law, and so forth. The Old Testament prefigures the New, the New fulfills the Old. The Puritans were zealous typologists, and ingrained this habit into the American literary imagination ... (154).

In *The Sheltering Sky* the Promised Land--this place registered deep in memory that waits for your arrival--is newly represented by the Sahara desert. And, in keeping with "the latent Puritanism in the Western heritage that urges us always to go upstream, nearer the source and therefore purer" (Schneidau 263), the Sahara, near the source of Western Civilization yet inhospitable
to civilization, offers just about everything a Puritan might seek.

In Bowles, of New England heritage, the repressed Puritan heritage within Romantic Transcendentalism reappears in his stripped-down style—his desire to approach a place beyond words, where violence and solitude, sex and death, reign. Port is not even content with the International-Zone, post-World War II frontier of Morocco (as Lawrence Durrell's characters, for example, seem to accept, in somewhat dispirited fashion, the "international-zone" life offered by Alexandria).^2

Rather, Port and the reluctant but soon converted Kit apparently feel compelled to journey into the heart of the Sahara, leaving behind, ultimately, the blue sea and sky of the Mediterranean for the blazing white daylight sun and sand and sheer black night of the desert. Wayne Pounds draws parallels between Edgar Allen Poe's The Narrative of Arthur Gordon Pym and Bowles's work. He quotes Edwin Fussell on Pym: "Symbolically, due South is the 'unknown,' the 'absolute,' or 'death'; allegorically, it is a displaced West" (11).^3

Bowles, an only child of New England-bred parents, raised in largely upper middle-class, suburban New York, found in the Sahara a literal equivalent to the solitary and somewhat death-haunted world of his childhood, or at least of his childhood imagination. Bowles, in true American minimalist fashion, scarcely explores his childhood past (except in his autobiography); yet one senses in his work, especially when we
come to dream or drug sequences, the prelapsarian world of childhood lurking in the fallen adult world of the present.

The sense of having "turned the corner" away from childhood, as he writes in "Call at Corazon," soon dissipates: the protagonist of the story, falling asleep one evening, finds himself "staring closely at a long-forgotten object--a plate, a chair, a pincushion--and the accustomed feeling of infinite futility and sadness [of childhood] would recur" (Delicate Prey 74). Bowles's autobiography reveals his estrangement from his mother. Yet her power over him persists.

In the novel Up Above the World Grove Soto, who "wanted the basic pattern of each day to be as much as possible like that of the one before it" (82), is betrayed by dreams, especially of his mother; in one particular dream an actress suddenly but "inevitably" dissolves into the image of his mother: "the face took on its true identity, the one he now knew he'd been expecting from the start--that of his mother. Even here, he thought miserably. What does she want here?" (88). Difficult and demanding women, often mothers, are especially central to his late collection of stories, Midnight Mass.

Bowles's mother read Poe's stories to him when he was a child. In Bowles, as with Poe, the fallen world of the present seems to be but an extension of the fallen world of the past. The opening page of The Sheltering Sky describes Port as he wakes from a dream, disoriented, yet also in accustomed emotional territory: "He was somewhere, he had come back through vast regions from nowhere; there was the certitude of an infinite sadness at the
core of his consciousness, but the sadness was reassuring, because it alone was familiar" (3).

Both Bowles and Poe were, or at least felt, deprived of most of the usual childhood pleasures; both appear to have felt condemned from the beginning—thus the sense that the depraved (yet spiritual?) end is contained in the beginning, or indeed prior to the beginning of one's own life, as in Calvinist predestination. Or, as Georges Bataille writes in the preface to Story of the Eye: "So much horror makes you predestined" (100). Port is haunted by dreams.

Yet commentators who see a kind of anticipation of the future in Bowles—Kit is haunted by omens—a writer ahead of his times, "proto-postmodernist" (Barth 114), are also right: despite an apparent attachment to present experience, registered by a minimalist style, Bowles's work slips beyond everydayness. The future and the (repressed) past link up as a way of warding off the commitments and terrors of the present. In "Here to Learn," from Midnight Mass, the protagonist thinks to herself: "The trees were going by very fast. It seemed to her that she had always known something strange like this would happen to her one day. It was a comforting thought, and it kept her from feeling actual fear" (49).

As suggested earlier, Bowles's protagonists are after something absolute, abstract, sublime, emblematic, and prelapsarian—that is, something that has the quality of dreams or childhood.
Outside in the dust was the disorder of Africa, but for the first time without any visible sign of European influence, so that the scene had a purity which been lacking in the other towns, an unexpected quality of being complete which dissipated the feeling of chaos. Even Port, as they helped him out, noticed the unified aspect of the place. "It's wonderful here," he said, "what I can see of it anyway."

(194)

Yet Kit's response to Port's statement raises doubts about Port's desire for something "complete": "What you can see of it!" echoed Kit. "Is something wrong with your eyes?" She also points to Port's weakened physical condition as well as a more general emotional and spiritual weakness that pervades the novel: physical and mental health mirror each other; delirium is a condition of body and soul. Yet we shouldn't miss that even as Kit queried Port, she "echoed" him.

For the first two-thirds of the novel, and in some sense for the entire novel, the central intelligence is Port. It is Port who drags Kit, and Tunner, their American traveling companion, out to Morocco in the first place. Michelle Green writes, in The Dream at the End of the World: Paul Bowles and the Literary Renegades in Tangier,

In the spring of 1932, Paul returned to eat opium in Tangier and watch the frenzied rites of religious brotherhoods in Fez; the next winter, he came back to steep himself in the austere beauty of the Sahara, where the sky had a life of its own. The experience was a "baptism of solitude," as he
described it: "Once [a man] has been under the spell of the vast, luminous, silent country, no other place is quite strong enough for him, no other surroundings can provide the supremely satisfying sensation of existing in the midst of something that is absolute," he wrote. (5-6)

Port seeks out such inhuman or superhuman extremes of frenzied rites and silent solitude, of sensuality and asceticism (extremes being closer than means) as vehicles for discovering his identity and the nature of human identity itself. Indeed annihilation is often considered, especially for men, a means to achieve identity. Paglia writes, "The quest romance of the male sex is a war between identity and annihilation" (27).

In Bowles this quest is played out in terms strongly colored—not surprisingly—by his American roots. On one level the sought for is nothing more, nor less, than happiness and pleasure. In a study of Bowles, Lawrence D. Stewart writes, "And Morocco has become, especially in this century, another outpost of the American dream, colonized by Americans in exile" (1). Port and Kit, and in a different way, Tunner, seem to feel obligated to, American-fashion, act out the pursuit of happiness. Happiness is not to be found in the "sad colonial room(s) where each invocation of Europe was merely one more squalid touch, one more visible proof of isolation" (52), but rather "happiness, if there still was [is] any, existed elsewhere: In sequestered rooms that looked onto bright alleys where the cats gnawed fish-heads; in shaded cafes hung with reed matting, where the hashish smoke mingled with the fumes of mint from the hot tea; down on the
docks, out at the edge of the sebkha in the tents...beyond the mountains in the great Sahara, in the endless regions that were all of Africa" (52). Happiness, for most Americans, is not to be found in the drawing-rooms, European or otherwise. Happiness, if one can call it that, is waiting at the frontier, in the wilderness, the future, the beyond--Americans are brutal in their willingness to dismember social convention and the past in order to make possible the next juncture. In a sense, Port goes out ahead of Paul, into the silent desert; whereas Paul Bowles is a writer, a recorder of the past, Port no longer writes: "And even if what he might have written had been good, how many people would have known it? It was all right to speed ahead into the desert leaving no trace" (207).

At a certain point, the pursuit of happiness, the desire for new experiences and pleasures, becomes compulsive--pleasure has a way of establishing its own agenda. D. H. Lawrence's insight into the American psyche's pursuit of new, titillating experiences, which he finds especially apparent in the "intense vibrations" of Poe--and into the control, ultimately, that such a pursuit has over an individual is clearly applicable to Port, despite Port's apparent detachment. As he descends the streets of Oran toward a rendezvous with a young native prostitute, Port thinks to himself: "The odors in the air grew ever stronger. They were varied, but they all represented filth of one sort of another. This proximity with, as it were, a forbidden element, served to elate him. He abandoned himself to the perverse pleasure he found
in continuing mechanically to put one foot in front of the other, even though he was quite clearly aware of his fatigue" (16).

Port thinks of turning around and going back, to where Kit waits for him (though even at that moment he is imagining her at his side, watching him), but he continues on somnambulantly, almost as though he is acting out a scene already established in his mind. Again the links to Poe are obvious. Lawrence writes that Poe "was an adventurer into vaults and cellars and horrible underground passages of the human soul. He sounded the horror and the warning of his own doom" (81). Bowles was a great admirer of Lawrence: "I've read all his things, with excitement for years" (Caponi, Conversations 48). And Bowles provides, as Lawrence almost always does but Poe often does not, an outside world, "Spirit of Place," the tang of a frontier experience, whatever the price to the experiencer.

The compulsive nature of the sought-for new experiences turns all pleasure into pleasure/pain. The Orient is perhaps, at least in the mind of Westerners, a logical locale for such experiments. Lawrence Durrell writes, "The Orient cannot rejoice in the sweet anarchy of the body--for it has outstripped the body" (14). The Puritan may be after just such a state of being: to be stripped naked and defiled, and thus arrive at some point beyond bodily desire, however great the cost.

At any rate, the wandering Port must go to the ends of the earth to find himself. "The Western eye is a projectile into the beyond, that wilderness of the male condition" (Paglia 31). The opening sentence of the novel--"He awoke, opened his eyes."
--associates Port with sight, vision. To Kit's dismay, Port pours over maps:

His wife watched the meticulous movements he made with amusement and exasperation; maps bored her, and he was always consulting them. Even during the short periods when their lives were stationary, which had been few enough since their marriage twelve years ago, he had only to see a map to begin studying it passionately, and then, often as not, he would begin to plan some new impossible trip which sometimes eventually became a reality. (6)

Port is the masculine, and perhaps colonial, imagination at work. Maps are representations of power and control; the colonialist is ever mapping out God's plan, God's land. But Port does not want simply to map the country from above, he does not want simply to control others, he wants to--or claims to want to--enter the horizontal plane of their existence. This means bringing himself down, at least to some degree, to the level of their daily experience.

Yet this, too, may be foolhardy. Port can not live as the natives do, despite his protest that the world of the Sahara belongs to him as much as to anyone: "I feel that this town, this river, this sky, all belong to me as much as to them" (122). The fact that he sees things in terms of possession indicates a colonialist's perspective, one which undermines attempts to belong or make contact.

The Promised Land--which in Bowles is something of an illusion itself, a mere projection--can only be reached through an
underworld or alternate-world journey, through degradation and even humiliation. One must be brought to one's knees. But Port can't really feel the reality of his degradation--can't really enjoy it--unless Kit is looking on. He requires a witness. He likes to be watched. The observer/observed voyeuristic element of the novel, the kind of imaginary theater Bowles sets up, contributes to the allegorical quality of the book. Again we are in a Puritan world. Alfred Kazin writes in *God and the American Writer*:

> In the beginning at New England our writers were Calvinists, absolutely sure of God and all His purposes. He created man to glorify Him forever. But never sure of his obedience, distrustful of his innate disposition to sin, God kept man forever under His eye. Each claimed to know the other because there was a covenant between them, a contract. Each was eternally watchful of the other, each apparently needed the other. (3)

The allegorical quality of the novel is also not unlike a quality Richard Tarnas associates with the postmodern mind: "The fate of human consciousness is ineluctably nomadic, a self-aware wandering through error" (399). That Port is self-aware is implicit in voyeurism. Port's journey toward otherness is, in some respects, nothing more than a journey into a mirror. Otherness is what he doesn't want! (though he is perhaps aware of that, too).

But not just any witness will do--only his other half, his alter ego, his wife, Kit. Kit must watch as Port displays his
lusts, weaknesses, and fears; she must watch as he undermines whatever trust she might have in him and in their marriage. She must watch as Port displays his unmanliness, telling Tunner of a dream in which Port imagines having to live his life over again: "I couldn't face the idea of all those God-awful fears and pains again, in detail" (10).

Kit realizes, further, that Tunner will seize on this apparent lack of manly virtue, that is, an unwillingness or inability on Port's part to keep his soul separate and intact. She fears she will no longer be able to resist the handsome Tunner, or, after Port's display, have much reason to. It is, perhaps, a supreme irony of the novel that whenever Port displays his more emotional side—his "feminine" side—Kit is seemingly more alarmed than she is by his projecting and detached "masculine" side. But it may be that Kit is reacting not so much to Port letting down his guard as she is to his need to display such emotions in front of Tunner.

**Kit and the Dionysian Body**

Point of view in *The Sheltering Sky* shifts back and forth between Port and Kit, with occasional shifts to Tunner, as well as various minor figures. The modern master of dual point of view, especially between male and female, is Lawrence; Malcolm Lowry also makes effective use of a shifting point of view between a husband and wife (and a third, the husband's brother) in *Under the Volcano*. Bowles, like Lawrence and Lowry, is primarily concerned in his work with relations between husbands
and wives. The Sheltering Sky is one of the great twentieth century novels on marriage. (Indeed the general absence of great novels in postmodern times may be chiefly due to the diminution of the central role of marriage, the Ur narrative most crucial to novels, an essentially bourgeois form.)

For Port—and this may be his primary failing—marriage is something where you are together without actually being together in any highly emotional or sexual way. Port's emphasis on movement and solitude suggests a fear of involvement, and perhaps, to employ Paglia's terms, fears of the Dionysian, chthonian excesses of femaleness. These fears find a home in the Unconscious. Caponi writes, "To the fastidious, compulsive Bowles, the unconscious was messy, gushy, and uncontrollable. Its dark fertility, that 'damp breeding place of ideas' he had discussed in Let It Come Down, was rich with the stuff of life—soil, mud, and blood—and death, and it repelled. Bowles more than any force of nature ever terrified his wife" (211).

Bowles the writer is well aware of the "self-imposed" limitations of Bowles the man—the later visible, at least in certain respects, through the creation of the character Port. Port is "unable to break out of the cage into which he had shut himself, the cage he had built long ago to save himself from love" (100). The "long ago" suggests that Port's problems may have begun in childhood. Despite his having recognized a "glacial deadness," as the cause of his "unhappiness," Port clings "to it [the deadness] always, because it was also the core of his being, he had built the being around it" (145). For Kit, marriage is
mostly about being together, of dependence: "It made her feel abject, and therefore, of course, furious with herself to realize that everything depended on him ..." (40). But Kit is little willing—and this may be her primary failing—to risk failure. She wants Port to come back to her, but is "far too intelligent to make the slightest effort in that direction herself; even the subtlest means would have failed and to fail would be far worse than never to have tried" (40). Or perhaps her unwillingness has an even more chilling source: Puritanism. Sherwood Anderson writes about such matters in a letter: "As though if we dared love and help each other we had in some way sinned. Is that Puritanism, I dunno" (604). Marriage, like society, should be a sheltering sky, but the refusal of emotional attachment on Port's part and the refusal of independence and solitude on Kit's part, and the lack of initiative on both of their parts when it comes to their relationship, prevents them from sheltering each other from the ravages of nature and fate.

Port lives not so much by symbols as signs. In wandering, signifier supersedes signified, reading the road map replaces meaning, surface replaces depth. One is kept in a state of suspension, which makes things ambiguous and ambivalent, allowing for various possibilities, including at times hope—as Kit, who also visualizes, suggests: "Against her will she forced herself to admit that she still belonged to Port, even though he did not come to claim her—and that she still lived in a world illumined by the distant light of a possible miracle: he might yet return to her" (40).
But generally Kit's visualizing does not tend toward Port's more Apollonian, masculine, transcendentalist manner; rather Kit visualizes dark catastrophes. In some respects, Kit is more the Calvinist than Port—and therefore more profound? If for Port there is nothing behind the sheltering sky—"Nothing ... Just darkness. Absolute night." (101)—for Kit it hides danger and evil. She could "feel doom hanging over her head like a low rain cloud" (37). The Sahara is a place for omens. As Huston Smith writes, prior to the coming of Islam, Arab belief took the form of an "animalistic polytheism, it peopled the desert with beastly sprites called jinn or demons" (219), fantastic personifications of the terrors of the desert. The seemingly infinite spaces of the Sahara portend something—something frightening, something repressed, but perhaps necessary to understand. Nelson Dyar, in Let It Come Down, experiences such fears (if little along the lines of understanding) when he ventures out into the desert:

"To see infinity in a grain of sand." The line came to him across the empty years, from a classroom. Outside was the winter dusk, dirty snow lay in the empty lots; beyond, the traffic moved. And in the stifling room, overheated to bursting, everyone was waiting for the bell to ring, precisely to escape from the premonition of infinity that hung so ominously there in the air. The feeling he associated with the word infinity was one of physical horror. If only existence could be cut down to the pinpoint
here and now, with no echoes reverberating from the past, no tinglings of expectation from time not yet arrived! (254-5)

Traditionally, to be or become feminine is to minimize visualizing, to move away from projection and introspection toward a level of immediacy and touch: Kit's omens are felt presences. The primacy of sight in the desert—the importance of light, the vast expanses, the unvarying landscape—is, when we come to Kit's central episode, in Book Three, increasingly replaced by touch, as in, of course, her heated sexual encounters with Belqassim and Amar. Even the visual landscape is altered; the sky catches fire, and sight and heat combine: "the entire sky was like a metal dome grown white with heat" (288). Increasingly, blue is replaced by white, and white by a charred red and black. Kit's skin is blackened by the sun: "She went to the camels and opened her bag for the first time, looked into the mirror on the inside of the lid, and discovered that with the heavy tan she had acquired during the past weeks she looked astonishingly like an Arab boy" (291).

Yet, if in some respects Kit outdoes Port--goes further and more deeply into the Arab world, pushes beyond sight to touch, contact--in other respects she merely becomes Port and lives out his desire for solitude and silence. Further, whatever sensual reawakening Kit experiences generally comes at the hands of those who will command her in ways she had formerly hoped Port would (but one gets the feeling he wants to watch as others, including Tunner, do it to her). Eric Mottram writes of Kit: "She desires
the absurd state of permanent subordination" (8). That is her absolute.

Kit in Book Three, after Port's death, appears to be a projection of Port's desires, even to the point of being a kind of ghostly projection of Port himself. Kit understands Port's desire for someone to love "solitude and the proximity to infinite things" as much as he does, and she understands his desire for her "to become as he was" as representing, perhaps, his only hope of finding "his way back to love" (99). Even though Kit seems to be reborn—sensually, at least—through her encounters with the Islamic men, she does not seem to have really escaped Port's influence. Just as Book One begins with Port awakening and opening his eyes, so Book Three begins with Kit opening her eyes. Again, a visual, and voyeuristic, link is established between them, as if now Port is watching her, perhaps like a God above, or as though she herself has now become Port—and forced to both be him, be a man, or boy, and watch him as he submits to the Arab men.

There is, indeed, a sense that Kit is something of a vehicle of exchange between not just Arab men, but Port and Arab men. When Kit is forced to submit to both Belqassim and his friend, she supposes "that it was a gentlemen's agreement, made for the duration of the voyage" (288). This, of course, not only suggests that Port might be said to stand in as "friend" but that Tunner might as well—and further, that Port and Tunner for the duration of their Sahara sojourn likewise have an unwritten though tacitly acknowledged "gentlemen's agreement" with regard to Kit.
Yet, as stated earlier, Port and Kit do need to cross over to each other in order to survive as a couple. To some degree, they even need to cross over to the other side of their respective genders. In coming to the East, Port may have instinctively sought out a culture which rejects visualizing in the sense that it is less attached to historical time (or has a less progress-oriented sense of historical time). As Dyar says in *Let It Come Down*, "... in order to feel alive a man must first cease to think of himself as being on his way. There must be a full stop, all objectives forgotten" (183).

Port perhaps senses that he must stop visualizing and become more feminine. Amar warns Kit, "Women always think of what is finished instead of what is beginning" (323), but Port perhaps needs to be more aware of things ending. The traditional idea that women are aware of love slipping away day by day and men only when a relationship is over—and it's too late to do anything about it—is relevant to Kit and Port. Port only truly discovers his love for Kit on his deathbed.

In the opposition and, to some extent, interplay of male and female lies salvation. Mottram writes,

For Bowles female sexuality and centripetal energy opposes—or at least is different from—male morality and introspection, an opposition which is the basis of "Call at Corazon" and *The Sheltering Sky*. It is the connection belonging to that tradition which fuses the daemonic, the female and the a-moral as Nature: a man's destiny lies always in relationship to it, in struggle and submission.
there is a dogma in Paul Bowles, it lies in this construction. (3)

But whereas the author's work (and it seems his life to a large degree as well) manages to synthesize as Paglia says of the ancient Egyptians, "sunlit clarity of form with daemonic earth-cult," neither Port nor Kit manage, either individually or as a couple, to achieve such a synthesis. Port and Kit never, as Port says, "get all the way into life" (101), are never able to cross over to the other. Port cannot accept love and Kit cannot accept solitude. In order to become real, Port must become more feminine, stop visualizing and simply live in the present. His masculine, Protestant, spirit-driven imagination must marry the feminine, non-Protestant, bodily imagination: Kit's pagan and Catholic willingness to get close to the Arabs, and especially Arab women, provide, if only he realized it, the resistance he needs (his encounters are merely sexual, colonialist). Only after Port's death, when Kit more or less becomes Port, or at least lives out Port's fantasy--perhaps making it somewhat her own in the process--do both masculine and feminine principles come fully into play, do both sight and touch become fully active.

Yet by then, as I've already argued, it is too late: not only is Port gone, but in a strange way Kit merges her personality with his, and merging is the way of dissolution and death. Indeed sight and touch, projection and immediacy, visualization and action, may be not so much integrated as confused; a kind of
somnambulant repetition takes over: "She [Kit] did only the things she found herself already doing" (289).

Early on in the novel, Kit, in order to feel at home with her omens, enters into a kind of complicity with darkness. She must do something wrong, something bad, something she will feel guilty about, so she sleeps with Tunner. After this adulterous act, and Port's death, which she may feel is related to her adultery, she punishes herself. Lawrence Durrell writes in Justine: "Guilt always hurries towards its complement, punishment: only there does its satisfaction lie" (147-8). Kit thus submits to the Arab men. But in submission ("Allah" means submission) Kit finds both pleasure, that is, pleasure-pain, and her "identity."

It remains an open question whether Kit, as Port, could have achieved recognition of her depravity through other means than those she followed. By going into the recesses of the Arab world and then returning to the Western world, she is able to see herself, one of the damned, a man in the sight of God:

Still she was convinced that this was the end, that it would not belong before they found her. They would stand her up before a great mirror, saying to her: "Look!" And she would be obliged to look, and then it would be all over. The dark dream would be Shattered; the light of terror would be constant; a merciless beam would be turned upon her, the pain would be unendurable and endless. (323-4)
NeoRomantic Art

Harold Rosenberg remarks that "lifting up a word and putting a space around it has been the conscious enterprise of serious French poetry since Baudelaire and Rimbaud" and goes on to associate Francophile John Ashbery with this attribute (qtd. in Donoghue ix). I would add Francophile Bowles, and add further that Bowles also participates in the Baudelaire/Poe French connection that shows how a certain kind of raciocination can lead to— if too rigorously applied— gothic (and Calvinist) horror. W.H. Auden writes,

From Rimbaud down to Mr. Ashbery, an important school of modern poets has been concerned with the discovery that, in childhood largely, in dreams and daydreams entirely, the imaginative life of the human individual stubbornly continues to live by the old magical notions. Its world is of sacred images and ritual acts ... [Perloff's ellipsis] a numinous landscape inhabited by demons and strange beasts. (qtd. in Perloff 250)

The bringing together of what we might label, once more, Apollonian and Dionysian strains is one of the great achievements of Bowles's work. Bowles takes a purity and simplicity of line and marries it to an impressionistic and sometimes violently expressionistic use of color and sound. That such qualities appeal to Bowles is indicated by the following first sentence of his translation of Isabelle Eberhardt's The Oblivion Seekers, stories and memoir set in the Muslim Near East and Africa: "Long and white, the road twists like a snake toward the far-off blue
places, toward the bright edges of the earth" (19). The clean, minimalist, yet impressionistic description, wherein perspective and distance soften toward a mysterious, horizontal projection of self and landscape in conjunction, is a signature of Bowles's style, even in his translation work, as is some lurking "snake" or other creature.

Interestingly, the French experience in the Near East, as described by Edward Said, is suggestive of Bowles's spare, elegant, yet haunting accomplishment: "Theirs was the Orient of memories, suggestive ruins, forgotten secrets, hidden correspondences, and an almost virtuosic style of being, an Orient whose highest literary forms would be found in Nerval and Flaubert, both of whose work was solidly fixed in an imaginative, unrealizable (except aesthetically) dimension..." (170).

The Sheltering Sky marks one of the high points of the neo-romantic novel, which includes such early figures as Lawrence, Andre Gide, and Ernest Hemingway and such later practitioners as Henry Miller, Jean Rhys, Lowry, and Graham Greene. Bowles wrote to a friend:

The only difference I can see between the Romanticist and Neo-Romanticist is that besides not having had the Realist school from which to learn lessons (nor the Dadaist nor Surrealists) the Romantic having faith and hoping, wrote as though he had lost it, while the Neo-Romanticist having lost all hope and faith of the kind the Romantic had, makes his faith in his creations. But Jolas says the neo-romantic attitude toward life and art is doomed from the start.
because its aim is irrationalism. Be that or be it not, I should never be capable of holding any other than a romantic outlook. (In Touch)

Bowles mid-century work—NeoRomantic—comes after High Modernism and before yet near the beginnings of Postmodernism. In other words, *The Sheltering Sky* displays features of late-phase art—"accomplished but anxious"; "high classic form" defiled "with mother nature's sex and violence" (Paglia 99)—as well as anticipates aspects associated with Postmodernism, particularly in terms of its flattening of experience and its reflexive, voyeuristic conundrums.

*The Sheltering Sky* is both a fulfillment and refusal of Romanticism. Quest and adventure suggest Romanticism, yet the Romantic susceptibility to exotic cruelty as an antidote to civilization (as in Lawrence's "The Princess" and "The Woman Who Rode Away") is played out in Bowles to no, or at least little, purpose or revelation—or to put it differently, we only learn by going where we have to go, but in Bowles we are not sure that we've learned anything except that we are compelled to go where we have to go. The final effect of *The Sheltering Sky* is something tending, like much mid-century work, toward the romantic and visionary, yet in Bowles's case also tending toward something restrained and empty, unnervingly so.

Indeed as a reader progresses through the novel it all begins to seem weirder and darker than one might have first imagined, for the future events of the novel are, in some respects, already laid out, already predestined, as though the characters were
merely acting out something already lodged in their memory or unconscious, or even something already predetermined by the impersonal laws of the universe. This sense of Calvinistic predestination is perhaps even to be found in the very process of the book's conception:

"Bowles believed his book 'would write itself' once he had 'established the characters and spilled them out onto the North African scene,' and by the time he reached midtown Manhattan, he 'had made all the most important decisions about the novel'" (Caponi 124-25). Bowles moved back to Morocco with the idea of writing out this planned novel.

Allen Hibbard quotes an excerpt from a Bowles notebook: "Since I am a product of C [Christian] society [New England-Unitarian forbears] I assume that I am to be counted among the Christians.... If I am ever able to arrive at a point of view which expresses the Ch. ethos despite the corrosive effect [of] my own ignorance and weakness, I shall be supremely happy" (198). I'm not sure what Bowles means by Christian ethos--perhaps the injunction to love one another, and certainly Port and Kit could gain from acting on that command. Or perhaps Bowles has in mind what Day Slade argues in Up Above the World: "'The one thing Christianity has given the world is a lesson in empathy. Jesus's words are a manual on the technique of putting yourself in the other's place" (62). The titles of all of Bowles's novels--even The Spider's House--point to the sky, to the heavens, we might say. At any rate, one arresting effect of The Sheltering Sky is to give sensuous form, at once real and ethereal, embodied and
disembodied, to the common philosophical notions behind Calvinistic predestination and Romantic quest.

That The Sheltering Sky does not offer a vision of transcendence, nor much in terms of a possible social compact, even "a solitude of two," to quote Graham Hough on romanticism (9), is perhaps disheartening. In the closing lines of the novel Kit, half-mad, half-reborn, back in Oran yet in limbo between two cultures, avoids a reunion with Tunner by fleeing. She hops on a street car and disappears: "At the edge of the Arab quarter the car, still loaded with people, made a wide U-turn and stopped; it was the end of the line." My guess is that Kit will be living, like Bowles himself, at the edge of the Arab quarter. And that unless she actually lives among the Arabs not just at the edge of them, and among them instead of as one of them--she will have failed.

To remain a Western colonialist is to be half-hearted; to try to become an Arab is folly. Bowles is perhaps saying that the only hope for modern excommunicates is to try and stand their ground and live "Under the sky," as Luther put it--to try to get all the way into the life they've chosen, or been given.
Robert Lowell is perhaps the last major nation-state poet America will ever have. As a product of an important literary family of New England, a region of the country which maintains an especially strong historical sense, Lowell employs history in his poems in ways we are not likely to encounter again. The American century is passing and our country's poets are increasingly postmodern, that is, they continue to unmask temporal and territorial claims to national, regional, and even individual identity. However much a poet may seek to embody regional and American ideals, the changing world order and evolving notions concerning the inability of language to locate and authorize a subject encourages poets, as we are encouraged as readers, to see across distances and borders.

But indeed, ever since Walt Whitman, this has been a central project of American poetry. One of the ironies of American grain or the American sublime traditions, that is, the experimental, New York-based traditions of Whitman, William Carlos Williams, Hart Crane, and Wallace Stevens, which Lowell somewhat resisted
prior to Life Studies, is that in the pursuit of freedom, vista, and a kind of transcendental all-inclusiveness (America is all countries merged and each individual American contains all), they dissolve, to a surprising degree, not only regions but "America." What remains is America as commitment to the open road or the new word, or, in more solipsistic formulations, as a mere projection and mirror of the self. Tenney Nathanson describes this double effect of Whitman, the chief proponent of American "Democratic Vistas": "...speaking from its particular time and place, this [Whitman's] presence seems also to transcend it, projecting itself through intervals of time, as well as space, it thereby annuls" (5). Thus it follows that a late modern/ postmodern poet such as John Ashbery, who disperses rather than concentrates subjectivity (until, paradoxically, everything is the self) stands as a truer inheritor of the central American tradition than such city-state regionalists as Lowell, or, to take other examples, Lowell's fellow New Englanders, Edwin Arlington Robinson and Robert Frost, and Lowell's mentor, Southerner Allen Tate—formalists all.

Yet in Lowell the crisis of the national idea, or ideal, finds a rich and agonizing portrayal, linking him to Whitman, that is, to the side of Whitman less concerned with universal consciousness—the self everywhere—and more to the side of Whitman concerned with the relation between the personal self and the representative, social American self. Lowell offers, in ways Ashbery generally cannot or doesn't care to, powerful visions of American culture in distress, which includes in a special way his own New England. Ashbery's work tends to be "post-apocalyptic":
the best and worst of times have already happened, and, importantly, we must now live, for better or worse, on a flat earth mirrored by a flat sky, with but a hologram of the self in the air between. Or as Ashbery puts it in *Flow Chart*:

and so get over feeling oppressed, so as to be able to construct the small song,
our prayer at the center of whatever void we may be living in: a romantic, nocturnal place that must sooner or later go away. At that point we'll have lived, and the having done so would be a passport to a permanent, adjacent future, the adult equivalent of innocence in a child, or lost sweetness in a remembered fruit: something to tell time by. (76)

But in Lowell's work, especially the middle works, *Life Studies* and *For the Union Dead*, the crucible of "the times," as well as time as both a philosophical construct and a personal quagmire, is central to notions of self and representative self. Feeling oppressed and fighting oppression are still live concerns.

These are the tranquillized *Fifties*,
and I am forty. Ought I regret my seedtime?
I was a fire-breathing Catholic C.O.,
and made my manic statement,
telling off the state and president,
sat waiting sentence in the bull pen
beside a Negro boy with curlicues
of marijuana in his hair.

("Memories of West Street and Lepke")

Yet Lowell's harried pursuit of the historical self, as a result in part of his unique position as a "Lowell," leads ultimately to a kind of "Double Dreaming" (to borrow the title of one of Ashbery's books), or "Double-Vision" (to borrow from Lowell's late book, The Dolphin), in which the emphasis falls less on the self that acts in historical time than on the self that defines or constructs a self or selves. The self-altering, self-questioning side of the project complicates Lowell's pursuit of moral history. When Lowell begins to question language's ability to represent self and self-in-society, as he does increasingly from Life Studies forward, he more fully enters the Whitman-Williams-Crane-Stevens-Ashbery world of a poetry of consciousness itself. Paradoxically, history leads Lowell away from historical or factual reality. In Life Studies, and particularly in For the Union Dead, the latter a focus of this study, Lowell moves past the regionalist, city-state tradition (the "nation-state" tradition), which tends to emphasize village life and morality—as in such early Lowell poems as "The Quaker Graveyard in Nantucket" and "After the Surprising Conversions"—toward the American-grain or sublime traditions, which tend to emphasize consciousness and indeterminacy. Lowell's poetry becomes both more personal and more public, and the lines between the two are blurred, while middle ground is excluded. However
much Lowell gains from this change—Life Studies and For the Union Dead are his greatest works, and there is much to admire in The Dolphin and especially Day by Day—in the end there are also losses for Lowell and American poetry. The texture of local habitation begins to disappear in both.

New Yorker Ashbery emerges as perhaps the finest poet of the central if somewhat diminished and attenuated American poetry tradition of experiment. Rather than tying his world to some idea of history or behavior, Ashbery's characteristic voice, a kind of circulating energy, resists locating itself in anything except the process of thinking/ writing/ living--Whitmanesque in its ongoing and extensive energy and Stevensian in its abstractness. Memories and nostalgia provide a semblance of a locus, as well as pathos--the lost worlds of farm and New York, of love and metaphor are poignantly evoked--but those memories "belong" to a different self (rather than just a strange or estranged aspect of one's self) or, more accurately perhaps, belong to another, different context.

Lowell's Life in Progress

"The life-in-progress of the protean poet, as representative of his time and place," as Richard Gray (256) describes Lowell's project, is in line with the side of Whitman which seeks the localized American epic. But Lowell tends, despite his intentions to embrace America, to build a wall between himself and his native country, even as he seeks to come to terms with it (Whitman's over-identification with others creates, as D.H.
Lawrence argued, a different kind of obstacle in terms of his relation to his native country or people). Lowell's fear of America appears to derive from a concern about being appropriated by American history, especially with regard to both the New England tradition and his own famous family heritage.

It is his mother's ambitions as much as his father's failures that Lowell fears. Indeed his father's failure to fit-in, as described in "Commander Lowell, 1887-1950," is offered as a somewhat hopeful sign.

The commander's son, Robert, describes a world that is tight-lipped and awful--class and gender restrictive. "There were no undesirables or girls in my set,/ when I was a boy at Mattapoisett--/ only Mother, still her Father's daughter." The flattening effect of the end-rhyme, "set" and "Mattapoisett," the rhyme being a mere repetition of the same sound, sets up a world closed and dull, and yet also ajar: single repetitions neither rise to the enlivening plane of ritual and dance, nor does this repeated sound click shut like exact rhyme (two different words meeting and matching at their vowels), nor leave open possibilities of new combinations in the manner of half-rhyme. The third line of the poem, "only Mother, still her Father's daughter," further establishes a world clotted and constricted, the similarity of the sounds "Mother," "Father's," "daughter," creating the sense of an odd conflation of roles, the word "still" contributing a sense of both stasis and eternal reoccurrence.
His father, we soon realize, is perhaps one of those "undesirables"; his claims to Robert's mother appear to be overridden by her own father. "Her voice was still electric/ with a hysterical, unmarried panic..." The territory is unreal and slippery, despite or rather because the rules are strictly laid down. Who is an undesirable? And further, the careful distinction between undesirables and girls is smudged, "undesirables" leaks into "girls" despite the "or," for both the former and latter are absent. The only girl allowed in appears to be Robert's mother--her girlness established by reference to her being a daughter. Apparently Robert cannot have other girls. Significantly, "Commander Lowell" has begun with Mrs. Lowell. The second stanza shifts the focus from mother and son to father and son.

Having a naval officer
for my Father was nothing to shout
about to the summer colony at "Matt."
He wasn't at all "serious,"
when he showed up on the golf course,
wearing a blue serge jacket and numbly cut
white ducks he'd bought
at a Pearl Harbor commissariat...
and took four shots with his putter to sink his putt.
The "numbly cut white ducks" is almost too much to bear--one desires to turn away, sight and sound so deftly linked, almost to the point of doggerel! His father isn't hip; trained as an engineer, an Annapolis man, he is liable to show up at the golf
course in a soiled white shirt and plaid pants, ever "cheerful" but somewhat friendless—"Cheerful and cowed/among the seadogs at the Sunday yacht club, he was never one of the crowd." He is given to "piker speculations!", but it is the failure to fit-in more than failure itself that Lowell is pointing to, and paradoxically, this failure to fit-in is strangely admirable, as is his father's "defiant" optimism. The fact that "In three years/ he squandered sixty thousand dollars" is not easily dismissed, but it also registers the presence of an independent, if foolhardy and somewhat childish man--and not incidently, one who escapes from a world where the only "girl," the only dominant female influence, is Mrs. Lowell.

Commander Lowell belongs to a different time and place--a different subset--than the Mattapoisett of Robert's youth, and thus we see the importance of placing the dates, "1887-1950," in the title. Under different circumstances at a different time Mr. Lowell might have been quite successful indeed, as is indicated at the end of the poem: "And once/ nineteen, the youngest ensign in his class,/ he was 'the old man' of a gunboat on the Yangtze." The themes of youth and age, especially in terms of the ill-defined distinctions between child and adult, beginner and authority figure, and more generally, subject and object, are repeated, and made both immediate and historical ("Year after year"), as a way of pointing to the confusion and overdetermination of Robert's socialization and education; the temporalizing process also points toward Robert's later ability to understand, at least to some degree, the anachronistic
position his father occupied. When Robert's mother reads to him from a book about Napoleon, we see how this process works.

Long-nosed Marie Louise Hapsburg in the frontispiece had a downright Boston bashfulness;
where she grovelled to Bonaparte, who scratched his navel, and bolted his food—just my seven years tall!
There is too much in those lines to parse adequately—which of course is why only a poem, and a rather telegraphic one at that, suffices for Lowell, who abandoned his autobiography—but one general sense of these lines is of a boy standing eye-to-eye with a soldier, Bonaparte, who resembles Lowell's father in terms of uncouthness, one-time success, and ultimate failure.
Uncomfortably to us, readers of the poem, Marie Louise Hapsburg, associated with Robert's mother by virtue of her "Boston bashfulness," grovels to Bonaparte, who in the last line is not so much Mr. Lowell but his replacement, Robert. To some extent Robert never recovers from being placed in such an unenviable situation, taking over for his father as husband and soldier. In addition to the prescribed (proscribed!), overdetermined nature of his cultural and family background, Lowell, in Life Studies and For the Union Dead, appears to fear the onset of middle age itself, the loss of youth and prowess, especially in a country which prizes them. His anxieties, however, fuel his powerful self-portrait of a contemporary American (if not always so successfully the creation of a more universal American epic); one
often feels that in Lowell, as Emerson wrote in "History," "the crises of his life refer to national crises."

Lowell's own life becomes the chief subject of his work. But the life of Lowell, as a poet, and of Lowell, as one of the famous Lowell poets, means that the personal takes on an especially public cast (Henry Adams's "third-person" Autobiography also mines this territory of famous family Self as Other). From the moment he was born a Lowell, his psychological self was public space. And vice versa, exterior events, especially those with large scale public and historic implications, are particularly relevant to his psychological health. Furthermore, poetic utterance in itself leads in the direction of public life, especially in modern times when poetry is often read in public, as Lowell was often called on to do. And finally, Lowell is representing not only himself, and his singular family heritage, but also the historic civic orientations of New England. His sense of selfhood is publicly determined to a degree far beyond average. The extent to which his life is bound up with history, and in particular literary history, is obviously disconcerting—if also of great value—to the writer. In "To Delmore Schwartz," for example, the speaker's sense of self seems overdetermined, somewhat comically, as he himself realizes, by historical and literary reference, from T.S. Eliot to Harvard to Joyce and Freud to Stalin.

In Lowell, self and history, including personal history, wrestle each other, and finally collapse into one rather static, dreamlike—often nightmarish—scene: the self, lacking self-
authorized agency, cannot awake from history, cannot even separate out self and history. Efforts to overcome historical necessities only serve to emphasize the limits of the self as well as how meshed self and history are, especially if one is a Lowell. "Middle Age," the third poem in For the Union Dead, is illustrative of this intertwining of self and history in Lowell and of its unnerving implications. I reproduce it below in its entirety.

Middle Age
Now the midwinter grind
is on me, New York
drills through my nerves
as I walk
the chewed-up streets.

At forty-five,
what next, what next?
At every corner,
I meet my Father,
my age, still alive.

Father, forgive me
my injuries,
as I forgive
those I
have injured!
You never climbed
Mount Sion, yet left
dinosaur
death-steps on the crust,
where I must walk.

The disconcerting, uncanny moment of meeting one's own father
(and Father as in God) on every corner as a version of one's self
brings the movement of the poem, the dialectic of self and
"Middle Age," to a sort of halt, a moment of stasis, "still," and
yet pulsing, "alive"—and rather horrifyingly, "still alive," as
if his father had risen from the dead. The last stanza furthers
the multitemporal weirdness: Lowell is following his father's
footsteps to the grave, via the streets he, the son, is presently
walking, simultaneously New York's and Mount Sion's. In "Middle
Age," the Father has already been there before, thus a sense of
fatality, prolepsis, curtailment ensues—History is seen not as
ground for movement and action but, as alluded to earlier, as a
site for the Eternal Return of the same story.

There are some similarities to Ashbery's work here. The
speaker in "Self-Portrait in a Convex Mirror" laments the general
lack of fresh experience—"The fertile/ Thought-associations that
until now came/ So easily, appear no more, or rarely"—and feels
life's potent immediacy ebb: "To be serious only about sex/ Is
perhaps one way, but the sands are hissing/ As they approach the
big slide/ Into what happened. This past/ is now here...." Also
like Ashbery, the site of Lowell's "Middle Age" is somewhat post-
apocalyptic, flattened, as we find in other Lowell images of that
period, such as the "commercial photograph" of Hiroshima and the TV pictures of the "drained faces of Negro school-children" in "For the Union Dead." Lowell's later volume History is even flatter, emptier. Ashbery writes in "Self-Portrait": "The balloon pops, the attention/ Turns dully away. Clouds/ In the puddle stir up into sawtoothed fragments./ I think of the friends/ Who came to see me, of what yesterday/ Was like."

But Ashbery's characteristic response to such return-experiences or meta-experiences is to embrace the uncharted present moment and movement, as in the following passage from "Grand Galop": "I cannot decide in which direction to walk/ But this doesn't matter to me, and I might as well/ Decide to climb a mountain (it looks almost flat)/ As decide to go home...." Lowell, on the other hand, "must walk" streets of death and ghosts. In Ashbery, there is, if not a new world in the offing, at least a new context, a new day, but in Lowell, the concavity of experience tends to swallow up identity.

In "For the Union Dead," for example, the wavering light of a fish tank, the poem's central figure, infuses the poem with a gothic, cavelike atmosphere. Lowell recalls, from his youth, pressing his nose to the glass and looking in at the fish of the "old South Boston Aquarium," which has since been boarded up, but by the end of the poem one gets the sense that the world itself is a fish tank (which he looks in at or out from?), as "giant finned cars nose forward like fish." Again, like Ashbery's "Self-Portrait in a Convex Mirror," reflective images, and the illusory, dreamlike qualities created by them--indeed the
aquarium glass is convex—predominate in Lowell's poem, and in
the whole of the sequence that makes up For the Union Dead. But
whereas Ashbery's world is generally bright, mirroring, and
holographic, though somewhat less so in "Self-Portrait," his
greatest poem, Lowell's tends to be dark, opaque, spectral,
phosphorescent.

Sooty, too. His world is dry, as in dust to dust, ashes into
ashes (or, elsewhere, dry as a failed marriage). In "Water," the
volume's opening poem, the water is too cold, but later, in "For
the Union Dead," the closing poem, "the airy tanks" of the
aquarium "are dry." The monument to Colonel Shaw and Negro
infantry sticks dryly "like a fishbone/ in the city's throat."
Those "giant finned cars nose forward" but not in water, in
"grease." "Parking spaces luxuriate like civic sandpiles." In
another poem from the same volume, "The Public Garden," the "park
is drying./ Dead leaves thicken to a ball/ inside the basin of a
fountain, where/ the heads of four stone lions stare and suck on
empty faucets."

The public garden, the civic commons, and more generally,
Atlantic culture are pictured as drained, empty—or, as in "The
Mouth of the Hudson," polluted: "Chemical air/ sweeps in from
New Jersey...." Lowell himself is not the man he once was or
would hope to be because, for one thing, he realizes he is
representative of this dry (middle-aged? Medieval?) culture.
There is a perverse logic here. He is a son of that culture, but
also, as we have seen, he is father to it as well, for he sees
himself as his father (Father) and as a father: "Father, forgive
me/ my injuries,/ as I forgive/ those I/ have injured!" This complex, enjambed formula makes everybody parent and child to everyone else. One guesses that Lowell might be inclined to exclaim, "God help me," were it not so problematical, for he might wind up only talking to himself. In "Skunk Hour," his famous lines, "I myself am hell;/ nobody's here--," raise just such a tragicomic possibility.

Steven Gould Axelrod writes that in For the Union Dead Lowell made his own sense of "'witheredness'" his poetic subject, and that this witheredness is "as much cultural as it is individual" (137). Axelrod continues: "Much more than in Life Studies, Lowell's new volume reflects the politics of its time. ... In For the Union Dead Lowell reveals to us the struggle of an individual to bear the double burden of his existence, social as well as personal. His point is that public and private worlds are interconnected, each affecting and being affected by the other" (138). And further: "...Lowell exposed the private and public confusions he had undergone firsthand. Out of the wealth and poverty of his own experience he created his poetry of consciousness" (139). Recognition of this double burden of self and self-in-society, and of their interconnectedness, leads to a wider—if perhaps less free and active--consciousness; and perhaps more importantly, it leads to a focus on consciousness itself. Indeed, it is this mixing and merging of self and history which leads to a generalized smudging of the borders between self and other in Lowell, and to an increasing recognition of not just the (self) expressive qualities of
language, especially poetic language, but also its power to undermine subjectivity. This dispersion of subjectivity can lead to questions about one's ability to identify what one truly believes in or holds on to as central. Writes David Kalstone of Lowell and "For the Union Dead": "The forceful suggestion in Lowell's poetry is that, in the face of history" no "clarification and [personal] resolve is possible; we takes our places among the ruins of time" (130). Yet Lowell seems to imply, especially in History, that there are rewards to be found in this more extensive, dispersed, and passive subjectivity. Axelrod writes that in the final poem in History, "End of the Year," Lowell

superimposes the image of his art--his marked-up carbon paper (his Rosetta stone)--upon the image of deepening night: "bright sky, bright sky, carbon scarred with ciphers." In the joining of inexplicable world and language, in the transformation of his world into language, Lowell finds his sustaining though ambiguous value. His words become stars, "scarred" but "bright." (211)

Ashbery's response to art-making may be even a little more ambiguous than Lowell's--the moment of recognition and expression, especially when codified in written language, is also precisely the moment when the thing noticed passes out of the present and becomes something else. Indeed Ashbery argues that the process of acknowledging a certain lucid apprehension of the world sunders and distorts that apprehension:
This thing, the mute, undivided present,
Has the justification of logic, which
In this instance isn't a bad thing
Or wouldn't be, if the way of telling
Didn't somehow intrude, twisting the end result
Into a caricature of itself. This always
Happens, as in the game where
A whispered phrase passed around the room
Ends up as something completely different.

("Self-Portrait in a Convex Mirror")

Yet, paradoxically, the recognition that things become something completely different than we expected or planned may also lead us back to humanity, to people, if not exactly to the People, the Republic.

Ashbery's Cartography

As Keith Cohen points out in reference to Ashbery's use of a variety of discourses—the borrowing of phrases and cliches, the mixing of high and low diction—One of his aims is to dislocate and thus dismantle "bourgeois" history; but Frederic Jameson's distinction between postmodern pastiche and modernist parody helps make clear the difference between Ashbery and modernists (say, from James Joyce to Lowell) who, however much they dismantle, also acknowledge the availability of a true, or truer, discourse. For instance, the mannerist presentation of that ultimate mannerist Gabriel Conroy, in Joyce's "The Dead," goes a long way toward dismantling false discourses of family, nation,
and religion in turn of the century Irish society, but in the end parody and irony are not applied to Gretta Conroy's love for the young Michael Furey. In dealing with similar material, Ashbery would perhaps not parody it, but my guess is that he would just include it, pastiche fashion, without directly memorializing or privileging it, or, if he did privilege it—certainly we feel the pull of nostalgia in Ashbery—the gesture itself would be somewhat undercut, a la mannerism.

The central work of mid-century, of late moderns, of which Lowell stands as a major representative, is different from not only Ashbery and the postmodern world he leans toward but also from that of the high moderns. Irony and parody, and pastiche, are minimized in late modern work and the need to reestablish a regional/national culture—"For the Union Dead," says Lowell—is more apparent. Poetry, and prose as well, becomes more civic-minded, as seen variously in the work of Allen Ginsburg, William Stafford, and Adrienne Rich, to name but three mid-century poets. Perhaps literary history could be graphed as "descending" from God to King to Nation to Self to Language. If so, Lowell may be said to come toward the end of the Nation-Self period and Ashbery near the beginning of the Self-Language period, with World War II the dividing line, perhaps. However my scheme has limited applicability: for Joyce and other high moderns seem more properly Nation-Language writers, or perhaps International-Language writers, with the self somewhat submerged. One of the interesting aspects of Ashbery's work is that he seems to be carrying on a dialogue with many traditions without adhering to
any one in particular. Particularly disconcerting, if also engaging, is his use of a high style to write about everyday (yet crucial?) events. His work is as open to the lyric as to the discursive, to public idioms as to inner musings, to high philosophy as to pop culture. And yet I think we can profitably identify this openness as not just Ashberian, but as part of a larger trend in contemporary poetry, a postmodern poetry, a "post-nation-state poetry," of which Ashbery is perhaps the leading figure.

This poetic mode is unintentionally but deftly described by Robert B. Kaplan in an article about the collapse of nation-states in the contemporary political world. The "map of the future," says Kaplan, will be like "cartography in three dimensions, as if in a hologram" (75). He continues:

In this hologram would be the overlapping sediments of group and other identities atop the merely two-dimensional color markings of city-states and the remaining nations, themselves confused in places by shadowy tentacles, hovering overhead, indicating the power of drug cartels, mafias, and private security agencies. Instead of borders, there would be moving "centers" of power, as in the Middle Ages. Many of these layers would be in motion. Replacing fixed and abrupt lines or a flat space would be a shifting pattern of buffer entities.... Henceforward the map of the world will never be static. This future map—in a sense, the "Last Map"—will be an ever-mutating representation of chaos. (75)
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This is the best description I've come across of the Ashberian tradition, with the caveat that Ashbery's work, if not that of the more politically-minded Language Poets who are sometimes linked to him, is less overtly concerned with power and control, and thus his vision is perhaps less fearful of the future than what we find in Kaplan.

In a poem from The Double Dream of Spring, "Evening in the Country"—read both "countryside" and "country as state"—we are timid witnesses ("cautious yet free/ On the edge") to last displays of power, "the unblinking chariot" rolling "Into the vast open, the incredible violence and yielding/ Turmoil that is to be our route." The sun gets largest just before it goes under; we can but watch the grand, horrible show. Ashbery describes the sunset as "ten thousand helmeted footsoldiers,/ A Spanish armada stretching to the horizon, all/ Absolutely motionless until the hour to strike"--but, as we know, ultimately fail in the case of the armada.

In Ashbery we have, to a significant degree beyond Lowell, "an ever-mutating representation of chaos," and, paradoxically, Ashbery finds his truest voice in just this chaos. Vernon Shetley distinguishes between New Critical poetics and Ashbery's Postmodernism:

The New Critics delighted in teasing out ambiguities, but saw those ambiguities as building to form coherent, if paradoxical, structures; their notion was ultimately spacial. Ashbery's syntactical puzzles, however, arise in the form of sentences that seem to change their projected shape in mid-
stream.... Ashbery's ambiguities are fluid rather than structures...." (119)

In general his poems do not proceed in stages, that is, dialectically, but by mutation and accretion. Ashbery creates a multidimensional space—discrete yet related contexts, the whole of which is everchanging into new contexts. Paradoxically, Lowell's dialectical, multitemporal approach leads to stasis, whereas Ashbery's nondialectical, multispacial approach is a vehicle for movement: the self is never at rest; it circulates among its properties. For Lowell, everything is outside; for Ashbery, nothing is outside. Thus Lowell, the confessionalist, writes from the outside in; while Ashbery, the hermeticist, writes from the inside out.

"Self-Portrait in A Convex Mirror" introduces early on the complications inherent in the self-language matrix Ashbery's work tends to inhabit (as we, contemporary readers, tend to inhabit). Parmigianino, the sixteenth-century mannerist painter, set himself "'With great art to copy all that he saw in the glass,'" Ashbery writes quoting Vasari, then adds, "Chiefly his reflection, of which the portrait/ Is the reflection once removed." The portrait is a microcosm, a miniature version of what the artist saw, "life englobed," himself displayed. The soul of the artist, which "establishes itself," is really "not a soul,/ has no secret, is small, and it fits/ Its hollow perfectly: its room, our moment of attention." We readers of Ashbery's poem are twice removed, yet we are necessary spectators. Without "our moment of attention," however hollow,
Ashbery's wandering soul could not establish itself, in the small hollow we've saved for it (although our attentions also rob the portrait of its soul, its subjectivity, its freedom).

Parmigianino's self portrait is an object in a world of objects and it reflects, by virtue of its convexity, all the world, that is, all the world the artist saw, "which was enough for his purpose." The whole, the Big Picture, so to speak, turns out to be just a picture of one's self, a self-portrait. So Parmigianino's self-portrait is comprehensive, complete, contains self and world, and yet it is just one object, one version of things, "a whisper out of time," as the last line of the poem says. Part of the painting's beauty derives from its position in the reflecting light of Ashbery's and our own wistful sense of time and history: "But it is certain that/ What is beautiful seems so only in relation to a specific/ Life, experienced or not, channeled into some form/ Steeped in the nostalgia of a collective past. Here Ashbery approaches an idea of the past which is not far from Lowell's--Lowell finding a similar idea in the work of Dutch masters. If Ashbery is less angst-driven than Lowell, he is yet romantic; indeed he is much more the transcendentalist than Lowell, whose New England heritage in his case pushes him more toward the metaphysical (as it did T.S. Eliot) and expressionistic. Ashbery's characteristic disclaimers--"experienced or not"--and ambivalent responses--"channeled" as completion or restriction?--provide for even as they complicate his romanticism. Shetley writes, "Certainly, the poet of linguistic freeplay exists in Ashbery, but exists in
combination with, and in some sense permits the existence of, the Keatsian lyricist. Ashbery himself says that "all my stuff is romantic poetry, rather than metaphysical or surrealist." (132)

From what we learn of Ashbery from his other poems, and from his art criticism, it is not surprising that he would respond so strongly—if ambivently—to Parmigianino's painting. The painting is personal and planetary, intimate and large; Ashbery, like other contemporary writers with a post-historical bent, tends to cut out the moral/historical ground of familial, social, and political structures, which so occupies Lowell. There is no great Other in Ashbery, no History in the large sense; indeed Otherness and History are objectified and reduced by and in objects—which are, in some sense, one's self.

The collapsing of time and self which becomes more prominent as Lowell's poetic career proceeds is a kind of starting point for Ashbery; for him, the simulacra—the reduction of the world to reflective objects, the siphoning off of desire as a result of its implication in institutional and commodified space—is a given. Whereas one may regret this state of affairs, feeling that one has been forced to "try to begin living in what/ Has now become a slum," the modest upside is that heroic dreams of the self are dismantled so that "Something like living occurs, a movement/ Out of the dream into its codification." In a review of "The New Realists" exhibition catalogue, 1962, Ashbery writes:

The unmanageable vastness of our experience, the regrettable unpredictability of our aims and tastes, have been seized on by the New Realists as the core of a continuing situation;
that of man on one side and a colorful indifferent universe on
the other. There is no moral to be drawn from this, and in
any case the artist's work on this as on other occasions is
not preaching or even mediation, but translation and exegesis,
in order to show us where the balance of power lies in the
yet-once-again altered scheme of things. Today it seems to
repose in the objects that surround us; that is our
perceptions of them or, simply and once again, in ourselves.
(83)§

We cannot look beyond ourselves, both Lowell and Ashbery seem
to say; this Kierkegardian dictum is pervasive in contemporary
poetry. However, Ashbery is more "personal" than Lowell,
especially in Ashbery's willingness to allow intimate and often
domestic gestures of everyday life, as well as everyday "things,"
a central place in his work. He is also more cosmic: Ashbery
maintains, often with a melancholic overtone, a Zen Buddhist-like
acceptance of the world's indifferent, evermutating yet
essentially unified nature. "Changes are merely/ Features of the
whole." Out of this personal-cosmic orientation Ashbery gains a
measure of freedom, for both good and ill, from the familial,
social, and historical forces which tend to circumscribe Lowell's
sense of selfhood. But more importantly, Ashbery's orientation
causes him to appraise Parmigianino's portrait as being but one
(beautiful and thought-provoking) arrangement, in time and space,
in a multiplicity and continuum of self-world arrangements, all
of which, as in 3D computer modeling, may or may not exist in the
physical world and exist only in schematic/ mathematical form.
The world is not "finished" in any sense of the word. Indeed, ultimately Ashbery appears to reject the fine completeness of Parmiginino's painting—"this flow like an hourglass/ Without varying in climate or quality"—its desire, and presumably Ashbery's own, in the past, to ape naturalness, which "may be a first step/ Toward achieving an inner calm/ But it is the first step only, and often/ Remains a frozen gesture of welcome etched/ On the air materializing behind it,/ A convention." An "exotic/ Refuge within an exhausted world" is no longer acceptable, though "Once it seemed so perfect--glass on the fine/ Freckled skin, lips moistened as though about to part/ Releasing speech, and the familiar look/ Of clothes and furniture that one forgets."

The self-portrait is, as mentioned earlier, "a whisper out of time"—a message from a different time period and a message that exists outside of time. But looking at it we not only visit Parmiginino's world but feel the urge to move beyond and outside of it: "Each person/ Has one big theory to explain the universe/ But it doesn't tell the whole story/ And in the end it is what is outside him/ That matters, to him and especially to us...."

The role of the artist is to create a mirror reflection of his or her own individual self and world— or as Stevens writes in "The Planet on the Table": "His self and the sun were one/ And his poems, although makings of his self,/ Were no less makings of the sun." Making the sun—creating a model universe—occupies Ashbery. Only in this way can the artist move beyond and outside of not only his predecessors but also his own "big theory."

David Bergman is right when he argues that Ashbery's solution is
to "advocate that artists take up separate and individual
spiritual pilgrimages to find their personal visions" (xv). Each
artist's vision will be distinct, private, that is, strange, and
thus not merely human. In an essay on Parmigianino, Ashbery
opens with a quote from Giorgio De Chirico that he goes on to
associate with the appeal of Parmigianino's work:

It must not be forgotten that a picture must always testify to
a profound sensation, and that profound means strange, and
that strange means little-known or completely unknown. For a
work of art to be truly immortal, it must completely transcend
human limitations. In this way it will approach dreams and
the spirit of childhood. (Reported Sightings 31)

Ashbery miniaturizes and privatizes History, incorporates it,
makes it his own, available, first come, first serve, to
everyone. There is a whispering, ghostly, childlike intimacy,
similar to in Whitman—and like Whitman, economies of immediate
exchange. As he says in "Song of Myself":

What is commonest, cheapest, nearest, easiest, is Me.
Me going in for my chances, spending for vast returns,
Adorning myself to bestow myself on the first that will take
me,
Not asking the sky to come down to my good will,
Scattering it freely forever.

The Future of the Poetry of Consciousness

But unlike Whitman—especially late Whitman—Ashbery eschews
direct comment on public issues. Harold Bloom writes, "It is
fascinating though sad to see Ashbery omitting from his recent *Selected Poems* so many of the poems one loves best: 'Evening in the Country,' 'Fragment,' 'The One Thing That Can Save America' among them. Evidently he does not regard them as original enough, or perhaps they are exquisitely painful to him." (x). I'm puzzled myself by the omission of "Evening in the Country" and "The One Thing That Can Save America," but I would hazard a guess that after a while Ashbery found their more overt political content and their references to America uncomfortable. One might also imagine that part of their appeal for Bloom lies in just this more specific Americanness. There is a great deal to admire in those two poems, and I've no doubt that part of what draws me to them is that they take place closer to "home" than most other Ashbery poems. Ashbery's basic instincts, his dispersion of subjectivity and his focus on things (if not entirely Williams's "the thing itself"), are very American: indeed in combination these two instincts simplify the world. Since consciousness is unknowable in any absolute sense, and is everchanging, one does best to pay attention to moments and to immediate objects. And one does best to avoid large claims. Ashbery appears to feel, as compared to Lowell and others who take a more dialectical approach—who think we will become something other and better—that only by indirection or really lack of direction, only by not memorializing what we see before us at any particular moment do we remain available to what rounds the corner, which cannot be predicted. And yet, we also live by
traditions, by customs—in a specific country, county, city, family, etc.—and of these Ashbery speaks little.

To enter into one of his poems is to enter original and mind-expanding territory; it is to lose one's sense of balance and thus understand the strange and impalpable nature of consciousness, in ways similar to the following lines from Whitman's "Crossing Brooklyn Ferry": "The impalpable sustenance of me from all things at all hours of the day,/ The simple, compact, well-joined scheme, myself disintegrated, every one disintegrated yet part of the scheme...." But I'm not sure what to do with an Ashbery poem, how to apply a journey into Ashbery's world to my world, except perhaps to ease up and accept things as they come—and read another Ashbery poem! The self-referential nature of his poetic world makes one feel, at least in part, that his poems exist, like Parmigianino's self-portrait, outside of time and space, too far beyond "human limitations." Yet Douglas Crase's more positive reading of Ashbery's apparent hermeticism also seems true:

The difficulty with Ashbery is that is poetry is so public, so accurately a picture of the world we live in, that it scarcely resembles anything we have ever known. Just so, the present is indeed a world none of us has ever known, because the words to describe it can be put together only after the fact. When the poet does put them together the combination comes as a shock. Understandably, one may at first regard that combination as hermetically private. Only gradually do we realize that it describes the public world we were living in
just moments ago—that some prophet has arrived with news of
the commonwealth. (127)

Lowell, of course, can seem at times all-too-human, or perhaps
too Lowell. But a charged sense of human struggle, in historical
context, is palpable in Lowell and reminds us of the power poetry
has to engage the world. Furthermore, Lowell's later poetry, in
particular, adds an important voice to the American tradition of
a poetry of consciousness. Yet we have taken the poetry of
consciousness—a poetry of dreamlike abstraction, simultaneity,
and multiplicity, to define it further—about as far as it can
profitably go; some form of relocation in a specific geographical
and ethical climate as well as a renewed attention to the various
formal properties of verse, could perhaps bring back a weighty
richness of language, form, and felt-life seldom found since
Lowell. To wish for a poet to be somewhat other than he or she
is—to wish, for instance, that Emily Dickinson got out of the
house more often—is foolish. Poets have a better sense of what
they can and can not do, and of how they must live in order to
get their work done, than we do. Furthermore, Lowell and Ashbery
strike me as the strongest American poets of recent years.
Lowell's last book, Day by Day, is remarkable, nearly on a par
with Life Studies and For the Union Dead; Ashbery remains a poet
of striking felicity and ingenuity—often precisely by
"carefully" leaving out "descriptions of pain, and sex, and how
shiftily/ people behave toward each other" as he writes in a
recent poem, "The Problem of Anxiety." But if one were wishing,
one might hope for an American poet with both the city-state and
the American grain scope of a Whitman, or at least a William Carlos Williams, for a poet who is as much a son (and daughter, father, mother, brother, sister, comrade) of some locale as he is of universal consciousness, and of some regional dialect as he is of the International Style, and of America as he is of borderlands.
In the last few decades, as an outgrowth of the Sixties and in response to the influx of people and translations from all corners of the world, a particular kind of neoromantic literature became prominent: the surreal. Some form of surrealist literature has found a home in all regions of the country. Yet an American surrealism, or, more accurately, "near surrealism," has primarily been developed in the West, where the stark juxtaposition of nature and machine as well as the juxtapositions of a wide range of subcultures creates an art of dreamlike displacement.

The spectacular and desolate curve of sky and land, of mountain and plain, and of high plains and desert has long contributed to making western American literature somewhat more otherworldly than the literatures of the eastern, central, and southern United States. Western American literature is derived from the sun and moon as much as it is from the earth and society: the cosmic or metaphysical dimension is strong, and a rootless, solitary, and reticent attitude prevails. The recent
massive growth of the western U.S. had led to a confrontation between frontier attitudes and the necessities of a highly complex technological and multicultural society. This conflict encourages a derealization in the way the world is experienced and described, even in a writer such as William Stafford, who, as Robert Bly writes, "looks to the palpable and hearable" (x).

Poets as unlike as Stafford and Gregory Corso, who I use here as parameters of Sixties poetry styles in the West, inherit and develop a new geography. A brief listing of some of the West's more interesting contemporary poets--Theodore Roethke, Kenneth Rexroth, Robert Duncan, Charles Bukowski, Thom Gunn, Ishmael Reed, John Haines, Norman Dubie--indicates the importance of the near-surrealist/ neoromantic strain. There is a strong sense of the "wild" in all of these poets, and a strong sense of the significance of "wilderness" in most of them.

Finally, by projecting forward a little beyond the Sixties, I argue that Stafford emerges as the poet of wilderness surrealism most thoroughly in the American grain, by virtue of his continuing faith in the open road, and his sense of being "at home" no matter where he is. In Stafford, outsiderliness receives a new twist: there is no such thing as a permanent home and yet we are never disconnected nor displaced. This is a distinctly postmodern attitude and links Stafford to the future in ways unavailable to either Beat or Deep Image poets. Stafford's postmodernism belies Charles Altieri's notion that Stafford is a poet trapped in the scenic mode of contemporary poetry in which a
"reticent, plain-speaking, and self-reflective speaker within a narratively presented scene" evokes "a sense of loss" (10).

**Gregory Corso: Beat Surrealist**

Many of the urban surrealists of the late Fifties and early Sixties, who had gathered in New York, found it necessary and profitable to shift the focus of their concerns to the "Wild West," finding a center of activity in San Francisco. Although some stayed home—Frank O'Hara, John Ashbery—others, especially those associated with the Beat movement, headed west.

In *The Dharma Bums*, Jack Kerouac describes what they found:

It took exactly the entire twenty-five miles to get out of the smog of Los Angeles, the sun was clear in Riverside. I exulted to see a beautiful dry riverbottom with white sand and just a trickle river in the middle as we rolled over the bridge into Riverside. I was looking for my first chance to camp out for the night and try out my new ideas. But at the hot bus station a Negro saw me with my pack and came over and said he was part Mohawk and when I told him I was going back up the road to sleep in that riverbottom he said "No sir, you can't do that, cops in this town are the toughest in the state...."

This ain't no India, is it," I said, sore, and walked off anyway to try it. ... I laughed thinking what would happen if I was Fuke the Chinese sage of the ninth century who wandered around China constantly ringing his bell. The only
alternative to sleeping out, hopping freights, and doing what I wanted, I saw in vision would be to just sit with a hundred other patients in front of a nice television set in a madhouse, where we could be "supervised." ... I saw many cop cruising cars and they were looking for me suspiciously: sleek, well-paid cops in brand-new cars with all that expensive radio equipment to see that no bhikku slept in his grove tonight. (95-96)

Essential characteristics of the Beat surreal are revealed in this passage. Surrealism, generally defined, is the juxtaposition of elements from different space-times. Here Kerouac interfaces the open landscape of the vast west with efficient, and malevolent, machines of the new world. We also have references to several cultures, ancient and modern. The traditional American images of the "machine in the garden" and the "melting pot" are incorporated, but the emphasis in on the fear and loathing they represent and produce on the last frontier. Kerouac's swift moving style enhances the effect of all these elements, here juxtaposed, passing before one's eyes as though part of a strange, unholy, dream. And finally, Kerouac's odd yet touching sense of humor—the Negro claiming that he is part Mohawk, the reference to Fuke the Chinese sage--gives the scene, however unholy, the feeling of surprising, wide-eyed joy that only the deepest melancholy can generate. Like other surrealists, Kerouac has decided to "dig" rather than lament the strange and lonesome world he has come across.
The myth of the Beat hero is well described by Dorothy Van Ghent. Both Corso and Ginsburg refer to ancient cultures in the last line of their respective poems, "Marriage" and "A Supermarket in California," indicating, perhaps, what Van Ghent calls the "authentic archaic lines" (213) of the Beat myth. Yet the Beat myth has tended to obscure significant differences among Beat poets. Ginsberg works out of a tradition of exhortation and lament, Biblical in its orientation (despite the inversions of traditional good and evil), while Corso often becomes more of clown than a seer, and tends toward an "objective" presentation of the bare metaphysical facts that, more often than not, overwhelm man, Buster Keaton fashion.

Corso, much like his fellow New Yorker O'Hara, explores an urban wilderness, concentrating on social organization. Yet Corso's move to San Francisco signifies an important difference between the two poets. Corso, as is the case with other Beats, is usually in flight from something. Whereas O'Hara, in postmodern fashion, is more accepting of modern city life—indeed delights in it—Corso remains more of an outsider. O'Hara, in Selected Poems, asks in his laconic and domestic manner, "Oh Jane, is there no more frontier?" (25), while Corso, an apocalyptic comedian, states his misgivings more forcibly, in a poem from Mindfield,

I am a great American
I am almost nationalistic about it!
I love America like a madness!
But I am afraid to return to America
I'm even afraid to go into the American Express--

Richard Hugo: Deep Image Surrealist

Richard Hugo, a native Westerner, has perhaps gone the furthest in terms of emphasizing the lonesome quality of the West, especially his own Northwest. This lonesomeness is a product of, and ever leads to, remote places.

Yet before turning to Hugo, I'd like to make a few brief comments on the work of Gary Snyder, often labeled a "Beat" as well as a nature poet, as a way of showing some of connections and differences between Corso and Hugo.

In Snyder's poetry an attention to the natural order of the universe produces a contemplative approach to the world and leads, ultimately, to a reticence which has an effect quite similar to Corso's urban wildness. Although Corso employs a far wider range of tones than Snyder (who is more transcendentalist than surrealist), both poets usually suppress the links in the chain, that is, connecting and explanatory matter. The things themselves are permitted to speak for themselves, which creates (at least the impression of) a more objective presentation, beyond personal anguish and lamentation. O'Hara was the first to point out the central "brevity" of Corso's work (Standing Still 83). However, in Snyder as in Hugo, the world is not so much the lunatic world Corso describes as it is a kind of ancient, pastoral dream world. Snyder's reticence--his unwillingness to level too much blame, his acceptance of the rule of nature, his willingness to let the old gods speak to our time--is all in
keeping with the code of mystics and poets of both Asia and the United States.

In "Stafford Country" Hugo also points to an element of reticence in the American—especially western American—tradition: "Where land is flat, words are far apart. / Each word is seen coming from far off, / a calm storm, almost familiar, across / the plain. The word floats by, alive." This is indeed Stafford country; Hugo's landscape is farther north, less open, darker, nearer to the sea. It is peopled by derelicts, seafarers, Indians, and common laborers, all who move, often courageously, within the ruins of their lives. Hugo is the Northwest's Russian poet, battling forces of the early dark and melancholy, though believing, finally, in the possibilities of dignity and transformation. Hugo's strong identification with the many-voiced world of common life produces a kind of ventriloquism, with Hugo speaking for the lost. In his poems one life leads, like a river, toward other waters; one word merges with another. Hugo seeks to subsume, within himself, the rise and fall and rise again of lives caught in the waves of a certain place and time. And these lives can do little more than talk about what has happened to them, or didn't happen, as in "Port Townsend":

A novel fakes a start in every bar,
gives way to gin and talk. The talk gives way
to memories of elk, and elk was never here.
Freighters never give this town a second look.
The dead are buried as an afterthought
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and when the tide comes glittering with smelt
the grebes have gone to look for meaty ports.
Strangeness and unreality is central to Hugo's poetry, as if we
we only passing through toward some unknown other side.

This unknown other side had been labeled, in our time, the
"unconscious." In a discussion of the surrealist element in
James Wright's poetry, James E.B. Breslin writes: "Wright does
not present the clean, hard-edge perception of physical surface
that we get in much of imagism; instead, his images, carrying
suggestions of invisible, magical realities beyond the literal
world, seem to float up out of the unconscious at the moment when
the boundaries between self and world are crossed. They are deep
images" (194).

Like Wright, Hugo often fuses two disparate words or images,
drawing from the unconscious a marriage of self and world. "The
Blond Road" illustrates how Hugo's impressionistic and melancholy
images and tones animate landscapes, until the landscape itself
begins to speak for him. Hugo's ventriloquism is not only a
product of the multiple personalities of the self, often released
by the dark and drink, it is also an outgrowth of the multiple
non-human presences of the self, often released when traveling
alone on an "empty" road.

This road dips and climbs but never bends.
The line it finally is strings far beyond
my sight, still the color of useless dirt.
Trees are a hundred greens in varying light
as sky breaks black on silver over and in
the sea. No one home or car. No shacks abandoned to the storm. On one side, miles of high grass; on the other, weather and the sea reflecting tons of a wild day.

In the following stanza Hugo makes a deft transition from "wild day" to "The wind is from Malay," but it is the sentence which follows that which really causes the twist or torque in our apprehension of the scene: "Tigers in the wind/ makes lovers claw each other orange." From this point on the poem is inhabited by many varieties of "wildlife"; there is a strange merging, a synergy of the great chain of being—although angels remain just outside the frame. Or they do until, perhaps, the last line of the poem, when "stone birds" go "climbing to their names" (in a dream unrealizable). Again I find Brelin's comments applicable: "It is this distance between the two terms of his metaphors that has prompted many critics to describe Wright as surrealist; yet this label disguises the crucial fact that Wright's images (like Bly's) embody a vision that is closer to that of Walt Whitman than that of Andre Breton" (181). Breslin finds a Whitmanesque natural harmony in Wright's use of images.

Hugo lacks the light/dark airiness and quickness of James Wright. Hugo imbues the deep image tradition with history and accumulating detail in a manner similar to Lowell's "The Quacker Graveyard in Nantucket" and "Skunk Hour." There is a leap of joy and lyrical transmutation in the last line of "The Blond Road"—both dear to surrealism—but finally there is throughout an underlying grotesquity and sadness, which perhaps evokes a world
more Naturalistic than harmonious. Rarely are crises in Hugo enlivened by a Kerouac-like humor, wild and joyful, digging the world. Hugo's sardonic humor grows out of the black tangle of the thwarted lives and ruined landscape that held him in thrall--often to the point of confessional-like despair. In "The Art of Poetry," he writes,

And think,

sad Raymond, of the wrong way maturation came.
Wanting only those women you despised, imitating the voice of every man you envied. The slow walk home alone. Pause at the door. The screaming kitchen. And every day this window, loathing the real horizon.

**William Stafford: Surrealist in the American Grain**

William Stafford hails from Kansas. His poems employ a flatter, more common, clean-eyed diction than anyone mentioned so far: the Midwest is the language of prose. Yet Stafford's poems often display surrealist tendencies--his inclination for deep images and reticence transmuting common-voice materials.

Midwest poets, especially of the so-called Iowa School, resist oratorical flourishes, preferring deep images to exclamations, cries, chants, etc. With Stafford we have traveled a long way from the performance tendencies of Corso. But in Stafford's work a gentle, quiet near-surrealism emerges, derived from the earth and common life, although it is often confrontations with urban life which provoke the surrealist response. Stafford's work is akin to that of Stephen Crane, who is perhaps the first
surrealist in the American grain. John Berryman writes of Crane: "His work is wrung as clear [of the "documentary burden"] as Poe's or Hawthorne's; and unlike theirs his revolt did not drive him into fantasy or allegory. His eyes remained open on the world" (4). Stafford, like Corso, keeps his eyes on the "real" world, but he seems to also believe that realism is not equipped (or no longer equipped) to describe its affective colors and structures.

In Stafford's _Traveling through the Dark_ we can see this. The book reveals a clean yet impressionistic style we associate with midwesterners like Hemingway, Cather, and Weldon Kees, but at times the poems also verge on surrealism. In the famous title poem, for instance, a doe, carrying her unborn fawn, is found dead on the edge of a narrow road by a driver of a car. His headlights provide the only illumination, white and red, in the darkness; and the only sound is the "purr" of the "steady engine." It is a frozen, nearly silent scene--"she had stiffened already, almost cold"--although the doe is also warm because "her fawn lay waiting/ alive, still, never to be born." The most audible presences in the poem are the ruminations of the speaker and the wilderness itself, which "listens" (the steady five-beat line which runs through most of the poem contributes to the sense that the wilderness is alive--pulsing). The speaker concludes the poem: "I thought hard for all--my only swerving--/ then pushed her over the edge into the river." The word "swerve," repeated in the poem (in two forms), is central to the way a Stafford poem moves, that is, by swerving away from a clearly
seen object (the deer in the road) toward the apprehension of that object in the curve of space and light, as well as in one's own thoughts ("I stood in the glare of the warm exhaust turning red;") until we once more see the object in relation to its swirling environment, and often, moving within the stream of the place's consciousness.

The activity of the poem—the movement of the speaker's mind through the dark—can be seen in the tonal shifts or the successive stanzas, four quatrains and a closing two-line verse unit. The first of these five stanzas details, in a matter of fact manner, the stark picture of the dead deer and speaker's thought that it "is usually best to roll them into the canyon." After dragging the doe off the road—stanza two ends with the detail that she "was large in the belly"—we discover in stanza three, as the speaker touches the side of the doe, that there is yet-to-be-born life within death. So the mood of the poem is gently yet radically altered, as one's responsibility to human life—other cars that will be traveling through the dark—is coupled with one's responsibility to the unborn, "never to be born." The stanza ends: "Beside that mountain I hesitated."

In stanza four we have the juxtaposition of machine and wilderness, complicated by the animal "purr" of the motor and the human listening of the wilderness. In the final two-lines stanza the speaker's thoughts "for us all," which is a kind of false "swerving" away from necessity as well as one's own solitariness, are dissolved in action, the doe and her fawn "pushed...over the edge into the river." This river of thought and action is, of
course, the river of life and death, but more importantly it is
the river of the poem itself, its process.

The impressionistic rendering of light and of movement with
stasis in "Traveling through the Dark" nicely dovetails into the
opening lines of the book's second poem, "In Medias Res": "On
Main one night when they sounded the chimes/ my father was ahead
in shadow, my son/ behind coming into the streetlight...." The
urban and historical details of this second poem, and their power
to alter and distort vision, as well as the simple juxtaposition
of the two poems, increases the sense that we are in a world more
surreal than realistic or impressionistic.

In the beginning of the poem we find ourselves in the midst of
a family history, a "one-stride God." The chimes of death and
heaven have sounded for the speaker's father and will sound for
them all. A synesthesia of sound and light creates an
otherworldly atmosphere; and each new line blends another family
figure (or figures) into the celestial scene. At the end of the
first stanza they are "all walkers in a cloud." But a
significant shift in tone and imagery occurs in the second
stanza, one that remakes the poem as a whole into a kaleidoscopic
vision of betrayal:

I saw pictures, windows taking shoppers
where the city went, a great shield hammering out,
my wife loving the stations on that shield
and following into the shades calling back.
I had not thought to know the hero quite so well.
"Aeneas," I cried, "just man, defender!"
And our town burned and burned.

In the brilliant image of the (store?) windows we have, once again, the characteristic surrealistic movement from impressionistic blending to leaping, curving, superimposed images which destroy individual compositions in favor of fiery juxtapositions of distantly related space-times and distantly related peoples. The "town" burns and burns in the light cast by the city. The contrast of natural town life and unnatural city life, a version of the conflict between open landscape and machine, is made clear (though rather indirectly).

However, in this poem we have little sense of Beat joy, noticeable in Kerouac, Corso, and to some degree in Snyder, or even the delicious northern melancholy of Roethke and Hugo. Stafford's is a quieter, more even-handed approach, and Charles Altieri, in *Self and sensibility*, commenting on Stafford's early poem "Ceremony," is right when he warns of the limitations of such a modest and, for Altieri, controlled style:

Naturalness in Stafford is so elaborately controlled, one wonders how any feelings not certified as "poetic" can flow on or how any humble self can swim such a river. The poem itself utterly lacks fluidity because we are never allowed to forget how each detail must perform the symbolic chore of preparing for the "surprising" visionary consummation. (3)

But even as far back as *Traveling through the Dark*, and certainly after that time, Stafford's imagistic wit provides grounds for what Judith Kitchen, in response to Altieri, describes as Stafford's "intuitive sense of the rift between
reality and language." Stafford himself writes: "I feel a lot more harmony with someone like John Ashbery and his assumptions about poetry...than I do with many other poets...those people that seem to feel that think they are corraling ultimate truth.... I think poetry is ultimately playful, no matter what anyone says. And Ashbery is explicit about this" (qtd. in Stitt 179). Stafford rarely calls attention to the rift between reality and language, or truth and language, in a manner that might satisfy the dialectically-minded Altieri, but it is difficult to read Stafford very long without an awareness of slippage in both language and the poet's persona. The deep-image heritage of image and revelation is given the slip by this singularly elusive poet precisely because he, unlike Corso or Hugo, but like Whitman, is everywhere the same. At home everywhere (and yes, perhaps nowhere).

The third poem in Traveling through the Dark, "Elegy," opens with a witty, metaphysical, and mildly ironic image of common life: "The responsible sound of the lawnmower/ puts a net under the afternoon." One might call this a surrealist image, but Stafford's repartee is often so gentle it has the effect of softening and undercutting the surrealist edge--yet this is just what frees up the poem from any sort of controlled, mannered, or heavily political tone (which does mar, as Altieri point out in Enlarging the Temple, some of Robert Bly's deep image work). Dislocation is neither unexpected nor memorialized in Stafford. He does not turn any certain place into an object-fetish, as (too oversimplify) O'Hara makes of New York, or Corso makes of the
Beat World, or Hugo makes of lonely places. Nothing is particularly exotic to Stafford; all is part of an extended network.

"Elegy" continues the surrealistic, oneiric movement of the book as a whole:

Remember in the Southwest going down the canyons?

We turned off the engine, the tires went hoarse
picking up sound out of turned away mountains;

We felt the secret sky lean down.

The landscape of the west imbues this surrealism with something that goes beyond, I believe, traditional, and rather passive, appeals to the unconscious, or to the lyrical, or to some sort of dissociation of sensibility—or some "immanentist" revelation, which Altieri discounts as ahistorical. Landscape provides for the possibility of action in the objective world. The curving sounds and sights of the poem give us the feeling (best known from Westerns) that most anything could happen here, including revelation—"At the sight of angels or anything unusual/ you are to mark the spot with a cross..." (although the "anything unusual" provides the characteristic Stafford disclaimer).

Furthermore, the tableau of machine and landscape reminds us that, however static and scenic the description, new conjunctions of time and space have taken place. There is still an open road, and no matter what happens, or doesn't happen, Stafford is ready, and at home, living in the present. He writes in a late poem, "The Dream of Now," from Passwords:

When you wake to the dream of now
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from night and its other dream,
you carry day out of the dark
like a flame.

When spring comes north, and flowers
unfold from earth and its even sleep,
you lift summer on your breath
lest it be lost ever so deep.

Your life you live by the light you find
and follow it on as well as you can,
carrying through the darkness whereever you go
your one little fire that will start again.

Stafford is one of the representative poets of the Information Age despite his being a product of the Depression and the late Industrial Age; and no doubt the ahistorical nature of the Information Age will inevitably leave a critic such as Altieri (and myself, for that matter) somewhat disappointed in the poetry of our time. Here it is perhaps enough to say that wilderness surrealism, especially as handled by Stafford, provides ground for reorienting modern consciousness toward a connectedness between all peoples and places, and toward a poetics that is not so much personal as planetary.
Midway through Tim O'Brien's novel, *In the Lake of the Woods*, Kathy, the wife of the protagonist, John Wade, is at last seen naked. She is, so this particular "Hypothesis" chapter speculates, alone on a little island in the Canadian wilderness, having decided to leave her husband and disappear into a new life via a small outboard boat.

When she cleared Magnuson's Island, Kathy gave the Evinrude an extra shot of gas and continued north past American Point and Buckete Island, holding a course roughly west toward Angle Inlet. It was mostly open lake, wide and blue, and the boat planed along with a firm, rhythmic thump, the bow stiff against the waves. (164)

Finally we get what we've been waiting for, Kathy naked.

She stripped off her sneakers and jeans, moved to the stern, hopped out into thigh-deep water. The quick cold made her skin tighten. Partly wading, partly swimming, she got behind the boat and wrestled it through the cattails and up onto the
narrow beach. She used the bow line to secure the boat to a big birch and then lay back on the sand to let herself breathe. It felt good to have ground beneath her.

The darkness now was almost complete. Six o'clock, she guessed.

For a few minutes she lay listening to things, the waves and nighttime insects, then she got up and took off her underpants and wrung them out. In the boat she found an oilcloth to dry herself. She put on her jeans.... (171-72)

It's over that quickly. This scene and the chapter it comes from--several other chapters which speculate on Kathy's whereabouts are also titled "Hypothesis"--is placed at approximately the middle of O'Brien's centerless novel of marriage and politics; more important is the simple fact that she finally does get naked, is "alone" when she does, is out on the lake and has just emerged from the lake, and indeed, as this particular "Hypothesis" has it, is "lost" on "the Lake of the Woods." Earlier in the novel she describes how her husband's political world and its "public eye" had made her "feel exposed and naked" (113), but now she doesn't just "feel naked," she is--and there is no public eye. Or so we assume. Unless of course it's the eyes of our narrator? Or of John Wade? Or ourselves, as readers? As voyeurs, conspirators, witnesses?

The scene, and the questions it raises, are illuminated by Peter Brooks's *Body Work: Objects of Desire in Modern Narrative.* Even my noticing that O'Brien's strip scene takes place near the middle of the book was suggested by Brooks's text: exactly in the
middle of his book on modern literature and art there appears the first (and only) open crotch shot, a reproduction of Gustave Courbet's *L'origine du monde*.

*Body Work* is a book-length "essai" on the subject of "stories on the body, and the body in story" (x). Brooks never fails to keep the main object, the female body, in mind when writing. His own project mirrors, to some degree, what he argues is the central narrative drive in nineteenth century realist fiction, both French and English, as well as, in more problematic ways, twentieth century narrative, that is, the male desire to know the female body. Brooks says he wants to talk mainly about bodies emblazoned with meaning within the field of desire, desire that is originally and always, with whatever sublimations, sexual, but also by extension the desire to know: the body as "epistemophilic" project. The desire to know is constructed from sexual desire and curiosity. My subject is the nexus of desire, the body, the drive to know, and narrative: those stories we tell about the body in the effort to know and to have it, which result in making the body a site of signification—the place for the inscription of stories—and itself a signifier, a prime agent in narrative plot and meaning. (5-6)

We turn to each subsequent chapter of Brooks's "narrative," a broadly chronological and historical survey of fiction as well as, to a lesser extent, art, with the expectation that we will read (and as readers "write," inscribe) our way closer and closer to the ultimate goal. The book is a striptease.
Yet the unveiling is not entirely a pleasure. Readers, perhaps especially the heterosexual male reader, can't help pausing, nervously—and yet with a certain amount of perverse pleasure, too--at remarks made in Chapter 3:

Time and again, these novels reach moments when male desire for the woman's body unleashes a reaction in the realm of female sexuality that, by a circuit of return, marks the male body in a drastic manner. ... The male's effort to mark the woman's body results in the unleashing of a sexuality that is wounding to the male. The project of making the body semiotic repeatedly appears to be as dangerous as it is necessary. (82-3)

By the time we come to Courbet's painting in Chapter 5 our pleasure is mitigated by what we've learned about a possible reaction to male semiotic need. In particular, one wonders where one wants (Voulez-vous venir avec moi?) to enter the twentieth century, not to mention the twenty-first, where male
scopophilia—gazing as taking possession—is, as Brooks says and as John Wade learns, increasingly problematized. In the end, John Wade is the one who is stripped naked—physically, emotionally, spiritually.

Yet perhaps this is necessary to his finally finding his way home. To exorcising demons. John reenlists for an additional year in Vietnam to, paradoxically, cleanse himself of certain acts of killing he engaged in during his first year.

After what happened at Thuan Yen [My Lai], he'd lost touch with some defining part of himself. He couldn't extricate himself from the slime. "It's a personal decision," he wrote Kathy. "Maybe someday I'll be able to explain it, but right now I can't leave this place. I have to take care of a few things, otherwise I won't ever get home. Not the right way.

(147)

It makes a certain sense. On the other hand we ask if this is really the only right or honorable way home—should we trust John Wade on this matter? Doesn't it just lead to more killing? More time spent in Vietnam? Destroying the village to save it? And at the expense of his relationship with Kathy? At the cost of her desires? Beneath John Wade lies a John Sade, I think.

Though the disturbing power of the novel rests in precisely Kathy's sometimes willing participation as well as ours in his, Wade/Sade's, profound games.
The General Mathematics

Kathy getting naked and lost on the lake in the middle of O'Brien's narrative (though we learn of her "disappearance" at the outset—the novel is not strictly chronological) symbolizes, I think, that John and Kathy both individually and as a couple have, in middle age, lost their way. Ironically, this key chapter appears near the middle of a novel that, by design, does not have a center, structurally or spiritually; or to put it differently, it is a novel about the search for some sort of "centering." Yet the search is fruitless: as we, readers, pursue answers, they recede further and further toward a vanishing point, to a place which is "All woods and water. A place where one plus one always came [comes] to zero" (249). Even flesh, naked flesh—that which we might consider, as does Brooks, the irreducible integer—is lost (yet suspended ghostlike) within the general mathematics:

It is the nature of the angle, sun to earth, that the seasons are made, and that the waters of the lake change color by the season, blue going to gray and then to white and then back again to blue. The water receives color. The water returns it. The angle shapes reality. Winter ice becomes the steam of summer as flesh becomes spirit. Partly window, partly mirror, the angle is where memory dissolves. The mathematics are always null; water swallows sky, which swallows earth. And here in a corner of John Wade's imagination, where things neither live nor die, Kathy stares up at him from beneath the
surface of the silvered lake. Her eyes are brilliant green, her expression alert. She tries to speak but can't. She belongs to the angle. Not quite present, not quite gone, she swims in the blending twilight of in between. (O'Brien 288)

In the "middle" or not, the "Hypothesis" chapter where Kathy is first seen alone in the woods brings O'Brien's novel to a verge—to a borderland, a liminal site. Man and woman, self and other, truth and fantasy are conjoined and scarcely disquishable. The plot up to this point, concerned with family, marriage, politics, and the Vietnam War, has been seen largely through John's point of view. Kathy as a person and as a woman is more or less an "Hypothesis." Because John is intrigued by the mystery that is "Kathy" and because he does not wholly trust her, he takes to spying on her. He does this despite the fact that she is already his girlfriend and will soon be his wife. He meets her in autumn 1966—"He was a senior at the University of Minnesota, she was a freshman" (32)—and by early November he's begun tailing her.

He felt some guilt at first, which bothered him, but he also found satisfaction in it. Like magic, he thought—a quick, powerful rush. He knew things he shouldn't know. Intimate little items: what she ate for breakfast, the occasional cigarette she smoked. Finesse and deception, those were his specialties, and the spying came easily. In the evenings he'd station himself outside her dormitory, staring up at the light in her room. (32-33)
John has practiced magic since childhood; in Vietnam he is called "Sorcerer" by his fellow soldiers. The word "station" in the passage above invokes his military service (and oddly contrasts with the Romeo-and-Juliet-like "staring up at the light in her room"). Though at this point in the narrative John has not yet been sent to Vietnam, he already appears a strangely suitable candidate for inscription into a world requiring "finesse and deception." Furthermore, John continues his reconnaissance of Kathy upon his return from Vietnam in a variety of manners, including actual spying on her. Kathy represses her own desires, most importantly her desire for a baby, in order to support his career in politics—her sister says to John, "she almost lost herself in you. Your career, your problems (184).

It is one of the beauties of this novel that "lost" becomes not just a metaphor, a dead metaphor, but becomes in some sense a visualized truth—when Kathy is in the wilderness she is in John. But John is never quite sure of Kathy's commitment to him, and he is disturbed yet intrigued—as in foreign intrigue—as by her life separate from him: "Down inside, of course, John realized that spying wasn't proper, yet he couldn't bring himself to stop. In part, he thought, Kathy had brought it on herself: she had a personality that lured him on. Fiercely private, fiercely independent" (33). We might question John's logic. Susan Hardy Aiken's comment on the male pursuers of Pellegrina Leoni in Isak Dinesen's novella "The Dreamers" is relevant here: If ... Pellegrina seems to turn men into dreamers, the text suggests that they are victims not of a woman but of their own desire and
its constituent fantasies, solipsistic mirror images that ultimately focus not on the woman, but on themselves. (56)

Be that as it may, John is somewhat accusatory. "He understood her need to be alone, to reserve time for herself, but too often she carried things to an extreme that made him wonder" (34).

John believes the spying is helpful. "No great discoveries, but at least he knew the score [my emphasis]" (34). Here, as elsewhere in the text, John reduces things to a kind of mathematics.

Yet, as Luce Irigaray suggests, male scopophilic tendencies involve covering as much as uncovering the female body: "Veiling and unveiling: isn't that what interests them [men]. What keeps them busy? Always repeating the same operation, every time. On every woman." (210 [my emphasis]) John's spying, his unveiling of Kathy, seems to both reveal and reconfigure the world: "In a way, almost, he loved her best when he was spying; it opened up a hidden world, new angles and new perspectives, new things to admire" (33). The hidden is now revealed, the world takes on fresh perspectives—but are we not also witnessing a process of reinscription, revision? If Kathy were to stop being a mystery, would the work of thought stop? What would men do with all that time on their hands? And further, without reinscription, in a kind of new Vietnam of experience--John Wade's lost election, Wade's (like O'Brien's own) broken marriage--would O'Brien begin to forget too much, as suggested in one note in the text where he appears to speak most directly as "himself": "My own war does not belong to me. In a peculiar way,
even at this very instant, the ordeal of John Wade—the long decades of silence and lies and secrecy—all has a vivid, living clarity that seems far more authentic than my own faraway experience. Maybe that's what this book is for. To give me back my vanished life" (298).

But certain pitfalls reside within the recovery-through-creation operation "O'Brien" speaks of. John Berger, in his pioneering work on scopophilia, Ways of Seeing, emphasizes the way images actually replace experience: "Images were first made to conjure up the appearances of something that was absent. Gradually it became evident that an image could outlast what it represented" (10). Yet this remains a necessary operation. Paradoxically, only by being reimagined and reconfigured can one's "actual" experience continue to exist. But at the cost to someone else's version of events? At the cost of their autonomy?

The "Hypothesis" chapter in the middle of the novel at first glance appears to describe Kathy's escape—or possible escape—from the scheme of given things. In particular, she appears to escape from the male-dominated world of business and politics, of competition and ambition. Despite, and because of, the disorientation she experiences as she drifts further from home, further north, further into the night, the chapter elicits our identification with and empathy for her and movingly evokes a feeling that in water and woods she, a city girl, is in her element. As you have seen, the chapter opens as follows:

When she cleared Magnuson's Island, Kathy gave the Evinrude an extra shot of gas and continued north past American Point
and Buckete Island, holding a course roughly west toward Angle Inlet. It was mostly open lake, wide and blue, and the boat planed along with a firm, rhythmic thump, the bow stiff against the waves. She felt better now. (164)

Away from John, and the machinations of society—"No more politics, not ever again" (164)—Kathy appears to enter open territory; we sense her relief, even her physical release: "a firm, rhythmic thump, the bow stiff against the waves. She felt better now." It's as if she is making love to the lake itself. But "thump"? And "roughly"? Do we not also remember that John is being accused, at least by some, of having offed his wife? The next few sentences are more reassuring: "The morning sunshine helped. Here and there she passed little islands with forests pushing up flush against the shoreline, purely wild, too isolated for lumbering, everything thick and firm to her eye. The water itself seemed solid, and the sky, and the autumn air. Like flesh, she thought..." (164).

Yet I find the "Like flesh" not only disturbing, but also, and simultaneously, exciting. And "Like flesh" begins to link up with "thump." "Like flesh, she thought—like the tissue of some giant animal, a creature too massive for the compass of her city-block mind," I take as referring not only to the woods and water, but also to John Wade's history, from the childhood he spent on or wading in the Lake of the Woods to Vietnam to his election defeat in his home state of Minnesota. The second paragraph continues the contradictory tonalities of the first:
And so she leaned back and gave the throttle a quarter turn and allowed herself to open up to the sun and speed. A golden September day, fresh-feeling, crisp and new, and everything was part of everything else. It all blended into a smooth repetitive oneness, the trees and coves and water and sky, each piece of wilderness identical to every other piece. Kathy put a hand overboard, letting it trail through the water, watching its foamy imprint instantly close back on itself. Identical, which erased identity. Or it was all identity. An easy place, she thought, to lose yourself. (164-65)

These sentences echo the opening of the novel, as they reaffirm its central, and disturbing, tropes of identity: sameness and difference; variety and repetition: "In September, after the primary, they rented an old yellow cottage in the timber at the edge of Lake of the Woods. ... Everywhere, for many thousand square miles, the wilderness was all one thing, like a great curving mirror, infinitely blue and beautiful, always the same" (1). While Kathy, in a later "Hypothesis" chapter, reacts negatively to the "dense, voluptuous sameness" of the forests (219), John mostly seeks sameness, a certain erasure of difference. He makes the north woods into a kind of Vietnam. He even makes Kathy into Vietnam. Or, to put it differently, he hopes to erase his Vietnam experience by hiding it within the vast sameness of the north woods and of himself as indistinct from Kathy. And Kathy as him--when Kathy is in the wilderness,
she is in John, that is, in Vietnam. Rather than escaping from John, she may be playing into his hands.

**The Sadean Suggestion**

Revelations about John's actions at Thuan Yen and of his attempt to cover-up his participation cost him his bid for the United States Senate. John nearly succeeds in erasing from military files his participation in the massacre of villagers at Thuan Yen, the infamous My Lai of Lieutenant William Calley. 

He went to the files and dug out a thick folder of morning reports for Charlie Company. Over the next two hours he made the necessary changes, mostly retyping, some scissors work, removing his name from each document and carefully tidying up the numbers. ... The illusion, he realized, would not be perfect. None ever was. But still it seemed a nifty piece of work. Logical and smooth. Among the men in Charlie Company he was known only as Sorcerer. Very few had ever heard his real name; fewer still would recall it. And over time, he trusted, memory itself would be erased. (269)

But significantly, Vietnam is the one thing John can't erase. Yet has he succeeded in erasing Kathy? The chapter "What Was Found" tell us the following: "Nothing at all was found. No boat, no body" (175). John appears to have erased Kathy--and thus perhaps rediscovered her, and himself--by placing her in the context of Vietnam.

The northern forest landscape becomes a kind of equivalent Vietnam, a place where one's identity is erased, "an easy
place...to lose yourself." John didn't know his way around Vietnam—yet perhaps found his true self there; Kathy is lost on the lake—yet perhaps realized something important about herself there. And we are also left to wonder if John is somehow making her go through a Vietnam-like experience so that she can know herself as he knows her. Her unfamiliarity with the outdoors is made clear:

For well over an hour she would've been lost without knowing how lost she was. Her eye was untrained. She had no instinct for the outdoors. She knew nothing about the sun's autumn angles, or how to judge true north, or where in nature to look for help. She was ignorant of even the most fundamental rule of the woods, which was to stop moving if ever in doubt, to take shelter and wait to be found. (165)

The northern woods and Vietnam become associated through tropes of identity, through the literal and symbolical landscape of lost and foundness, as filtered through John Wade's imagination. Furthermore, both places also figure as liminal sites in which sunlight, in its power to alter the look and feel of things, dominates. The chapter entitled "The Nature of the Beast" flashes back to Vietnam, describing it as "spook country" (103) (we also hear "gook country"), "a place in which the senses, especially sight—that is, light and darkness, bright color, dreams or nightmares—govern.

Father along, he [John] encountered someone's forehead. He found three dead water buffalo. He found a dead monkey. He found ducks pecking at a dead toddler. Events had been
channeling this way for a long while, months of terror, months of slaughter, and now in the pale morning sunlight a kind of meltdown was in progress.

Pigs were squealing.

The morning air was flaming up purple. (106)

Sounds of terror and dying mix with light:

Sorcerer uttered meaningless sounds—"No," he said, then after a second he said, "Please!"—and then the sunlight sucked him down a trail toward the center of the village, where he found burning hootches and brightly mobile figures engaged in murder. ... A row of corpses lay in the pink-to-purple sunshine along the trail.... (107)

Our narrator tells us later, describing John Wade at Thuan Yen: "The sunlight was in his blood" (109). And ominously:

And then for a while Sorcerer let himself glide away. All he could do was close his eyes and kneel there and wait for whatever was wrong with the world to right itself. At one point it occurred to him that the weight of this day would ultimately prove too much, that sooner or later he would have to lighten the load. (108)

Paradoxically, John can only "lighten" the load but making his married life into a kind of Vietnam, filled with light and darkness, gliding movement and abrupt sound, and terror.

"The Nature of the Beast" chapter is followed by a "Hypothesis" chapter, which begins, "What happened, maybe, was that Kathy drowned." As though a mine or grenade has exploded, her boat crashes.
Maybe she was skimming along, moving fast, feeling the cold spray and wind and sunlight, and then came a cracking sound, a quick jolt, and she felt herself being picked up and carried—a moment of incredible lightness, and unburdening, a soaring sensation—and then the lake was all around her, and soon inside her, and maybe in that way Kathy was drowned and gone.

The word "unburdening," by pointing back to John's desire to "lighten the load," sets off a near echo that is characteristic of O'Brien's strategy here and elsewhere in the novel. Throughout the descriptions of the "Hypothesis" chapters we feel John's presence, and thus the presence of Vietnam, even if there is no evidence (except in the last two, which focus on him not her) that he is actually there on the lake where Kathy has apparently disappeared.

Again, Aiken's comments on Dinesen's "The Dreamers" are relevant: "Pursuing her amid "this wilderness of the elements," her lovers enter an unmarked terrain, borderland and liminal site of transition between opposites. Here, as in a dream or 'fairy tale,' time seems suspended and only hunter and hunted exist" (54). In the landscape of Lake of the Woods it is difficult not to imagine John, or someone, lurking behind the trees. Yet to be a witness, or voyeur, is not identical with being a pursuer, not to mention a sadist. Laura Mulvey makes a useful distinction between voyeurism and sadism as specular activities, although she sees both of them as predominately male.
Mulvey sees Hitchcock as tending to alternate between voyeuristic and sadistic mechanisms while Sternberg, primarily the voyeurist, the fetishist, "plays down the illusion of screen depth; his screen tends to be one dimensional, as light and shade, lace, steam, foliage, net streamers, etc, reduce the visual field" (65). Thus, the "high point of emotional drama in the most typical [Sternberg] films, [the woman's] supreme moments of erotic meaning, take place in the absence of the man she loves in the fiction" (65). Similarly, in the reduced field of Lake of the Woods, a voyeuristic mechanism also comes strongly into play: like John, we watch as if through a window, suspended in time: "Fetishistic scopophilia...can exist outside linear time as the erotic instinct is focused on the look alone" (Mulvey 64). Nevertheless, that Kathy feels not just watched but pursued is clear. In this sense, O'Brien's narrative is more Hitchcockian than Sternbergian. Our low-guilt voyeuristic pleasure turns uncomfortably into a kind of sadism—as with John at Thuan Yen, one can't remain a mere witness.

The "cracking sound" and "quick jolt," in the passage above, evoke John and Vietnam. In a later "Hypothesis" chapter, which continues the "story" of Kathy's disappearance, such words as "flanked" in "She was in a wide, gently curving channel flanked by four islands" evoke military as well as sexual associations. Even the word "channel" calls up manipulative connotations, both in terms of to control by channeling in a certain direction and to control through magic or the occult. Are we inside some sort of torture chamber? The paragraph ends as follows: "The breeze
had picked up now. Not quite a wind, but the waves stood higher on the lake, and the air was taking sharp bites at her neck and shoulders. There was no sound except for the rusty old Evinrude" (166). These "bites" evoke not only sadism but also masochistic scenarios. But the sadistic implications are more powerful: is John, and even O'Brien, replaying Vietnam nightmares by putting Kathy through her own Vietnam-like experience? And are we readers--especially male readers--being asked to be witnesses to, indeed participants in, John's Sadean-like treatment of Kathy?

A culminating moment of the masochistic scenario comes when, after having stripped off her clothes in order to wring them out and dry herself off, Kathy, as the night and cold descend, "spanked(s) her hands together" (172). Of course, Kathy is trying to encourage herself: "'Well, let's go' she said, which gave her confidence." However, the hands here may evoke more sinister implications than even masochism. A few paragraphs further on we find Kathy reminiscing about her college days with John:

At one point he'd taken her face in his hands. He'd put his thumbs against her eyelids. "Boy, do I love you," he'd said, and then he'd made a small turning motion with his hand, as if to drop something, and whispered, "Girl of my dreams."

She'd never figured out what he'd dropped that night.

Himself, maybe. Or a part of himself. (173)

In this passage there is not only a strange, and perhaps Sadean, slippage from "Boy" to "Girl," but also, and more important, the italicized "love" is played off against the italicized "go" of
the earlier passage, the parallelism leading, once again, to a strange sense of John's presence, his omnipresence even when he is absent. "Well, let's go" becomes, through echo, the voice of John, internalized and ventriloquized as Kathy's "own" voice. As Lucy Irigaray writes, if a man says "I love you" rather than just "I love" (206) he is taking possession, but of what, dreams? In an interview, O'Brien makes a similar point: "When you say, 'I love you,' do you mean it? How much? In what way? Will you stop? What do you love?" (Mort). And before saying "I love you" must John first put his "thumbs against her eyelids"? Is Kathy escaping? Starting over? "Get some sleep. Start fresh," she tells herself (171).

At My Lai, PFC Weatherby, whom John winds up killing, is seen borrowing someone else's rifle because his own has jammed: "He flung the rifle away and borrowed someone else's and wiped the barrel and thumped in a fresh magazine [my emphasis] and knelt down and shot necks and stomachs" (215). "Fresh," or such words as "firm," reappear repeatedly, drawing together the various contexts of the novel and each time giving a new and compounded meaning to the word. "For a few minutes she lay listening to things, the waves and nighttime insects, then got up and took off her underpants and wrung them out" (171). Wrung? "She put on her jeans, slicked her hair back, used the last slivers of dusk to do an inventory" (172). Slivers? "Kathy spanked her hands together." Spanked? "Right now, she thought, John would be getting a search organized. Helicopters and floodlights. A whole army of Girl Scouts beating the bush" (172). Where are we?
Kathy tucked her chin into the life vest, closed her eyes.

Love wasn't enough. Which was the truth. The saddest thing of all.

She curled up against the boat.

In the morning she'd start fresh. Find something to eat and fill up the gas tank and see what the day brought. Fresh, she thought. (174)

"Fresh" even echoes "flesh," and horribly, "flush," from the opening passage of the chapter:

Here and there she passed little islands with forests pushing up flush against the shoreline, purely wild, too isolated for lumbering, everything thick and firm to her eye. The water itself seemed solid, and the sky, and the autumn air. Like flesh, she thought—like the tissue of some giant animal, a creature too massive for the compass of her city-block mind. (164)

An Elizabeth Cady Stanton-like image of a woman in a boat navigating her own course, as described in Stanton's "The Solitude of Self," is undermined at nearly every turn. The "giant animal" is nature but also, I think, John, Vietnam.

The Wade/Sade link appears to be consciously woven into the text. In addition to what appears to be a conscious effort to have Wade's name echo the name of author of the infamous texts on sexuality and pain, the Marquis de Sade, we learn that Kathy's middle name is "Terese" (9): throughout Sade's novel, Justine, Justine goes by the name of "Therese." References to Verona in the novel evoke a Romeo and Juliet scenario, but Kathy as Juliet
also appears to allude to Sade's *Juliette*. While Justine is innocent and passive, Juliette is experienced and active: Kathy, we learn in the novel, is both Justine and Juliette-like, depending on how we read certain sections, and depending on how we take her relationship with John. In Sade, Justine and Juliette exist largely in terms of their relationship with males. They have little sexual identity of their own, that is, little physical identity. Irigaray argues that in a "culture claiming to count everything, to number everything by units..." woman, by virtue of her sexuality--both autoerotic and hidden, is "neither one nor two," and thus none, a mystery (26). But on the whole we might say Kathy is less the innocent victim, like Justine, and more the plucky adventurer, like Juliette, than we may have first surmised. Yet the fact that John prevents Kathy from having a child reinforces his often unfortunate, if perhaps unavoidable, power over her--and again there are specific Sadean overtones. As Camille Paglia writes, "Sade detests procreative woman. Pregnant women are tortured, forced to abort, or crushed together on iron wheels" (244).

One further possible link between Sade and O'Brien is provided through Kathy's sister, Pat. In de Sade, Justine and Juliette are sisters. Pat arrives on the scene after John notifies her of Kathy's disappearance. She is more than a little skeptical of John's explanations regarding his role in Kathy's disappearance, but she doesn't come right out and accuse him. Pat and John wind up spending a good deal of time together, looking for Kathy. In one scene, in which John and Pat are alone together in a deep
forest, possible sexual allusions are made by the narrator, which raises questions as to the nature of John and Pat's feelings for each other—though once again we see things primarily through John's point of view, which often means his fantasy point of view. The two of them have lain back on the ground to rest. "They looked at each other with the knowledge that they had come up against the edge of the permissible. Pat stood and brushed herself off" (185). The "permissible" has to do with whether they should discuss John's unusual behaviors, but the "brushed herself off" conjures up sexual scenarios. Even with Pat, John appears to playing some kind of seducer's game. Sade is known to have seduced his wife's sister. At any rate, the barbed exchanges between John and Pat, read a certain way, seem almost like lover's spats.

Pat is presented as a hardened version of her sister: more experienced, more embittered, less idealistic or romantic. She is, on the whole, Juliette to Kathy's Justine; or rather, a Juliette version of Kathy should Kathy have not escaped (which of course, she may not have), as well as an adventurous side of Kathy that may have been there all along should we, and John, have been perceptive enough to look past Kathy's seeming innocence or passivity. Pat serves as a kind of mirror figure of Kathy. Mirrors are of course central to this novel: as a boy, John practiced magic before a mirror. Pierre Saint-Amand describes Sade's figures as "walking mirrors of their souls" (115), no longer able to hide behind adornments and finery. "Sadean mirrors abolish the seducer's narcissistic game (whence
their multiplication): they expose the falseness of self-sufficiency" (Saint-Amand 118). Pat's presence serves to expose John further as manipulative and needy, and sadistic.

John Wade's political advisor, Tony Carbo, describes Kathy as being "Spectacular" (149). In Las Vegas, Kathy and Tony are "camped out" at a black jack jack table under a "cone of supple white light--hot light--a soft shimmering incandescent glow" when John joins them:

Around midnight John had come up behind her. He'd rested his hand on her shoulders and stood quietly for a while. His grip seemed stiff.

"Profitable," he'd finally said. "Lots of plunder. Maybe it's time to pack it up."

She remembered laughing. "You're kidding," she'd said.

"But we shouldn't be--"

"Watch this."

She remembered looking over at Tony, smiling, then pushing out four green chips. Her skin felt hot. She was only vaguely aware of John's fingertips digging into her shoulders. When the dealer busted, she yelped and slapped the table.

John squeezed harder. (220-21)

The episode in Las Vegas provides us with a view of more active and risk-taking Kathy who is beyond John's control, if only for the moment, and who also is controlling John--her "Watch this" points to the power someone who is the spectacle has over someone who is a mere spectator.
Following his election defeat, John Wade wakes to a world in which he is no longer the center of attention—even for himself. He is no longer a spectator to his own life; he can no longer dream, or be the same as he was before with the girl of his dreams. In the opening chapter we learn that his life has all come "crashing down at once. Everything, it seemed. His sense of purpose. His pride, his career, his honor and reputation, his belief in the future he has so grandly dreamed for himself" (5).

John Berger has written about the importance of the convention of perspective to European art, since the early Renaissance and up until very recent times: "Every drawing or painting that used perspective proposed to the spectator that he was the unique centre of the world" (16-18). In the opening chapter we see Kathy try to reassure John: "John, listen, I can't always come up with the right words. ...there's this wonderful man I love and I want him to be happy and that's all I care about. Not elections" (7). Indeed the emphasis on the importance of talking and on listening to each other is suggested, in the novel, as a healthy alternative to pure specularity. In the final three chapters of his study Brooks focuses on the movement away from visualization and signification of the body to the "talking" body as site of the "interlocutionary, the relation of language" (201) and, finally, as that which escapes signification. He points to a paradigm shift from seeing to listening, and he associates the shift most readily with Freud and certain modern authors, especially certain woman authors. I would be quick to add Ernest Hemingway, especially the Hemingway of such books as The Garden
of Eden, his posthumously published study of a sexual triangle, a
book which relies primarily on dialogue for its powerful effects.
Hemingway is O'Brien's chief precursor."

But John and Kathy, much like Port and Kit in Paul Bowles's
The Sheltering Sky, can never quite open up.

On one occasion, as she was washing the dishes, Kathy made a
low sound in her throat and began to say something, just a
word or two, then her eyes focused elsewhere, beyond him,
beyond the walls of the cottage, and then after a time she
looked down at the dishwater and did not look back again. It
was an image that would not go away. Twenty-four hours later,
when she was gone, John Wade would remember the enormous
distance that had come into her face at that instant, a kind
of travel, and he would find himself wondering where she had
taken herself, and why, and by what means. (16-17)
This ephemeral yet indelible snapshot of Kathy haunts John; it
exists as an enduring image in place of and in the absence of
some possible spoken exchange. Kathy suddenly appears, to him,
as inhabiting a space beyond him, outside of him, outside of his
perspective—if still within, but only within, his specularity.
"The distance in her eyes. The way she rinsed the breakfast
dishes and dried her hands and then walked out of the kitchen
without looking at him" (17). And he wonders: "What if she'd
spoken? What if she'd leaned against the refrigerator and said,
"Let's do loving right here," and what if they had, and what if
everything that had happened could not have happened because
of other happenings?" (17) Kathy is apparently already off
somewhere else, looking down into the dishwater but imaging other cleaner, more expansive waters. Or if one is to see her in a more negative light, maybe she is just not there for him now that their dreams are gone. In any case, it is perhaps too late for talk or loving within a domestic context. O'Brien suggests that we have to find a new way home—despite the cost to cherished notions of home and romance and even individuality. Kathy imagines something "beyond the walls of the cottage." If pure specularity is damaging, some sort of alternative vision is nonetheless required. Man does not live by bread alone.
NOTES

Chapter 1. The Greater Body: D.H. Lawrence's The Plumed Serpent

1. Several critics, among them Walter Allen and Malcolm Bradbury, have traced Lowry's work to Joyce, Faulkner, and Conrad, that is, to High Modernism. "The Joycean echoes are strong: the novel [Under the Volcano] is set on a single day, depends on a complex management of consciousness, functions through a variety of styles, and each chapter is structured to a set of complex codes," writes Bradbury in The Modern English Novel (New York: Penguin, 1994), p. 301. However, a different line of influences, from Hardy to Lawrence, was also important to Lowry. Lowry acknowledged Lawrence as his chief precursor and went to great lengths to literally retrace Lawrence's steps in both Europe and North America. More importantly, while Lowry does employ a kind of high modernist lyricism in his work, he rarely entirely dissolves tensions between narrative and poetry, action and word, sense and sound, as high modernists often do. In his "Introduction" to Under the Volcano (Plume), Stephen Spender discusses both Lowry's adoration for and rejection of High Modernism.

Chapter 2. Quest and Presdestination in Paul Bowles's The Sheltering Sky

1. Joyce Carol Oates was perhaps the first to comment on the sense of predestination in Bowles. See The Profane Art: Essays and Reviews (New York: Dutton, 1983).

2. Alexandria as city and controlling metaphor is Durrell's primary interest in The Alexandria Quartet, but it still seems odd to me that his characters never, or almost never, think in terms of salvation lying in the deserts outside the city. But perhaps that is precisely Durrell's point.

3. Richard Patteson's argument that Port and Kit "expose themselves in this way [to the primitive] not so much from a desire for self-destruction as, paradoxically, from a longing for self-preservation" (xii) is close to my own argument. See A World Outside: The Fiction of Paul Bowles (Austin: University of Texas P, 1987).

4. Bernardo Bertolucci, who directed a film version of The Sheltering Sky, also took up this theme in an earlier work, Last Tango in Paris.

5. The presence of typical Romantic structures and transactions in Modernist and Late Modernist poetry (juxtapositions of observer/landscape, house/nature, ship/sea images, with significant interactions between contrasted halves) demonstrates how Romantic themes continue in some sense to be our given," writes James Applewhite in Seas and Inland Journeys (Athens: University of Georgia P, 1985), p. vii.
Chapter 3. Self-Portraits in Space and Time:
Robert Lowell and John Ashbery

1. Interestingly, Lawrence's objection to Whitman's adhesiveness, in Classic Studies in American Literature, is very much like the following objections by Sigmund Freud, in Civilization and Its Discontents, to Saint Francis and others who tout an "all-embracing love of others and of the world at large": "A love that does not discriminate seems to us to lose some of its value, since it does an injustice to its object. And secondly, not all men are worthy of love."


3. See Herbert Schneidau's Waking Giants (London: Oxford UP, 1991) for a different take on Gretta's love for Michael Furey. I agree with him that Michael is a somewhat pallid romantic figure, but I don't believe Joyce intended her love for Michael to signify "the worshipped corpse, used in Ireland to throttle the spirit of life in favor of sterile sentimentality" (9).

4. Grammatical parallels to such a scheme might be organized as follows: God-Definite Article; King-Noun; Nation-Verb; Self-Indefinite Article; Language-Preposition. Lowell thus inhabits a Nation-Verb, Self-Indefinite Article matrix, while Ashbery lives in the Self-Indefinite Article, Language-Preposition matrix. Stevens was perhaps the first poet to make the preposition so central to his syntax, which resulted in extensiveness and abstractness.

5. Some interesting parallels might also be drawn to the work of "new realist" Raymond Carver. His "What We Talk About When We Talk About Love" within its relatively few pages paints a miniature history of love (from at least the time of medieval knights forward) and explores the painful distance which lies between one's self and one's former, as well as current, lovers.

Chapter 4. Traveling through the Dark:
William Stafford and the Surrealism of the Far West

1. Theodore W. Adorno in "Looking Back on Surrealism," in Literary Modernism, ed. by Irving Howe (New York: Fawcett, 1967), argues that in surrealism the "subject, grown absolute, legislating freely for itself, and liberated from any concern for the empirical world, reveals itself in the face of complete depersonalization as inanimate and virtually dead, which throws it completely back upon itself and its protest. The dialectic of subjective freedom in a situation of objective unfreedom. ... In as much as they [surrealists] arrange the archaic they create nature morte. These pictures are not so much those of an inner
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essence rather they are object-fetishes on which the subjective, the libido, was once fixated. They bring back childhood and not by self-submersion" (222-223). Both Corso and Hugo had difficult childhoods. Corso, whose favorite poet is Poe, is particularly death-obsessed in his work.

Chapter 5. Vanishing Point: Tim O'Brien's In the Lake of the Woods

1. Kathy stripping strongly echoes a scene from Ernest Hemingway's A Farewell to Arms (New York: Scribner's, 1929) in which Frederic Henry strips while on the run from Italian forces: "I took off my trousers and wrung them too, then my shirt and under clothing. I slapped and rubbed myself and then dressed again" [my emphasis](227). The change of gender is perhaps significant. Toward the end of the novel, John strips, diving into the water in search of Kathy (242).

2. Kathy is in many respects like Mary Anne Bell, from O'Brien's The Things They Carried (New York: Penguin, 1991), who just shows up in Vietnam, ostensibly to visit her boyfriend but in fact to experience the war. Mary Anne Bell also disappears, joins the missing. "She had crossed to the other side. She was part of the land. She was wearing her culottes, her pink sweater, and a necklace of human tongues. She was dangerous. She was ready for the kill" (125).

3. Perhaps John's desire "to be loved" is really more about pride. Rene Girard writes in Resurrection from the Underground: Feodor Dostoevsky (New York: Crossroad, 1997): "Pride seeks to prove that it can gather and unify everything real around itself. Masochism and sadism mirror the romantic nostalgia for lost unity, but this nostalgia is mingled with pride. Far from disintegrating, the desire it produces rather disperses, for it wanders always toward the Other" (63).

4. The parallels between Hemingway and O'Brien include their upper Midwestern background, a focus on war and male bonding, shared style characteristics, such as a deceptively simple diction, and impressionism, which is in their case, as in the case of Whitman and Stephen Crane, derives from being witnesses to war and distant or foreign cultures. Indeed, impressionism increasingly becomes a kind of expressionism, or neoromanticism: war—including between the sexes—the dismantling of empires, the clash of cultures, large scale violence, are all factors in the increasing use of color, sound, and speech as virtually, in themselves, independent, detached, abstract categories. That is, the agonies of conflict, internal and external, cause emotions to increasingly reveal themselves in dismembered bodily and sensory detail. The sensory blending of impressionism is supplanted, in mid-century, by a more violent, inarticulate, and abstract mode, expressionism. Hemingway is a key figure, particularly in terms of a certain abstract or detached quality that inheres in his work, especially in terms of describing both landscapes and love relationships, those key romantic concerns. The opening of In the Lake of the Woods echoes The Garden of Eden (New York:}
Scribners, 1987) in both the descriptions of water and wilderness and the use of an indeterminate "they" to describe a married couple at the heart of the respective novels.
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