

1 **Barrier effects of roads on an endangered forest obligate: influences of traffic, road edges,**
2 **and gaps**

3 Hsiang Ling Chen^{1*}, John L. Koprowski¹

4 ¹School of Natural Resources and the Environment, The University of Arizona, N335
5 Environment and Natural Resources 2, 1064 East Lowell Street, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA.

6 * Corresponding author

7 E-mail: cherlene@email.arizona.edu

8 Tel: +1 520-465-0436

9 **Abstract**

10 Habitat fragmentation and destruction caused by development of infrastructure such as roads
11 threaten biodiversity. Roads act as barriers by impeding animal movements and restricting space
12 use. Understanding factors that influence barrier effects is important to discern the impacts of
13 habitat fragmentation and to develop appropriate mitigations. We combined telemetry and
14 demographic data in 2008 to 2012 with remote sensing imagery to investigate barrier effects of
15 forest roads and assess effects of traffic, road edges, and canopy gaps on space use of an
16 endangered, endemic forest obligate, the Mt. Graham red squirrel (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*
17 *grahamensis*). We mapped low to high traffic roads, road edges, canopy gaps, and random lines
18 in forests to serve as references. We determined if red squirrels included these linear features in
19 their total and core home ranges, and used this metric as an indicator of crossing and preference
20 for habitat adjacent to the linear features. Forest roads acted as barriers regardless of traffic
21 volume and had long-term impacts on animal space use. Animals did not avoid entering roadside
22 areas, and probability of crossing linear features in the forest was not affected by distance to
23 roads. In contrast, greater canopy cover increased probability of crossing, and gaps in canopy
24 impeded animal movements. Higher likelihood of road crossing was associated with more
25 variable tree height and mating activity. We demonstrated that narrow forest roads with low
26 traffic volume were barriers for forest dependent species, and suggest that gap avoidance inhibits
27 road crossings.

28 **Keywords:** habitat fragmentation, gap avoidance, forest roads, forest structure, small mammals,
29 road impacts

30 **1. Introduction**

31 Habitat fragmentation and destruction caused by development of infrastructure such as
32 roads and bridges are recognized as major threats to biodiversity (Czech and Krausman, 1997;
33 Forman and Alexander, 1998). To maintain habitat connectivity, genetic variability, and
34 population persistence, the facilitation of movements of animals through landscapes is critical
35 (Frankham, 1996; Hanski and Gilpin, 1991). Roads and traffic can serve as barriers that impede
36 animal movements, decrease accessibility of resources such as food, shelter or mates, lead to
37 reduction in reproductive success and gene flow, and ultimately threaten population persistence
38 (Strasburg, 2006; Trombulak and Frissell, 2000). Barrier effects of roads have been documented
39 in a diversity of terrestrial fauna, including insects (Bhattacharya et al., 2003), reptiles (Shepard
40 et al., 2008), amphibians (Marsh et al., 2005), birds (Laurance et al., 2004) and mammals
41 (Burnett, 1992), but the causes and mechanisms of road avoidance are not fully understood
42 (Bissonette and Rosa, 2009; Chen and Koprowski, 2013; Roedenbeck et al., 2007).

43 The barrier effects of roads are driven by several distinct but not mutually exclusive
44 mechanisms that include traffic, edge, and gap avoidance (Barber et al., 2010; Forman et al.,
45 2003; Greenberg, 1989; Jaeger et al., 2005). Traffic avoidance includes avoidance of vehicles as
46 well as traffic disturbance that arises from vehicular noise, movements, vibration, exhaust fumes,

47 dust, headlight illumination and human presence, and has been related to reduction in animal
48 abundance at roadside areas (Barber et al., 2010; Goosem, 2002). Edge avoidance results when
49 animals avoid entering roadside areas due to physical and biotic changes caused by an abrupt
50 transition of ground surface or vegetation (Ford and Lenore, 2008; Forman et al., 2003). Edge
51 effects due to roads can affect the distribution, density and abundance of wildlife in adjacent
52 habitat (Goosem, 2000). Yet, how road edges impact animal movements and space use has been
53 assessed less frequently. Gap avoidance occurs when species avoid clearings with low canopy or
54 understory closure such as roads and forest clearcuts, perhaps because of increased predation risk
55 (Greenberg, 1989) and evolutionary constraints (Laurance et al., 2004).

56 One fundamental question in road ecology is “what is the relative importance of the
57 different mechanisms by which roads affect population persistence?” (Roedenbeck et al., 2007).
58 Effects of roads on animal populations depend on species life history traits as well as behavioral
59 responses to roads (Benítez-López et al., 2010; Jaeger et al., 2005; Rytwinski and Fahrig, 2012).
60 Previous research on barrier effects has focused on one or two of these potential mechanisms
61 contributing to road avoidance. However, to comprehensively understand barrier effects of roads
62 and develop appropriate mitigation, studies that simultaneously address the relative importance
63 of these different mechanisms are needed. For example, barrier effects of roads due to road
64 avoidance should be distinguished clearly from the effects due to road mortality, as both causes
65 lead to reduced individuals cross roads, but the mechanisms are fundamentally different and
66 require different mitigation (Fahrig and Rytwinski, 2009). Both avoidance of vehicles and
67 avoidance of traffic disturbance result in a decreased rate of road crossings, but avoidance of
68 traffic disturbance can also lead to reduction in animal abundance at roadside areas (Forman and
69 Alexander, 1998; Jaeger et al., 2005).

70 Tree squirrels (*Sciurus* and *Tamiasciurus*) are an ideal group for assessing the impacts of
71 roads on forest dependent species. Arboreal squirrels are widespread, common, and are readily
72 sampled and tracked by radio telemetry because of moderate home range size (Gurnell and
73 Pepper, 1994; Koprowski et al., 2008). Previously, barrier effects of roads have been assessed
74 primarily by capture-recapture methods and translocation (e.g. McDonald & St Clair 2004).
75 Although such techniques increase understanding of road crossing behavior by highly motivated
76 individuals, the pattern of spontaneous movements or the relationship between home range
77 boundaries and roads is difficult to discern (Ford and Lenore, 2008; Laurance et al., 2004).
78 Techniques like radio telemetry that quantify individual movements can alleviate these issues
79 (Clark et al., 2001). Herein, we combine long-term radio telemetry data and traffic monitoring
80 with high-resolution remote sensing data to examine barrier effects of roads and traffic on animal
81 space use and movements. We use an endangered, endemic forest obligate – the Mt. Graham red
82 squirrel (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*) as a model to (1) investigate whether forest
83 roads are barriers and assess the relative importance of traffic, edge, and gap avoidance, and (2)
84 examine factors that influence animal movements and identify environmental features and road
85 characteristics that may improve road permeability.

86 **2. Material and methods**

87 2.1 Study area and study species

88 Our study was conducted in 342 ha of mixed-conifer forest >3,000 m elevation in the
89 Pinaleno Mountains (Graham Mountains), Graham County, Arizona, USA
90 (32° 42' 06" N, 109° 52' 17" W). We used bi-directional traffic counters (TRAFx Vehicle
91 Counter Model G3, TRAFx Research Ltd, Canmore, Alberta, Canada) to monitor 6.6 km of 4
92 graded dirt roads (Fig.1a): Arizona State Highway 366 also known as Swift Trail (6 to 13-m wide,

93 annual average daily traffic [AADT]: 50 vehicles, hereafter, high traffic), the access road to the
94 Mount Graham International Observatory (4 to 10-m wide, AADT: 23 vehicles, hereafter,
95 medium traffic), the Bible Camp Road (4 to 9-m wide, AADT: 25 vehicles, hereafter, medium
96 traffic), and Soldier Trail (3 to 24-m wide, AADT: 7 vehicles, hereafter, low traffic). Speed limit
97 was 40 km/h. Roads were closed to the public from 15 November to 15 April annually. No
98 wildlife road crossing structures were installed in the study area. The forest is dominated by
99 Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), southwestern white pine (*Pinus strobiformis*), and corkbark
100 fir (*Abies lasiocarpa* var. *arizonica*) interspersed with Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii*),
101 aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) and ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*, Sanderson & Koprowski
102 2009).

103 The North American red squirrel is a small (<300 g), diurnal tree squirrel with a wide-
104 ranging distribution in Canada and the United States (Steele, 1998). Red squirrels are territorial
105 and center their territories on conspicuous cone-scale piles with cones in caches known as
106 middens (Gurnell, 1987; Steele, 1998). Middens are typically located in forests with dense
107 canopy and understory cover and provide a cool and moist microclimate that prevents cones
108 from opening and releasing seeds (Merrick et al., 2007; Smith and Mannan, 1994; Zugmeyer and
109 Koprowski, 2009). Mt. Graham red squirrel is a subspecies that is isolated and endemic to high
110 elevation forests (>2,000 m) of the Pinaleño Mountains, which are surrounded by desert and
111 grassland, and represents the southernmost population of red squirrels (Brown, 1984; Steele,
112 1998). Because of geographic isolation, declining and low population numbers (~300 individuals,
113 Sanderson & Koprowski 2009), and habitat destruction, Mt. Graham red squirrels were listed as
114 federally endangered in 1987 (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1987). In addition to habitat loss,
115 severe fire, and insect damage, a potential threat to Mt. Graham red squirrels is human
116 disturbance from recreation, road traffic, and habitat modification associated with road
117 improvement (Buenau and Gerber, 2004; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2011; Zugmeyer and
118 Koprowski, 2009).

119 2.2 Animal space use

120 We used standard methods to trap, fit unique ear tags and affix radio collars on red
121 squirrels, and located red squirrels during daylight hours and estimated the location of each
122 animal via triangulation (Koprowski et al., 2008). We used radio telemetry data to estimate 95%
123 (total) and 50% (core) fixed kernel home ranges for individual red squirrels each season (spring:
124 March-May, summer: June-August, fall: September-November, winter: December to January,
125 Koprowski et al. 2008). For this study, we used home ranges from December 2008 (when
126 airborne LiDAR data were collected) to November 2012 during which no major forest
127 disturbance occurred. During natal dispersal, movements patterns of juvenile red squirrels are
128 different from adults (Larsen and Boutin, 1994), so we only included adult and subadult red
129 squirrels that have completed natal dispersal in our analyses. Home ranges estimated with <15
130 fixes were excluded. Mean number of locations per home range was 40 fixes (SE 0.60, $n = 307$).

131 2.3 Linear features

132 We mapped low to high traffic roads with high-resolution aerial imagery obtained from
133 the National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP) in 2007 (Fig. 1a). We defined road edges that
134 were parallel to roads with a distance of 25 m from roads as boundaries of roadside areas (Fig
135 1a.). We chose 25 m because edge effects of roads usually decrease within the first 50 m of
136 forests (Murcia, 1995). To resemble linear gaps in canopy cover created by roads in roadless
137 areas, we used the GIS layer (25-m resolution) derived from three-dimensional LiDAR (Light
138 Detection and Ranging) data (Mitchell et al., 2012) to map linear areas with low to high canopy

139 cover: 0-25% ($n = 9$), 25-50% ($n = 10$), 50-75% ($n = 14$), and 75-100% cover ($n = 13$). Mean
140 length of linear areas was 242.4 m (SE 20). We considered areas with canopy cover <50% as
141 gaps for red squirrels on the basis of the minimum documented canopy cover at red squirrel
142 middens (Smith and Mannan, 1994). To create random lines in forests that serve as references
143 with similar density of roads (1.93 km/km²), we used ArcGIS Desktop 9.3 (Environmental
144 Systems Research Institute) to generate 20 random points and create 300-m straight lines from
145 each point in a randomly selected direction (Fig. 1a). We chose 300 m on the basis of the mean
146 size of red squirrel 95% fixed kernel home ranges from 2009 to 2012 (mean [SE] = 2.65 [0.23]
147 ha). If we consider the home range as a circle, the diameter would be about 200 m, thus a 300 m
148 segment is appropriate to match the spatial scale of red squirrel space use. We also divided roads
149 and road edges into 300-m long sections. Mean length of canopy gaps was 167.1 m (SE 20).

150 2.4 Data analysis

151 2.4.1 Barrier effects of roads- traffic, edge, and gap avoidance

152 For each linear feature, we selected red squirrels with residential middens <100 m from
153 the linear feature and determined if red squirrels included these linear features in their total and
154 core home ranges and used this metric as an indicator of crossing and preference for habitat
155 adjacent to the linear features. We based 100 m on the size of home range and mobility of red
156 squirrels (Koprowski et al., 2008). Depending on the location of the residential midden, a red
157 squirrel may encounter >1 linear features. We used generalized linear mixed modeling (GLMM)
158 with a logit link function and binomial error distribution to compare the probability of total and
159 core home range including linear features with ‘include’ as a binary response variable (include =
160 1, not include = 0). We included types of linear features (low to high traffic roads, road edges,
161 linear areas with low to high canopy cover, random lines, Table 1), sex, season (spring, summer,
162 fall, winter) and body mass (g) as fixed effects, and individual squirrels, individual linear
163 features and seasons (16 seasons in 4 years) as random effects. Body mass was calculated as the
164 mean of masses recorded during a season. When seasonal body mass was not available, we
165 estimated body mass as the mean mass during the year.

166 2.4.2 Predictors of crossing random lines

167 To understand factors that influence animal movements in forests, we explored how
168 environmental characteristics of random lines affect probability of crossing. For each random
169 line, we used the Geospatial Modelling Environment (GME, Beyer 2012) to calculate mean,
170 maximum and minimum value of slope, aspect (degree to north), distance to recent fire
171 boundaries (Clark Peak Fire in 1997 and Nuttall Complex Fire in 2004, m), distance to the
172 nearest road (m), and measures of forest structure extracted from LiDAR data, including mean
173 tree height (m), standard deviation of tree height (m), live and total basal area (m²/ha), and
174 canopy cover (%). To quantify rate of crossing random lines, we established a buffer of 100 m
175 around each random line and recorded number of squirrel locations within the buffer on both
176 sides of the line (Fig. 1b). We referred to locations on the same side of the line with the
177 residential midden as fix-proximate, and locations on the opposite side as fix-distal (Fig. 1b). We
178 used GLMM with a logit link function and binomial error distribution to quantify probability of
179 crossing with fix-distal as cross and fix-proximate as not cross. We treated individual squirrels,
180 random lines and seasons as random effects and the remaining variables as fixed effects. When
181 collinearity occurred between variables ($r > 0.7$), we selected variables with lower p value.

182 2.4.3 Predictors of crossing roads

183 To identify important features that may improve road permeability, we investigated how
184 roadside environment and road characteristics affect rate of road crossing. Road characteristics

185 included road width (m), road clearance (distance between forest boundaries, m), and traffic (low,
186 medium, high). We measured road width and road clearance every 50 m and calculated the mean,
187 maximum and minimum value for each 300-m long road section. Because the presence of red
188 squirrels on the other side of roads may further affect decisions to cross roads (either negatively,
189 such as avoiding conspecifics, or positively such as locating mates), we created a 100-m buffer
190 surrounding road sections, and recorded presence or absence of a red squirrel on the opposite
191 side of the road and number of squirrels of the same and different sex from the focal squirrel on
192 both sides of the road, referred to as presence of squirrel-distal, presence or number of mates-
193 proximate or distal, presence or number of conspecifics-proximate or distal. Due to a high
194 proportion of zeros for fixes-distal, we used zero-inflated generalized liner models (ZIGLMM)
195 with a log link function and Poisson error distribution to quantify frequency of crossing with fix-
196 distal as cross and fix-proximate as not cross. We included total number of fix (natural log
197 transformed) as an offset in the model. We included individual squirrels, random lines and
198 seasons as random effects and the remaining variables as fixed effects.

199 We ran GLMM with the lme4 (Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4, Bates et
200 al., 2013) package and ZIGLMM with the glmmADMB package (Generalized Linear Mixed
201 Models using AD Model Builder, Skaug et al., 2013) in R (version 3.1.0 -"Spring Dance", R
202 Development Core Team 2014). We standardized all continuous variables to mean = 0 and
203 standard deviation = 1 to improve numerical convergence.

204 3. Results

205 We included 307 home ranges that estimated each season for 77 squirrels (39 male, 39
206 female) in our analyses. No mortality of red squirrels duo to wildlife-vehicle collision was
207 detected. Middens were present on both sides of roads along 92.9% of road sections ($n = 14$), and
208 64.4% of middens censused ($n = 101$) were occupied by red squirrels at least one season from
209 2008 to 2012. Mean distance from middens to roads was 62.2 m (SE 4.4, $n = 38$) and to random
210 lines was 44.8 m (SE 3.4, $n = 64$, $t_{100} = -3.12$, $p = 0.002$).

211 3.1 Barrier effects of roads - traffic, edge, and gap avoidance

212 Roads were barriers for red squirrels. Odds of red squirrels crossing random lines were
213 4.8 times of odds of crossing roads, and odds of including random lines in core home ranges was
214 12.5 times of odds of including roads (Table 1). Increased traffic on roads did not decrease
215 probability of crossing (Fig. 2). Probability of road crossing was lowest on low traffic roads,
216 followed by high traffic roads and medium traffic roads (Fig. 2). The odds of red squirrel core
217 home ranges including roads were similar among low to high traffic roads (Table 1). Red
218 squirrels crossed roads more often during the period when roads were open to traffic than road
219 closure. The percentage of total home ranges that included roads decreased by 84.9% from
220 63.9% ($n = 36$) in summer when the road was open to 9.7% ($n = 31$) in winter when road was
221 closed, whereas we observed only a 20.7% decrease in percentage of total home ranges included
222 random lines, from 81.3% ($n = 64$) in summer to 64.4% ($n = 59$) in winter. Red squirrels did not
223 avoid road edges as near as 25 m from roads. Odds of red squirrel including road edges in their
224 total and core home ranges were 3.3 times and 1.1 times respectively odds of including random
225 lines (Table 1). In contrast, red squirrels avoided gaps (canopy cover <50%). Probability of
226 crossing linear areas with canopy cover > 50% (0.7, Fig. 3) was higher than probability of
227 crossing gaps (0.2, Fig. 3). Odds of red squirrels crossing random lines was 5.1 times that of
228 crossing gaps and odds of including random lines in core home ranges was 4.6 times of odds of
229 including gaps (Table 1).

230 3.2 Predictors of crossing random lines

231 Probability of crossing decreased as distance from middens to linear features increased,
232 and increased as body mass increased (Table 2). Rate of crossing increased as the maximum
233 canopy cover recorded along random lines increased, and was not affected by distance from
234 roads. Each percentage increase in maximum canopy cover of random lines increased the odds of
235 crossing by 33% (Table 2).

236 3.3 Predictors of crossing roads

237 Forty-three red squirrels occupied middens <100 m from roads (23 male, 20 female), and
238 67.4% of individuals had home ranges that overlapped roads in at least one season from 2008 to
239 2012, which means 32.6 % of individuals were never detected to cross roads in 4 years.
240 Reproductive activities were the most important factors in predicting road crossings. Rate of road
241 crossing by red squirrels was 2.1 times larger in the mating season and increased number of
242 potential mates on the proximate side of roads increased rate of road crossing (Table 3). Presence
243 of potential mates on the opposite side of roads increased the rate of crossing by 3.7 times. Rate
244 of crossing also increased as the maximum standard deviation of tree height recorded along roads
245 increased. Each meter increase in maximum standard deviation of tree height increased the rate
246 of crossing by 2.7 times (Table 3). Effect of traffic volume was not significant after accounting
247 for road and environmental characteristics and squirrel activity (Table 3).

248 **4. Discussion**

249 4.1 Forest roads serve as barriers

250 By integrating long-term demographic and telemetry data with remotely sensed
251 environmental characteristics, our study directly assesses effects of roads, traffic intensity, and
252 distance to roads simultaneously on space use and movements of small mammals. In addition,
253 we show how environment, seasonal variation in animal activities, and social interactions affect
254 probability of road crossing. We demonstrate that even a narrow (<10 m), gravel forest road with
255 low traffic volume (<10 vehicles/day) can restrict animal space use and inhibit movements.
256 Furthermore, we conclude that gap avoidance plays an important role in inhibition of road
257 crossings by forest dependent species. An alternative explanation for the low probability of road
258 crossing is lack of habitat on the opposite side of the road (Riley et al., 2006). However, given
259 that red squirrel territories were present on both sides of roads in our study area, we conclude this
260 was unlikely. The avoidance of roads by red squirrels was previously suggested through live
261 trapping studies, as red squirrels are scarce at culverts despite being the most abundant species in
262 the adjacent forest (Clevenger et al., 2001). Small mammals are known to avoid crossing narrow,
263 unpaved roads (Oxley et al., 1974; Swihart and Slade, 1984). Our research provides insight on
264 the causes and mechanisms contributing to barrier effects of roads and helps anticipate how
265 forest obligates respond to anthropogenic disturbance in fragmented landscapes (Burnett, 1992;
266 Koprowski, 2005; Laurance et al., 2009).

267 4.2 Traffic volume and road edges have little effect on road crossing and space use

268 Increasing traffic intensity can reduce success of road crossing (Gagnon et al., 2007;
269 Richardson et al., 1997). However, effect of traffic on animal movements is difficult to
270 disentangle from the influence of road characteristics, because of temporal variation in traffic
271 volume and positive correlation with road width (Goosem, 2002; McGregor et al., 2008). We
272 demonstrated that low traffic volume (<100 vehicles/day) has little effect on probability of road
273 crossing after accounting for effects of road and environmental characteristics. Previous studies
274 suggest that traffic volume does not influence rate of road crossing by small mammals, and
275 increasing traffic intensity up to 15,000 vehicles/day does not decrease the success of return by

276 small rodents after translocation (Ford and Lenore, 2008; Goosem, 2002; McGregor et al., 2008).
277 Yet, animals may cross high traffic roads during low traffic periods, and result in animal space
278 use that appears similar between high and low traffic roads (McGregor et al., 2008). Besides rate
279 of road crossing, traffic may affect animal movements patterns near roads, including distance
280 from roads, travel speed, and tortuosity. Fine scale records of traffic and animal movements are
281 required to further understand effects of traffic intensity on barrier effects of roads.

282 Road edges, differ from natural edges or edges produced by clearcuts in their linear
283 configuration, length, and spatially extensive effects driven by associated anthropogenic
284 disturbance (Forman and Alexander, 1998; Saunders et al., 2002). Consequently, forest
285 fragmentation and edges introduced by roads are widely distributed, tend to exist for long
286 periods of time and are exacerbated by frequent disturbance (Coffin, 2007; Pohlman et al., 2007;
287 Reed et al., 1996). We did not find evidence that road edges affect animal movements and space
288 use, since individuals lived at roadside areas did not avoid approaching roads, and distance from
289 linear features to roads did not affect probability of crossing. Roads affect animal population
290 density and community structure, and the influences can extend to several kilometers from the
291 road (Benítez-López et al., 2010; Fuentes-Montemayor et al., 2009). We documented effects of
292 traffic volume and road edges on movements and space use of red squirrels, but effects of traffic
293 disturbance and roadside environment on distribution and abundance remain unknown.
294 Environmental changes in forest structure, microclimate, and forest dynamics near road edges,
295 including lower forest density, increased solar radiation, wind velocity and light availability,
296 extreme temperature (Goosem, 2007; Murcia, 1995), may influence animal populations and
297 distribution, especially for species like red squirrels whose habitat is limited to forest interior and
298 are sensitive to forest fragmentation (Koprowski, 2005; Laurance et al., 2009).

299 4.3 Gaps in canopy cover inhibit animal movements

300 Animals tend to recognize linear features as territory boundaries, which may restrict an
301 individual's movements to one side of a road and result in changes in space use (Burnett, 1992;
302 Trombulak and Frissell, 2000). Road clearance, the distance an animal has to move between
303 forest margins to cross the roadways (Oxley et al., 1974), has been suggested as the main factor
304 that causes inhibition of road crossing by small mammals. We propose that the avoidance of
305 gaps in cover created by roads is the primary reason. We have 3 lines of evidence that support
306 this conclusion: (1) red squirrels were less likely to cross gaps with <50% canopy cover
307 compared to random lines in forests; (2) probability of crossing random lines in forests was
308 affected positively by canopy cover; (3) probability of road crossing increased with increased
309 standard deviation of tree height that was positively correlated with canopy cover.

310 Forest specialists like tree squirrels often avoid entering gaps with low canopy or
311 understory cover, and rarely cross roads spontaneously, and therefore are especially vulnerable to
312 barrier effects of roads (Clevenger et al., 2001; Laurance et al., 2009; Oxley et al., 1974). Red
313 squirrels strongly avoided clearcuts, and only cross forest gaps if a detour through forest is
314 relatively energy inefficient (Bakker and Van Vuren, 2004). However, alternate routes of
315 crossing roads are usually not available. Predation risk is higher in more open microhabitats
316 (Barbosa and Castellanos, 2005). Tree squirrels rely on canopy cover to provide shelter and use
317 arboreal escape routes when encountering aerial or ground predators (Temple, 1987). Red
318 squirrels travel more slowly through open areas, likely due to high predation risk (Bakker and
319 Van Vuren, 2004). On Mt. Graham, the major source of mortality in red squirrels is avian
320 predation (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2011), and mortality is higher in more open forests
321 (Zugmeyer and Koprowski, 2009). Open areas created by roads may increase risk of predation or

322 mortality caused by vehicle collisions. Besides greater predation risk, lack of connectivity in
323 canopy over roads also impedes arboreal movements. Strong influence of standard deviation of
324 tree height on road crossing suggests that physical structure of forest is important. Forests with
325 higher variation in tree height may provide animals cover and assist arboreal movements when
326 animals descend to ground to cross roads. The northern flying squirrels (*Glaucomys sabrinus*)
327 rely on forest structure in old-growth forests, including high canopy and relatively open under
328 and mid story layers to provide launch point and space for glide (Scheibe et al., 2006). The
329 Siberian flying squirrels (*Pteromys volans*) cross completely open areas only when gaps can be
330 crossed in a single glide (Selonen and Hanski, 2003). A similar pattern also occurs in other
331 arboreal species such as squirrel gliders (*Petaurus norfolcensis*, van der Ree et al. 2010) and
332 ringtail possum (*Hemibelideus lemuroides*, Wilson et al. 2007).

333 4.4 Mating activity increases road crossing

334 Seasonal variation in activity affects probability of road crossing (Fahrig and Rytwinski,
335 2009). For instance, moose (*Alces alces*) cross roads more frequently in summer with increased
336 movements range (Beyer et al., 2013). Some species seldom crossed roads during daily
337 movements, but appear to be more likely to cross roads under situations of high motivation, for
338 example in the breeding season (Steen et al., 2006), after translocation (Clark et al., 2001) or
339 during dispersal (deMaynadier and Hunter, 2000). Male mammals often increase their home
340 range in mating season to search for potential mates (Clark et al., 2010; Edelman and Koprowski,
341 2006; Koprowski et al., 2008). The positive relationship between presence of a potential mate on
342 both sides of roads and rate of road crossings also suggests the influential role of mate searching
343 behavior on crossing events. Avoidance of conspecifics and territorial defense by residential red
344 squirrels could lead to reduced rate of road crossing (Bakker and Van Vuren, 2004). Although
345 we did not detect seasonal variation in effects of presence of red squirrels on the opposite side of
346 roads, avoidance of conspecifics may contribute to the observed difference of probability of road
347 crossing between mating and non-mating season. Our findings suggest that the permeability of a
348 barrier changes with motivation and increases with the availability of receptive potential mates.
349 However, even during mating season, probability of road crossing was lower than crossing
350 random lines. About 75% of red squirrel home ranges included random lines, whereas 53% of
351 home ranges included roads. Presence of roads impairs male snakes' ability of locating mates
352 (Shine et al., 2004). Gene flow between populations bisected by roads is reduced, likely due to
353 fewer mating between individuals separated by roads than individuals at one side of roads (Clark
354 et al., 2010; Riley et al., 2006). We show forest roads affect animal daily movements in home
355 range, and seasonal space use. As a result, forest roads can have negative effects on population
356 through impede reproductive activity, dispersal, and survivorship. Although increased distance
357 between patchy habitats and long dispersal distance does not necessarily decrease success of
358 settlement and survivorship (Larsen and Boutin, 1994; Selonen and Hanski, 2012), this might not
359 be the case when animals need to cross roads to settle as risk of road mortality may be too high
360 to cross and alternate routes may not be available.

361 5. Conservation Implications

362 The ecological and genetic consequences of inhibition of movements and population
363 isolation can be serious, particularly in limited habitat, especially for populations of species at
364 the edge of their distribution range like Mt. Graham red squirrels (Fahrig and Paloheimo, 1988;
365 Fitak et al., 2013; Leonard and Koprowski, 2009). Persistence of forest obligates in isolated
366 fragments depends on their physiological and locomotor ability to cross gaps and the
367 connectivity of fragments (Fahrig, 2007; Lees and Peres, 2009). Although forest roads did not

368 completely inhibit squirrel movements, the barrier effects of roads could be magnified for
369 individuals residing further from roads, if red squirrels that occupied middens near roads
370 represent individuals with high tolerance to road impacts (Anderson and Boutin, 2002; Boon et
371 al., 2007). Moreover, forest roads can have long-term impacts as about one-third of the red
372 squirrels that were resident near roads were never observed to cross roads in 4 years. Given that
373 Mt. Graham red squirrels have already suffered from habitat loss and destruction associated with
374 severe fire, insect damage, and development (Buenau and Gerber, 2004; U.S. Fish and Wildlife
375 Service, 2011; Zugmeyer and Koprowski, 2009), effective mitigation of barrier effects of roads
376 appears prudent.

377 The finding that maximum value of canopy cover and standard deviation of tree height
378 influences crossing decisions of red squirrels has important conservation implications. This
379 suggests that road permeability can be improved by maintaining canopy cover along short
380 sections of roads. Although increased canopy closure along the road may facilitate road crossing,
381 it may also increase road mortality (van der Ree et al., 2010). To minimize barrier effects of
382 roads while simultaneously reducing road mortality, a variety of wildlife passages have been
383 designed and installed to facilitate movements of wildlife and restore connectivity (Taylor and
384 Goldingay, 2010). Canopy bridges or rope bridges successfully restored animal movements near
385 roads and improved connectivity for several arboreal species (Laurance et al., 2009; Soanes et al.,
386 2013), and can be another mitigation of road impacts on red squirrels.

387 Forest roads are thought to have reduced impacts on wildlife because roads are often
388 narrow, unpaved, and lightly traveled. However, ecological effects are substantial due to wide
389 distribution of forest roads and their facilitation of the introduction of human disturbance to
390 remote areas (Coghlan and Sowa, 1998; Forman and Alexander, 1998; Forman et al., 2003).
391 Several studies have demonstrated that even narrow roads <10-m wide with low traffic intensity
392 are barriers for many species (Forman and Alexander, 1998; Swihart and Slade, 1984). Not only
393 roads but also open clearings like powerline corridors can restrict the movements of small
394 mammals in forests (Goosem and Marsh, 1997). As we show gaps in canopy strongly inhibits
395 animal movements, forest management such as thinning operations and infrastructure
396 development that open forest canopy can increase barrier effects and level of fragmentation, and
397 should be implemented with caution. Human induced habitat fragmentation is one of the major
398 causes for the decline of biodiversity (Fahrig, 2003). In the U.S., forest road network has
399 expanded to >600,000 km and traffic intensity has grown 10 times since 1950s and reached to
400 1.7 million vehicles/day in 1998 (Coghlan and Sowa, 1998). Forest ecosystems worldwide have
401 been excessively fragmented through human activities, and primary forests have decreased by
402 >40 million ha since 2000, yet the degree of fragmentation is exacerbated by continuously
403 increasing demand for outdoor recreational activities and development as well as catastrophic
404 events driven by climate change (Allen et al., 2010; Food and Agriculture Organization of the
405 United Nations, 2010). Thus, forest species are facing challenging landscapes with more
406 fragmented and disturbed habitats. To maintain landscape connectivity, large areas of healthy
407 forests as well as connectivity among forested patches are of critical importance.

408 **Acknowledgments**

409 We thank the University of Arizona, Arizona Agriculture Experiment Station, and T&E
410 Incorporated's Grants for Conservation Research for providing funding, the University of
411 Arizona Statistics Consulting Lab in assisting with data analyses, and R. Gimblett, C. Schwalbe,
412 R. Steidl for review and feedback on study design.

413 **References**

- 414 Allen, C.D., Macalady, A.K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M.,
415 Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D.D., Hogg, E.H. (Ted), Gonzalez, P., Fensham, R.,
416 Zhang, Z., Castro, J., Demidova, N., Lim, J.-H., Allard, G., Running, S.W., Semerci, A.,
417 Cobb, N., 2010. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals
418 emerging climate change risks for forests. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 259, 660–684.
419 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001
- 420 Anderson, E.M., Boutin, S., 2002. Edge effects on survival and behaviour of juvenile red
421 squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*). *Can. J. Zool.* 80, 1038–1046. doi:10.1139/z02-087
- 422 Bakker, V.J., Van Vuren, D.H., 2004. Gap-crossing decisions by the red squirrel, a forest-
423 dependent small mammal. *Conserv. Biol.* 18, 689–697. doi:10.1111/j.1523-
424 1739.2004.00149.x
- 425 Barber, J.R., Crooks, K.R., Fristrup, K.M., 2010. The costs of chronic noise exposure for
426 terrestrial organisms. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 25, 180–189. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.08.002
- 427 Barbosa, P., Castellanos, I. (Eds.), 2005. Ecology of predator–prey interactions. Oxford
428 University Press. Oxford. UK.
- 429 Bates, D., M., Maechler, B.B., Walker, S., 2013. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen
430 and S4.
- 431 Benítez-López, A., Alkemade, R., Verweij, P.A., 2010. The impacts of roads and other
432 infrastructure on mammal and bird populations: a meta-analysis. *Biol. Conserv.* 143, 1307–
433 1316. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2010.02.009
- 434 Beyer, H.L., 2012. Geospatial Modelling Environment.
- 435 Beyer, H.L., Ung, R., Murray, D.L., Fortin, M.J., 2013. Functional responses, seasonal variation
436 and thresholds in behavioural responses of moose to road density. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 50, 286–
437 294. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12042
- 438 Bhattacharya, M., Primack, R.B., Gerwein, J., 2003. Are roads and railroads barriers to
439 bumblebee movement in a temperate suburban conservation area? *Biol. Conserv.* 109, 37–
440 45. doi:10.1016/s0006-3207(02)00130-1
- 441 Bissonette, J.A., Rosa, S.A., 2009. Road zone effects in small-mammal communities. *Ecol. Soc.*
442 14, 27.
- 443 Boon, A.K., Réale, D., Boutin, S., 2007. The interaction between personality, offspring fitness
444 and food abundance in North American red squirrels. *Ecol. Lett.* 10, 1094–104.
445 doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01106.x
- 446 Brown, D.E., 1984. Arizona’s tree squirrels. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix,
447 Arizona, USA.
- 448 Buenau, K.E., Gerber, L.R., 2004. Developing recovery and monitoring strategies for the
449 endemic Mount Graham red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*) in Arizona.
450 *Anim. Conserv.* 7, 17–22. doi:10.1017/S1367943003001124
- 451 Burnett, S.E., 1992. Effects of a rain-forest road on movements of small mammals-mechanisms
452 and implications. *Wildl. Res.* 19, 95–104.
- 453 Chen, H., Koprowski, J.L., 2013. Effects of roads on wildlife in Arizona: how far have we
454 traveled?, in: *Merging Science and Management in a Rapidly Changing World: Biodiversity*
455 *and Management of the Madrean Archipelago III and 7th Conference on Research and*
456 *Resource Management in the Southwestern Deserts. Proceedings. RMRS-P-67. Rocky*
457 *Mountain Research Station, U.S. Forest Service, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA., pp. 434–438.*
- 458 Clark, B.K., Clark, B.S., Johnson, L.A., Haynie, M.T., 2001. Influence of roads on movements

459 of small mammals. *Southwest. Nat.* 46, 338–344.

460 Clark, R.W., Brown, W.S., Stechert, R., Zamudio, K.R., 2010. Roads, interrupted dispersal, and
461 genetic diversity in timber rattlesnakes. *Conserv. Biol.* 24, 1059–69. doi:10.1111/j.1523-
462 1739.2009.01439.x

463 Clevenger, A.P., Chruszcz, B., Gunson, K., 2001. Drainage culverts as habitat linkages and
464 factors affecting passage by mammals. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 38, 1340–1349. doi:10.1046/j.0021-
465 8901.2001.00678.x

466 Coffin, A.W., 2007. From roadkill to road ecology: a review of the ecological effects of roads. *J.*
467 *Transp. Geogr.* 15, 396–406. doi:10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2006.11.006

468 Coghlan, G., Sowa, R., 1998. National forest road system and use. USDA Forest Service
469 Engineering Staff: Washington D.C. (Draft 1/30/98).

470 Czech, B., Krausman, P.R., 1997. Distribution and causation of species endangerment in the
471 United States. *Science.* 277, 1116–1117. doi:10.1126/science.277.5329.1116

472 deMaynadier, P.G., Hunter, M.L., 2000. Road effects on amphibian movements in a forested
473 landscape. *Nat. Areas J.* 20, 56–65.

474 Edelman, A.J., Koprowski, J.L., 2006. Seasonal changes in home ranges of Abert’s squirrels:
475 impact of mating season. *Can. J. Zool.* 84, 404–411. doi:10.1139/z06-009

476 Fahrig, L., 2007. Non-optimal animal movement in human-altered landscapes. *Funct. Ecol.* 21,
477 1003–1015. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01326.x

478 Fahrig, L., 2003. Effects of habitat fragmentation on biodiversity. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*
479 34, 487–515. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132419

480 Fahrig, L., Paloheimo, J., 1988. Determinants of local population size in patchy habitats. *Theor.*
481 *Popul. Biol.* 34, 194–213.

482 Fahrig, L., Rytwinski, T., 2009. Effects of roads on animal abundance: an empirical review and
483 synthesis. *Ecol. Soc.* 14, 21.

484 Fitak, R.R., Koprowski, J.L., Culver, M., 2013. Severe reduction in genetic variation in a
485 montane isolate: the endangered Mount Graham red squirrel (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*
486 *grahamensis*). *Conserv. Genet.* 14, 1233–1241. doi:10.1007/s10592-013-0511-x

487 Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2010. Global forest resources
488 assessment 2010 – Main report. Forestry Paper 163, FAO, Forestry, Rome.

489 Ford, A.T., Lenore, F., 2008. Movement patterns of eastern chipmunks (*Tamias striatus*) near
490 roads. *J. Mammal.* 89, 895–903.

491 Forman, R.T.T., Alexander, L.E., 1998. Roads and their major ecological effects. *Annu. Rev.*
492 *Ecol. Syst.* 29, 207–231.

493 Forman, R.T.T., Sperling, D., Bissonette, J.A., Clevenger, A.P., Cutshall, C.D., Dale, V.H.,
494 Fahrig, L., France, R.L., Goldman, C.R., Heanue, K., Jones, J., Swanson, F., Turrentine, T.,
495 Winter, T.C., 2003. Road ecology: science and solutions, 2nd ed. Island Press, Washington,
496 D.C., USA.

497 Frankham, R., 1996. Relationship of genetic variation to population size in wildlife. *Conserv.*
498 *Biol.* 10, 1500–1508. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.1996.10061500.x

499 Fuentes-Montemayor, E., Cuarón, A.D., Vázquez-Domínguez, E., Benítez-Malvido, J.,
500 Valenzuela-Galván, D., Andresen, E., 2009. Living on the edge: roads and edge effects on
501 small mammal populations. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 78, 857–865. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
502 2656.2009.01551.x

503 Gagnon, J.W., Theimer, T.C., Dodd, N.L., Boe, S., Schweinsburg, R.E., 2007. Traffic volume
504 alters elk distribution and highway crossings in Arizona. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 71, 2318–2323.

505 doi:10.2193/2006-224

506 Goosem, M., 2007. Fragmentation impacts caused by roads through rainforests. *Curr. Sci.* 93,
507 1587–1595.

508 Goosem, M., 2002. Effects of tropical rainforest roads on small mammals: fragmentation, edge
509 effects and traffic disturbance. *Wildl. Res.* 29, 277–289. doi:10.1071/wr01058

510 Goosem, M., 2000. Effects of tropical rainforest roads on small mammals: edge changes in
511 community composition. *Wildl. Res.* 27, 151–163.

512 Goosem, M., Marsh, H., 1997. Fragmentation of a small-mammal community by a powerline
513 corridor through tropical rainforest. *Wildl. Res.* 24, 613–629. doi:10.1071/wr96063

514 Greenberg, R., 1989. Neophobia, aversion to open space, and ecological plasticity in Song and
515 Swamp sparrows. *Can. J. Zool.* 67, 1194–1199. doi:10.1139/z89-172

516 Gurnell, J., 1987. The natural history of squirrels. Christopher Helm, London, Great Britain.

517 Gurnell, J., Pepper, H., 1994. Red squirrel conservation field study methods. United Kingdom
518 Forestry Commission Research Information Note 191 Edinburgh: Forestry Commission.

519 Hanski, I., Gilpin, M., 1991. Metapopulation dynamics: brief history and conceptual domain.
520 *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 42, 3–16. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.1991.tb00548.x

521 Jaeger, J.A.G., Bowman, J., Brennan, J., Fahrig, L., Bert, D., Bouchard, J., Charbonneau, N.,
522 Frank, K., Gruber, B., von Toschanowitz, K.T., 2005. Predicting when animal populations
523 are at risk from roads: an interactive model of road avoidance behavior. *Ecol. Modell.* 185,
524 329–348. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.12.015

525 Koprowski, J.L., 2005. The response of tree squirrels to fragmentation: a review and synthesis.
526 *Anim. Conserv.* 8, 369–376. doi:10.1017/S1367943005002416

527 Koprowski, J.L., King, S.R.B., Merrick, M.J., 2008. Expanded home ranges in a peripheral
528 population: space use by endangered Mt. Graham red squirrels. *Endanger. Species Res.* 4,
529 227–232. doi:10.3354/esr00026

530 Larsen, K.W., Boutin, S., 1994. Movements, survival, and settlement of red squirrel
531 (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*) offspring. *Ecology* 75, 214–223. doi:10.2307/1939395

532 Laurance, S.G.W., Stouffer, P.C., Laurance, W.E., 2004. Effects of road clearings on movement
533 patterns of understory rainforest birds in central Amazonia. *Conserv. Biol.* 18, 1099–1109.

534 Laurance, W.F., Goosem, M., Laurance, S.G.W., 2009. Impacts of roads and linear clearings on
535 tropical forests. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 24, 659–669. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.009

536 Lees, A.C., Peres, C.A., 2009. Gap-crossing movements predict species occupancy in
537 Amazonian forest fragments. *Oikos* 118, 280–290. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2008.16842.x

538 Leonard, K., Koprowski, J., 2009. A comparison of habitat use and demography of red squirrels
539 at the southern edge of their range. *Am. Midl. Nat.* 162, 125–138.

540 Marsh, D.M., Milam, G.S., Gorham, N.R., Beckman, N.G., 2005. Forest roads as partial barriers
541 to terrestrial salamander movement. *Conserv. Biol.* 19, 2004–2008. doi:10.1111/j.1523-
542 1739.2005.00238.x

543 McDonald, W.R., St Clair, C.C., 2004. The effects of artificial and natural barriers on the
544 movement of small mammals in Banff National Park, Canada. *Oikos* 105, 397–407.

545 McGregor, R.L., Bender, D.J., Fahrig, L., 2008. Do small mammals avoid roads because of the
546 traffic? *J. Appl. Ecol.* 45, 117–123. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01403.x

547 Merrick, M.J., Bertelsen, S.R., Koprowski, J.L., 2007. Characteristics of Mount Graham red
548 squirrel nest sites in a mixed conifer forest. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 71, 1958–1963.
549 doi:10.2193/2006-260

550 Mitchell, B., Walterman, M., Mellin, T., Wilcox, C., Lynch, A.M., Anhold, J., Falk, D.A.,

551 Koprowski, J., Laes, D., Evans, D., Fisk., H., 2012. Mapping vegetation structure in the
552 Pinaleño Mountains using LiDAR—phase 3: forest inventory modeling. RSAC-10007-RPT1.
553 Remote Sensing Applications Center, U.S. Forest Service, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA.

554 Murcia, C., 1995. Edge effects in fragmented forests: implications for conservation. *Trends Ecol.*
555 *Evol.* 10, 58–62. doi:10.1016/s0169-5347(00)88977-6

556 Oxley, D.J., Fenton, M.B., Carmody, G.R., 1974. Effects of roads on population of small
557 mammals. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 11, 51–59.

558 Pohlman, C.L., Turton, S.M., Goosem, M., 2007. Edge effects of linear canopy openings on
559 tropical rain forest understory microclimate. *Biotropica* 39, 62–71. doi:10.1111/j.1744-
560 7429.2006.00238.x

561 Reed, R., Johnson-Barnard, J., Baker, W., 1996. Contribution of roads to forest fragmentation in
562 the Rocky Mountains. *Conserv. Biol.* 10, 1098–1106. doi:10.1046/j.1523-
563 1739.1996.10041098.x

564 Richardson, J.H., Shore, R.F., Treweek, J.R., Larkin, S.B.C., 1997. Are major roads a barrier to
565 small mammals? *J. Zool.* 243, 840–846.

566 Riley, S.P.D., Pollinger, J.P., Sauvajot, R.M., York, E.C., Bromley, C., Fuller, T.K., Wayne,
567 R.K., 2006. A southern California freeway is a physical and social barrier to gene flow in
568 carnivores. *Mol. Ecol.* 15, 1733–1741. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02907.x

569 Roedenbeck, I.A., Fahrig, L., Findlay, C.S., Houlihan, J.E., Jaeger, J.A.G., Klar, N., Kramer-
570 Schadt, S., van der Grift, E.A., 2007. The Rauschholzhausen agenda for road ecology. *Ecol.*
571 *Soc.* 12, 11.

572 Rytwinski, T., Fahrig, L., 2012. Do species life history traits explain population responses to
573 roads? a meta-analysis. *Biol. Conserv.* 147, 87–98. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2011.11.023

574 Sanderson, H.R., Koprowski, J.L., 2009. The last refuge of the Mt. Graham red squirrel.
575 University of Arizona Press, Tucson, Arizona, USA.

576 Saunders, S.C., Mislivets, M.R., Chen, J., Cleland, D.T., 2002. Effects of roads on landscape
577 structure within nested ecological units of the Northern Great Lakes Region, USA. *Biol.*
578 *Conserv.* 103, 209–225. doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00130-6

579 Scheibe, J.S., Smith, W.P., Bassham, J., Magness, D., 2006. Locomotor performance and cost of
580 transport in the northern flying squirrel *Glaucomys sabrinus*. *Acta Theriol. (Warsz)*. 51,
581 169–178. doi:10.1007/BF03192668

582 Selonen, V., Hanski, I.K., 2012. Dispersing Siberian flying squirrels (*Pteromys volans*) locate
583 preferred habitats in fragmented landscapes. *Can. J. Zool.* 90, 885–892. doi:10.1139/z2012-
584 058

585 Selonen, V., Hanski, I.K., 2003. Movements of the flying squirrel *Pteromys uolans* in corridors
586 and in matrix habitat. *Ecography (Cop.)*. 26, 641–651.

587 Shepard, D.B., Kuhns, A.R., Dreslik, M.J., Phillips, C.A., 2008. Roads as barriers to animal
588 movement in fragmented landscapes. *Anim. Conserv.* 11, 288–296. doi:10.1111/j.1469-
589 1795.2008.00183.x

590 Shine, R., Lemaster, M., Wall, M., Langkilde, T., Mason, R., 2004. Why did the snake cross the
591 road? Effects of roads on movement and location of mates by garter snakes (*Thamnophis*
592 *sirtalis parietalis*). *Ecol. Soc.* 9.

593 Skaug, H., Fournier, D., Nielsen, A., Magnusson, A., Bolker, B., 2013. Generalized linear mixed
594 models using AD Model Builder.

595 Smith, A.A., Mannan, R.W., 1994. Distinguishing characteristics of Mount Graham red squirrel
596 midden sites. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 58, 437–445.

597 Soanes, K., Lobo, M.C., Vesk, P.A., McCarthy, M.A., Moore, J.L., van der Ree, R., 2013.
598 Movement re-established but not restored: inferring the effectiveness of road-crossing
599 mitigation for a gliding mammal by monitoring use. *Biol. Conserv.* 159, 434–441.
600 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2012.10.016

601 Steele, M.A., 1998. *Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*. *Mamm. Species* 586, 1–9.

602 Steen, D.A., Aresco, M.J., Beilke, S.G., Compton, B.W., Condon, E.P., Dodd, C.K., Forrester,
603 H., Gibbons, J.W., Greene, J.L., Johnson, G., Langen, T.A., Oldham, M.J., Oxier, D.N.,
604 Saumure, R.A., Schueler, F.W., Sleeman, J.M., Smith, L.L., Tucker, J.K., Gibbs, J.P., 2006.
605 Relative vulnerability of female turtles to road mortality. *Anim. Conserv.* 9, 269–273.
606 doi:10.1111/j.1469-1795.2006.00032.x

607 Strasburg, J.L., 2006. Conservation biology - roads and genetic connectivity. *Nature* 440, 875–
608 876. doi:10.1038/440875a

609 Swihart, R.K., Slade, N.A., 1984. Road crossing in *Sigmodon hispidus* and *Microtus ochrogaster*.
610 *J. Mammal.* 65, 357–360.

611 Taylor, B.D., Goldingay, R.L., 2010. Roads and wildlife: impacts, mitigation and implications
612 for wildlife management in Australia. *Wildl. Res.* 37, 320–331. doi:10.1071/wr09171

613 Temple, S.A., 1987. Do predators always capture substandard individuals disproportionately
614 from prey populations? *Ecology* 68, 669–674. doi:10.2307/1938472

615 Trombulak, S.C., Frissell, C.A., 2000. Review of ecological effects of roads on terrestrial and
616 aquatic communities. *Conserv. Biol.* 14, 18–30.

617 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2011. Draft recovery plan for the Mount Graham red squirrel
618 (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*), First Revision. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
619 Southwest Region, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA.

620 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1987. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants;
621 determination of endangered status for the Mount Graham red squirrel. *Fed. Regist.* 52,
622 20994–20999.

623 van der Ree, R., Cesarini, S., Sunnucks, P., Moore, J.L., Taylor, A., 2010. Large gaps in canopy
624 reduce road crossing by a gliding mammal. *Ecol. Soc.* 15, 35. doi:35

625 Wilson, R.F., Marsh, H., Winter, J., 2007. Importance of canopy connectivity for home range
626 and movements of the rainforest arboreal ringtail possum (*Hemibelideus lemuroides*). *Wildl.*
627 *Res.* 34, 177–184. doi:10.1071/wr06114

628 Zugmeyer, C.A., Koprowski, J.L., 2009. Severely insect-damaged forest: a temporary trap for
629 red squirrels? *For. Ecol. Manage.* 257, 464–470. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.09.020

630

631 **Figure Legends:**

632 Figure 1. Illustration of linear features on Mt. Graham, Arizona. (a) Location of roads, road
633 edges and random lines. SW: Arizona State Highway 366, AC: access road, BC: Bible Camp
634 Road, SO: Soldier Trail. (b) Illustration of midden of Mt. Graham red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus*
635 *hudsonicus grahamensis*), 100-m buffer surrounding a road section, and examples of red
636 squirrels locations on the proximal (fix-proximal) and distal side of the road (fix-distal).

637 Figure 2. Probability of 95% (total) and 50 % (core) fixed kernel home ranges of Mt. Graham red
638 squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*) that include linear features: low (<10
639 vehicles/day), medium (20-40 vehicles/day) and high (50-100 vehicles/day) traffic roads, road
640 edges, and random lines in a forest serve as references.

641 Figure 3.

642 Probability of 95% (total) and 50 % (core) fixed kernel home ranges of Mt. Graham red squirrels
643 (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*) that include linear areas with low to high canopy cover.

644 Table 1. Estimated coefficients of generalized linear mixed models for probability of 95% and 50
 645 % fixed kernel home ranges of Mt. Graham red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*)
 646 including linear features, 2008-2012, Mt. Graham, Arizona, USA

Variables	95% Kernel			50% Kernel		
	Estimate	SE	<i>P</i>	Estimate	SE	<i>P</i>
Linear features						
Random line	0.29	0.52	0.56	-1.41	0.51	0.005
Low traffic road	-1.99	0.94	0.04	-3.71	0.96	<0.001
Medium traffic road	0.19	0.97	0.85	-2.90	1.16	0.01
High traffic road	-1.35	0.79	0.09	-4.10	0.91	<0.001
Road edges	1.47	0.60	0.02	-1.30	0.51	0.01
Canopy cover (0-25%)	-2.17	0.94	0.020	-3.75	1.28	0.003
Canopy cover (25-50%)	-0.76	0.72	0.286	-2.75	0.79	<0.001
Canopy cover (50-75%)	0.96	0.56	0.088	-0.92	0.51	0.07
Canopy cover (75-100%)	0.80	0.60	0.187	-1.73	0.58	0.003
Sex (Male)	0.72	0.47	0.13	1.22	0.51	0.02
Season ^a × sex (spring as reference)						
Summer	1.69	0.45	<0.001	0.82	0.36	0.02
Summer × male	-0.75	0.55	0.17	-0.85	0.52	0.10
Fall	1.32	0.43	0.002	0.06	0.36	0.87
Fall × male	-2.08	0.55	0.001	-1.00	0.57	0.08
Winter	0.67	0.42	0.11	0.19	0.35	0.59
Winter × male	-1.30	0.52	0.01	-1.25	0.54	0.02
Body mass (g) ^b	-0.15	0.13	0.24	-0.28	0.13	0.03

647 ^aSpring: March-May, summer: June-August, fall: September-November, winter: December-
 648 January

649 ^b The amount of change in the logit of overlap with 1 SD change from its mean

650 Table 2. Effects of environmental characteristics and squirrel factors on probability of crossing
 651 random lines in forests by Mt. Graham red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*),
 652 2008-2012, Mt. Graham, Arizona, USA.

Variables	Estimate ^a	SE	<i>P</i>
Distance to midden (m)	-0.47	0.10	<0.001
Maximum canopy cover (%)	0.89	0.37	0.02
Slope	-0.50	0.28	0.07
Distance to the nearest road (m)	0.05	0.31	0.88
Aspect (degree to north)	-0.01	0.07	0.88
Distance to fire boundaries (m)	0.03	0.24	0.90
Season ^b (spring as reference)			
Summer	0.48	0.19	0.01
Fall	0.27	0.19	0.17
Winter	0.32	0.19	0.10
Body mass (g)	-0.06	0.06	0.31
Sex (Male)	-0.18	0.35	0.61

653 ^a For continuous variables, estimate shows the amount of change in the logit of crossing with 1
 654 SD change from its mean

655 ^bSpring: March-May, summer: June-August, fall: September-November, winter: December-
 656 January

657 Table 3. Effects of road characteristics and squirrel factors on rate of road crossing by Mt.
 658 Graham red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*), 2008-2012, Mt. Graham, Arizona,
 659 USA.

Variables	Estimate ^a	SE	P
Environment			
Traffic- medium (low as reference)	1.61	1.58	0.31
Traffic- high (low as reference)	1.48	2.93	0.61
Distance to midden (m)	-0.31	0.16	0.07
Mean slope	-0.37	0.38	0.34
Aspect (degree to north)	-0.06	0.29	0.83
Minimum road width (m)	0.17	1.19	0.88
Maximum SD of tree height (m)	0.80	0.11	0.01
Squirrel			
Sex (Male)	-0.28	0.34	0.42
Body mass (g)	0.23	0.14	0.11
Mating season (spring & summer)	1.15	0.50	0.02
Presence of mates-distal ^b	1.32	0.37	<0.001
Number of mates-proximate ^c	0.42	0.16	0.008

660 ^a For continuous variables, estimate shows the amount of change in the log transformed rate of
 661 road crossing with 1 SD change from its mean

662 ^b Presence of mates-distal: presence of potential mates on the other side of roads

663 ^c Number of mates-proximate: number of potential mates on resident side of roads