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WESTWARD EXPANSION OF THE EVENING BAT (NYCTICEIUS HUMERALIS) IN THE UNITED STATES, WITH NOTES ON THE FIRST RECORD FROM NEW MEXICO

Brett R. Andersen1,4, Keith Geluso1, Hans W. Otto2, and Larisa Bishop-Boros3

ABSTRACT.—The general lack of trees in the Great Plains has limited colonization by eastern woodland mammals in the past, but recent expansion of forests in corridors along prairie waterways and in towns has enabled an assortment of woodland species to expand distributional ranges westward. The evening bat (Nycticeius humeralis) historically occurred in woodlands throughout the eastern United States. Following our capture of the first evening bat in New Mexico, we updated the distributional range for this species by amassing recent records from published literature and museum voucher records west of its historic range published in 1981, the last time the species distribution was updated throughout its range. We document that evening bats, including some reproductively active populations, now occur across much of the central and southern Great Plains, including southwestern Nebraska, western Kansas, and western Texas. Such records should encourage researchers to factor in the possible occurrence of this species beyond published historic western limits for mist-netting and acoustic surveys. While it remains unclear if the single capture in southwestern New Mexico represented a wandering individual, these compiled records suggest that established populations might occur west of our updated distribution for the species.

Numerous mammals inhabiting eastern forests have expanded their distributional ranges westward in response to recent phyto-geographic changes throughout the Great Plains (Choate and Reed 1986, Benedict et al. 1996, 2000, Wilson and Choate 1996, Geluso 2004, 2006, Yancey and Jones 2006). This sparsely forested region, from central Canada through 9 Midwestern states into northern Mexico (Mather 1972), once served as a western barrier to eastern woodland species. However, anthropogenic influences, including dams, fire suppression, and European settlement in prairies, have led to widespread increases in woodlands along riparian corridors and in towns (Hunt 1965, Johnson 1994, Benedict et al. 1996). Because of their capability for powered flight, bats are able to rapidly respond to the presence of expanding and shifting habitats (Scheel et al. 1996, Kurta et al. 2007). Tree-roosting species, including the American Perimyotis (Perimyotis subflavus, formerly Pipistrellus subflavus), eastern red bat (Lasiurus borealis), and Seminole bat (Lasiurus seminolus), appear to have exploited forested habitats in parts of the Great Plains, as records of these eastern species now occur outside of their historic ranges (Hall 1981, Benedict et al. 2000, Brant and Dowler 2000, Geluso et al. 2005, White et al. 2006, Armstrong
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In this study, we amassed distributional records through 2017 across the Great Plains to update the western distributional limits of *N. humeralis*. Several publications have updated the distribution of this species at the state level (i.e., Sparks and Choate 2000—Kansas; Benedict 2004—Nebraska; Ammerman et al. 2012—Texas), but a comprehensive assessment of the species throughout its distribution has not been completed since Hall (1981). Furthermore, we report on the first capture of *N. humeralis* in New Mexico. We discuss potential routes for this capture in southwestern New Mexico and implications associated with westward expansion of this species and other eastern bat species across the Great Plains.

**METHODS**

**Literature Review**

We amassed museum records of *N. humeralis* through online databases, as well as direct communications with museums along the edge of the species’ distribution in Texas, Oklahoma, and New Mexico. We examined

Fig. 1. Distributional map of the evening bat (*Nycticeius humeralis*) representing the historical ranges developed by Hall and Kelson (1959) and Hall (1981), as well as the updated range including all county records identified since Hall (1981; black dots). The open circle in New Mexico represents the first record of *N. humeralis* in the state, but due to its location, it was not included in the proposed distribution and needs to be considered an extralimital record until more specimens are captured in New Mexico.
online databases for records from 92 reporting museums via VertNet (vertnet.org) on 31 January 2017. In addition, we contacted curators and collection managers from Central Oklahoma University (COU), Midwestern State University (MWSU), and Eastern New Mexico University (ENMU), which were not linked with VertNet and did not possess accessible online databases. Distributional records only were included if the entire county was located outside of the historical distribution described in Hall (1981).

Fieldwork

The study site for our field research was located on the Mimbres River in Grant County, New Mexico, about 1.2 km north of Bear Canyon Reservoir (32.89776° N, 107.99616° W; North American Datum 1983). Upland habitats surrounding the riparian area consisted of piñon-juniper woodlands. Dominant trees adjacent to this small endorheic river consisted of cottonwood (Populus spp.), box elder (Acer negundo), Goodding’s willow (Salix gooddingii), Arizona black walnut (Juglans major), and alder (Alnus oblongifolia).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Museum*</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>Stanton</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>FHSM</td>
<td>Phelps et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Buffalo</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>UNSM</td>
<td>Johnson and Geluso 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Dixon</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>UNSM</td>
<td>Benedict et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Furnas</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>UNSM</td>
<td>Johnson and Geluso 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Harlan</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>MSB</td>
<td>Geluso et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Hitchcock</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>UNSM</td>
<td>Serbousek and Geluso 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Knox</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>UNSM</td>
<td>Benedict 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Red Willow</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>UNSM</td>
<td>Serbousek and Geluso 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>MSB</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>UCO</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Kiowa</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>OU</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Nome b</td>
<td>Lane et al. 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Hood</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>TTU</td>
<td>Demere et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Kinney</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>TTU</td>
<td>Manning et al. 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Palo Pinto</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>MWSU</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Presidio</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>ASNHC</td>
<td>Dowler et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Randall</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>TTU</td>
<td>Riedle and Matlock 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>TTU</td>
<td>Davis and Schmidly 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>San Saba</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>TCWC</td>
<td>Wilkins et al. 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Tom Green</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>ASNHC</td>
<td>Dowler et al. 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Val Verde</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>ASNHC</td>
<td>Dowler et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Acronyms for museums: ASNHC = Angelo State Natural History Collection, San Angelo, TX; FHSM = Fort Hays State Museum, Hays, KS; MWSU = Museum of Southwestern Biology, Albuquerque, NM; OU = Sam Noble Oklahoma Museum of Natural History, Norman, OK; TCWC = Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection, College Station, TX; TTU = Museum of Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX; UCO = University of Central Oklahoma Natural History Museum, Edmond, OK; UNSM = University of Nebraska State Museum, Lincoln, NE.

bNo voucher was kept according to Swier 2006.

On 5 nights in 2014 and 2015 (8 and 9 June 2014, 18 and 29 May and 3 June 2015), we deployed mist nets (Avinet Inc., Portland, ME) in areas of calm water or river sections where tree canopy forced bats into tight corridors. A voucher specimen was deposited at the Museum of Southwestern Biology (MSB), University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico. All fieldwork was conducted under an approved animal care and use protocol (#020614) from the University of Nebraska at Kearney and met guidelines recommended by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes et al. 2011).

RESULTS

Literature Review

Our review of the literature and museum records yielded a total of 23 county records west of the historical distributional range of N. humeralis described in Hall (1981) from the following states: South Dakota (1), Nebraska (7), Kansas (1), Oklahoma (2), and Texas (12) (Fig. 1, Table 1). These records included one state record (South Dakota; Lane et al. 2003)
and one record in western Kansas which was located only 2 km east of Baca County, Colorado (Phelps et al. 2008). Following an extensive literature review and compilation of specimens by Ammerman et al. (2012), researchers were able to accumulate records of *N. humeralis* both inside and outside of the species’ historical range in Texas. Three additional counties in Texas (Bailey, Midland, and Yoakum co.) were stated to have records of *N. humeralis* west of its known historical range; however, we chose not to include these as they represented unverified records from the Texas Department of State Health Services (Ammerman et al. 2012). Only one new county record (Randall Co.; Riedle and Matlack 2013) has been identified in western parts of Texas since Ammerman et al. (2012). These records indicate that *N. humeralis* now occurs across a majority of the Great Plains states, including southwestern Nebraska, western Kansas, western Oklahoma, and western Texas.

**Field Work**

On 18 May 2015, we captured 26 bats representing 7 species in 3 nets deployed from 20:00 (MST) to midnight. Among those captures was a nonreproductive, adult male evening bat at 20:45 (MST), representing the first record for New Mexico (Table 2). The individual weighed 8.5 g and external measurements were as follows: total length, 101 mm; length of tail, 40 mm; length of hind foot, 7 mm; length of ear, 14 mm; length of forearm, 38 mm. The testes measured 4 × 2 mm. Skull measurements were as follows: greatest length of skull, 14.2 mm; breadth across canines, 4.9 mm; interorbital breadth, 4.0 mm; zygomatic breadth, 9.9 mm; cranial breadth, 7.2 mm; length of maxillary toothrow, 5.4 mm; and width across molars, 6.6 mm. Dentition: i 1/3, c 1/1, p 1/2, m 3/3, total 30. The coloration of the individual was dark brown. The bat was kept as a voucher specimen and deposited in the Museum of Southwestern Biology (MSB:Mamm:291773), and tissues also were preserved (NK# 257417).

**Table 2.** Total bats captured along the Mimbres River in Grant County, New Mexico, in 2014 and 2015. We attempted to capture bats on 5 nights at a location approximately 4 km N of Mimbres along a 0.7-km stretch of river.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Lasionycteris noctivagans</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Silver-haired bat</td>
<td>35 (2)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lasiurus cinereus</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Hoary bat</td>
<td>15 (2)</td>
<td>16 (13)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Myotis occultus</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Southwestern myotis</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Myotis californicus</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>California myotis</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Myotis thysanodes</em></td>
<td>Fringed myotis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eptesicus fuscus</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Big brown bat</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tadarida brasiliensis</em></td>
<td>Brazilian free-tailed bat</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lasiurus blossevillii</em></td>
<td>Western red bat</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Myotis colans</em></td>
<td>Long-legged myotis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Myotis yumanensis</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yuma myotis</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nycticeius humeralis</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Evening bat</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>62 (9)</td>
<td>30 (17)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>95 (26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Indicates species captured on the night that the state record *N. humeralis* was captured. Totals for that night are in parentheses.

Discussion

Our review of literature and museum records documented many records of *N. humeralis* beyond the former western and northern edges of its range and provides evidence for the species’ continued westward expansion across the Great Plains (Fig. 1). Numerous sites within the newly expanded distribution yielded multiple captures of volant young and reproductive females (Dowler et al. 1999, Sparks and Choate 2000, Lane et al. 2003, Geluso et al. 2008, Serbousek and Geluso 2009, Johnson and Geluso 2017). We suspect that this species will continue to expand its distribution and increase in abundance throughout the Great Plains. Although expansion of wooded habitats is associated with the expansions of tree-roosting bats, abandoned settlements (e.g., old school houses, churches, and houses) might also have served as stepping stones for this species to expand into and across the Great Plains (Baker and Ward 1967, Chapman and Chapman 1990).

2006, Valdez et al. 2009, Riedle and Matlack 2013, Geluso and Geluso 2016, this study), it is important for researchers to be aware of the possibility of encountering eastern species inhabiting western portions of North America. Ruling out a species based purely on historical distributions might lead to improper identification in hand and via acoustic software. We encourage researchers to know the characteristics of *N. humeralis* and other eastern bat species and retain voucher materials (entire specimen or wing punch) to positively confirm species occurrences beyond distributional limits. Yates (1985) states that voucher specimens represent vital, nonrenewable resources that serve to physically and permanently document the occurrence and identity of a species. Thus, voucher specimens make historical comparison possible (Yates 1985). In addition, we recommend that individuals with questionable identifications should be kept as voucher specimens for later identification via genetic confirmation or examination of skull characteristics.

Technological advancements in recent years have led to surveys of bats via recording and discrimination of acoustical echolocation calls (e.g., Lemen et al. 2015, Russo and Voigt 2016). Reducing the possible number of species through regional libraries aids in proper identification of calls based on the species likely to be recorded in an area. However, ruling out some species based on distribution alone will likely lead to misidentification of echolocation call sequences. If acoustic equipment is used for surveys, we suggest that problematic calls should elicit netting surveys and that verification of species presence be limited to visual identification in hand.

Our capture of an evening bat in New Mexico represents the first individual reported in the state, as well as the farthest west occurrence of the species in North America (Fig. 1). The previous westernmost record in Presidio County, Texas, was 475 km east of our locality (Dowler et al. 1999). The route the New Mexico individual used to reach southwestern New Mexico is unclear. This specimen resembled the eastern subspecies on the basis of its dark coloration (Davis 1944), indicating that this individual more likely originated from the east rather than Mexico where the other subspecies occurs (Davis 1944). The capture of a male matches previous data showing that this sex typically remains in southern reaches of the range in summer (Jones 1967, Watkins 1969). Schmidly and Jones (2001) speculated that the Rio Grande has been a route for eastern bats to travel westward into previously unoccupied areas. The riparian corridor of the Rio Grande leads into southern New Mexico and, thus, it could have been used by this individual to reach the Mimbres River. Furthermore, records from western Texas might also indicate that the individual traversed eastern New Mexico to reach the Mimbres River. Other individuals could be residing in habitats along one of these 2 routes; thus, future research is warranted to determine the status of this species in New Mexico. This capture of a single individual in southwestern New Mexico is best referred to as an extra-limital record and should be considered as such until more individuals are captured in the state. We suggest that more surveys should be conducted in eastern Colorado and eastern New Mexico to further corroborate our predictions and better define the current distributional limits of *N. humeralis* in North America. Such surveys also will provide important baseline information for future studies. Because species distributions are not static and continually change, understanding historical and current ranges is imperative with respect to future habitat and climate shifts (Parris and Hazell 2005, Kurta et al. 2007).
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