Clinical Resistance Associated with a Novel MAP2K1 Mutation in a Patient with Langerhans Cell Histiocytosis
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Abbreviations

ARAF     proto-oncogene belonging to RAF family
BRAF     proto-oncogene belonging to RAF family
BRAF V600E BRAF harboring an activating mutation at amino acid 600
ERK      Signaling kinases ERK1 (MAPK3) and ERK2 (MAPK1)
LCH      Langerhans cell histiocytosis
MAP2K1   Gene encoding MEK1
MAP3K1   Gene encoding MEK kinase (MEKK)
MEK1     Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase; encoded by the MAP2K1 gene
MEK-MUT  Mutated MEK1 harboring the MAP2K1 p.L98_K104>Q mutation
MEK-WT   Wild-type MEK1
PET-CT   Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography
RAS      Small GTPases involved in signaling including HRAS, KRAS and NRAS
RAF      Kinases involved in signaling including ARAF, BRAF, an c-RAF
Abstract

Patients with Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH) harbor $BRAF$ V600E and activating mutations of $MAP2K1/MEK1$ in 50% and 25% of cases, respectively. We evaluated a patient with treatment refractory LCH for mutations in the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway and identified a novel mutation in the $MAP2K1$ gene resulting in a p.L98_K104>Q deletion and predicted to be auto-activating. During treatment with the MEK inhibitor trametinib, the patient’s disease showed significant progression. $In vitro$ characterization of the $MAP2K1$ p.L98_K104>Q deletion confirmed its effect on cellular activation of the ERK pathway and drug resistance.
Introduction

Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH) is a rare disorder characterized by the clonal expansion of Langerhans cells and is associated with a wide range of clinical symptoms [1]. Genomic analysis of patients with LCH have identified several functionally relevant mutations [2], including $BRAF^{V600E}$, which are present in over 50% of LCH patients [3,4], $MAP2K1$ ($MEKI$) mutations, which occur in about 25% of patients [5-7], as well as mutations in $ARAF$ [8], and $MAP3K1$ [7]. The identification of the $BRAF^{V600E}$ mutation in LCH has led to the application of targeted therapy[9], in particular the use of vemurafenib [10]. $MAP2K1$ mutations of LCH patients are activating mutations and mutually exclusive of the $BRAF^{V600E}$ mutation [6]. Mutations of genes in the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway in LCH suggest that targeted therapy with MEK inhibitors may benefit LCH patients. Studies of targeted therapy for $MAP2K1$ using trametinib have been done for several cancer types [11,12] including histiocytosis [13,14]. Here, we describe an LCH patient harboring a novel mutation in $MAP2K1$, who underwent treatment with trametinib resulting in disease progression, and subsequent functional analysis of the novel $MAP2K1$ mutation.

Materials and Methods

Mutation Analysis

A sample of lymph node from a patient diagnosed with LCH was sent to Foundation Medicine (Cambridge, MA) for FoundationOne® sequencing analysis of a 315-gene panel.
Cloning

The wild type (MEK-WT) MEK1-GFP plasmid [15], a gift from Rony Seger (Addgene plasmid #14746, Cambridge, MA), was used to create the MEK1 mutant (MEK-MUT). Briefly, a double-stranded 44 bp oligonucleotide (ThermoFisher; Waltham, MA) replicating the genomic deletion of the patient was substituted into the MEK-WT plasmid to generate the MEK-MUT version. Isolated clones of the MEK-MUT were sequence verified at the University of Arizona Genetics Core (Tucson, AZ).

Cell Assays

HEK293A cells (ThermoFisher) were grown in DMEM (Corning, Manassas, VA) supplemented with 10% FBS (ATLAS Biologicals, Ft. Collins, CO) and 2mM L-Glutamine (ThermoFisher) at 5% CO2 and 37°C. HEK293A cells were transiently transfected with purified MEK-WT or MEK-MUT plasmids using Xtremegene-HP (Roche Life Sciences, Indianapolis, IN). At 48 hr, cells were treated with the inhibitors: Trametinib; U0126; MK-2206; or SCH772984 (all drugs from SelleckChem, Houston, TX) for 1 hr. Cells were collected for protein analysis. Stable expressing cells were generated through Neomycin selection using 0.4 mg/ml G418 (ThermoFisher).

Western Blot/AlphaLisa

Cellular lysates from transfected cells were normalized for equal loading on gels and AlphaLisa (Perkin-Elmer; Waltham, MA) kit. Gels were transferred to PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and probed with antibodies against MEK/p-MEK; ERK/p-ERK; AKT/p-AKT or COX IV (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA). Blots were developed using ECL (ThermoFisher) and
imaged. AlphaLisa assay for p-ERK (Perkin-Elmer) was analyzed as per manufacturer’s instruction.

**Case Description**

A 16-year-old male was diagnosed with multifocal LCH primarily involving lymph nodes. The patient was treated with LCH-III therapy[16] and initially responded to treatment. One year later, the patient presented with painful right-sided neck swelling and a biopsy of the lesion confirmed recurrence of LCH. The patient was then treated with the oral pan-AKT inhibitor afuresertib as part of a clinical trial [17]. The patient responded to afuresertib therapy and completed a total of 30 weeks of treatment (Fig. 1A). Several months later, the patient relapsed and was treated with cytarabine 150mg/m2/day for a 5-day course. After two courses, the patient did not show a good response, and vincristine and steroids were added to the therapy. Afterward, the patient received two cycles of clofarabine, after which he was lost to follow-up. Three years after his initial diagnosis, the patient presented again with LCH symptoms and had cancer-related gene mutation analysis performed at Foundation Medicine. The genomic analysis identified a novel mutation in *MAP2K1 (MEK1)*: an 18 bp deletion c293_310del in exon 3 resulting in a p.L98_K104>Q in-frame deletion (Fig. 1B). Based upon the gene target, the patient received the MEK inhibitor, trametinib, 2 mg once daily. PET-CT whole body evaluation after eight weeks of treatment showed progressive disease (Fig. 1C). Treatment with trametinib was discontinued, and the patient was further treated with a combination of clofarabine and cytarabine for three cycles for disease control. Thereafter, the patient’s disease was in control to obtain a haploidentical transplant, and more than a year after transplant the patient was doing well.
Results and Discussion

In order to evaluate the function of the novel MAP2K1 mutation, we duplicated the p.L98_K104>Q mutation using an expression construct for GFP-MEK [15]. HEK293A cells were transiently transfected with either the wild-type GFP-MEK construct (MEK-WT), the p.L98_K104>Q mutant (MEK-MUT) or left untransfected and were treated with MEK inhibitors U0126 or trametinib. Both MEK inhibitors were able to decrease p-ERK expression in untransfected cells and MEK-WT-expressing cells, but not in the MEK-MUT cells (Fig. 2A). MEK-MUT cells also expressed much higher levels of p-ERK than either MEK-WT or untransfected HEK293A cells using an AlphaLISA p-ERK assay (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, both MEK inhibitors greatly decreased p-ERK levels in untransfected and MEK-WT-expressing HEK293A cells, while having a mild effect on MEK-MUT cells. Transiently transfected cells were further grown in selection media to establish cells stably overexpressing either MEK-WT or MEK-MUT. These cells were similarly treated with MEK inhibitors U0126 and trametinib as well as the ERK inhibitor SCH772984 and the AKT inhibitor MK-2206. The MEK inhibitors decreased expression of p-ERK in the stably expressing MEK-WT cells but not in the stably expressing MEK-MUT cells (Fig. 2C). Taken together, these functional studies indicate that the MAP2K1 p.L98_K104>Q mutation leads to increased p-ERK activation that is unaffected by MEK inhibitors.

The p.L98_K104>Q mutation in MAP2K1 has not been previously described but it is found in a region of the MAP2K1 gene where several other activating mutations have been found [5,6,18,19](Fig1D). In vitro functional studies show that the MEK inhibitor U0126 can inhibit ERK activation resulting from these mutations in this region of MAP2K1 [6]. Another study
showed that the p.F53_Q58>L, p.Q58_E62del, and p.C121S/G128V mutations in MAP2K1 constitutently activated ERK and were susceptible in vitro to MEK inhibitors including trametinib [20]. Interestingly, a recent report describes the complete remission of an LCH patient with a MAP2K1 p.E102-I103del mutation treated with trametinib [14]. The described MAP2K1 p.E102-I103del deletion involves six bases that fall inside the p.L98_K104>Q deletion and has been shown to be an activating mutation [5,6]. The difference in the response of these two patients, having similar but not identical MAP2K1 mutations, to trametinib could be due to several factors including the structural change in MAP2K1 protein that the larger p.L98_K104>Q deletion would have on MAP2K1 activity or trametinib binding. The expression of the MAP2K1 p.L98_K104>Q mutation identified in this LCH patient leads to hyper-activated p-MAP2K1 and increases activation of p-ERK. As seen in these studies, and consistent with the clinical results, this activating MAP2K1 mutation is not responsive to inhibition with MEK inhibitors, including trametinib. Further functional investigation of these MAP2K1 mutations is needed. Furthermore, it would be of interest to understand the role that the previous therapeutic treatment with afuresertib may have played in the development of this particular resistance-associated mutation. These results emphasize the importance of the functional assessment of genomic data in assigning treatment for patients with LCH or other cancers, as well as provide evidence for choice of inhibitor in patients with this or similar mutations.
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1: Identification of a novel mutation in MAP2K1 (MEK1) in a patient with Langerhans Cell Histiocytosis.** (A) PET scan of LCH patient prior to treatment with afuresertib and subsequent scans, at both 6 and 9 months post AKT inhibitor treatment, showing partial response. Written informed consent was provided for publication of the images. (B) Sequencing analysis of a sample from the LCH patient indicates an amino acid deletion/change (L98_K104>Q) due to a 18bp deletion in exon 3 of MAP2K1 (MEK1). This region of the MEK protein corresponds to the p-loop binding site for phosphorylation of serines 217/222 (p-MEK). (C) PET scan of the LCH patient prior to treatment with trametinib and 8 weeks post Trametinib treatment. (D) Mutations in MAP2K1 protein that have been identified in LCH patient samples.

**Figure 2: Functional analysis of the L98_K104>Q mutation of MAP2K1 (MEK1).** (A) Western blot analysis of untransfected HEK293A cells (293A), HEK293A transiently transfected with wild-type MAP2K1 (MEK-WT) and HEK293A transiently transfected with MAP2K1 containing the L98_K104>Q mutation (MEK-MUT) treated with 100 nM trametinib (T), or 30 µM U0126 (U) for 1 hr. Lysates from each treatment were analyzed for protein expression using antibodies to p-ERK, total ERK, pMEK and total MEK. Antibody to COX IV was used as a loading control. Western blot panel shows increased expression of the MEK-WT and MEK-MUT transfectants. The p-MEK-MUT has a 2-3 fold increase vs. p-MEK-WT resulting in a significant activation of p-ERK in the MUT samples. For 293A and MEK-WT, both U0126 and Trametinib show loss of activation of p-ERK by inhibiting p-MEK expression. The MEK-MUT form shows no sensitivity to either MEK inhibitor. (B) AlphaLisa analysis of p-ERK activation
levels. Cell lysates (5 µg protein/sample) used in the western blots were analyzed for p-ERK levels using a double antibody AlphaLisa assay (Perkin Elmer) and results normalized to untreated 293A cell lysate. Similar to the western blot results, the AlphaLISA shows p-ERK activation in the 293A and MEK-WT samples is completely inhibited by both U0126 and Trametinib. The transient MEK-MUT showed no sensitivity to trametinib compared to no drug treatment, while showing partial inhibition by U0126. (C) Analysis of MEK-MUT activity in stably expressing cells. Cells transfected with either MEK-WT or MEK-MUT were grown in media containing G418 for generating stable expressing cells. Stably expressing MEK-WT or MEK-MUT were treated with no drugs (ND), 30 µM U0126 (U), 100 nM trametinib (T), the ERK inhibitor SCH772984 (E) at 200 nM, and the AKT inhibitor MK-2206 2HCl (A) at 100 nM. Western blot analysis of p-ERK expression showed a similar pattern to that of the transient samples except for decreased expression levels of transfected MEK and transfected p-MEK. The MEK inhibitors U0126 and trametinib inhibited p-ERK activation in MEK-WT cells but not in MEK-MUT cells as with the transient transfected cells.
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