Differential Nitrogen Response of Annual and Perennial Grasses

W. E. MARTIN, CECIL PIERCE, AND V. P. OSTERLI

Extension Soils Specialist, Modoc County Farm Advisor formerly in San Luis Obispo County, Extension Range Improvement Specialist, respectively, Agricultural Extension Service, University of California.

It has often been observed on winter range in California that annual grasses appear to respond more to nitrogen fertilizer than do perennial grasses when grown together. In a Lake County grazing test with sheep (Martin and Berry 1958), an annual grass plus Hardinggrass mixture produced little more meat when fertilized with nitrogen than did a straight annual grass pasture similarly fertilized. It was felt that the bulk of the stimulation which about doubled days of grazing and meat production came from increased growth of annual grasses.

Similarly at the Massey Experimental Range in San Diego County (Kay, Walker, Street and Myler 1958) hand separations of April clippings of fertilized and unfertilized areas showed very great response from nitrogenphosphorus fertilizers in the annual grass fraction with only slight and not statistically significant increases in the perennial grasses.

In 1961 a field observation was made at the Eagle Ranch in San Luis Obispo County that may be of interest. In fertilizing a hay field composed of Hardinggrass (Phalaris tuberosa, var. stenoptera) and annual grass a single strip of about 600 pounds of ammonium sulfate was applied around the field. Next to it was an unfertilized strip, while the balance of the field was fertilized with approximately 300 pounds of ammonium sulfate per acre. It appeared that most of the response in the lightly fertilized section of the field was by the annual grasses while in the high nitrogen strip Hardinggrass was greatly stimulated. Quadrats were cut at three positions in each strip and separations made into annual and perennial grasses. The samples were then dried and yields of each fraction calculated. The results are shown in Table 1. Reported yields are averages from three quadrats in each strip.

The "Low" nitrogen stimulated principally the annual grasses which were mostly Soft Chess, (Bromus mollis), while major increase in growth of Hardinggrass took place only where "High" nitrogen was applied.

Experimental Methods

Recognizing that the observations in the strip treatments might have been border effects or due to an old hay strip around the field, a replicated nitrogen rate test was set up nearby in the fall of 1961, prior to the 1961-62 winter growing season. Ammonium sulfate was applied at rates to provide 0, 60, 120 and 240 pounds of nitrogen per acre in strips 10 x 80 feet each with four replications. Harvest was

made in May by clipping a strip four feet wide the entire length of the 80 foot strip. Total fresh weights were recorded and weighed samples placed in plastic bags for hand separation of species present and dry weight measurements. Within a few hours samples from each strip were separated into the Hardinggrass and annual grass fractions. The yields of each component were calculated after over drying. Percent total nitrogen of each fraction was also determined by the Kjeldahl method in the Agricultural Extension Service laboratory at Davis. Yield and chemical data were examined statistically by use of Analysis of Variance as outlined in Snedecor (1946).

Results

Results of the replicated field tests carried out in the 1961-62 season are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

(a) Yields shown in Table 2 indicate that total fresh weight of forage was doubled by nitrogen fertilization.

The yield increase at the lower nitrogen level was almost entirely from the annual grasses. In contrast, at high nitrogen levels the added production was almost entirely from the Hardinggrass fraction. The percent Hardinggrass was decreased by the increase in annual grass growth at 60 and 120 pounds to nitrogen per acre but again became larger as the Hardinggrass responded to the last increment of nitrogen. These differences are reflected in the percent dry matter figures since the Hard-

Table 1. Response of Annual and Hardinggrass to Nitrogen Fertilization, 1961. Eagle Ranch-Farmer Treatments

	Observed Yield (Dry Weight Lbs/A)				
Strip Treatment	Total	Annual Grass	Hardinggrass		
"High N" approx. 120 N/A	5779	2427	3352		
None	1718	938	780		
"Low N" approx. 60 N/A	3984	2496	1488		
"L.S.D."	1495	1127	1253		

Table 2. Effect of Nitrogen Fertilization on Yield and Botanical Composition of Hardinggrass and Annual Grass Mixture. Fertilized November 28, 1961—Harvested May 8, 1962

	Yield of Fresh Weight	% Dry Matter	Yield of Dry Material			%
Nitrogen Applied			Total Forage	Harding- grass	Annual Grass	Harding- grass
— — Lbs.,	/A. — —			_ Lbs./A		_
None	7242	25.6	1856	1231	625	66.3
60	9033	27.2	2454	1259	1195	51.3
120	10452	26.5	2775	1433	1342	51.6
240	14407	24.6	3549	2060	1489	58.0
L. S. D. 5%	1508	1.7	528	402	425	

Table 3. Effect of Nitrogen Fertilization on Composition and Nitrogen Reof Hardinggrass and Annual Grass Mixture. Fertilized November 28, 1961—Harvested May 8, 1962.

Nitrogen	Nitrogen in		N Harvested in Crop of			Recovery	
Applied Lbs/A	Harding- grass	Annual Grass	Whole Forage	Harding- grass	Annual Grass	Whole Forage	of N Applied
	—— (Percent)) — —		(Pounds)		(Percent)
0	1.85	1.44	1.70	22.6^{1}	8.81	31.4^{1}	
60	1.96	1.39	1.68	1.8^{2}	7.7^{2}	9.5^{2}	31.6
120	2.06	1.42	1.75	7.0^{2}	10.3^{2}	15.3^{2}	12.7
240	2.49	1.73	2.17	28.9^{2}	16.7^{2}	45.6^{2}	19.0
L. S. D. 59	% .16	.16	.09	8.3	14.7	10.7	

¹N/A harvested from no N plots.

inggrass was still green at harvest while the annual grasses were quite mature and contained less moisture.

(b) The nitrogen content of the forage was increased only in the highest nitrogen treatments. These data shown in Table 3 indicate that the total nitrogen of the Hardinggrass increased slightly with lower rates of nitrogen, but strikingly when 240 pounds of nitrogen were applied.

Annual grasses showed a somewhat lower percent nitrogen than did Hardinggrass. The nitrogen content of the annual grass fraction was increased by nitrogen applications only at the highest level after yield responses had ceased.

Percent nitrogen of whole forage was increased only at the highest nitrogen application.

(c) Nitrogen recovery by the two types of grass showed that

the annual grasses get more of the nitrogen harvested at low nitrogen levels, while the Hardinggrass took up most of the fertilizer nitrogen at high levels of fertilization. Total recovery of fertilizer nitrogen in the harvested crop was 30 percent of that applied at the low rate but only 13 percent to 19 percent at the higher nitrogen levels of fertilization.

Summary

It has been observed that Hardinggrass, a perennial, behaves quite differently than does Soft Chess, an annual brome grass, when both are fertilized with nitrogen in a mixed stand.

At low rates of nitrogen the annual grasses appear to get more of the nitrogen and respond greatly with little effect on the perennial Hardinggrass.

As nitrogen rates are further increased little additional growth of annual grasses is observed while Hardinggrass is stimulated and picks up more of the added nitrogen.

LITERATURE CITED

KAY, B. L., WALKER, C. F., STREET, J., AND MYLER, J. L. 1958. Range Management Investigations—Demonstration Ranges. Univ. of Calif. Range Mangt. Investigations. Progress Report Mimeo. pp. 30.

MARTIN, W. E. AND L. J. BERRY. 1958. Range Fertilization in a Dry Year. Univ. of Calif. Agric. Ext. Serv. Mimeo. pp. 20.

SNEDECOR, G. W. 1946. Statistical Methods. Iowa State College Press.

The new and as yet uncharted lines of human endeavor, fulfillment, and adventure open to the rural community and agriculture are bound to find men and women willing to chance it as our sodbusting ancestors did a generation ago.—The Yearbook of Agriculture 1963.

²Gain in N harvested over no N treatment