

# Response of the mixed prairie to protection from grazing

WALTER D. WILLMS, JOHAN F. DORMAAR, BARRY W. ADAMS, AND HARRIET E. DOUWES

Walter D. Willms, Johan F. Dormaar, and Harriet E. Douwes are Range Ecologist, Soil Scientist (emeritus), and Range Technician, respectively, Agriculture and AgriFood Canada, PO Box 3000, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada T1J 4B1; Barry W. Adams is Range Specialist, Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development, #100, 5401 - 1<sup>ST</sup> Ave. S., Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada T1J 4V6.

## Abstract

The Mixed Prairie plant communities developed with the influences of fire and grazing. Available evidence suggests that removal of these disturbances could cause succession toward a more mesic type with the accumulation of litter or loss in productivity as nutrient turnover is delayed. Exclosures constructed in 1927 in a semiarid Mixed Prairie community provided an opportunity to examine the effects that protection had on vegetation and soils. Fifteen exclosures were selected for detailed examination; of these, 11 were located on Chernozemic soil and 4 on Solonchic soil. We measured plant and soil variables both inside and outside the exclosures in a test of the hypothesis that protection from grazing will lead to a loss of production potential of the semi-arid. Mixed Prairie communities in the Northern Great Plains of southeastern Alberta. We found little evidence that 70 years of protection from large animal disturbance reduced the production potential of the plant communities. Conversely, most evidence suggested a neutral effect or an improvement as reflected in an increased cover of *Pascopyrum smithii* Rydb. (Löve) ( $P = 0.049$ ) and increased annual net primary production ( $P = 0.047$ ). The effect of protection appeared largely driven by the accumulation of litter mass that primarily benefits soil and plant indices of quality on the Chernozemic soil type. Although protection tended to reduce species diversity ( $P = 0.097$ ) among native plants on the Chernozemic soil type, evenness and richness were not affected ( $P > 0.10$ ). The potential effect that reduced diversity might have on reducing production stability appears more than compensated for by increased litter mass.

**Key Words:** Soil nitrogen, soil depth, botanical composition, plant biomass, plant nitrogen

The Northern Great Plains developed under a system of periodic use by bison and occasional fire. Fire prevention has effectively eliminated the greatest disturbance that prevented litter build-up and arrested succession to more mesic conditions. Presently, the primary means of disturbance is from grazing by cattle. Without disturbance, the mesic grasslands are encroached upon by shrubs and eventually trees, while on drier sites the communities become more representative of those found in less arid conditions (Lauenroth et al. 1994) as soil moisture retention improves

The authors wish to thank Mr Allan Ross, who was manager of the Onefour substation at the time of the study, for help in facilitating the research.  
Manuscript accepted 17 Aug. 01.

## Resumen

Las comunidades de plantas de la Pradera Mixta se han desarrollado bajo la influencia del fuego y del pastoreo. Las evidencias disponibles sugieren que la remoción de estos disturbios podrían causar una sucesión hacia tipos de comunidades con más humedad disponible, con la acumulación de residuos o pérdidas en productividad debido al retraso en el reciclado de nutrientes. Parcelas aisladas en 1927 en una comunidad semiárida de Pradera Mixta brindaron la oportunidad de examinar los efectos de la protección sobre la vegetación y los suelos. Quince parcelas aisladas fueron seleccionadas para un examen más minucioso; de éstas, once fueron localizadas en suelos Chernozémicos y cuatro en suelos Solonchicos. Se midieron variables relacionadas con plantas y suelo, dentro y fuera de las parcelas aisladas, en una investigación experimental en que la hipótesis era que la protección contra el pastoreo llevaría a una pérdida potencial de producción en las comunidades de la zona semiárida de la Pradera Mixta en las Grandes Llanuras del Norte en el sudeste de Alberta. Se encontró poca evidencia que en setenta años de protección contra disturbios causados por grandes animales se redujera el potencial de producción de las plantas de la comunidad. Por el contrario, los resultados sugirieron que el efecto sería neutral o incluso se produciría una mejora como se reflejó en el incremento en la cobertura de *Pascopyrum smithii* Rydb. (Löve) ( $P = 0,049$ ) y un incremento en la producción primaria neta anual ( $P = 0,047$ ). El efecto de la protección pareció en mayor medida influenciado por la acumulación de masa de cama de paja que beneficia primeramente los índices de calidad de suelo y plantas en el suelo Chernozémico. Si bien la protección tendió a reducir la diversidad de las especies ( $P = 0,097$ ) entre las plantas nativas en el tipo de suelo Chernozémico, la uniformidad y la riqueza no fueron afectadas ( $P > 0,10$ ). El efecto potencial que la reducción en la diversidad tendría sobre la reducción de la estabilidad productiva parece estar más que compensado por el incremento en la acumulación de residuos.

with litter accumulation. Grazing can enhance species diversity on grasslands but that response appears linked to the moisture regime of the site (Milchunas and Lauenroth 1993).

Since the Mixed Prairie developed under the influence of grazing by large animals, the proposition seems reasonable that grazing impact is required to maintain it (Savory 1983). Unfortunately, we have no way of ascertaining the pre-European conditions but we can assess the effects that protection from grazing has on the plant community. Protection from grazing has been recognized as a strategy to improve range condition although

under specific conditions, i.e. grasslands with a long evolutionary history, low annual net primary production, and few years of grazing treatment (Milchunas and Lauenroth 1993). The construction of exclosures in 1927, within the most arid portion of the Northern Great Plains in Canada, provided an opportunity to study the effects of long-term protection from large animal impact on the ecology of the grassland in a study to test the hypothesis that protection from grazing is detrimental to the health of the Mixed Prairie community. In this study, vegetation and soil characteristics were compared between ungrazed paddocks and paddocks stocked at light to moderate stocking rates (Wroe et al. 1988). The objective was to compare the effects that protection from grazing had on soil and vegetation properties on 2 common soil types.

## Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at the Agriculture and AgriFood Canada substation at Onefour in southeastern Alberta (49° 07', 110° 28') at 935 m elevation. Long-term average annual precipitation and average daily temperature was 332 mm and 4.6° C, respectively, while the long-term average precipitation and daily average temperatures for the period from April to September was 226 mm and 13.8° C, respectively. In 1996 and 1997, precipitation from April to September was 245 and 219 mm, respectively, while average daily temperatures were 13.9 and 14.4° C, respectively. While the predominant plant community is representative of the *Stipa-Bouteloua* faciation on Orthic Brown Chernozemic (Aridic Haplustoll) soil, another important type is the *Agropyron smithii* [ie *Pascopyrum smithii* Rydb. (Löve)] consociates on Brown Solodized Solonetzic (Aridic Natrustoll) soil (Coupland 1950).

In 1927, when the Onefour substation was established, at least 26, 11 x 11 m exclosures were constructed on various grassland types throughout an area of 5 x 10 km. Fencing of the current paddocks began in 1927 and all were in place by 1938 when they appear on the earliest available map of the area. A preliminary examination of the exclosures in 1995 revealed that 15 were suitable for inclusion in the study. Exclosures were eliminated from the study if the plant communi-

ty in, or around them, had been compromised by invasion with crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum* (L.) Gaertn.) or were located on a knob or in a depression that created a habitat type not represented in the immediate vicinity.

A history of grazing management was not available until 1992 when records began to be kept of stocking rates in the various paddocks. However, early studies, begun in 1931 at the substation, established an acceptable stocking rate of about 0.5 AUM ha<sup>-1</sup> (Smoliak and Peters 1952) but that could vary from 0.35 to 0.62 AUM ha<sup>-1</sup> (Clarke et al. 1942), and 0.43 AUM ha<sup>-1</sup> was considered to be "very moderate" (Clarke et al. 1947). The targeted stocking rate was 0.43 AUM ha<sup>-1</sup> from 1949 to 1964 (Smoliak, Personal Communication) and was believed to be the goal since then. Later published stocking rates recommended 0.37 to 0.74 AUM ha<sup>-1</sup> (Wroe et al. 1988), depending on the condition of the grassland. From 1992 to 1997 the average stocking rates ranged from 0.25 to 0.67 AUM ha<sup>-1</sup> and the onset of grazing was mostly in late summer (Table 1) and completed by December. The original recommended stocking rates were designed to utilize an average of 55% of standing crop (Clarke et al. 1942) while long-term estimates of production were 388 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Smoliak 1986) measured from 1930 to 1983.

## Sampling

Soil and vegetation were sampled inside and outside each exclosure. Sampling inside the exclosure occurred in the center, either along a transect or at a central point, that were paired with equivalent samples taken 5 m outside the exclosure. The proximity of the outside transect to the exclosure ensured minimal spatial variation while avoiding a potential edge-effect produced by livestock distribution. We saw no evidence of increased animal activity, expressed by trails, fecal loading, or increased grazing pressure, immediately adjacent to the exclosures.

## Soil

A soil survey was carried out in August 1993 by describing the landform and a single pedon in the center and outside of each exclosure. A physical description of soil in the Ah horizon included the depth, stoniness, color, texture, and alkalinity. Soils were sampled in July and September, 1997, for moisture and in September, 1997, for chemical analyses. The Ah (=A1) horizons were sampled in 3 subplots, spaced about 7 m apart, that were pooled giving 1 composite sample each for inside and outside the exclosure. The soils were screened (2-mm sieve) to remove the macro-organic matter, dried, and ground to pass a 0.5-mm sieve. Moisture content was determined gravimetrically. Bulk density of the Ah was estimated in September, 1998, by extract-

**Table 1. Location and soils of 15 exclosures and their grazing management over 6 years from 1992 to 1997.**

Field	Field size (ha)	Soil type	Grazing period (month, yr.) <sup>1</sup>		Stocking rate <sup>2</sup> (AUM ha <sup>-1</sup> )
			Starting	Ending	
<b>Chernozemic</b>					
A	1765	Orthic Brown	Aug. (1); Sept. (5)	Oct. (1); Nov. (5)	0.25
A	1765	Orthic Regosol	Aug. (1); Sept. (5)	Oct. (1); Nov. (5)	0.25
A	1765	Orthic Brown	Aug. (1); Sept. (5)	Oct. (1); Nov. (5)	0.25
A	1765	Brown Solod	Aug. (1); Sept. (5)	Oct. (1); Nov. (5)	0.25
A	1765	Orthic Brown	Aug. (1); Sept. (5)	Oct. (1); Nov. (5)	0.25
B	1330	Orthic Brown	May (2); Aug. (4)	Oct. (2); Nov. (4)	0.48
B	1330	Orthic Brown	May (2); Aug. (4)	Oct. (2); Nov. (4)	0.48
C	696	Orthic Brown	Sept. (3); Oct. (3)	Oct. (2); Nov. (4)	0.32
C	696	Orthic Brown	Sept. (3); Oct. (3)	Oct. (2); Nov. (4)	0.32
D	170	Orthic Brown	May (3); June (3)	Sept. (2); Oct. (4)	0.67
E	344	Orthic Brown	May (2); June (4)	Oct. (2); Nov. (4)	0.57
<b>Solonetzic</b>					
A	1765	Bn Solod. Solon. <sup>3</sup>	Aug. (1); Sept. (6)	Oct. (1); Nov. (5)	0.25
A	1765	Bn Solod. Solon.	Aug. (1); Sept. (6)	Oct. (1); Nov. (5)	0.25
B	1330	Bn Solod. Solon.	May (2); Aug. (4)	Oct. (2); Nov. (4)	0.48
B	1330	Bn Solod. Solon.	May (2); Aug. (4)	Oct. (2); Nov. (4)	0.48

<sup>1</sup>Month when grazing started or ended and the number of years (out of 6) when action was implemented.

<sup>2</sup>The recommended stocking rate for range in good condition is 0.61 AUM ha<sup>-1</sup> (Wroe et al. 1988).

<sup>3</sup>Brown Solodized Solonetz.

ing undisturbed samples (15-mm dia.) to the depth of the Ah horizon. The cores were oven-dried, their mass obtained and their bulk densities calculated. Previous tests had shown that the 15-mm core diameter provided a similar estimate of bulk density as the 3-cm core providing the soil was stone-free (Unpublished data, Dormaar).

Soil pH was measured in 0.01M CaCl<sub>2</sub> (solution:soil ratio of 2:1). Total C and N were determined by dry combustion in a Carlo Erba NA 1500 Analyzer while total P was determined as per Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> fusion outlined by Jackson (1958). Available P (N<sub>a</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub>-soluble phosphorus) was determined as described by Olsen et al. (1954). Particle size distribution was determined by the hydrometer method as per Sheldrick and Wang (1993). Total C and N were calculated in tonne ha<sup>-1</sup> for the depth of the surface horizon using the formula: concentration x bulk density x soil volume.

## Vegetation

Herbage biomass and species cover were sampled along a single permanent, 10-m transect established both inside and outside each enclosure. Twenty, 20 x 50-cm quadrats were placed along the transect at 0.5-m intervals (beginning 0.5 m from one end) but alternately staggered on either side to increase the effective separation. Ten alternate quadrats were sampled in 1996 and the remainder in 1997. Species cover was sampled according to Daubenmire (1959) but due to time constraints, only 7 enclosures were sampled in 1996 and the remaining 8 in 1997. Estimates of foliar cover were made in alternate quadrats at each site and were aided with the use of a 100 cm<sup>2</sup> template that provided a standard. Standing biomass and fallen litter were harvested at ground level in all quadrats after plant cover was estimated as well as in those enclosures where cover estimates were not made. This ensured that biomass estimates were made in all enclosures in both years. Moss, lichen, and bare ground cover were estimated after the standing biomass and fallen litter had been removed. Therefore, percent bare ground represented: 100% - (% area of moss + % area of lichen + % basal area of live vegetation).

Standing biomass was partitioned into annual net primary production and standing litter by pooling the standing biomass of 10 quadrats in each experimental unit,

mixing the herbage and subsampling at least 10% of the total mass. The subsampled herbage was hand-sorted into current annual production and previous years' production. The proportion of these components was applied to the pooled total to estimate annual net primary production and standing litter. Each component was dried, weighed, and ground to pass a 100-mesh screen (149 μm) in preparation for chemical analyses. A representative subsample of herbage was analyzed for total C and N concentrations by an automated dry combustion technique (Carlo Erba<sup>TM</sup>, Milan, Italy).

Vegetation was sampled from 4 July to 27 July in 1996 and from 16 June to 20 July in 1997. In 1996, grazing had begun in paddocks containing 2 enclosures by the time of sampling. In those cases, the quadrats were examined and discarded if evidence of grazing in the current year was found. This resulted in 1 quadrat being deleted from the data.

## Categorizing enclosures

Each enclosure was categorized into 1 of 2 types based primarily on soil and verified by an independent examination of species composition using De-trended Correspondence Analysis (Gauch 1982) to establish similarity or dissimilarity in plant communities by transect. The ordination scores were plotted in 3 dimensional "species space" and differences in community types were inferred from their eigenvalues. As a result, 11 enclosures were placed in the Chernozemic soil type and 4 in the Solonetzic soil type (Table 1).

## Statistical analyses

Diversity statistics were calculated for each transect including species numbers, evenness and the Shannon index for both native species only and all species. The Shannon index (H') is defined as  $-\sum [P_i \times \ln(P_i)]$  where P<sub>i</sub> is the importance probability in element i. Richness is an estimate of the number of species present while evenness =  $H'/\ln(\text{Richness})$  (Pielou 1977). Soil and biomass indices were analyzed using mixed effects ANOVA (SAS Institute Inc. 1999) for an unbalanced design with block (n = 11 or 4) as the random variable nested in soil type (n = 2) as a fixed effect with grazing treatment (n = 2). Year was also included as a fixed effect in an analysis of biomass components. Where necessary, paired means were compared with single degree of free-

dom contrasts. Foliar cover of selected species, and species indices, were analyzed as a randomized complete block design for each soil type across sampling years. Pooling across years was justified because the grazing effect was blocked by each enclosure and the treatment effect could be considered stabilized after 70 years. Plant cover was first normalized by the arcsine square root transformation (Steel and Torrie 1980) before analysis.

Species richness, evenness, and diversity were related to site physical and chemical characteristics (total phosphorus, available phosphorus, percent clay, percent sand, depth of Ah horizon, soil bulk density, soil carbon concentration, soil nitrogen concentration, bare ground, surface litter mass, and percent cover of *Selaginella densa* Rydb) with stepwise regression analyses (SAS Institute Inc. 1999) where the probability of any variable needed for inclusion was less than 0.15. The analyses were made separately for both grazed and protected areas but across soil type.

## Results

The effect of protection from grazing on annual net primary production, standing litter, and standing crop was dependent on soil type (Table 2). Protection resulted in greater herbage yields (P < 0.05) on the Chernozemic soil type but had no effect (P > 0.10) on the Solonetzic soil type. Standing crop across all soil types averaged 160 and 130 g m<sup>-2</sup> in 1996 and 1997, respectively (Data not shown). The effect of protection on standing crop was different between years (P = 0.023). In 1996 and 1997 the protected treatment yielded 175 and 141% of the grazed treatment, respectively (Data not shown).

The response of annual net primary production and standing litter to grazing were affected by soil type (P = 0.056 and 0.045, respectively). Although the trends in relation to grazing were the same on each type, the magnitude of response was less on the Solonetzic soil type than on the Chernozemic soil type (Table 2).

Nitrogen concentration in plant tissue of annual net primary production and standing litter was not affected by protection (P > 0.10) but it was greater (P < 0.001) in 1997 than in 1996. The standing crop was sampled 1 to 2 weeks earlier in 1997 than in 1996 that might have resulted in less senescent herbage having a greater nitro-

**Table 2. Herbage biomass after 70 years of protection from livestock grazing in Mixed Prairie plant communities on Chernozemic and Solonchic soils.**

Factors	ANPP <sup>1</sup>	Standing litter	Fallen litter	Standing crop <sup>2</sup>
-----(-g m <sup>-2</sup> )-----				
Chernozemic (n = 11)				
Grazed	92 a	28 a	67 a	120 a
Ungrazed	129 b	94 b	184 b	223 b
Solonchic (n=4)				
Grazed	78 a	26 a	72 a	104 a
Ungrazed	79 a	53 a	133 a	132 a
-----Probabilities-----				
Soil	0.033	0.028	0.471	0.028
Graze	0.047	<0.001	0.005	0.002
Soil x Graze	0.056	0.045	0.301	0.044
Year	0.399	0.001	0.877	0.002
Soil x Year	0.579	0.918	0.161	0.666
Graze x Year	0.064	0.120	0.534	0.023
Soil x Graze x Year	0.533	0.919	0.915	0.744

<sup>1</sup>Annual net primary production.

<sup>2</sup>ANPP + standing litter.

<sup>a,b</sup>Means with the same letter within subset of columns are not significantly different (P > 0.05) as tested by single degree of freedom contrasts.

gen concentration. The net effect of nitrogen concentration and standing crop biomass resulted in greater (P = 0.002) nitrogen mass on protected than on grazed sites (Table 3) although the effect was modified by year (P = 0.048). Nitrogen mass was marginally different between soil types (P = 0.073). In annual net primary production and standing litter, the concentration of carbon was greater on the Chernozemic soil type than on the Solonchic soil type (P = 0.056 and 0.002, respectively). The C:N ratio of standing litter was influenced by an interaction between grazing and soil

type (P = 0.041).

On the Chernozemic soil type, protection from grazing resulted in an increase in the cover of *P. smithii* (P = 0.049) and *Tragopogon dubius* Scop., and in a decrease of *Bouteloua gracilis* (H.B.K.) Lag ex Steud. (P = 0.004) and *Poa sandbergii* Vasey (P = 0.009, Table 4). Species cover differences were not detected (P > 0.10) on the Solonchic soil type. We could not detect any grazing effect (P > 0.10) on species richness and evenness on either soil type and only diversity among native species on the Chernozemic soil

type tended (P = 0.096) to decline with protection.

Species richness, evenness, or diversity were not related (P > 0.10) to any tested physical or chemical soil variable on the protected areas. On grazed areas, species richness was related to the mass of ground litter (b = 0.077, r<sup>2</sup>=0.27, P = 0.049), concentration of available phosphorus (b = 1.03, r<sup>2</sup> = 0.20, P = 0.053), and percent cover of *Selaginella densa* (b = 0.046, r<sup>2</sup> = 0.11, P = 0.116). For the model, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.58 (P = 0.018). Species diversity was related to soil bulk density (b = -0.47, r<sup>2</sup> = 0.46, P = 0.005) and the concentration of total available phosphorus (b = -2.02, r<sup>2</sup> = 0.12, P = 0.096). For the model, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.58 (P = 0.006). Species evenness was related only to soil bulk density (b = -0.16, r<sup>2</sup> = 0.45, P = 0.006).

Of the soil properties measured in the Ah horizon, only soil moisture was affected (P < 0.050) by protection while only depth of Ah and bulk density were affected (P = 0.020 and < 0.001, respectively) by soil type (Table 5). The effects of protection were similar (P > 0.10) for all measured properties on each soil type.

## Discussion

Protection from grazing after 70 years did not produce substantive effects among measured variables that would indicate deterioration of the plant or soil in 2 Mixed Prairie communities. Evidence that might be interpreted to indicate deterioration was a marginally depressed species diversity compared with grazed areas. Nevertheless, species richness was not affected by protection, suggesting that grazing did not affect the presence of a species but rather the abundance of the species; an observation that is reflected by shifts in plant cover particularly of *P. smithii*, *B. gracilis*, and *P. sandbergii* (Table 4).

Community changes appear to have occurred on the Chernozemic sites, since the enclosures were constructed in 1927, with a marked reduction in the composition of *B. gracilis* from about 55% in 1929 as determined by basal area (Clarke et al. 1947) to about 8 and 21% as determined by cover on protected and grazed treatments, respectively (calculated from Table 4). The high proportion of *B. gracilis* in 1929 may indicate previous heavy grazing pressure, drought, or both as these condi-

**Table 3. Carbon and nitrogen concentrations of herbage biomass, their C:N ratios, and mass of nitrogen after 70 years of protection from livestock grazing in Mixed Prairie plant communities on Chernozemic and Solonchic soils.**

Factors	ANPP <sup>1</sup>			Standing Litter			Standing Crop <sup>2</sup>
	Nitrogen	Carbon	C:N	Nitrogen	Carbon	C:N	Nitrogen
-----(-mg g <sup>-1</sup> )-----							
Chernozemic (n = 11)							
Grazed	15.0	453	31	10.3	446	44	1662
Ungrazed	15.2	455	32	9.4	450	49	2716
Solonchic (n = 4)							
Grazed	14.7	448	31	9.3	430	47	1421
Ungrazed	15.6	449	28	10.2	431	42	1804
1996							
Grazed	13.6	449	33	9.2	434	49	1451
Ungrazed	14.2	449	32	9.8	435	45	2456
1997							
Grazed	16.4	452	28	10.4	442	43	1633
Ungrazed	16.2	455	29	9.9	446	46	2064
-----Probabilities-----							
Soil	0.137	0.056	0.098	0.879	0.002	0.532	0.073
Graze	0.797	0.301	0.701	0.878	0.073	0.830	0.002
Soil x Graze	0.118	0.731	0.162	0.053	0.344	0.041	0.101
Year	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.007	<0.001	0.167	0.453
Soil x Year	0.072	0.601	0.100	0.030	0.092	0.232	0.497
Graze x Year	0.545	0.225	0.535	0.030	0.093	0.034	0.048
Soil x Graze x Year	0.558	0.502	0.342	0.143	0.185	0.351	0.903

<sup>1</sup>Annual net primary production.

<sup>2</sup>Annual net primary production plus standing litter.

**Table 4. Properties of a Mixed Prairie plant community after 70 years of protection from livestock grazing on Chernozemic and Solonetzic soils.**

Factors	Chernozemic (n = 11)			Solonetzic (n = 4)		
	Grazed	Protected	Probability <sup>1</sup>	Grazed	Protected	Probability
<b>Graminoids</b>	--Foliar cover (%)--			--Foliar cover (%)--		
<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i> <sup>2</sup>	8.6	17.6	0.049	32.0	34.6	0.766
<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	25.2	9.2	0.004	6.4	0.4	0.215
<i>Carex</i> spp.	14.6	16.0	0.881	6.4	6.4	0.879
<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	4.8	5.2	0.857	8.5	3.5	0.613
<i>Poa sandbergii</i>	9.1	4.4	0.009	6.9	4.0	0.196
<i>Stipa comata</i>	16.0	25.8	0.111	2.4	0	0.171
<b>Forbs</b>						
<i>Artemisia frigida</i>	3.7	5.7	0.941	5.9	3.8	0.804
<i>Eurotia lanata</i>	1.4	1.5	0.870	0.4	0.4	0.946
<i>Tragopogon dubius</i>	0.2	1.2	0.006	0	0.4	0.356
<b>Other</b>						
<i>Selaginella densa</i>	28.8	24.2	0.445	16.2	25.6	0.737
Lichen	4.6	5.1	0.679	8.2	16.5	0.506
Bare ground <sup>3</sup>	25.8	35.4	0.211	57.9	41.6	0.473
<b>Indices</b>	-----Index-----			-----Index-----		
<i>Native species only</i>						
Richness	12.0	11.5	0.681	11.2	11.5	0.791
Evenness	0.70	0.64	0.330	0.62	0.45	0.353
Diversity H'	1.73	1.53	0.096	1.48	1.11	0.393
<i>All species</i>						
Richness	13.1	13.4	0.820	12.0	13.0	0.267
Evenness	0.69	0.63	0.262	0.62	0.50	0.478
Diversity H'	1.77	1.60	0.186	1.51	1.28	0.569

<sup>1</sup>Probabilities for percent plant cover were determined on data transformed by the arcsine square root before analyses.

<sup>2</sup>Nomenclature follows Moss (1983).

<sup>3</sup>Estimated as: 100% - (% area of moss + % area of lichen + % basal area of live vegetation).

tions favor *B. gracilis* in the Mixed Prairie and disadvantage the larger C<sub>3</sub> grasses. Weather records prior to 1929 from Medicine Hat (49° 03', 110° 40') show a 28-year average annual precipitation of 295 mm (Unpublished data) but records of stocking rates were not available.

Grazing by large herbivores is the greatest disturbance imposed on these grasslands since fires were controlled. While the impact of protection from cattle grazing on soil enzymes and N constituents can be detected within 5 years (Dormaar et al. 1997), perhaps the most significant

effects of protection are observed through litter accumulation. Litter can influence diversity by suppressing the establishment and growth of some species while favoring others. Therefore, adding straw on an arid Mixed Prairie community promoted *P. smithii* and reduced *P. sandbergii* and *S. densa* (Smoliak 1965). Protection from grazing for almost 80 years apparently caused the elimination of *B. gracilis* (Frank et al. 1995). The influence of litter is expressed through shading, conserving soil moisture, and modifying establishment potential from seed (Facelli and

Pickett 1991). Nevertheless, in our study litter did not impede species richness but appeared to enhance the establishment of *T. dubius*, a biennial that depends on seedling establishment for survival.

Annual net primary production is responsive to litter biomass (Willms et al. 1993) that conserves soil moisture (Table 5). While litter mass can inhibit production in mesic associations such as the Fescue (Willms unpublished data) or Tallgrass Prairies (Weaver and Rowland 1952, Penfound 1964), there is no evidence that litter accumulation can reach masses that impair production on the more arid region of the Mixed Prairie. Schuman et al. (1999) reported similar live above-ground biomass within exclosures and lightly grazed Mixed Prairie grassland that had 2,870 and 1,650 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> litter. Smoliak (1965) applied straw at rates of 11,000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on a *Stipa-Bouteloua* community and found immediate suppression of herbage yield; but 1 year after application the treatment produced almost 200% more biomass than the control.

Nitrogen mass in the standing crop was directly related to biomass as its concentration was similar in each herbage type (P > 0.10). Consequently the protected site had the greatest mass of N aboveground. This suggests that N mineralization was greater in protected sites, to supply the increased demand for growth, since total N was similar on both protected and grazed sites. This might be achieved by a more mesic soil environment enhanced by the greater mass of litter. However, this proposed mechanism disagrees with the observations of Shariff et al. (1994) who report greater soil N mineralization with moderate vs no grazing on a Mixed Prairie site in North Dakota.

**Table 5. Soil properties of the Ah horizon after 70 years of protection from livestock grazing in Mixed Prairie plant communities on Chernozemic and Solonetzic soils.**

Factors	P <sub>total</sub>	P <sub>available</sub>	Nitrogen		Carbon		pH	Ah		Soil moisture	
			(mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Depth	Bd <sup>2</sup>	July 9	Sept. 11
Chernozemic (n = 11)	(g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		(cm)	(g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	--(%)--	
Grazed	0.36	6.17	1.62	1.39	16.6	14.0	6.03	6.6	1.32	5.0	2.5
Ungrazed	0.37	6.21	1.78	1.52	17.3	14.7	6.05	7.1	1.19	9.2	3.5
Solonetzic (n=4)											
Grazed	0.32	5.75	1.44	0.94	13.4	8.7	6.52	3.2	2.29	7.0	4.1
Ungrazed	0.33	5.98	1.38	1.66	13.2	15.7	6.41	4.0	2.33	9.5	5.2
<b>Effects</b>											
Soil	0.253	0.725	0.240	0.696	0.152	0.565	0.107	0.020	<0.001	0.632	0.166
Graze	0.363	0.889	0.399	0.184	0.618	0.194	0.200	0.375	0.790	0.050	<0.001
Soil x Graze	0.884	0.920	0.095	0.344	0.460	0.290	0.090	0.825	0.636	0.576	0.886

<sup>1</sup>The mass is expressed for the volume defined by the depth of the Ah horizon.

<sup>2</sup>Bulk density.

Within a common environment the rates of litter decomposition between grazed and protected sites are expected to be comparable based on similar ( $P > 0.10$ ) C:N ratios (Table 3, Taylor et al. 1989) and composition of the most productive forage species (Table 4). However, trampling by cattle may enhance decomposition by compacting the litter and increasing its contact with the soil, thus exposing it to a potentially more humid and microbial-rich environment. Presumably the discrepancy in litter mass between grazed and protected areas was determined by the effects of trampling and differences in primary production.

As a component of the cryptogamic crust, lichen cover was expected to be greatest with protection from livestock grazing (Anderson et al. 1982) as trampling can fragment the mass. The role of lichen in the arid grassland is generally viewed as positive in stabilizing the soil, fixing nitrogen by blue-green algae, and enhancing water infiltration. However, it may impair seedling establishment (McIlvanie 1942), a function that is likely unimportant in an established community. In the present study, lichen cover did not increase significantly ( $P > 0.10$ ) with protection (Table 4).

The effect of protection on species diversity appears to be dependent on the moisture conditions of the site with diversity decreasing on relatively mesic sites and increasing on more arid sites (Milchunas and Lauenroth 1993). According to this generalization, diversity in the present study should increase with protection, but this failed to materialize. Bai et al. (2001) also report lower diversity with protection on semiarid sites in the Northern Great Plains.

With a few exceptions, the effects of protection on plant variables produced similar trends on both Chernozemic or Solonchic soil types although significant ( $P < 0.10$ ) effects tended to be found only on the Chernozemic soil type. The most significant difference between the soil types was a greater response to protection of annual net primary production on the Chernozemic than the Solonchic soil type. The cause of this effect is not clear but one might speculate on the lower production potential of the Solonchic soil type produced by greater physical and chemical limitations of the soil that impedes root growth and reduces water availability. Also, the Solonchic soil type does not support *S. comata*, 1 of the more productive grass species on the Chernozemic soil

type that also decreases with grazing pressure. Therefore, the opportunity for impacting annual net primary production is greater on the more productive Chernozemic soil type.

Marginal differences ( $P = 0.095$ ) in the response of soil N to grazing between the Chernozemic and Solonchic soil types may also reflect greater forage utilization of the former by cattle. Total soil N can decrease with grazing if the N removed is not completely replaced by atmospheric inputs. Frank et al. (1995) reported greater total soil N in an enclosure in a Mixed Prairie community that had been protected from grazing for almost 80 years than on grazed areas. On shortgrass steppe, Lauenroth and Milchunas (1991) estimate that atmospheric inputs are greater than all losses, resulting in a net annual N gain. Therefore, since the productivity of Solonchic soil is less than the Chernozemic soil, and removal through utilization might follow a similar pattern, an interaction of soil type and grazing treatment might be expected.

## Conclusion

We found little evidence that 70 years of protection from large animal disturbance had any detrimental effect on plant communities or soil quality of the Chernozemic or Solonchic soil types. Conversely, most evidence suggested a neutral or beneficial effect as reflected in soil chemistry, cover of preferred native grasses, and annual net primary production. The effect of protection appeared largely driven by the accumulation of litter mass that benefits primarily the Chernozemic soil type. Lack of effect on the Solonchic soil type does not indicate degradation but rather a non-response due to protection.

## Literature Cited

- Anderson, D.C., K.T. Harper, and R.C. Holmgren. 1982. Factors influencing development of cryptogamic soil crusts in Utah deserts. *J. Range Manage.* 35:180-185.
- Bai, Y., Z. Abouguendia, and R.E. Redmann. 2001. Relationship between plant species diversity and grassland condition. *J. Range Manage.* 54:177-183.
- Clarke, S.E., J.A. Campbell, and J.B. Campbell. 1942. An ecological and grazing capacity study of the native grass pastures in southern Alberta, Saskatchewan, and Manitoba. Publ. 738, Tech. Bull. 44, Dept. Agr., Ottawa, Ont.
- Clarke, S.E., E.W. Tisdale, and N.A. Skoglund. 1947. The effects of climate and grazing practices on short-grass prairie vegetation in southern Alberta and southwestern Saskatchewan. Publ. 747, Tech. Bull. 46, Dept. Agr., Ottawa, Ont.
- Coupland, R.T. 1950. Ecology of Mixed Prairie in Canada. *Ecol. Mono.* 20:272-315.
- Daubenmire, R.F. 1959. A canopy-coverage method of vegetational analysis. *Northwest Sci.* 33:43-64.
- Dormaer, J.F., B.W. Adams, and W.D. Willms. 1997. Impacts of rotational grazing on mixed prairie soils and vegetation. *J. Range Manage.* 50:647-651.
- Facelli, J.M. and S.T.A. Pickett. 1991. Plant litter: Its dynamics and effects on plant community structure. *Bot. Rev.* 57:1-32.
- Frank, A.B., D.L. Tanaka, L. Hofmann, and R.F. Follet. 1995. Soil carbon and nitrogen of Northern Great Plains grasslands as influenced by long-term grazing. *J. Range Manage.* 48:470-474.
- Gauch, H. 1982. *Multivariate Analysis in Community Ecology.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
- Jackson, M.L. 1958. *Soil chemical analysis.* Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- Lauenroth, W.K. and D.G. Milchunas. 1991. Short-grass steppe. p. 183-226. *In:* R.T. Coupland (ed), *Natural Grasslands: Introduction and Western Hemisphere.* Ecosystems of the World Vol 8A, Elsevier Press, New York, N.Y..
- Lauenroth, W.K., D.G. Milchunas, J.L. Dodd, R.H. Hart, R.K. Heitschmidt, and L.R. Rittenhouse. 1994. Effects of grazing on ecosystems of the Great Plains, p. 69-100. *In:* Martin Vavra, William A. Laycock, and Rex D. Pieper (eds.), *Ecological implications of livestock herbivory in the West.* Soc. for Range Manage., Denver, Colo.
- McIlvanie, S.K. 1942. Grass seedling establishment and productivity—overgrazed vs protected range soils. *Ecol.* 23:228-231.
- Milchunas, D.G. and W.K. Lauenroth. 1993. Quantitative effects of grazing on vegetation and soils over a global range of environments. *Ecol. Mono.* 63:327-366.
- Moss, E.H. 1983. *Flora of Alberta.* 2nd Ed., Univ. Toronto Press, Toronto, Ont.
- Olsen, S.R., C.V. Cole, F.S. Watanabe, and L.A. Dean. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA, Circ. 939, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Pielou, E.C. 1977. *Mathematical Ecology.* John Wiley & Sons, Toronto, Ont.
- Penfound, W.T. 1964. Effects of denudation on the productivity of grassland. *Ecol.* 45:838-845.
- Savory, A. 1983. *The Savory Grazing Method or Holistic Resource Management.* Rangelands 5:155-159.
- SAS Institute Inc. 1999. *SAS/STAT Users Guide.* Version 8, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C.,

- Shariff, A.R., M.E. Biondini, and C.E. Grygiel. 1994.** Grazing intensity effects on litter decomposition and soil nitrogen mineralization. *J. Range Manage.* 47:444-449.
- Sheldrick, B.H. and C. Wang. 1993.** Particle size distribution, p. 499-511. *In:* M.R. Carter (ed), *Soil Sampling and methods of analysis.* Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Fla.
- Schuman, G.E., J.D. Reeder, J.T. Manley, R.H. Hart, and W.A. Manley. 1999.** Impact of grazing management on the carbon and nitrogen balance of a mixed-grass rangeland. *Ecol. Appl.* 9: 65-71.
- Smoliak, S. 1965.** Effects of manure, straw and inorganic fertilizers on Northern Great Plains ranges. *J. Range Manage.* 18:11-14.
- Smoliak, S. 1986.** Influence of climate conditions on production of Stipa-Bouteloua prairie over a 50-year period. *J. Range Manage.* 39:100-103.
- Smoliak, S. and H.F. Peters. 1952.** Range and livestock management in the shortgrass prairie region of southern Alberta and Saskatchewan. Publ. 876. Dept. Agr., Ottawa, Ont.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1980.** Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co. N.Y.
- Taylor, B.R., D. Parkinson, and W.F.J. Parsons. 1989.** Nitrogen and lignin content as predictors of litter decay rates: A microcosm test. *Ecol.* 70:97-104.
- Weaver, J.E. and N.W. Rowland. 1952.** Effects of natural mulch on development, yield, and structure of native grassland. *Bot. Gazette* 114:1-19.
- Willms W.D., S.M. McGinn, and J.F. Dormaar. 1993.** Influence of litter on herbage production in the Mixed Prairie. *J. Range Manage.* 46:320-324.
- Wroe, R.A., S. Smoliak, B.W. Adams, W.D. Willms, and M.L. Anderson. 1988.** Guide to stocking rates for Alberta grasslands 1988. Alberta Forest. Lands and Wildl., Public Lands, Edmonton, Alberta.

## ***JRM* CD-ROM Available**

The complete 2001 year of the *Journal of Range Management* papers, Volume 54, is available on a CD-ROM. Each of the papers published in 2001 are presented in their entirety. The CD is available for \$20 by contacting:



Gary Frasier  
7820 Stag Hollow  
Loveland, Colorado 80538  
E-mail [gfrasier@aol.com](mailto:gfrasier@aol.com)

Adobe Acrobat is necessary to access the files