

1
2
3 Anxiety Reduction After Pre-Procedure Meetings in Patients With Congenital Heart Disease
4
5

6 Preston J. Boyer^a; Joshua A. Yell^b; Jennifer G. Andrews^c; Michael D. Seckeler^c
7
8

9 a. University of Arizona, Department of Pediatrics, Tucson, Arizona, U.S.A
10

11 b. University of Arizona College of Medicine, Tucson, Arizona, U.S.A
12

13 c. University of Arizona, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Cardiology, Tucson,
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Arizona, U.S.A

Corresponding author:

Michael D. Seckeler, MD, MSc

Department of Pediatrics (Cardiology)

The University of Arizona

1501 N. Campbell Ave, PO Box 245073

Tucson, AZ 85724

Phone: (520) 626-6508

Fax: (520) 626-6571

mseckeler@peds.arizona.edu

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 *Background:*
8
9

10 Cardiac catheterizations for congenital heart disease produce anxiety for patients and families.
11
12 Current strategies to mitigate anxiety and explain complex anatomy include pre-procedure
13 meetings and educational tools (cardiac diagrams, echocardiograms, imaging and angiography).
14
15 More recently, three-dimensionally printed patient-specific models can be added to the
16
17 armamentarium. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of pre-procedure
18
19 meetings and of different educational tools to reduce patient and parent anxiety before a
20
21 catheterization.
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 *Methods:*
29
30

31 Prospective study of patients ≥ 18 and parents of patients < 18 scheduled for clinically indicated
32 catheterizations. Participants completed online surveys before and after meeting with the
33
34 interventional cardiologist, who was blinded to study participation. Both the pre- and post-
35
36 meeting surveys measured anxiety using the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. In addition, the post-
37
38 meeting survey evaluated the subjective value (from 1-4) of individual educational tools:
39
40 physician discussion, cardiac diagrams, echocardiograms, prior imaging, angiograms and three-
41
42 dimensionally printed cardiac models. Data were compared using paired *t*-tests.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 *Results:*
51
52

53 23 patients consented to participate, 16 had complete data for evaluation. Mean State-Trait
54
55 Anxiety Inventory scores were abnormally elevated at baseline and decreased into the normal
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 range after the pre-procedure meeting (39.8 vs 31, $p=0.008$). Physician discussion, angiograms
4
5 and three-dimensional models were reported to be most effective at increasing understanding
6
7 and reducing anxiety.
8
9

10
11 *Conclusion:*
12

13
14
15 In this pilot study, we have found that pre-catheterization meetings produce a measurable
16
17 decrease in patient and family anxiety before a procedure. Discussions of the procedure,
18
19 angiograms and three-dimensionally printed cardiac models were the most effective
20
21 educational tools.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Keywords:

Congenital heart disease; cardiac catheterization; anxiety; three-dimensional printing;
education of patients; surveys and questionnaires

Introduction

Cardiac catheterizations have long been recognized as a significant source of anxiety for patients and families. While the procedures are less invasive than surgery, cardiac catheterizations still incite a great deal of fear. Uncertainty of the outcome, and fear of unfavorable findings, pain, death, and the need for further procedures all contribute to increased patient anxiety prior to a catheterization procedure¹⁻⁵. This is especially true for children and adults with congenital heart disease (CHD)⁵⁻⁷. The burden of CHD often includes multiple high risk procedures, frequent and extended hospitalizations, social isolation, and economic instability. Due to the complexity, chronicity, and uncertainty that accompanies living with CHD, many of these patients and their families experience elevated levels of anxiety at baseline⁸⁻¹⁰. These baseline symptoms of anxiety and depression can be exacerbated by an upcoming cardiac catheterization, which has been shown to increase morbidity and mortality associated with procedural interventions¹¹. Previous studies in the general adult population have examined multiple interventions targeted at lowering the patient and family's anxiety prior to a cardiac catheterization, ranging from educational approaches to sensory and therapy-based approaches¹²⁻¹⁴. These interventions often take place during a pre-procedure meeting to obtain informed consent, which alone has been shown to improve levels of anxiety¹⁵. There is still a paucity of literature regarding the effectiveness of these interventions on children and adults with CHD undergoing cardiac catheterizations. Additionally, while there are a variety of educational tools that have been proposed and studied in isolation in the adult population, there are limited data regarding the comparative effectiveness of different educational tools and methods. In recent years, as part of an increase in personalized medicine, patient specific

1
2
3 three-dimensional models have increasingly been utilized across many medical specialties. A
4
5 unique advantage of these models is the ability to generate a physical representation of
6
7 complex anatomy which can highlight the anatomic region of interest for the healthcare
8
9 provider and the patient. The benefits of these models have been evidenced in pre-procedure
10
11 planning, resident and medical education, as well as patient education ¹⁶⁻¹⁹. The purpose of this
12
13 study was to evaluate patient and family anxiety before and after a pre-procedure meeting, and
14
15 qualitatively assesses the educational methods used in discussing complex anatomy and
16
17 procedures.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Material and Methods

28
29
30 Ethical approval was obtained through the University of Arizona Institutional Review
31
32 Board. Parents of children with CHD and adults with CHD who were scheduled to undergo
33
34 clinically indicated cardiac catheterizations from October 2017 through March 2019 were
35
36 invited to participate in the study. Standard practice at our institution for congenital cardiac
37
38 catheterizations includes an in-person pre-procedural meeting with the attending congenital
39
40 interventional cardiologist. During these meetings, the procedure is discussed in detail,
41
42 including the patient's individual anatomy, the reason for the procedure, any anticipated
43
44 interventions and informed consent is obtained. During each meeting, the interventionalist
45
46 uses cardiac diagrams as well as any available additional imaging, including echocardiograms,
47
48 magnetic resonance (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) images, angiograms (from the
49
50 patient's prior cardiac catheterizations or those of similar anatomy) and a three-dimensionally
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 printed model of the patient's heart defect. Parents of patients <18 and patients ≥18 who
4
5 provided informed consent to participate completed pre- and post-meeting online surveys to
6
7 evaluate their state of anxiety before and after the meeting, and to examine their opinion
8
9 about the educational methods used. Data collected included demographics, severity of CHD
10
11 (simple, moderate, severe or complex single ventricle) and whether the planned procedure was
12
13 diagnostic or interventional. The attending interventionalist who conducted the meetings was
14
15 blinded to study participation.
16
17
18
19
20

21 Both the pre- and post-meeting surveys measured anxiety using the 6-item Short Form
22
23 State-Trait Anxiety Inventory ^{20,21}. The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory scores range from 20-80,
24
25 with higher scores indicating higher levels of anxiety. A normal score is defined as 34-36 for
26
27 non-psychiatric patients, with scores above 38 suggesting significantly elevated anxiety ^{22,23}. In
28
29 addition, the post-meeting survey evaluated the subjective value (from 1-4, least to most) of
30
31 each educational tool for increasing understanding and reducing anxiety. Exclusion criteria
32
33 included: emergent cardiac catheterizations, patient refusal of a pre-catheterization meeting,
34
35 no appropriate three-dimensionally printed model, inability to complete the English language
36
37 survey, incomplete survey data and refusal to participate in the study. Data were compared
38
39 using paired *t*-tests.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Results

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 During the study period, there were 135 congenital cardiac catheterizations performed at our
4 institution. Of these, 23 met inclusion criteria and consented to participate in the study and 16
5 completed both the pre- and post-meeting surveys (Figure 1). Demographics are summarized in
6 the Table. The mean State-Trait Anxiety Inventory score was markedly elevated before the
7 meeting and returned to the normal range after the meeting (39.8 vs 31.0, $p = 0.008$) (Figure 2).
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15 There were no significant differences in State-Trait Anxiety Inventory scores or a score
16 reduction between different CHD complexities. There were no differences in State-Trait Anxiety
17 Inventory score based on age, race or the primary respondent (patient vs parent).
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Subjective assessment of the educational tools for increasing understanding and reducing
25 anxiety showed that physician description, angiograms and three-dimensionally printed models
26 were the most well-received (3.87 ± 0.34 , 3.75 ± 0.44 , 3.71 ± 0.77 , respectively), while cardiac
27 diagrams, echocardiograms and CT/MRI scans were rated lower (3.59 ± 0.63 , 3.43 ± 0.94 and
28 3.20 ± 1.10 , respectively) (Figure 3).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Discussion

37
38
39
40 In this pilot study of children and adults with congenital heart disease undergoing cardiac
41 catheterization, we found that patients and their families experience a high level of anxiety
42 prior to a cardiac catheterization and that interventions including a pre-procedure meeting with
43 the interventional cardiologist and three-dimensionally printed models help to reduce their
44 anxiety to normal levels. Recognizing the degree of anxiety surrounding these procedures as
45 well as the utility of three-dimensionally printed models to explain complex CHD anatomy will
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 be important as patients with CHD undergo higher numbers of increasingly complex
4
5 interventions.
6
7

8
9 The notion of informed consent gives interventional cardiologists opportunities to not only
10
11 ensure patient's agreement with planned interventions, but to educate and prepare patients
12
13 for their upcoming procedure. This has been implemented in a variety of ways in the fields of
14
15 adult and pediatric cardiology, from paper handouts to multimedia presentations ^{7,12,24,25}. In our
16
17 experience, it is common for pediatric cardiologists to offer patients and families some type of
18
19 in-person engagement prior to the procedure. It has previously been demonstrated that
20
21 patients experience notably high levels of anxiety prior to meeting with a member of the
22
23 pediatric cardiology team, levels even above that which would be expected for the anticipation
24
25 of an upcoming procedure ⁵. It is important to know if the pre-procedural face-to-face
26
27 informational meetings are effective at reducing the elevated anxiety experienced by patients
28
29 and families. In addition, there are a number of educational tools that can be used to help
30
31 explain complex anatomy and pathophysiology, including diagrams, echocardiograms, CT/MR
32
33 imaging and angiography. More recently, three-dimensionally printed, patient specific models
34
35 can be added to the armamentarium, which have been subjectively well-received by patients
36
37 and physicians as educational tools that can aid comprehension ²⁶⁻²⁸. Better understanding of
38
39 the effect of pre-procedural meetings on patient/parent anxiety, as well as increased
40
41 awareness of the effectiveness of different teaching methods can help interventional
42
43 cardiologists ensure patient preparedness for procedures and promote improved outcomes.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Anxiety experienced by patients and parents in this population is important to consider as part
4
5 of their overall health and quality of life. Patients with CHD and their families already
6
7 experience higher levels of stress, anxiety and depression than the general population ^{10,29}. As
8
9 our data confirms, baseline anxiety is likely to be further exacerbated in anticipation of a
10
11 cardiac catheterization. Higher levels of anxiety have been associated with increased morbidity
12
13 and mortality for patients undergoing cardiac procedures and have a significant negative
14
15 impact on the quality of life for these patients and their families ^{6,11,30}. As patients with CHD are
16
17 able to live longer and healthier lives, and as advances in the field of interventional cardiology
18
19 continue, these patients are expected to undergo an increasing number of cardiac
20
21 catheterizations throughout their lifetime. It is important to know what tools are effective in
22
23 reducing the anxiety associated with these procedures.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 In addition to answering questions and obtaining informed consent, an important aspect of a
32
33 pre-catheterization meeting is the education for patients and families regarding not only the
34
35 intended procedure, but the patient's anatomy and physiology. Patients with CHD often have
36
37 complex anatomy which can be difficult to describe with traditional two-dimensional
38
39 representations. However, better understanding of their heart disease, especially the anatomy,
40
41 increases the overall well-being of patients with CHD ³¹. The increasing incorporation of three-
42
43 dimensionally printed models into medicine is a perfect medium to educate patients with CHD
44
45 and their families. Our study found that families prefer verbal and tactile educational tools,
46
47 including three-dimensionally printed models, over standard cardiac imaging. While
48
49 echocardiography and advanced cardiac imaging are familiar to and useful for cardiologists, the
50
51 current study suggests that their utility as educational tools for patients is limited.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 This study has several limitations. The sample size is small, which may limit the generalizability
4
5 of the results. However, pre-procedural meetings for cardiac catheterizations are already
6
7 common among congenital cardiologists, and our study adds important objective data to
8
9 support the ongoing practice of such meetings. The data were obtained through survey
10
11 responses, which could be subject to response bias, recall bias and selection bias, however, the
12
13 State-Trait Anxiety Inventory assessment is a well-validated tool that is commonly used to
14
15 evaluate patient anxiety and is designed to minimize bias from self-reported data.
16
17
18
19
20

21 In conclusion, patients with congenital heart disease and their families experience abnormally
22
23 high levels of anxiety prior to cardiac catheterizations. Pre-procedure meetings utilizing a
24
25 variety of educational tools, including three-dimensionally printed models, can measurably
26
27 reduce this anxiety. Expanding this practice among congenital cardiologists, an important step
28
29 towards personalized medicine, could lead to reduced peri-procedural anxiety and improved
30
31 outcomes for this complex patient population.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Financial Support:

Dr. Seckeler received funding from the Sarver Heart Center Congenital Heart Disease Education Grant and the University of Arizona College of Medicine Vernon & Virginia Furrow Award for Medical Education Research.

All other authors report no sources of funding from public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

1
2
3 Conflicts of Interest:
4
5
6

7 None
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Ethical Standards:
4
5

6 The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical
7 standards of the relevant national guidelines on human experimentation (United States Title 45
8 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 46 [45 CFR 46]) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as
9 revised in 2008, and has been approved by the institutional committees (University of Arizona
10 Institutional Review Board).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References:

1. Gallagher R, Trotter R, Donoghue J. Preprocedural concerns and anxiety assessment in patients undergoing coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary interventions. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2010;9:38–44.
2. Trotter R, Gallagher R, Donoghue J. Anxiety in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions. *Hear Lung J Acute Crit Care*. 2011;40:185–92.
3. Delewi R, Vlastra W, Rohling WJ, et al. Anxiety levels of patients undergoing coronary procedures in the catheterization laboratory. *Int J Cardiol*. 2017;228:926–30.
4. Pederson C. Effect of imagery on children’s pain and anxiety during cardiac catheterization. *J Pediatr Nurs*. 1995;10:365–74.
5. Kobayashi D, Turner DR, Forbes TJ, Aggarwal S. Parental anxiety among children undergoing cardiac catheterisation. *Cardiol Young*. 2018;28:315–21.
6. Üzger A, Başpınar O, Bülbül F, Yavuz S, Kiliç M. Evaluation of depression and anxiety in parents of children undergoing cardiac catheterization. *Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars*. 2015;43:536–41.
7. Rigatelli G, Magro B, Ferro S, et al. Education, and obtaining of informed consent, using multimedia before adults with congenitally malformed hearts are submitted to transcatheter interventions. *Cardiol Young*. 2009;19:60–3.
8. Gupta S, Giuffre RM, Crawford S, Waters J. Covert fears, anxiety and depression in

- 1
2
3 congenital heart disease. *Cardiol Young*. 1998;8:491–9.
4
- 5
6
7 9. Gupta S, Mitchell I, Michael Giuffre R, Crawford S. Covert fears and anxiety in asthma and
8
9 congenital heart disease. *Child Care Health Dev*. 2001;27:335–48.
10
- 11
12
13 10. Kovacs AH, Saidi AS, Kuhl EA, et al. Depression and anxiety in adult congenital heart
14
15 disease: Predictors and prevalence. *Int J Cardiol*. 2009;137:158–64.
16
- 17
18
19 11. Celano CM, Millstein RA, Bedoya CA, Healy BC, Roest AM, Huffman JC. Association
20
21 between anxiety and mortality in patients with coronary artery disease: A meta-analysis.
22
23 *Am Heart J*. 2015;170:1105–15.
24
- 25
26
27 12. Flory J, Emanuel E. Interventions to Improve Research in Informed Consent for Research.
28
29 *J Am Med Assoc*. 2004;292:1593–601.
30
- 31
32
33 13. Carroll DL, Malecki-Ketchell A, Astin F. Non-pharmacological interventions to reduce
34
35 psychological distress in patients undergoing diagnostic cardiac catheterization: A rapid
36
37 review. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2017;16:92–103.
38
- 39
40
41 14. Kendall PC, Williams L, Pechacek TF, Graham LE, Shisslak C, Herzoff N. Cognitive-
42
43 behavioral and patient education interventions in cardiac catheterization procedures:
44
45 The Palo Alto medical psychology project. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1979;47:49–58.
46
- 47
48
49 15. Freeman WR, Pichard AD, Smith H. Effect of Informed Consent and Educational
50
51 Background on Patient Knowledge, Anxiety, and Subjective Responses to Cardiac
52
53 Catheterization. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn*. 1981;7:119–34.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 16. Teishima J, Takayama Y, Iwaguro S, et al. Usefulness of personalized three-dimensional
4
5 printed model on the satisfaction of preoperative education for patients undergoing
6
7 robot-assisted partial nephrectomy and their families. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2018;50:1061–6.
8
9
- 10
11 17. Bernhard JC, Isotani S, Matsugasumi T, et al. Personalized 3D printed model of kidney
12
13 and tumor anatomy: a useful tool for patient education. *World J Urol*. 2016;34:337–45.
14
15
- 16
17 18. Loke YH, Harahsheh AS, Krieger A, Olivieri LJ. Usage of 3D models of tetralogy of Fallot
18
19 for medical education: Impact on learning congenital heart disease. *BMC Med Educ*.
20
21 2017;17:1–8.
22
23
- 24
25 19. Jones TW, Seckeler MD. Use of 3D models of vascular rings and slings to improve
26
27 resident education. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2017;12:578–82.
28
29
- 30
31 20. Marteau TM, Bekker H. The Development of a Six-Item Short-Form of the State Scale of
32
33 the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). *Br J Clin Psychol*. 1992;31:301–6.
34
35
- 36
37 21. Spielberger CD. *The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory [Test Manual]*. Palo Alto, California:
38
39 Consulting Psychologists Press; 1970.
40
41
- 42
43 22. Julian LJ. Measures of Anxiety. *Arthritis Care Res*. 2011;63:1–11.
44
45
- 46
47 23. Knight RG, Waal Manning HJ, Spears GF. Some norms and reliability data for the State-
48
49 Trait Anxiety Inventory and the Zung Self-Rating Depression scale. *Br J Clin Psychol*.
50
51 1983;22:245–9.
52
53
- 54
55 24. Wu KL, Chen SR, Ko WC, et al. The effectiveness of an accessibility-enhanced multimedia
56
57

- 1
2
3 informational educational programme in reducing anxiety and increasing satisfaction of
4
5 patients undergoing cardiac catheterisation. *J Clin Nurs.* 2014;23:2063–73.
6
7
8
- 9 25. Harkness K, Morrow L, Smith K, Kiczula M, Arthur HM. The effect of early education on
10
11 patient anxiety while waiting for elective cardiac catheterization. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs.*
12
13 2003;2:113–21.
14
15
- 16
17 26. Biglino G, Capelli C, Wray J, et al. 3D-manufactured patient-specific models of congenital
18
19 heart defects for communication in clinical practice: feasibility and acceptability. *BMJ*
20
21 *Open.* 2015;5:e007165.
22
23
24
- 25
26 27. Biglino G, Koniordou D, Gasparini M, et al. Piloting the Use of Patient-Specific Cardiac
27
28 Models as a Novel Tool to Facilitate Communication During Clinical Consultations. *Pediatr*
29
30 *Cardiol.* 2017;38:813–8.
31
32
33
- 34 28. Lau I, Sun Z. Three-dimensional printing in congenital heart disease: A systematic review.
35
36 *J Med Radiat Sci.* 2018;65:226–36.
37
38
39
- 40 29. Uzark K, Jones K. Parenting stress and children with heart disease. *J Pediatr Heal Care.*
41
42 2003;17:163–8.
43
44
45
- 46 30. Lawoko S, Soares JJF. Quality of Life among Parents of Children with Congenital Heart
47
48 Disease, Parents of Children with Other Diseases and Parents of Healthy Children. *Qual*
49
50 *Life Res.* 2003;12:655–66.
51
52
53
- 54 31. Wang Q, Hay M, Clarke D, Menahem S. Associations between knowledge of disease,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

depression and anxiety, social support, sense of coherence and optimism with health-related quality of life in an ambulatory sample of adolescents with heart disease. *Cardiol Young*. 2014;24:126–33.

Table

Demographics of survey participants.

Characteristic

Age, mean \pm SD, (y)	36.8 \pm 12.3
Female, n (%)	7 (43.8)
CHD Severity, n (%):	
- Simple	5 (31.3)
- Moderate	2 (12.5)
- Complex	3 (18.8)
- Complex single ventricle	5 (31.3)
Procedure Type, n (%):	
- Diagnostic	1 (6.3)
- Interventional	13 (81.3)
- Unknown	3 (12.5)
Race/Ethnicity, n (%):	
- Hispanic	7 (43.8)
- Non-Hispanic White	6 (37.5)
- Other	3 (18.8)

CHD = congenital heart disease; SD = standard deviation; y = years

1
2
3 Figure 1:
4
5

6 Flow diagram for study enrollment.
7
8
9
10
11
12

13 Figure 2:
14
15

16 Change in anxiety from pre- to post-meeting
17
18
19

20 State-Trait Anxiety Inventory scores for anxiety levels from pre- and post-meeting surveys for
21 the entire study group. Individual responses are shown in dashed grey, and the overall mean is
22 shown in bold black. The normal range of anxiety levels in a non-psychiatric population is
23 shown between the dotted lines.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Figure 3:
35
36
37

38 Average subjective rating of each teaching method.
39
40

41 The y-axis shows the average patient rating for each of the 6 teaching methods evaluated.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1:
Flow diagram for study enrollment.

338x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Figure 2:
Change in anxiety from pre- to post-meeting
State-Trait Anxiety Inventory scores for anxiety levels from pre- and post-meeting surveys for the entire study group. Individual responses are shown in dashed grey, and the overall mean is shown in bold black. The normal range of anxiety levels in a non-psychiatric population is shown between the dotted lines.

128x85mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 3:
Average subjective rating of each teaching method.
The y-axis shows the average patient rating for each of the 6 teaching methods evaluated.

338x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)