

The Measured Gravity and Global Geophysical Properties of (101955) Benu. D.J. Scheeres^{1*}, A.S. French¹, P. Tricarico², S.R. Chesley³, Y. Takahashi³, D. Farnocchia³, J.W. McMahon¹, D.N. Brack¹, A.B. Davis¹, R.-L. Ballouz⁴, E.R. Jawin⁵, B. Rozitis⁶, J.P. Emery⁷, A.J. Ryan⁴, R.S. Park³, B.P. Rush³, N. Mastrodemos³, B.M. Kennedy³, J. Belterose³, D.P. Luby³, D. Velez³, A.T. Vaughn³, J.M. Leonard⁸, J. Geeraert⁸, B. Page⁸, P. Antreasian⁸, E. Mazarico⁹, K. Getzandanner⁹, D. Rowlands⁹, M.C. Moreau⁹, J. Small¹⁰, D.E. Highsmith¹⁰, S. Goossens^{9,11}, E.E. Palmer², J.R. Weirich², R.W. Gaskell², O.S. Barnouin¹², M.G. Daly¹³, J.A. Seabrook¹³, M.M. Al Asad¹⁴, L.C. Philpott¹⁴, C.L. Johnson^{14,2}, C.M. Hartzell¹⁵, V.E. Hamilton¹⁶, P. Michel¹⁷, K.J. Walsh¹⁶, M.C. Nolan⁴, D.S. Lauretta⁴

Affiliations:

¹Smead Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA.

²Planetary Science Institute, Tucson, AZ, USA.

³Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA.

⁴Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA.

⁵Smithsonian Institution National Museum of Natural History, Washington, DC, USA.

⁶Planetary and Space Sciences, School of Physical Sciences, The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK.

⁷Department of Astronomy and Planetary Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ, USA.

⁸KinetX Aerospace, Inc., Simi Valley, CA, USA.

⁹NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA.

¹⁰Aerospace Corporation, Chantilly, VA, USA.

¹¹Center for Research and Exploration in Space Science & Technology, University of Maryland - Baltimore County, MD, USA.

¹²The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD, USA.

¹³The Centre for Research in Earth and Space Science, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada.

¹⁴Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.

¹⁵Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Maryland at College Park, MD, USA.

¹⁶Department of Space Studies, Southwest Research Institute, Boulder, CO, USA.

¹⁷Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire Lagrange, Nice, France.

*Corresponding author: scheeres@colorado.edu

Introduction: Estimates of asteroid (101955) Benu's gravity have been determined based on a series of independent solutions from different teams involved on the OSIRIS-REx mission. In addition to classical radio science techniques for estimating a body's gravity field coefficients, the discovery of particles ejected from Benu that persist in orbit for multiple revolutions provides a unique opportunity to probe the gravity field to higher degree and order than possible by using conventional spacecraft tracking [1]. However, the non-gravitational forces acting on these particles must also be characterized, and their impact on solution accuracy must be assessed, requiring the different gravity field estimates to be compared and reconciled.

Given the measured gravity field of Benu, rigorous constraints on its internal density heterogeneity can be found by comparing the measured field with the constant density field computed from the asteroid shape. These results in turn provide unique insight into the global geophysical processes that drive the external and internal morphology of small rubble-pile asteroids such as Benu.

Finally, definitive results on the surface and close-proximity force environment of Benu can be derived and updated from the initial analysis based on the total mass and constant density shape. Several aspects of the environment are highly sensitive to the gravity field and have changed from earlier results [2, 3, 4].

We will present the current gravity field solutions and uncertainties, update the surface and proximity environment models, and provide the geophysical implications and interpretations of these measurements.

Geophysical Models: The estimated gravity field solutions are compared with the constant density shape model to constrain models of the internal density variation. We find that these differences are consistent with Benu having an under-dense core and equatorial ridge. The degree to which these are under-dense cannot be specifically constrained, but feasible ranges for these values can be determined.

An under-dense equator could be consistent with transport of material to the equator without compaction. Given the slope transition at the Roche lobe, this would also be consistent with the ballistic transport of material

into the equatorial region. Estimates of the rate of particle migration do not seem to be enough to account for the overall equatorial bulge of Bennu, however, implying that this feature could be older and not due to the more recent transport of material to the equator.

The lower-density interior is consistent with a period of rapid spin and failure of the interior of the body [5]. This could also be consistent with the raised equatorial bulge. This interior failure could have occurred in an earlier epoch of YORP-induced rapid rotation or could trace to the initial formation of Bennu as a distinct rubble-pile body [6]. Tests of this hypothesis require additional simulations of how rubble-pile asteroids coalesce after the catastrophic disruption of their parent body.

Acknowledgements: This material is based upon work supported by NASA under Contract NNM10AA11C issued through the New Frontiers Program. Part of this research was conducted at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with NASA. We are grateful to the entire OSIRIS-REx Team for making the encounter with Bennu possible.

References: [1] Lauretta D.S. & Hergenrother C.W. et al. (2019) *Science* 366, eaay3544. [2] Scheeres D.J. et al. (2019) *Nature Astronomy* 3, 352-361. [3] Barnouin O.S. et al. 2019. *Nature Geoscience* 12, 247-252. [4] Tricarico P. et al. (2019) *EPSC-DPS Abstract* #2019-547-1. [5] Scheeres D.J. et al. (2016) *Icarus* 276, 116-140. [6] Michel P. et al. (2018) *AGU Fall Meeting 2018 Abstract* #P33C-P33850.