

ARIZONA JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW



VOLUME 22, NUMBER 1

SPRING 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ARTICLES	
INTRODUCTION	
<i>Margaret Satterthwaite and Deena Hurwitz</i>	1
INDIGENOUS PEOPLES' PARTICIPATORY RIGHTS IN RELATION TO DECISIONS ABOUT NATURAL RESOURCE EXTRACTION: THE MORE FUNDAMENTAL ISSUE OF WHAT RIGHTS INDIGENOUS PEOPLES HAVE IN LANDS AND RESOURCES	
<i>James Anaya</i>	7

It has become a generally accepted principle in international law that indigenous peoples should be consulted as to any decision affecting them. This norm is reflected in articles 6 and 7 of I.L.O. Convention No. 169, and has been articulated by United Nations treaty supervision bodies in country reviews and in examinations of cases concerning resource extraction on indigenous lands. The existence of a duty to consult indigenous peoples is also generally accepted by states in their contributions to discussions surrounding the draft declarations on indigenous peoples' rights, at both the United Nations and in the Inter-American system. This widespread acceptance of the norm of consultation demonstrates that it has become part of customary international law.

Ambiguity remains, however, as to the extent and content of the duty of consultation owed to indigenous peoples. In particular, there is much debate as to whether indigenous peoples' right to participation in decisions affecting them extend to a veto power over state action. Logically, the extent of the duty and thus the level of consultation required is a function of the nature of the substantive rights at stake. Thus the more critical issue underlying the debate over the duty to consult is the nature of indigenous peoples' rights in lands and resources. My remarks will focus on this question.

INDIGENOUS PEOPLES' RIGHT TO FREE, PRIOR, INFORMED CONSENT: REFLECTIONS ON CONCEPTS AND PRACTICE

Joji Cariño

19

Our topic is one that is debated intensely in many indigenous and grassroots communities around the world, in countries that include the Philippines, Canada, Papua New Guinea, Peru, India, and Australia, in the board rooms of the biggest oil and mining corporations, the World Bank and the International Finance Corporation, and in many bodies of the United Nations. In January 2005, under the auspices of the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues, an inter-agency workshop of UN bodies met in New York to examine their current policies and practices related to "free, prior, informed consent" ("FPIC"). Meanwhile, the UN Working Group on Indigenous Populations, under its standard-setting mandate on the rights of indigenous peoples, is drafting a legal commentary and guidelines for its implementation. FPIC is on the agenda of several international organizations: the Convention on Biological Diversity, the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), and the World Trade Organization (WTO) in relation to access and benefits-sharing of biological resources and associated traditional knowledge, the World Conservation Union in relation to the establishment of parks and protected areas, and other multilateral banks and development and financing agencies with respect to their resettlement policies and other projects affecting indigenous peoples.

THE INDIGENOUS RIGHT OF PARTICIPATION AND INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT POLICIES

Bartolomé Clavero

41

Under the current international regime, policies that affect indigenous peoples, including those of development assistance and cooperation, are illegitimate if they are negotiated without indigenous participation. Indigenous peoples can see this matter as one of a legal entitlement that requires their prior consent and that is asserted in the development and deployment of policies that affect them. Others would rather see the test of legitimacy as one that simply requires informed, good faith consultation with the objective of achieving indigenous consent, but without requiring actual consent even when these policies displace resources belonging to indigenous territory.

THE RIGHTS OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND THE INTER-AMERICAN HUMAN RIGHTS SYSTEM

Isabel Madariaga Cuneo

53

The protection and respect of the rights of indigenous peoples is an issue of special importance for the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (“Inter-American Commission”) and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (“Inter-American Court”), the main bodies of the Inter-American human rights system. In recent years, the Inter-American human rights system has increasingly developed jurisprudence directly relevant to the rights of indigenous peoples. This has been achieved through decisions recognizing not only the individual rights of members of indigenous groups, but also recognizing collective rights. Such trends are reflected, for example, in the reparations that have been used as a remedy where the victim is an indigenous group and where the remedy is of a collective nature

THE DRAFT WORLD BANK OPERATIONAL POLICY 4.10 ON
INDIGENOUS PEOPLES: PROGRESS OR MORE OF THE SAME?

Fergus MacKay

53

Since the early 1980s, the World Bank Group (WBG) has adopted a number of policies – referred to as safeguard policies – designed to mitigate harm to indigenous peoples in WBG-financed projects. In 1981, it published a study entitled *Economic Development and Tribal Peoples: Human Ecologic Considerations*, which sought to provide guidelines for Bank operations. It states that the Bank should avoid “unnecessary or avoidable encroachment onto territories used or occupied by tribal groups,” ruled out involvement with projects not agreed to by tribal peoples, requires guarantees from borrowers that they would implement safeguard measures, and advocates respect for indigenous peoples’ right to self-determination.



