

# WTO CASE REVIEW 2002<sup>1</sup>

Raj Bhala\* and David A. Gantz\*\*

Table of Contents	Page
<b>PART ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	
<b>Stability Amidst Turmoil</b> .....	150
<b>PART TWO: DISCUSSION OF THE 2002 CASE LAW</b>	
<b>I. Trade Remedies</b>	
<b>A. Countervailing Duties and <i>de minimis</i> Margins:</b>	
<b>The Carbon Steel Case</b> .....	158

---

1. This *WTO Case Review* is the third in an annual series on the substantive international trade adjudications rendered by the World Trade Organization's Appellate Body. Each *Review* explains and comments on the Appellate Body reports adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body during the preceding calendar year (January 1–December 31), excluding decisions on compliance with recommendations contained in previously adopted reports. See Raj Bhala & David A. Gantz, *WTO Case Review 2001*, 19 ARIZ. J. INT'L & COMP. L. 457 (2002) [hereinafter *WTO Case Review 2001*]; Raj Bhala & David A. Gantz, *WTO Case Review 2000*, 18 ARIZ. J. INT'L & COMP. L. 1 (2001) [hereinafter *WTO Case Review 2000*].

\* Rice Distinguished Professor of Law, University of Kansas School of Law, Lawrence, Kansas. Tel. 785-864-4550; Fax: 785-864-5054. J.D., Harvard Law School (1989); M.Sc., Oxford (1986); M.Sc., London School of Economics (1985); A.B., Duke (1984). Marshall Scholar (1984-86). Author of *Trade, Development, and Social Justice* (Carolina Academic Press 2003).

In December 2002, Professor Bhala visited the WTO and benefited from many conversations with officials there. While their identities and contributions must remain anonymous, Professor Bhala wishes to thank them for their assistance and hospitality. He also wishes to thank his Research Assistant, Ms. Lucienne Attard, B.A. and M.Juris. (University of Malta), LL.M. (The George Washington University), S.J.D. candidate (The George Washington University), for her review of an earlier draft of this article.

\*\* Professor of Law and Director, International Trade Law Program, University of Arizona, James E. Rogers College of Law, Tucson, Arizona; Associate Director, National Law Center for Inter-American Free Trade. Tel. 520-621-1801; Fax. 520-621-9140. J.S.M., Stanford (1970); J.D., Stanford (1967); A.B., Harvard (1964).

**Citation**.....158

*United States—Countervailing Duties on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Germany*, WT/DS213/AB/R (issued November 28 2002, adopted December 19, 2002) (complaint by the European Communities).

**Explanation**.....158

- (1) Half a Percent Matters.....158
- (2) The Dissent.....168
- (3) Let's Not Fill the Gap this Time.....170

**Commentary**.....173

- (1) Shifting Sands in the Desert.....173
- (2) A Grander Role for the Director-General?.....175

**B. Safeguards, Injury, and Causation: The *Line Pipe Case***.....178**Citation**.....178

*United States—Definitive Safeguard Measures on Imports of Circular Welded Carbon Quality Line Pipe from Korea*, WT/DS202/AB/R (issued February 15, 2002, adopted March 8, 2002) (complaint by Korea, with Australia, Canada, the European Communities, Japan, and Mexico as Third Participants).

**Explanation**.....178

- (1) Introductory Note: Strict Scrutiny Again.....178
- (2) Principal Issues Raised on Appeal.....180
- (3) Arguments of the Parties.....181

**Rationale and Holdings**.....186

- (1) Adequate Opportunity for Prior Consultations.....187
- (2) Substantially Equivalent Level of Concessions.....188
- (3) Exclusion of *de minimis* Developing Country Exporters....188
- (4) Discrete Determination of Serious Injury or of Threat  
of Serious Injury.....189
- (5) “Parallelism” Between the Investigation and  
Application of Safeguard Measures.....190
- (6) Non-Attribution of Injurious Effects of Other Factors  
to Increased Imports.....192
- (7) Application of the Measure: Express Justification and  
Permissible Extent.....194

**Commentary**.....196

- (1) Mootness Doesn’t Really Matter.....196
- (2) Compliance Through the Back Door?.....196
- (3) The Bar Remains High for Safeguards.....197

**II. Intellectual Property and Trademark Rights: The *Havana Club* Case**

**Citation**.....198

*United States–Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act of 1998*, WT/DS176/AB/R (issued January 2, 2002, adopted February 1, 2002) (complaint by the European Communities).

**Explanation**.....198

- (1) Introductory Note: The U.S. Regulatory Framework.....198
- (2) Principal Issues on Appeal.....201

(3) Arguments of the Parties.....	202
<b>Rationale and Holdings</b> .....	206
(1) Scope of Appellate Review.....	206
(2) Nature of the Measure (Ownership or Non-Ownership)....	207
(3) Article 6quinquies of the <i>Paris Convention (1967)</i> .....	207
(4) Article 15 of <i>TRIPS</i> (Protectable Subject Matter).....	208
(5) Article 16 of <i>TRIPS</i> (Rights Conferred) .....	210
(6) Article 42 of <i>TRIPS</i> (Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights).....	211
(7) National Treatment Under the <i>Paris Convention</i> and <i>TRIPS</i> .....	212
(8) Article 4 of <i>TRIPS</i> –Most Favored Nation Treatment.....	215
(9) Article 8 of the <i>Paris Convention (1967)</i> – <i>TRIPS</i> Coverage of Trade Names.....	216
<b>Commentary</b> .....	217
(1) An Unusual Deference to National Legislation?.....	217
(2) MFN and Non-Discrimination are Sacred Principles for <i>TRIPS</i> Too.....	218
(3) Section 211 and the U.S. Trade Embargo.....	219

### III. Special Sectors: Agriculture and Minimum Import Prices

<b>Citation</b> .....	221
-----------------------	-----

*Chile–Price Band System and Safeguard Measures Relating to Certain Agricultural Products*, WT/DS207/AB/R (adopted October 23, 2002) (complaint by Argentina).

<b>Explanation</b> .....	221
(1) Chile's Price Band System.....	221
(2) Chile's Safeguard Measures.....	227
(3) Principal Issues on Appeal.....	229
(4) Three Thrusts, Three Parries.....	233
(5) Thrust-Parry No.1: The Matter Before the Panel.....	235
(6) Thrust-Parry No.2: The Panel's Order of Analysis.....	236
(7) Thrust-Parry No.3: The Price Band System as a Forbidden Measure.....	237
(8) Analogical Reasoning and Thrust-Parry No.3.....	242
<b>Commentary</b> .....	251
(1) Sophisticated Argumentation from the Third World.....	251
(2) Policy and Details.....	253
(3) <i>Contra</i> Judicial Activism.....	255
(4) Some High Marks for Writing Style.....	257

#### **IV. Other WTO Agreements: Technical Barriers to Trade**

<b>Citation</b> .....	258
-----------------------	-----

*European Communities—Trade Description of Sardines*, WT/DS231/AB/R (issued September 26, 2002, adopted October 23, 2002) (complaint by Peru, with Canada, Chile, Ecuador, United States, and Venezuela as Third Participants).

<b>Explanation</b> .....	258
(1) Facts: Conflict between International and National (EC) Standards? .....	258
(2) Issues Raised on Appeal.....	261
(3) Arguments of the Parties.....	263
<b>Rationale and Holdings</b> .....	267
(1) Procedural Issue No. 1: Admissibility of the EC’s Appeal.....	267
(2) Procedural Issue No. 2: <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Briefs and non-Party, non-Third Participant Intervention.....	268
(3) The EC Regulation as a “Technical Regulation” under the TBT Agreement.....	271
(4) The Temporal Scope (Retroactivity) of Article 2.4.....	273
(5) Codex Stan 94 as a “Relevant International Standard”.....	274
(6) Codex Stan 94 “As a Basis For” the EC Regulation.....	276
(7) The “Ineffectiveness or Inappropriateness” of Codex Stan 94 (and the Burden of Proof) .....	278
(8) Objectivity of the Assessment of Certain Facts by the Panel.....	281
(9) [Naughty] References by the Panel to Trade-Restrictiveness.....	282
(10) Completing the Legal Analysis.....	283
<b>Commentary</b> .....	283
(1) The Waters on <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Briefs and Member Participation are Further Muddied.....	283
(2) Conditional Withdrawal of an Appeal is OK, Usually.....	284

- (3) Claimant has the Burden of Proof, Even if Respondent Has the Information.....285
- (4) The TBT Agreement Applies to Regulations Existing January 1, 1995, and Members Need a Good Reason *Not* to Use an International Standard.....285
- (5) The Advisory Centre Debuts.....286
- (6) Judicial Economy, Pleading Constraints, and *DSU* Reform.....288