

- 1 **Association of Self-Reported Frequent Exercise Among a Nationally-Representative**
- 2 **Sample of Older People in the United States with Self-Reported Pain**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

Abstract

This retrospective, cross-sectional database study aimed to identify characteristics associated with self-reported frequent exercise (defined as moderate-vigorous intensity exercise for ≥ 30 minutes five times a week) in older United States (≥ 50 years) adults with pain in the past four weeks, using 2017 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey data and hierarchical logistic regression models. Variables significantly associated with frequent exercise included: being male (adjusted odds ratio [AOR]=1.507, 95% confidence interval [CI]=1.318-1.724); non-Hispanic (AOR=1.282, 95% CI=1.021, 1.608); employed (AOR=1.274, 95% CI=1.040-1.560); having no chronic conditions versus ≥ 5 conditions (AOR=1.576, 95% CI=1.094-2.268); two chronic conditions versus ≥ 5 conditions (AOR=1.547, 95% CI=1.226-1.952); no limitation versus having a limitation (AOR=1.209, 95% CI=1.015-1.441); little/moderate versus quite/extreme pain (AOR=1.358, 95% CI=1.137-1.621); excellent/very good versus fair/poor physical health (AOR=2.408, 95% CI=1.875-3.093); and good versus fair/poor physical health (AOR=1.337, 95% CI=1.087-1.646). These characteristics may be useful to create personalized pain management protocols that include exercise for older adults with pain.

17

Key Words

18 Exercise; older adult; pain management

1 The older adult population in the United States (US) is increasing rapidly, with those
2 aged 65 years and older comprising over 15% of the population in 2016 (US Census Bureau
3 2017). Among older adults, pain is associated with disability from various conditions (Reid,
4 Eccleston & Pillemer, 2015). Pain can be described as an unpleasant sensory and emotional
5 experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or described in terms of such
6 damage (International Association for the Study of Pain, 1994). Further research into effective
7 pain management strategies is warranted given the increasing number of older adults and the
8 consequences of uncontrolled pain.

9 Several pharmacological and non-pharmacological strategies exist to manage pain (Axon,
10 Bhattacharjee, Warholak & Slack, 2018, Axon, Patel, Martin & Slack, 2019). For example, one
11 common pharmacological strategy is opioid medications. However, the opioid overdose
12 epidemic and side effect profile associated with these drugs in older adults makes them a less
13 desirable option (Resnick et al., 2019, US Department of Health & Human services, 2017). Other
14 pharmacological agents for pain management typically have poor to modest efficacy (Ambrose
15 & Golightly, 2015). Non-pharmacological strategies, such as exercise, have increased in
16 popularity for pain management in recent years (Alghadir, Anwer, Sarkar, Paul & Anwar, 2019).
17 The Department of Health and Human Services recommends at least 150 minutes a week of
18 moderate activity or 75 minutes a week of vigorous activity for most people (Health.Gov, 2020).
19 In addition to the general benefits of exercise such as losing weight, improving sleep, boosting
20 energy, and improving mental health, exercise may help improve pain management (Franzke,
21 Neubauer, Cameron-Smith & Wagner, 2018).

22 Several studies have investigated the impact of physical activity on pain in the general
23 population (Ambrose & Golightly, 2015, Hagen et al., 2012). Among older adults, one study

1 showed that exercise in patients aged 65 years and older resulted in improved back-pain scores
2 over two years (scores decreased from 5 to 3 on a 0-10 scale, $p < 0.001$) (Mailloux, Finno &
3 Rainville, 2006). Another study demonstrated improvement in muscle health with high intensity
4 resistance exercise in older adults (Berry et al., 2019), while another study reported that patients
5 aged 50-70 years may be interested in green exercise (outdoor in nature) as part of their
6 treatment regime to manage pain and improve their mood (Selby, Hayes, O'Sullivan, O'Neil &
7 Harmon, 2018).

8 In particular, a recent review explored the outcomes and safety of four categories of
9 exercise: aerobic exercise, strength training, flexibility training, and movement therapies
10 (Ambrose & Golightly, 2015). This review found aerobic exercise can improve pain, with greater
11 intensity exercise leading to greater improvements in pain. The same review also found strength
12 training is effective and safe for chronic pain management, flexibility training has a modest
13 benefit for chronic pain management, while movement therapies, although typically performed at
14 lower intensities than the other categories, are also safe and effective for pain management
15 (Ambrose & Golightly, 2015). A Cochrane review found that exercise generally had a positive
16 impact on pain severity, although there were inconsistent changes in pain severity across
17 different exercises (Geneen et al., 2017).

18 A recent meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of physical activity or exercise
19 found a positive correlation with exercise duration and analgesic effect on neck pain in
20 particular, and additional multiple linear regression analyses identified increasing exercise
21 frequency was associated with greater likelihood of a positive effect on chronic pain patients
22 (Polaski, Phelps, Kostek, Szucs & Kolber, 2019). Another meta-analysis of cohort and cross-
23 sectional studies of adults with lower back pain concluded that physical activity was associated

1 with lower prevalence of lower back pain (Alzahrani, Mackey, Stamatakis, Zadro & Shirley,
2 2019).

3 The characteristics associated with self-reported exercise among older people in the US
4 with self-reported pain are not known, yet this information might be useful to help develop
5 personalized pain management protocols that include exercise as an appropriate pain
6 management strategy. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the characteristics associated with
7 self-reported exercise among a nationally representative sample of older people in the US with
8 self-reported pain.

1 **Methods**

2 ***Study design and data source:***

3 This retrospective, cross-sectional study design utilized the most recent publicly available
4 2017 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) data (Agency for Healthcare Research and
5 Quality, 2019b). MEPS was selected as the data source because it contains many useful variables
6 and allows nationally-representative estimates to be calculated. MEPS data are collected over
7 two calendar years via multiple interview rounds using the sampling framework from the
8 previous years' National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). MEPS data are able to provide
9 nationally representative estimates of the non-institutionalized US population due to purposeful
10 oversampling of disabled and minority groups. There are three core components to MEPS; the
11 household component, the insurance component, and the medical provider component. This
12 study used the MEPS household component which contains detailed data about each person in
13 the households surveyed, including demographic characteristics, employment and income
14 details, health insurance coverage, health conditions and health status, healthcare utilization and
15 costs, and access and satisfaction with healthcare. (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality,
16 2019a). MEPS is reviewed and approved by an Institutional Review Board annually, and
17 participants provide oral informed consent to voluntarily participate. This study was approved by
18 The University of XXX Institutional Review Board.

19 ***Participant eligibility:***

20 Participants in the MEPS 2017 full-year consolidated data file were eligible for study
21 inclusion if they were alive for the full calendar year, age 50 years or older, and reported having
22 pain in the past four weeks. Pain was specified by a response of "little bit", "moderately", "quite
23 a bit", or "extremely", when asked, "During the past 4 weeks, pain interfered with normal work

1 outside the home and housework” (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2019c, Agency
2 for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2019d).

3 ***Independent variables:***

4 Five groups of independent variables (classified using Andersen’s Behavioral Model)
5 were assessed in this study (Andersen, 1995).

6 (1) Predisposing factors consisted of: age in years (50-64, ≥ 65); gender (male, female);
7 ethnicity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic); and race (white, other).

8 (2) Enabling factors included: education completed (< high school, high school, > high
9 school); employment status (employed, unemployed); income level (this served as an indicator
10 of poverty level; poor/near poor/low income [$< 200\%$ federal poverty level], middle/high income
11 [$\geq 200\%$ federal poverty level]); insurance coverage (private, public, uninsured); and marital
12 status (married, other).

13 (3) Need factors were: number of chronic conditions (summed from the following list of
14 highly-prevalent conditions available in MEPS: angina, arthritis, asthma, cancer, chronic
15 bronchitis, coronary heart disease, diabetes, joint pain, emphysema, hypercholesterolemia,
16 hypertension, myocardial infarction, other unspecified heart disease, and stroke; 0, 1, 2, 3, 4,
17 ≥ 5); any limitation (including any type of activity of daily living, instrumental activity of daily
18 living, functional, or activity limitation; yes, no); pain severity (little/moderate, quite a
19 bit/extreme); perceived mental health status (excellent/very good, good, fair/poor); and perceived
20 physical health status (excellent/very good, good, fair/poor).

21 (4) The only personal health practices factor was smoking status (yes, no).

1 (5) The only external environmental factor was census region (Midwest, Northeast,
2 South, West) (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2019c, Agency for Healthcare
3 Research and Quality, 2019d).

4 ***Dependent variable:***

5 Exercise status served as the dependent variable in our study. Exercise status was
6 analyzed dichotomously (yes or no) depending on whether the participant reported that they
7 participated in at least 30 minutes of moderate to vigorous intensity physical activity at least five
8 times per week (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2019c, Agency for Healthcare
9 Research and Quality, 2019d).

10 ***Data analysis:***

11 The MEPS cluster, strata, and weighting variables were used to account for the
12 complexity of the MEPS design and to generate nationally-representative population estimates.
13 Nominal data were compared using chi-square tests. Hierarchical logistic regression models,
14 whereby an additional group of variables (predisposing, enabling, need, personal health
15 practices, and external environmental factors) were added to each successive model, were used
16 to determine statistically significant associations with exercise status. Participants who exercised
17 regularly were modelled, while those who did not exercise regularly served as the referent group.
18 Standard errors were calculated using the Taylor-series linearization approach. An alpha level of
19 0.05 was set a priori. Missing data for some variables are inputted by MEPS staff where possible;
20 any missing data remaining were excluded from analyses. Analyses were conducted using SAS
21 Studio 3.8 (SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

1 reporting little/moderate pain severity (versus quite a bit/extreme pain severity) was also
2 associated with self-reported exercise (AOR=1.358, 95% CI=1.137, 1.621), excellent/very good
3 and good (versus fair/poor) perceived physical health status (AOR=2.408, 95% CI=1.875, 3.093
4 and AOR=1.337, 95% CI=1.087, 1.646 respectively).

5 None of the personal health practices or external environmental factors were associated
6 with self-reported exercise. The fully-adjusted logistic regression models had a Wald statistic of
7 <0.0001 and a c-statistic of 0.660.

1 to differ between genders, with one study of young adults (mean age 18 years for female and 37
2 years for men) reporting that men were likely to exercise for enjoyment whereas women reported
3 exercising for weight loss and toning (Craft, Carroll & Lustyk, 2014). However, future research
4 is needed to determine if these reasons for exercise still apply among older adults with pain.

5 With respect to ethnicity, our findings also support existing literature. For example, one
6 study using data from the American Time Use Survey (ATUS) concluded that physical activity is
7 significantly higher among non-Hispanic individuals than any other groups (Saffer, Dave,
8 Grossman & Leung, 2013).

9 Our study found age was not associated with self-reported exercise. This is interesting
10 when compared with existing knowledge of age and exercise; for example, one study that also
11 used MEPS data (albeit from 2002) showed younger adults in the general population were more
12 likely to report physical activity at least three times per week for half an hour or more compared
13 to the older generations (Rhoades, 2005). More recent studies suggest that physical activity
14 remains low in older adults (McPhee et al., 2016). For example, physical inactivity was reported
15 among at least a third of the 65-74 age group and half of the 75 and older group (Anderson &
16 Durstine, 2019).

17 ***Enabling factors:***

18 The only enabling factor that was associated with self-reported frequent exercise in our
19 study was employment status. Although we might consider this a logical conclusion given that
20 older adults who are unemployed might be retired, it is interesting that employed individuals in
21 our study were more likely to report doing exercise than those not employed, yet age was not
22 associated with self-reported exercise. Previous data from the 2003-04 National Health and
23 Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) showed that full-time employment in men (average

1 age 41.4 years) had a positive association with physical activity and exercise compared to those
2 who were unemployed (Van Domelen et al., 2011), which is similar to the findings of our study.

3 *Need factors:*

4 Our study found that the number of chronic conditions an individual had was associated
5 with self-reported frequent exercise. Individuals with 0 and 2 chronic conditions were
6 approximately 1.5 times more likely to report doing exercise frequently compared to individuals
7 with 5 or more chronic conditions. Previous research has indicated that incorporating a daily
8 exercise routine can decrease the risk of chronic disease mortality in the general population
9 (Goenka & Lee, 2017, Lear et al., 2017, Webber, Strachan & Pachu, 2017), and the benefit of
10 exercise among older adults continues to be investigated (Centers for Disease Control and
11 Prevention, 2020, World Health Organization, 2020).

12 We also found that not having a limitation (versus having a limitation) or little/moderate
13 pain (versus quite a bit/extreme pain) was associated with a greater likelihood of reporting
14 frequent exercise. Although it might seem difficult for someone with a limitation to incorporate
15 150 minutes of moderate to vigorous exercise per week, one study showed that a scheduled
16 session of exercise can boost energy and have substantial physical and psychological benefits
17 (Musumeci, 2015).

18 In terms of pain severity, our findings may be explained by those from a United Kingdom
19 study that reported low levels of pain were associated with doing typical physical activities rather
20 than moderate or vigorous intensity activities (Park, Thøgersen-Ntoumani, Veldhuijzen van
21 Zanten & Ntoumanis, 2017).

22 Finally, our study also showed that compared to the fair/poor group, those with excellent,
23 very good, or good perceived physical health were more likely to report doing exercise

1 frequently. These findings may be useful for clinicians working with older adults to discuss the
2 possibility of incorporating exercise into their pain management routines. However, more
3 research is necessary to determine the type of exercise such populations should engage in to
4 maximize their health benefits.

5 ***Limitations:***

6 This study is subject to some limitations, in particular lack of a causal relationship by
7 nature of the study design, and recall bias from the MEPS interview design. In addition, the large
8 nationally-representative sample may have resulted in a lack of significance between comparison
9 groups. The self-reported nature of exercise may have biased the true level of exercise
10 undertaken by this population. Many of the variables were dichotomous (including the dependent
11 variable, exercise status), potentially resulting in less detailed information and less power than
12 continuous data. Finally, some groups of variables contained several variables, whereas others
13 only contained one variable (e.g., external environmental characteristics), thus it was more
14 difficult to identify the specific variables that influenced the analytical models in the groups with
15 several variables.

1 **Conclusions**

2 This cross-sectional study of approximately 57 million older US adults with pain
3 identified predisposing, enabling and need factors associated with self-reported frequent
4 moderate-vigorous exercise for 30 minutes a day at least 5 times a week. Factors associated with
5 a greater likelihood of self-reported frequent exercise included: being male, non-Hispanic,
6 employed, having no chronic conditions versus ≥ 5 conditions, having two chronic conditions
7 versus ≥ 5 conditions, having no limitation versus having a limitation, reporting little/moderate
8 versus quite/extreme pain, and reporting excellent, very good, or good versus fair or poor
9 physical health. This information could be useful for older adults with pain and their clinicians
10 who are considering adding exercise to their pain management routines.

References

- 1
- 2 Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2019a). Medical Expenditure Panel Survey
3 Background. https://meps.ahrq.gov/mepsweb/about_meps/survey_back.jsp
4
- 5 Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2019b). Medical Expenditure Panel Survey
6 Download Data Files. https://meps.ahrq.gov/mepsweb/data_stats/download_data_files.jsp
7
- 8 Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2019c). MEPS HC-201 2017 Full Year
9 Consolidated Data Codebooks.
10 https://meps.ahrq.gov/data_stats/download_data/pufs/h201/h201cb.pdf
11
- 12 Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2019d). MEPS HC-201 2017 Full Year
13 Consolidated Data File.
14 https://meps.ahrq.gov/data_stats/download_data/pufs/h201/h201doc.pdf
15
- 16 Alghadir, A. H., Anwer, S., Sarkar, B., Paul, A. K., & Anwar, D. (2019). Effect of 6-week retro
17 or forward walking program on pain, functional disability, quadriceps muscle strength,
18 and performance in individuals with knee osteoarthritis: A randomized controlled trial
19 (retro-walking trial). *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 20(1), 1–10.
20 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-019-2537-9>
21

- 1 Alzahrani, H., Mackey, M., Stamatakis, E., Zadro J. R., & Shirley, D. (2019). The association
2 between physical activity and low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
3 observational studies. *Sci Rep*, 9(1), 8244. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44664-8>
4
- 5 Ambrose, K. R., & Golightly, Y. M. (2015). Physical exercise as non-pharmacological treatment
6 of chronic pain: Why and when. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology*, 29(1),
7 120–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2015.04.022>
8
- 9 Anderson, E., & Durstine, J. L. (2019). Physical activity, exercise, and chronic diseases: A brief
10 review. *Sports Medicine and Health Science*, 1(1), 3–10.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smhs.2019.08.006>
12
- 13 Andersen R. M. (1995). Revisiting the behavioral model and access to medical care: Does it
14 matter? *Journal of health and social behavior*, 36(1), 1–10.
15
- 16 Axon, D. R., Bhattacharjee, S., Warholak, T. L., & Slack, M. K. (2018). Xm² scores for
17 estimating total exposure to multimodal strategies identified by pharmacists for managing
18 pain: Validity testing and clinical relevance. *Pain Research and Management*, 2018, 1–
19 11. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2530286>
20
- 21 Axon, D. R., Patel, M. J., Martin, J. R., & Slack, M. K. (2019). Use of multidomain management
22 strategies by community dwelling adults with chronic pain: Evidence from a systematic

- 1 review. *Scandinavian Journal of Pain*, 19(1), 9–23. <https://doi.org/10.1515/sjpain-2018->
2 0306
3
- 4 Berry, D. B., Padwal, J., Johnson, S., Englund, E. K., Ward, S. R., & Shahidi, B. (2019). The
5 effect of high-intensity resistance exercise on lumbar musculature in patients with low
6 back pain: A preliminary study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 20(1), 1–9.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-019-2658-1>
8
- 9 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020, May 14). Move More; Sit Less.
10 <https://www.cdc.gov/physicalactivity/basics/adults/index.htm>
11
- 12 Craft, B. B., Carroll, H. A., & Lustyk, M. K. (2014). Gender differences in exercise habits and
13 quality of life reports: Assessing the moderating effects of reasons for exercise.
14 *International Journal of Liberal Arts and Social Science*, 2(5), 65–76.
15
- 16 Franzke, B., Neubauer, O., Cameron-Smith, D., & Wagner, K. H. (2018). Dietary protein,
17 muscle and physical function in the very old. *Nutrients*, 10(7), 935.
18 <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10070935>
19
- 20 Geneen, L. J., Moore, R. A., Clarke, C., Martin, D., Colvin, L. A., & Smith, B. H. (2017).
21 Physical activity and exercise for chronic pain in adults: an overview of Cochrane
22 Reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, (1), CD011279.
23 <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011279.pub3>

- 1
- 2 Goenka, S., & Lee, I. M. (2017). Physical activity lowers mortality and heart disease risks. *The*
3 *Lancet*, 390(10113), 2609–2610. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(17\)32104-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(17)32104-9)
4
- 5 Hagen, K. B., Dagfinrud, H., Moe, R. H., Østerås, N., Kjeken, I., Grotle, M., & Smedslund, G.
6 (2012). Exercise therapy for bone and muscle health: An overview of systematic
7 reviews. *BMC Medicine*, 10(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-10-167>
8
- 9 Health.Gov. (2018). Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans.
10 [https://health.gov/sites/default/files/2019-](https://health.gov/sites/default/files/2019-09/Physical_Activity_Guidelines_2nd_edition.pdf)
11 [09/Physical_Activity_Guidelines_2nd_edition.pdf](https://health.gov/sites/default/files/2019-09/Physical_Activity_Guidelines_2nd_edition.pdf)
12
- 13 International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP). Part III: Pain terms, a current list with
14 definitions and notes on usage. In: Merskey H, Bogduk N (eds): Classification of chronic
15 pain, 2nd ed. Seattle, WA: IASP Press, 1994, pp 209-214.
16
- 17 Keadle, S. K., McKinnon, R., Graubard, B. I., & Troiano, R. P. (2016). Prevalence and trends in
18 physical activity among older adults in the United States: A comparison across three
19 national surveys. *Prev Med*, 89, 37-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.05.009>
20
- 21 Lear, S. A., Hu, W., Rangarajan, S., Gasevic, D., Leong, D., Iqbal, R., Casanova, A.,
22 Swaminathan, S., Anjana, R. M., Kumar, R., Rosengren, A., Wei, L., Yang, W.,
23 Chuangshi, W., Huaxing, L., Nair, S., Diaz, R., Swidon, H., Gupta, R., ... Yusuf, S.

- 1 (2017). The effect of physical activity on mortality and cardiovascular disease in 130 000
2 people from 17 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: the PURE
3 study. *The Lancet*, 390(10113), 2643–2654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140->
4 [6736\(17\)31634-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(17)31634-3)
- 5
- 6 Mailloux, J., Finno, M., & Rainville, J. (2006). Long-term exercise adherence in the elderly with
7 chronic low back pain. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, 85(2),
8 120–126. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.phm.0000197580.64079.3d>
- 9
- 10 McPhee, J. S., French, D. P., Jackson, D., Nazroo, J., Pendleton, N., & Degens, H. (2016).
11 Physical activity in older age: perspectives for healthy ageing and
12 frailty. *Biogerontology*, 17(3), 567–580. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10522-016-9641-0>
- 13
- 14 Musumeci, G. (2015). Effects of exercise on physical limitations and fatigue in rheumatic
15 diseases. *World Journal of Orthopedics*, 6(10), 762.
16 <https://doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v6.i10.762>
- 17
- 18 Park, S., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., Veldhuijzen van Zanten, J. J. C. S., & Ntoumanis, N. (2017).
19 The role of physical activity and sedentary behavior in predicting daily pain and fatigue
20 in older adults: A diary study. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 52(1), 19–28.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-017-9921-1>
- 22

- 1 Polaski, A. M., Phelps, A. L., Kostek, M. C., Szucs, K. A., & Kolber, B. J. (2019). Exercise-
2 induced hypoalgesia: A meta-analysis of exercise dosing for the treatment of chronic
3 pain. *PLoS One*, *14*(1), e0210418. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210418>
4
- 5 Reid, M. C., Eccleston, C., & Pillemer, K. (2015). Management of chronic pain in older adults.
6 *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*, *350*, h532. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h532>
7
- 8 Resnick, B., Boltz, M., Galik, E., Holmes, S., Vigne, E., Fix, S., & Zhu, S. (2019). Pain
9 assessment, management, and impact among older adults in assisted living. *Pain*
10 *Management Nursing*, *20*(3), 192–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmn.2019.02.008>
11
- 12 Rhoades, J. (2005, February). Exercise in adults, age 18 and older, in the United States, 2002:
13 Estimates for the noninstitutionalized population. Agency for Healthcare Research and
14 Quality. http://meps.ahrq.gov/mepsweb/data_files/publications/st70/stat70.pdf
15
- 16 Saffer, H., Dave, D., Grossman, M., & Leung, L. A. (2013). Racial, ethnic, and gender
17 differences in physical activity. *Journal of Human Capital*, *7*(4), 378–410.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1086/671200>
19
- 20 Selby, S., Hayes, C., O’Sullivan, N., O’Neil, A., & Harmon, D. (2018). Facilitators and barriers
21 to green exercise in chronic pain. *Irish Journal of Medical Science*, *188*(3), 973–978.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11845-018-1923-x>
23

- 1 Spittaels, H., Van Cauwenberghe, E., Verbestel, V., De Meester, F., Van Dyck, D., Verloigne,
2 M., Haerens, L., Deforche, B., Cardon, G., & De Bourdeaudhuij, I. (2012). Objectively
3 measured sedentary time and physical activity time across the lifespan: a cross-sectional
4 study in four age groups. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical
5 Activity*, 9(1), 149. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-9-149>
6
- 7 US Department of Health & Human services. (2017). HHS Acting Secretary declares public
8 health emergency to address national opioid crisis.
9 [https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2017/10/26/hhs-acting-secretary-declares-public-health-
10 emergency-address-national-opioid-crisis.html](https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2017/10/26/hhs-acting-secretary-declares-public-health-emergency-address-national-opioid-crisis.html)
11
- 12 US Census Bureau. (2017). Data Profiles. [https://www.census.gov/acs/www/data/data-
13 tables-and-tools/data-profiles/2017/](https://www.census.gov/acs/www/data/data-tables-and-tools/data-profiles/2017/).
14
- 15 Van Domelen, D. R., Koster, A., Caserotti, P., Brychta, R. J., Chen, K. Y., McClain, J. J.,
16 Troiano, R. P., Berrigan, D., & Harris, T. B. (2011). Employment and physical activity in
17 the U.S. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 41(2), 136–145.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2011.03.019>
19
- 20 Webber, S. C., Strachan, S. M., & Pachu, N. S. (2017). Sedentary behavior, cadence, and
21 physical activity outcomes after knee arthroplasty. *Medicine & Science in Sports &
22 Exercise*, 49(6), 1057–1065. <https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0000000000001207>
23

- 1 World Health Organization. (2020). Healthy at home - physical activity. [https://www.who.int/news-room/campaigns/connecting-the-world-to-combat-](https://www.who.int/news-room/campaigns/connecting-the-world-to-combat-coronavirus/healthyathome/healthyathome---physical-activity#:~:text=Regular%20physical%20activity%20benefits%20both,increase%20susceptibility%20to%20COVID%2D19)
- 2 [coronavirus/healthyathome/healthyathome---physical-](https://www.who.int/news-room/campaigns/connecting-the-world-to-combat-coronavirus/healthyathome/healthyathome---physical-activity#:~:text=Regular%20physical%20activity%20benefits%20both,increase%20susceptibility%20to%20COVID%2D19)
- 3 [activity#:~:text=Regular%20physical%20activity%20benefits%20both,increase%20s](https://www.who.int/news-room/campaigns/connecting-the-world-to-combat-coronavirus/healthyathome/healthyathome---physical-activity#:~:text=Regular%20physical%20activity%20benefits%20both,increase%20susceptibility%20to%20COVID%2D19)
- 4 [usceptibility%20to%20COVID%2D19](https://www.who.int/news-room/campaigns/connecting-the-world-to-combat-coronavirus/healthyathome/healthyathome---physical-activity#:~:text=Regular%20physical%20activity%20benefits%20both,increase%20susceptibility%20to%20COVID%2D19).
- 5

1 **Table 1.**2 *Characteristics of United States Older Adults (age ≥ 50 years) with Self-Reported Pain in the*3 *Past Four Weeks, Stratified by Exercise and No Exercise*

Variables	Total (Weighted N=56,751,256) Weighted % (95% CI)	Exercise (Weighted N=23,758,914) Weighted % (95% CI)	No Exercise (Weighted N=32,992,342) Weighted % (95% CI)	p
Predisposing factors:				
Age (years)				0.0012
50-64	49.0 (46.9-51.0)	52.3 (49.4-55.2)	46.6 (44.1-49.1)	
≥ 65	51.0 (49.0-53.1)	47.7 (44.8-50.6)	53.4 (50.9-55.9)	
Gender				<0.0001
Male	44.6 (43.3-46.0)	50.2 (47.9-52.4)	40.7 (38.9-42.5)	
Female	55.4 (54.0-56.7)	49.8 (47.6-52.1)	59.3 (57.5-61.1)	
Ethnicity				0.0167
Hispanic	10.1 (8.8-11.4)	8.9 (7.5-10.4)	11.0 (9.4-12.5)	
Non-Hispanic	89.9 (88.6-91.2)	91.1 (89.6-92.5)	89.0 (87.5-90.6)	
Race				0.9738
White	81.2 (79.6-82.8)	81.2 (79.2-83.3)	81.2 (79.3-83.1)	
Other	18.8 (17.2-20.4)	18.8 (16.7-20.8)	18.8 (16.9-20.7)	
Enabling factors:				
Education completed				0.0009
< High school	16.3 (14.8-17.8)	13.9 (12.2-15.6)	18.0 (16.1-20.0)	
High school	32.9 (31.3-34.5)	32.4 (30.0-34.8)	33.2 (31.2-35.3)	
> High school	50.8 (48.8-52.9)	53.7 (51.0-56.5)	48.8 (46.2-51.4)	
Employment status				<0.0001
Employed	38.9 (36.7-41.1)	46.9 (43.9-50.0)	33.2 (30.6-35.7)	
Unemployed	61.1 (58.9-63.3)	53.1 (50.0-56.1)	66.8 (64.3-69.4)	
Income level				<0.0001
Poor/near poor/low income	32.2 (30.3-34.2)	28.1 (25.5-30.6)	35.2 (32.7-37.7)	
Middle/high income	67.8 (65.8-69.7)	71.9 (69.4-74.5)	64.8 (62.3-67.3)	
Insurance coverage				<0.0001
Private	61.0 (59.1-62.9)	65.1 (62.6-67.6)	58.0 (55.6-60.4)	
Public	35.5 (33.7-37.3)	31.0 (28.6-33.4)	38.7 (36.4-41.0)	
Uninsured	3.6 (2.9-4.2)	3.9 (2.9-4.9)	3.3 (2.6-4.0)	
Marital status				0.0075

Married	57.2 (55.1-59.3)	60.1 (57.2-63.0)	55.1 (52.5-57.7)	
Other	42.8 (40.7-44.9)	39.9 (37.0-42.8)	45.0 (42.3-47.6)	
Need factors:				
Chronic conditions				<0.0001
0	4.8 (4.0-5.6)	6.9 (5.4-8.4)	3.4 (2.6-4.1)	
1	10.0 (9.0-11.0)	11.3 (9.6-13.0)	9.1 (7.8-10.3)	
2	15.7 (14.3-17.0)	19.5 (17.3-21.8)	12.9 (11.3-14.5)	
3	18.8 (17.6-20.1)	19.3 (17.4-21.3)	18.5 (16.7-20.3)	
4	16.6 (15.4-17.7)	15.6 (13.9-17.3)	17.3 (15.7-18.9)	
≥5	34.1 (32.4-35.8)	27.4 (25.2-29.6)	38.9 (36.6-41.2)	
Any limitation				<0.0001
Yes	50.8 (49.0-52.7)	41.7 (39.0-44.4)	57.4 (55.0-59.9)	
No	49.2 (47.3-51.0)	58.3 (55.6-61.0)	42.6 (40.1-45.0)	
Pain severity				<0.0001
Little/moderate	75.5 (73.2-76.7)	82.9 (81.0-84.7)	69.3 (67.0-71.6)	
Quite a bit/extreme	25.0 (23.3-26.8)	17.1 (15.3-19.0)	30.7 (28.4-33.0)	
Perceived mental health status				<0.0001
Excellent/very good	52.2 (50.3-54.1)	60.4 (57.8-63.0)	46.2 (43.9-48.6)	
Good	33.4 (31.6-35.1)	29.5 (27.0-32.0)	36.1 (34.0-38.3)	
Fair/poor	14.5 (13.3-15.6)	10.1 (8.7-11.5)	17.6 (15.9-19.3)	
Perceived physical health status				<0.0001
Excellent/very good	35.5 (33.9-37.2)	47.5 (44.9-50.1)	27.0 (24.9-29.0)	
Good	37.5 (35.9-39.1)	34.5 (32.2-36.8)	39.6 (37.4-41.7)	
Fair/poor	27.0 (25.5-28.5)	18.0 (16.1-19.9)	33.5 (31.2-35.7)	
Personal health practices factors:				
Smoking status				0.0431
Yes	14.8 (13.7-15.9)	13.4(11.7-15.2)	15.8 (14.3-17.2)	
No	85.2 (84.1-86.3)	86.6 (84.8-88.3)	84.2 (82.8-85.7)	
External environmental factors:				
Census region				0.0358
Midwest	22.0 (20.2-23.8)	23.4 (20.9-26.0)	21.0 (18.9-23.2)	
Northeast	18.2 (16.5-19.9)	17.9 (15.5-20.2)	18.5 (16.4-20.6)	
South	38.3 (36.2-40.4)	35.5 (32.5-38.5)	40.2 (37.5-43.0)	
West	21.5 (19.7-23.3)	23.2 (20.7-25.7)	20.3 (17.9-22.6)	

- 1 Analyses based on 5,051 (un-weighted) older United States adults (age ≥50 years) alive during
- 2 the calendar year 2017 with self-reported pain in the past four weeks. Differences between the

- 1 exercise group (un-weighted n=2,050) and no exercise group (un-weighted n=3,001)] based on
- 2 chi-square tests. Abbreviations: % = percentage; CI = confidence interval.

1 **Table 2.**2 *Association of Self-Reported Frequent (≥ 5 times per week) Moderate-Vigorous Intensity*3 *Exercise for 30 Minutes Among Older United States Adults (age ≥ 50 years) With Pain in the*4 *Past Four Weeks*

Variables	Adjusted odds ratio	95% Confidence Interval
Predisposing factors:		
Age (years)		
50-64 vs. ≥ 65	1.042	(0.874-1.243)
Gender		
Male vs. female	1.507	(1.318-1.724)
Ethnicity		
Hispanic vs. non-Hispanic	0.780	(0.622-0.979)
Race		
White vs. other	0.885	(0.742-1.055)
Enabling factors:		
Education completed		
< High school vs. > high school	1.011	(0.806-1.267)
High school vs. > high school	1.032	(0.870-1.225)
Employment status		
Employed vs. unemployed	1.274	(1.040-1.560)
Income level		
Poor/near poor/low income vs. middle/high income	1.096	(0.909-1.321)
Insurance coverage		
Private vs. uninsured	0.874	(0.605-1.264)
Public vs. uninsured	0.906	(0.622-1.320)
Marital status		
Married vs. other	0.966	(0.814-1.146)
Need factors:		
Chronic conditions		
0 vs. ≥ 5	1.576	(1.094-2.268)
1 vs. ≥ 5	1.054	(0.782-1.421)
2 vs. ≥ 5	1.547	(1.226-1.952)
3 vs. ≥ 5	1.094	(0.884-1.353)
4 vs. ≥ 5	0.988	(0.808-1.208)
Any limitation		
Yes vs. no	0.827	(0.694-0.985)
Pain severity		
Little/moderate vs. quite a bit/extreme	1.358	(1.137-1.621)
Perceived mental health status		
Excellent/very good vs. fair/poor	1.106	(0.865-1.414)
Good vs. fair/poor	1.061	(0.836-1.347)

Perceived physical health status		
Excellent/very good vs. fair/poor	2.408	(1.875-3.093)
Good vs. fair/poor	1.337	(1.087-1.646)
Personal health practices factors:		
Smoking status		
Yes vs. no	0.912	(0.730-1.139)
External environmental factors:		
Census region		
Midwest vs. West	0.970	(0.758-1.241)
Northeast vs. West	0.801	(0.621-1.034)
South vs. West	0.822	(0.653-1.035)

1 Analyses based on 5,051 (un-weighted) older United States adults (age ≥ 50 years) alive during
2 the calendar year 2017 with self-reported pain in the past four weeks. The reference group for the
3 dependent variable in the logistic regression was 'no exercise' (N=3,001). The model had a Wald
4 statistic of $p < 0.0001$ and a c-statistic of 0.660. Bold indicates the variable was statistically
5 associated with self-reported exercise.